

Title	連続接合積に於けるフーリエ展開について
Author(s)	高井, 博司
Citation	大阪大学, 1976, 博士論文
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://hdl.handle.net/11094/759
rights	
Note	

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

On a Fourier expansion in continuous crossed products.

By

Hiroshi TAKAI

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of
Engineering Science, Osaka University,
Toyonaka, Osaka, Japan.

A B S T R A C T

Let (M, \mathbb{R}, α) be a separable continuous W^* -dynamical system such that M is \mathbb{R} -finite.

Then any element in the crossed product $\mathbb{R} \otimes M$ of M by α can be expressed as a vector valued tempered distribution $D^q T_\eta$ which is a weak* limit of T_ξ , $\xi \in K(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B})$ in the dual space $S_0(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B})^*$ of a generalized Schwartz space $S_0(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B})$, where $K(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B})$ is the Tomita algebra corresponding to $\mathbb{R} \otimes M$.

§

1. Introduction. The study of von Neumann algebra of type III has been greatly developed since M. Tomita [10] obtained the so-called commutation theorem based on his deep ideas. Especially, A Connes [1] classified factors of type III into three parts — that is, of type III_0 , of type III_λ ($0 < \lambda < 1$) and of type III_1 —, and he proved that a factor of type III_0 or III_λ ($0 < \lambda < 1$) is the crossed product of a von Neumann algebra of type II_∞ by a single automorphism. Succeedingly, M. Takesaki [9] established using a duality for crossed products that any factor of type III with separable predual can be written as the crossed product of a von Neumann algebra of type II_∞ by a continuous action of the real numbers. After a while, A. Connes [2] verified that there exist factors of type III which are isomorphic to no discrete crossed product of a semifinite von Neumann algebra by an abelian group.

These facts cited above tell us that discrete crossed products can hardly cover all the structures of factors of type III. Therefore, it is quite significant to study continuous crossed products systematically.

However, there appear a lot of troubles in the continuous case as compared with in the discrete case. For instance, it is unclear whether the primitive ideal space of crossed products equals to the induced primitive ideal space in separable continuous C^* -dynamical systems. (cf : [8])

The main reason seems to come from lacking of a suitable way to express any element in continuous crossed products as an operator valued function with certain rules.

From this point of view, it is desirable to find a proper Fourier expansion in continuous crossed products as in the discrete case.

In this paper, we shall try to offer one version for Fourier expansions as stated above. More precisely, any element in the crossed product

$\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\alpha} M$ of a \mathbb{R} -finite von Neumann algebra M with the separable predual M_{*} by a continuous action α of the real numbers \mathbb{R} , can be expressed as a vector valued tempered distribution $D^{\alpha} T_{\eta}$ which is a weak* limit of T_{ξ} , $\xi \in K(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B})$ in the dual space $S_0(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B})^{*}$ of a generalized Schwartz space $S_0(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B})$, where $K(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B})$ is the Tomita algebra corresponding to $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\alpha} M$.

Finally, the author would like to express his hearty thanks to Professor O. Takenouchi for his constant encouragement and warm hospitality in order to present this manuscript.

He also is greatly indebted to Professor A. Connes for his careful reading of this paper and many valuable suggestions.

§2. Continuous W^* -crossed products and preliminary lemmas.

In this section, we shall define the crossed product associated with a separable continuous W^* -dynamical system and prepare a couple of lemmas which will be used later. Let M be a von Neumann algebra and G be a locally compact group. Consider a mapping α of G into the group $\text{Aut}(M)$ of all automorphisms of M . The triple (M, G, α) is said to be a continuous W^* -dynamical system if α is a homomorphism such that the function $g \mapsto \phi \circ \alpha_g(x)$ is continuous on G for every $x \in M$ and $\phi \in M_*$, where M_* is the predual of M . It is also called separable if M_* and G are separable. Let us suppose from now on that a triple (M, G, α) is a separable continuous W^* -dynamical system and G is unimodular. Comparing with the discrete case, one more assumption would be added as follows: there exists a G -invariant faithful normal state ϕ on M . Namely, suppose that M is G -finite. Associated with ϕ , let $\mathcal{A}_\phi, \mathcal{B}, \Delta, J$ be the full left Hilbert algebra with the identity ξ_0 , the maximal Tomita algebra in \mathcal{A}_ϕ , the modular operator of \mathcal{A}_ϕ , the unitary involution of \mathcal{A}_ϕ respectively. Since ϕ is G -invariant, there exists a strongly continuous unitary representation U of G on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that $U_g \eta_\phi(x) = \eta_\phi \circ \alpha_g(x)$ for all $x \in M$, where η_ϕ is the canonical imbedding of M into \mathcal{H} . Since Δ commutes with U_g , \mathcal{B} is invariant under U_g . According to M. Takesaki [9], a locally convex topology on \mathcal{B} is defined by the following system $(P_K)_K$ of seminorms:

