Title	On an exponential character of the spectral distribution function of a random difference operator
Author(s)	Nagai, Hideo
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1977, 14(1), p. 111-116
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/7543
rights	
Note	

Nagai, H.
Osaka J. Math.
14 (1977), 111-116

ON AN EXPONENTIAL CHARACTER OF THE SPECTRAL DISTRIBUFION FUNCTION OF A RANDOM DIFFERENCE OPERATOR

HIDEO NAGAI

(Received November 26, 1975)

1. Let H^0 be a second order difference operator

$$(H^0u)(a) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\{u(a-1)-2u(a)+u(a+1)\}\ , \quad a\in Z\,,$$

u being a function on the space Z of all integers. We then consider a random difference operator H^ω defined by

$$(H^\omega u)(a) = -(H^0u)(a)+q(a,\,\omega)u(a)\,, \quad a\in Z\,,$$

where $\{q(a,\omega)\}_{a\in Z}$ is a family of random variables defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$.
We assume that $\{q(a,\omega)\}_{a\in Z}$ forms a non-negative valued stationary Markov process with one step transition function $P(x, A)$ and absolute probability $\mu(A)$:

$$\begin{aligned}&P(q(a_1)\in A_1,\ q(a_2)\in A_2,\ \cdots,\ q(a_n)\in A_n)\\&\quad=\int_{A_1\cdots A_n}\mu(dx_1)P^{(a_2-a_1)}(x_1,dx_2)P^{(a_3-a_2)}(x_2,dx_3)\cdots P^{(a_n-a_{n-1})}(x_{n-1},dx_n)\end{aligned}$$

for integers $a_1<a_2<\cdots<a_n$ and Borel set $A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n$ of $[0,\infty)$. Here $P^{(k)}(x, A)$ denotes the k-th iterate of $P(x, A)$.

Denote by $L^2(Z)$ the Hilbert space consisting of all square summable functions with inner product $(u, v)=\sum_{a\in Z}u(a)v(a)$. For each $\omega\in\Omega$, H^ω determines a selfadjoint operator A^ω by

$$\begin{cases}\mathcal{D}(A^\omega)=\{u\in L^2(Z);\ H^\omega u\in L^2(Z)\}\\ A^\omega u=H^\omega u \quad u\in\mathcal{D}(A^\omega)\,.\end{cases}$$

Let $\{E_\lambda^\omega, \lambda\in R^1\}$ be the resolution of the identity associated with A^ω. Then $(E_\lambda^\omega I_0, I_0)$ is measurable in ω and we can define the spectral distribution function ρ of $\{H^\omega\}$ by

$$\rho(\lambda) = E((E_\lambda^\omega I_0, I_0))$$

where E is the expectation in ω with respect to P and $I_0(a)=\delta_{0a}$, $a\in Z$ ([1]). $\rho(\lambda)$ vanishes for $\lambda<0$. Our present aim is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem.

(i) *If* $P(0, \{0\})=b>0$ *and* $\mu(\{0\})>0$, *then* $\underline{\lim}_{x\downarrow 0}\sqrt{x}\log\rho(x)>-\infty$.

(ii) *If* $\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1+y}P(x, dy)<c$ μ*-a.e.* x *for some* $c<1$, *then* $\overline{\lim}_{x\downarrow 0}\sqrt{x}\log\rho(x)<0$.

A similar result has been obtained by M. Fukushima ([1]) when $q(a)$, $a\in Z$, are non-negative valued independent identically distributed random variables. We further mention the works of L. A. Pastur ([2]) and S. Nakao ([3]) for related results on the one dimensional Schrödinger operators with random potentials. The present novelty is to make use of a Markovian character of the local time (cf. M. L. Silverstein [4]).

The author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Professor M. Fukushima and Mr. S. Nakao for valuable advice and helpful discussions.

2. At first we collect some lemmas for the proof of our theorem.

We introduce the continuous Markov process $M=(\dot{\Omega}, \dot{\mathscr{B}}, \dot{X}_t, \dot{P}_a)$ on Z with the generator H^0. Denoting by $\dot{E}_0$ the expectation with respect to $\dot{P}_0$, we have Kac representation as follows.

Lemma 1 ([1]).