$$P_K(\xi) = \sup_{z \in K} \{ \|\Pi_\ell(\Delta^z \xi)\| + \|\Pi_\gamma(\Delta^z \xi)\| \} \quad (2.1)$$

where K is compact in the complex numbers \mathbb{C} , and Π_ℓ (resp. Π_γ) is the left (resp. right) representation of \mathcal{B} . Now consider the set $K(G; \mathcal{B})$ of all continuous functions of G into (\mathcal{B}, P_K) with compact support. Then, it is a Tomita algebra with the algebraic operations defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
(\xi\eta)(g) &= \int \sigma_{h^{-1}} \xi(gh^{-1}) \eta(h) dh \\
\xi^\#(g) &= \sigma_{g^{-1}} \xi(g^{-1})^\# \quad , \quad \xi^b(g) = \sigma_{g^{-1}} \xi(g^{-1})^b \\
(\Delta^z \xi)(g) &= \Delta^z \xi(g) \quad , \quad (J\xi)(g) = J \sigma_{g^{-1}} \xi(g^{-1})
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

for $\xi, \eta \in K(G; \mathcal{B})$, $g \in G$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. In addition, the left von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{R}_\alpha(K(G; \mathcal{B}))$ associated with $K(G; \mathcal{B})$ is nothing but the crossed product $G \otimes_\alpha M$ associated with a triple (M, G, α) . Remember this von Neumann algebra is generated by two kinds of operators $\Pi_\alpha(x), \lambda(g)$ ($x \in M, g \in G$) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Pi_\alpha(x) \xi)(h) &= \alpha_{h^{-1}}^{-1}(x) \xi(h) \\
(\lambda(g) \xi)(h) &= \xi(g^{-1}h)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

for $\xi \in L^2(G; \mathcal{H})$, where $L^2(G; \mathcal{H})$ is a Hilbert space of all \mathcal{H} -valued square integrable functions on G .

In order to discuss the predual of $G \otimes_\alpha M$ in the next section, we need two preliminary lemmas due to J. Phillips [6]. Before going to state them, some notational words are given in the following. Let \mathcal{A} be a left Hilbert algebra and \mathcal{A}' be the right Hilbert algebra associated with \mathcal{A} . Denote by \mathcal{P}^b the closure of the set $\{\eta\bar{\eta}^b : \eta \in \mathcal{A}'\}$. Following after J. Phillips, let \mathcal{P}_{int}^b be the set of all integrable elements $\eta \in \mathcal{P}^b$ in the sense that

$$\sup \{ \langle \eta | e \rangle : e \in \mathcal{A}_0 \} < +\infty \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{e \in \mathcal{A} : e^2 = e = e^\#\}$. Moreover, let $L^1(\mathcal{A})$ be the completion of the linear space $V(\mathcal{P}_{int}^b)$ generated by \mathcal{P}_{int}^b with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ defined by

$$\|\eta\|_1 = \sup \{ |\langle \xi | \eta \rangle| : \xi \in \mathcal{A}, \|\Pi_\alpha(\xi)\| \leq 1 \} \tag{2.5}$$

for $\eta \in V(\mathcal{P}_{\text{int}}^b)$.