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda}d\rho(\lambda)=E\times\dot{E}_0\left[\exp\left(-\int_0^t q(\dot{X}_s, \omega)ds\right);\ \dot{X}_t=0\right].$$

The proof of our theorem reduces to finding how fast $E\times\dot{E}_0\left[\exp\left(-\int_0^t q(\dot{X}_s, \omega)ds\right);\ \dot{X}_t=0\right]$ *tends to zero as* $t\to\infty$ *because of Lemma* 1 *and the following Tauberian theorem.*

Lemma 2 ([1]). *Let* $\phi(\lambda)$ *be non-decreasing function on* $[0, \infty)$ *with* $\phi(0)=0$ *and* $\psi(t)$ *be its Laplace transform*:

$$\psi(t)=\int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda}d\phi(\lambda)$$

(i) *If* $\underline{\lim}_{t\uparrow\infty}\frac{1}{t^\gamma}\log\psi(t)>-\infty$ *then* $\underline{\lim}_{x\downarrow 0}x^{\gamma/1-\gamma}\log\phi(x)>-\infty$.

(ii) *If* $\overline{\lim}_{t\uparrow\infty}\frac{1}{t^\gamma}\log\psi(t)<0$ *then* $\overline{\lim}_{x\downarrow 0}x^{\gamma/1-\gamma}\log\phi(x)<0$.

For the investigation of asymptotic behavior of $E\times\dot{E}_0\left[\exp\left(-\int_0^t q(\dot{X}_s, \omega)\right);\right.$

$\dot{X}_t=0\Big]$ as $t\to\infty$, the following two lemmas are of great use.

Lemma 3 ([4]). *Put* $\dot{L}(t, x)=\int_0^t I_x(\dot{X}_s)ds$, $t>0$, $x\in Z$, $\sigma_x(s)=\sup\{t;\ \dot{L}(t, x)\leqq s$, $\dot{T}_{x,y}(s)=\dot{L}(\sigma_x(s), y)$, *then it holds that*

(i) $\{\dot{T}_{y,z}(s), y, z\geqq x\}$ *and* $\{\dot{T}_{y,z}(s), y, z\leqq x\}$ *are multually independent for each* $x\in Z$ *and* $s\geqq 0$,

(ii) $\dot{E}_0[\exp(-\alpha\dot{T}_{x,x-y-z}(s))\,|\,\dot{T}_{x,x-y}(s)=l]=\dfrac{1}{\alpha z+1}\exp\left(\dfrac{-l\alpha}{\alpha z+1}\right)$ *for each* $\alpha>0$, $x>0(<0)$ *and* $y, z\geqq 0$ *such that* $y+z\leqq x(\leqq -x)$,

(iii) $\{\dot{T}_{x,x-y}(s)\}$ *is Markovian in* $y\geqq 0$ *for fixed* $s\geqq 0$ *and* $x>0(<0)$.

Corollary *Put* $\mathscr{B}^x_{x-u}(s)=\sigma[\dot{T}_{x,x-v}(s), v=0, 1, \cdots, u]$ $s\geqq 0$, *then we have*

$$\dot{E}_0\,[\exp(-\alpha\dot{T}_{x,x-y-z}(s))\,|\,\mathscr{B}^x_{x-y}(s)]\leqq\frac{1}{\alpha z+1}$$

for each $x>0$ (<0) *and* $y, z\geqq 0$ *such that* $y+z\leqq x$ $(y+z\leqq -x)$.

Lemma 4 ([1]). *Let* $\dot{R}_t$ *be the number of states where* $\dot{X}_s$ *visits during the interval* $[0, t)$, *then we have*

(i) $\underline{\lim}_{t\uparrow\infty}\, t^{-1/3}\log\dot{E}_0[e^{-\beta_1\dot{R}_t};\ \dot{X}_t=0]>-\infty$

for any posiivte constant $\beta_1>0$,

(ii) $\overline{\lim}_{t\uparrow\infty}\, t^{-1/3}\log\dot{E}_0[e^{-\beta_2 R_t}]<0$

for any positive constant $\beta_2>0$.