Then one has the following:

Lemma 2.1. (i) $L^1(\mathcal{A}) \simeq R_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})_*$ as a Banach space

$$(ii) \quad L^1(\mathcal{A}) = \{ \eta \cdot \zeta^b : \eta, \zeta \in \mathcal{A}' \}$$

where $\eta \cdot \zeta^b = \| \cdot \|_1 - \lim \eta_n \zeta_n^b$ for $\eta = \lim \eta_n, \zeta = \lim \zeta_n$ ($\eta_n, \zeta_n \in \mathcal{A}'$).
(cf: [6])

Let us now take T a non-degenerate $\#$ -representation of a left Hilbert algebra \mathcal{A} on a Hilbert space \mathcal{R} . This representation T is called square integrable if there exists a cyclic vector $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{R}$ for T such that the linear functional $\xi \mapsto \langle T(\xi) \eta_0 | \eta_0 \rangle$ is continuous on \mathcal{A} with respect to Hilbert space norm. Then one gets a criterion for square integrability as follows:

Lemma 2.2. Let T be a square integrable representation of a left Hilbert algebra \mathcal{A} on a Hilbert space \mathcal{R} . Then there exists a vector $\eta \in \mathcal{P}^b$ such that T is unitarily equivalent to a subrepresentation Π_P of the left representation $\Pi_{\mathcal{A}}$ of \mathcal{A} , where P is the projection on the closure of $\Pi_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})\eta$. Conversely, if T is as Π_P , then T is square integrable. (cf: [6])

Throughout the paper, we shall adopt the same notations denoted in this section without referring.

§3. The predual of continuous crossed products. In this section,

we shall realize the predual $(G \otimes_{\alpha} M)_*$ of the continuous crossed product $G \otimes_{\alpha} M$ constructed from a separable continuous W^* -dynamical system (M, G, α) as a certain Banach space consisting of functions of G into M_* . Using this realization, we shall show an extended form of two well-known theorems in harmonic analysis, one of which is done by Gelfand-Raikov, the other one is due to Godement.

Given two elements η, ζ in the Tomita algebra $K(G; \mathcal{B})$ and $g \in G$, let us define an element $\widetilde{\eta \zeta^b}(g)$ in M_* by

$$\widetilde{\eta \zeta^b}(g)[x] = \langle \eta \zeta^b(g^{-1}) | x^* \xi_0 \rangle \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x \in M$. Then one computes $\widetilde{\eta \zeta^b}(g)[\pi_{\rho}(\xi)]$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta \zeta^b(g^{-1}) | \xi^{\#} \rangle &= \int_G \langle \sigma_h [\eta(g^{-1}h) \zeta(h)^b] | \xi^{\#} \rangle dh \\ &= \int_G \langle \sigma_h \eta(g^{-1}h) | \pi_Y[\sigma_h \zeta(h)] \xi^{\#} \rangle dh \\ &= \int_G \langle \pi_{\rho}(\xi) \sigma_h \eta(g^{-1}h) | \sigma_h \xi(h) \rangle dh \\ &= \int_G \langle \alpha_{h^{-1}}[\pi_{\rho}(\xi)] \eta(g^{-1}h) | \zeta(h) \rangle dh \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Applying (2.3) to (3.2), it follows that

$$\widetilde{\eta \zeta^b}(g)[\pi_{\rho}(\xi)] = \langle \pi_{\alpha}[\pi_{\rho}(\xi)] \lambda(g) \eta | \zeta \rangle \quad (3.3)$$

for all $\xi \in \mathcal{B}$. Since π_{α} is ultraweakly continuous on M , it implies by (3.3) that

$$\widetilde{\eta \zeta^b}(g)[x] = \langle \pi_{\alpha}(x) \lambda(g) \eta | \zeta \rangle \quad (3.4)$$

for every $x \in M$, $g \in G$. By definition, it is easily seen that the function $\widetilde{\eta \zeta^b}$ is in the space $K(G; M_*)$ of all norm continuous functions of G into M_* with compact support. Define a norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ on $K(G; M_*)$ by

$$\|\phi\|_{\infty} = \sup \{ \|\phi(g)\| : g \in G \} \quad (3.5)$$

for every $\phi \in K(G; M_*)$. Then the completion $C_0(G; M_*)$ of $K(G; M_*)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -norm is nothing but the set of all norm continuous M_* -valued functions on G vanishing at infinity. Since $\widetilde{\eta \xi^b} \in K(G; M_*)$ for $\eta, \xi \in K(G; \mathcal{B})$, $\|\widetilde{\eta \xi^b}\|_\infty$ exists and is estimated as follows:

$$\|\widetilde{\eta \xi^b}\|_\infty \leq \|\eta \xi^b\|_1 \quad (3.6)$$

for all $\eta, \xi \in K(G; \mathcal{B})$. In fact, since $G \otimes_\alpha M$ is generated by the set $\{\pi_\alpha(x), \lambda(g) : x \in M, g \in G\}$, it follows from (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\widetilde{\eta \xi^b}(g)[x]| &= | \langle \pi_\alpha(x) \lambda(g) \eta | \xi \rangle | \\ &= | \omega_{\eta, \xi} [\pi_\alpha(x) \lambda(g)] | \\ &\leq \| \omega_{\eta, \xi} \| \|x\| \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

for all $x \in M$ and $g \in G$, where $\| \omega_{\eta, \xi} \|$ is the uniform norm of the vector state $\omega_{\eta, \xi}$ on $G \otimes_\alpha M$. On the other hand, one has by the definition of $\|\cdot\|_1$ -norm that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta \xi^b\|_1 &= \sup \{ | \langle \xi | \eta \xi^b \rangle | : \xi \in K(G; \mathcal{B}), \| \pi_\ell(\xi) \| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sup \{ | \langle \pi_\ell(\xi) \xi | \eta \rangle | : \xi \in K(G; \mathcal{B}), \| \pi_\ell(\xi) \| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \| \omega_{\eta, \xi} \| . \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Combining (3.7) and (3.8) together, the inequality (3.6) follows. As a generalization of the scalar case, one can define another norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ on the linear space $F_0(G; M_*)$ generated by $\widetilde{\eta \eta^b}, \eta \in K(G; \mathcal{B})$ as follows:

$$\|\phi\|_* = \sup \{ | \int_G \phi(g) \circ \pi_\ell [\int_g \xi(g)] dg | : \xi \in K(G; \mathcal{B}), \| \pi_\ell(\xi) \| \leq 1 \} \quad (3.9)$$

for every $\phi \in F_0(G; M_*)$. Let $F_\alpha(G; M_*)$ be the completion of $F_0(G; M_*)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_*$ -norm. It is called the Fourier space associated with a triple (M, G, α) . In what follows, we shall examine some properties of $F_\alpha(G; M_*)$ exclusively. First of all, since one knows by (3.1) that

$$4 \widetilde{\eta \zeta^b} = \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k [(\eta + i^k \zeta)(\eta + i^{k+1} \zeta)^b]^\sim \quad (3.10)$$

for every $\eta, \zeta \in K(G; \mathcal{B})$, it implies that $\widetilde{\eta \zeta^b} \in F_0(G; M_*)$ for $\eta, \zeta \in K(G; \mathcal{B})$.

Then, one has that

$$\|\widetilde{\eta \zeta^b}\|_* = \|\eta \zeta^b\|_1 \quad (3.11)$$

for every $\eta, \zeta \in K(G; \mathcal{B})$. In fact, applying (3.3) to (3.9),

$$\|\widetilde{\eta \zeta^b}\|_* = \sup \{ \left| \int_G \langle \pi_\alpha \circ \pi_\ell [\sigma_g \xi(g)] \lambda(g) \eta | \zeta \rangle dg \right| : \xi \in K(G; \mathcal{B}), \|\pi_\ell(\xi)\| \leq 1 \}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.8) that

$$\|\eta \zeta^b\|_1 = \sup \{ | \langle \xi \eta | \zeta \rangle | : \xi \in K(G; \mathcal{B}), \|\pi_\ell(\xi)\| \leq 1 \}.$$

Using Fubini's theorem and (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi \eta | \zeta \rangle &= \iint_{G \times G} \langle \sigma_{h^{-1}} \xi(gh^{-1}) \eta(h) | \zeta(g) \rangle dh dg \\ &= \iint_{G \times G} \langle \sigma_{h^{-1}} \xi(g) \eta(h) | \zeta(gh) \rangle dg dh \\ &= \iint_{G \times G} \langle (\pi_\alpha \circ \pi_\ell [\xi(g)] \eta)(h) | (\lambda(g)^* \zeta)(h) \rangle dh dg \\ &= \int_G \langle \pi_\alpha \circ \pi_\ell [\xi(g)] \eta | \lambda(g)^* \zeta \rangle dg \\ &= \int_G \langle \pi_\alpha \circ \pi_\ell [\sigma_g \xi(g)] \lambda(g) \eta | \zeta \rangle dg, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