3. Now we give the proof of our theorem.

Put $k(t)=E\times\dot{E}_0\Big[\exp\Big(-\int_0^t q(\dot{X}_s, \omega)ds\Big);\ \dot{X}_t=0\Big]$, then

$$k(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\substack{m+n=k\\ m,n\geqq 0}}E\times\dot{E}_0\Big[\exp\Big(-\sum_{x=-n}^{m}\dot{L}(t, x)q(x, \omega)\Big);\ \dot{M}_t=m,\ \dot{m}_t=-n,\ \dot{X}_t=0$$

where $\dot{M}_t=\sup\{\dot{X}_s\colon 0\leqq s\leqq t\}$ $\dot{m}_t=\inf\{X_s\colon 0\leqq s\leqq t\}$. Taking the expectation of $\exp(-\sum_{x=-n}^{m}\dot{L}(t, x)q(x, \omega))$ with respect to P, we have by stationarity and Markov property of $(\Omega, \mathscr{B}, P, q)$

$$E\Big[\exp\Big(-\sum_{x=-n}^{m}L(t, x)q(x, \omega)\Big)\Big]\geqq E\Big[\prod_{x=-n}^{m}I_0(q(x, \omega))\Big]=\mu(\{0\})b^{m+n}.$$

therefore

$$k(t)\geqq\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\substack{m+n=k\\ m,n\geqq 0}}\dot{E}_0[\mu(\{0\})b^k;\ \dot{M}_t=\dot{m},\ m_t=-n,\ \dot{X}_t=0]$$

$$=\frac{\mu(\{0\})}{b}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}b^k\dot{P}_0(\dot{R}_t=k,\ \dot{X}_t=0)=\frac{\mu(\{0\})}{b}\dot{E}_0[e^{-\beta_1\dot{R}_t};\ \dot{X}_t=0]\,,$$

$$\beta_1=-\log b\,.$$

Because of Lemma 4

$$\varliminf_{t\uparrow\infty}t^{-1/3}\log k(t)>-\infty\,.$$

We get the first assertion (i) of our theorem by Lemma 1 and Lemma 2.

Turning to the proof of the second assertion (ii), we put

$$k_1(t)=E\times\dot{E}_0\left[\exp\left(-\int_0^t q(\dot{X}_s,\ \omega)ds\right);\ \dot{X}_t=0,\ \dot{R}_t<t\right],$$

$$k_2(t)=E\times\dot{E}_0\left[\exp\left(-\int_0^t q(\dot{X}_s,\ \omega)ds\right);\ \dot{X}_t=0,\ \dot{R}_t\geqq t\right],$$

then we get

$$k_1(t)^2=\{\sum_{k=0}^{[t]-1}\sum_{\substack{m+n=k\\ m,n\geqq 0}}E\times\dot{E}_0[\exp(-\sum_{k=-n}^{m}\dot{L}(t,\ x)q(x,\ \omega));\ \dot{M}_t=m,\ \dot{m}_t=-n,\ \dot{X}_t=0]\}^2$$

$$\leqq\frac{[t]([t]+1)}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{[t]-1}\sum_{\substack{m+n=k\\ m,n\geqq 0}}\{E\times\dot{E}_0[\exp(-\sum_{x=-n}^{m}\dot{L}(t,\ x)q(x,\ \omega));\ \dot{M}_t=m,\ \dot{m}_t=-n,\ \dot{X}_t=0]\}^2$$

$$\leqq\frac{[t]([t]+1)}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{[t]-1}\sum_{\substack{m+n=k\\ m,n\geqq 0}}E\times\dot{E}_0[\exp(-2\sum_{x=-n}^{m}\dot{L}(t,\ x)q(x,\ \omega))]\ \dot{P}_0[\dot{M}_t=m,\ \dot{m}_t=-n,\ \dot{X}_t=0]\,.$$

Putting $\tau_i=t\wedge\inf\{s;\ \dot{X}_s=i\}$, $i\in Z$, it is clear that

$$\dot{L}(\tau_m,\ x)\leqq\dot{L}(t,\ x)\,,\quad \dot{L}(\tau_{-n},\ x)\leqq\dot{L}(t,\ x)\quad\text{and}$$
$$\dot{L}(\tau_m,\ x)=\dot{T}_{m,x}(0)\,,\quad \dot{L}(\tau_{-n},\ x)=\dot{T}_{-n,x}(0)\,.$$