which implies that the equation (3.11) holds. Therefore, it is deduced from (3.6), (3.10) that the Fourier space $F_\alpha(G; M_x)$ is a subspace of $C_0(G; M_x)$. Now given two elements η, ξ in $L^2(G; \mathcal{B})$, there exist sequences $(\eta_n)_n, (\xi_n)_n$ of $K(G; \mathcal{B})$ which converge to η, ξ in $L^2(G; \mathcal{B})$ respectively. Hence the sequence $\{\eta_n \xi_n^b\}_n$ converges to $\eta \cdot \xi^b \in L^1(K(G; \mathcal{B}))$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_1$ -norm. Since the tilde mapping \sim is linear, it follows from (3.11) that the sequence $\{\widetilde{\eta_n \xi_n^b}\}_n$ has a limit point in $F_\alpha(G; M_x)$ which is denoted by $\widetilde{\eta \cdot \xi^b}$. Then one easily gets that

$$\widetilde{\eta \cdot \xi^b}(g) [x] = \langle \Pi_\alpha(x) \lambda(g) \eta | \xi \rangle \quad (3.13)$$

for all $x \in M, g \in G$. Now remembering Lemma 2.1. (i), the predual $(G \otimes_\alpha M)_*$ of the crossed product $G \otimes_\alpha M$ associated with a triple (M, G, α) is identified with the Banach space $L^1(K(G; \mathcal{B}))$ of $K(G; \mathcal{B})$. Moreover, since $L^1(K(G; \mathcal{B})) = \{\eta \cdot \xi^b : \eta, \xi \in L^2(G; \mathcal{B})\}$ by Lemma 2.1. (ii), it is isometrically isomorphic to the Fourier space $F_\alpha(G; M_x)$ under the tilde \sim . Summing up the argument discussed above, we have the following proposition which has a key role:

Proposition 3.1. Let (M, G, α) be a separable continuous W^* -dynamical system. Suppose M is G -finite. Then the predual $(G \otimes_\alpha M)_*$ of the crossed product $G \otimes_\alpha M$ associated with the triple (M, G, α) is isomorphic to the Fourier space $F_\alpha(G; M_x)$ as a Banach space, which is a subspace of $C_0(G; M_x)$. Therefore, $G \otimes_\alpha M$ is identified with the dual space $F_\alpha(G; M_x)^*$ of $F_\alpha(G; M_x)$.

Let us now consider such elements in $F_\alpha(G; M_x)$ as $\widetilde{\eta \cdot \eta^b}$, $\eta \in L^2(G; \mathcal{B})$. Then it can be verified that $\widetilde{\eta \cdot \eta^b}$ has an extended positive definiteness as follows: For a M_x -valued function ϕ on G , it is said to be α -positive definite if

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_{g_i} \phi(g_j^{-1} g_i) [x_j^* x_i] \geq 0 \quad (3.14)$$

for any finite set $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ in M and $(g_i)_{i=1}^n$ in G , where $\alpha_{g \circ} \psi(x) = \psi \circ \alpha_g^{-1}(x)$ for $\psi \in M_*$, $x \in M$ and $g \in G$. (cf: [12]) In fact, using (3.13),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_{g_j}(\widetilde{\eta \cdot \eta^b})(g_j^{-1} g_i)[x_j^* x_i] &= \sum_{i,j} \langle \Pi_\alpha \circ \alpha_{g_j}^{-1}(x_j^* x_i) \lambda(g_j^{-1} g_i) \eta | \eta \rangle \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \Pi_\alpha(x_i) \lambda(g_i) \eta \right\|^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it means that any element in $F_\alpha(G; M_*)$ is a linear combination of α -positive definite functions. By the similar way as in the scalar case, if ϕ is α -positive definite, then one has that

$$\|\phi\|_\infty = \|\phi(e)\|, \quad \alpha_{g \circ} \phi(g^{-1}) = \phi(g)^* \quad (3.15)$$

where e is the unit of G , and $\psi^*(x) = \overline{\psi(x^*)}$ for $\psi \in M_*$, $x \in M$.