Therefore

$$\exp(-2\sum_{x=-n}^{m}\dot{L}(t,\ x)q(x,\ \omega))$$

$$\leqq\exp(-2\sum_{x=0}^{m}\dot{T}_{m,x}(0)q(x,\ \omega)-2\sum_{x=-n}^{-1}\dot{T}_{-n,x}(0)q(x,\ \omega))\,.$$

Taking the expectation with respect to $P\times\dot{P}_0$, we get

$$E\times\dot{E}_0[\exp(-2\sum_{x=-n}^{m}\dot{L}(t,\ x)q(x,\ \omega))]\leqq E\left[\prod_{x=-n}^{m}\frac{1}{1+2q(x,\ \omega)}\right]$$

because of Lemma 3, (i) (iii) and its Corollary. From Markov property of $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P, q)$ and the assumption in our theorem, it follows that

$$E\Big[\prod_{x=-n}^{m}\frac{1}{1+2q(x,\omega)}\Big]=E\Big[\prod_{x=-n}^{m-1}\frac{1}{1+2q(x,\omega)}E\Big[\frac{1}{1+2q(1,\omega)}\Big|q(0)\Big]\Big]$$
$$<cE\Big[\prod_{x=-n}^{m-1}\frac{1}{1+2q(x,\omega)}\Big]<c^{m+n+1}.$$

Now we have

$$k_1(t)^2\leqq\frac{[t]([t]+1)}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{[t]-1}\sum_{\substack{m+n=k\\ m,n\geqq 0}}c^{m+n+1}\dot{P}_0[\dot{X}_t=0,\ \dot{M}_t=m,\ \dot{m}_t=-n]$$
$$=\frac{[t]([t]+1)}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{[t]}c^k\dot{P}_0(\dot{X}_t=0,\ \dot{R}_t=k)$$
$$\leqq\frac{[t]([t]+1)}{2}\dot{E}_0(e^{-\beta_2\dot{R}_t};\ \dot{X}_t=0),\ \beta_2=-\log c\,.$$

On the other hand

$$k_2(t)\leqq E\times\dot{E}_0\Big[\exp\Big(-\int_0^t q(\dot{X}_s,\omega)ds;\ \dot{X}_t=0,\ \dot{M}_t\geqq\frac{t}{2}\Big)\Big]$$
$$+E\times\dot{E}_0\Big[\exp\Big(-\int_0^t q(\dot{X}_s,\omega);\ \dot{X}_t=0,\ \dot{m}_t\leqq-\frac{t}{2}\Big)\Big]$$
$$\leqq E\times\dot{E}_0\Big[\exp\Big(-\sum_{x=0}^{[t/2]}\dot{L}(\tau_{[t/2]},x)q(x,\omega)\Big)\Big]$$
$$+E\times\dot{E}_0\Big[\exp\Big(-\sum_{x=-1}^{-[t/2]}\dot{L}(\tau_{-[t/2]},x)q(x,\omega)\Big)\Big]$$
$$\leqq E\Big[\prod_{x=0}^{[t/2]}\frac{1}{1+q(x,\omega)}\Big]+E\Big[\prod_{x=-1}^{-[t/2]}\frac{1}{1+q(x,\omega)}\Big]<2c^{[t/2]}$$
$$=2e^{-\beta_2[t/2]}\,.$$

As a result

$$k(t)=k_1(t)+k_2(t)\leqq\sqrt{\frac{[t]([t]+1)}{2}\dot{E}_0(e^{-\beta_2\dot{R}_t})}+2e^{-\beta_2[t/2]}\,,$$

which, combined with Lemma 4, leads us to

$$\varlimsup_{t\uparrow\infty}t^{-1/3}\log k(t)<0\,.$$

Hence we arrive at the second assertion of our theorem.

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

References

[1] M. Fukushima: *On the spectral distribution of a disordered system and the range of a random walk*, Osaka J. Math. **11** (1974), 73–85.

[2] S. Nakao: *On the spectral distribution of the Schrödinger operator with random potential*, Japan. J. Math. **3** (1977)

[3] L.A. Pastur: *Spectra of random self-adjoint operator*, Russian Math. Surveys **28** (1973), 1–67.

[4] M.L. Silverstein: *A new approach to local time*, J. Math. Mech. **17** (1968), 1023–1054.