Note that in the scalar case, $F_\alpha(G; \mathbb{C})$ is nothing but the Fourier algebra $A(G)$ of G due to P. Eymard. (cf: [3])

We also define an important class of normal representations on von Neumann algebras as follows: Let (M, G, α) be a continuous W -dynamical system. Let ρ be a normal representation of M on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . It is called covariant with respect to α if there exists a strongly continuous unitary representation V of G on \mathcal{H} such that

$$V(g) \rho(x) V(g)^* = \rho \circ \alpha_g(x) \quad (3.16)$$

for $x \in M$, $g \in G$. Then, we specify the relation (3.16) as $(\rho, V) \in \text{Cov rep}(M, G)$. Using two notions cited above, we shall show the following proposition which can be considered as a generalization of Gelfand-Raikov's theorem.

Proposition 3.2. Let (M, G, α) be a separable continuous W^* -dynamical system. Suppose M is G -finite. Then for any α -positive

definite function ϕ with norm continuity, there exists a $(\rho, V) \in \text{Cov rep}$ (M, G) on a Hilbert space \mathcal{R} such that

$$\phi(g) [x] = \langle \rho(x) V(g) \eta_0 | \eta_0 \rangle_{\phi} \quad (3.17)$$

for every $x \in M$ and $g \in G$, where $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{R}$ is a cyclic vector for (ρ, V) .

the converse is also true.

Proof. Denote by G_d the group G with the discrete topology. Let $K(G_d; \mathcal{B})$ be the set of all \mathcal{B} -valued functions on G whose support is a finite set. Define a $\#$ -algebra structure on $K(G_d; \mathcal{B})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (n\xi)(g) &= \sum_h n(h) \sigma_h \xi(h^{-1}g) \\ n^{\#}(g) &= \sigma_g n(g^{-1})^{\#} \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Since ϕ is α -positive definite, one associates a pseudo inner product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\phi}$ on $K(G_d; \mathcal{B})$ by the following relation:

$$\langle n | \xi \rangle_{\phi} = \sum_g \phi(g) \circ \Pi_{\ell} [(\xi^{\#} n)(g)] \quad (3.19)$$

Actually, applying (3.18) to (3.19),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n | \xi \rangle_{\phi} &= \sum_{g,h} \phi(g) \circ \Pi_{\ell} [\sigma_h (\xi(h^{-1})^{\#} n(h^{-1}g))] \\ &= \sum_{g,h} \alpha_{h^{-1}} \circ \phi(g) [\Pi_{\ell} (\xi(h^{-1}))^* \Pi_{\ell} (n(h^{-1}g))] \\ &= \sum_{g,h} \alpha_h \circ \phi(h^{-1}g) [\Pi_{\ell} (\xi(h))^* \Pi_{\ell} (n(g))] \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Let $N = \{n \in K(G_d; \mathcal{B}) : \|n\|_{\phi} = 0\}$, where $\|n\|_{\phi} = \langle n | n \rangle_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and \mathcal{R}_0 the quotient space of $K(G_d; \mathcal{B})$ by N . Moreover, let \mathcal{R} be the completion of \mathcal{R}_0 with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\phi}$ -norm. Then there exists a strongly continuous unitary representation V of G on \mathcal{R} such that

$$V(g) \bar{n} = \overline{U_g n_g} \quad \text{for } \bar{n} \in \mathcal{R}_0 \quad (3.21)$$

where \bar{n} is the equivalence class of n , and $(U_g n_g)(h) = U_g n(g^{-1}h)$.

In fact, one computes by (3.20) that

$$\begin{aligned} ||V(g)\bar{n}||_\phi^2 &= \sum_{h,k} \alpha_k^{\alpha \circ \Phi(k^{-1}h)} [\Pi_\ell(U_g n_g(k))^* \Pi_\ell(U_g n_g(h))] \\ &= \sum_{h,k} \alpha_{g^{-1}k}^{\alpha \circ \Phi(k^{-1}h)} [\Pi_\ell(n(g^{-1}k))^* \Pi_\ell(n(g^{-1}h))] \\ &= ||\bar{n}||_\phi^2 \end{aligned}$$

for $\bar{n} \in \mathcal{R}_0$. One also estimates that

$$\langle V(g) \bar{n} | \bar{\xi} \rangle = \sum_{h,k} \alpha_k^{\alpha \circ \Phi(k^{-1}gh)} [\Pi_\ell(\xi(k))^* \Pi_\ell(U_g \eta(h))] \quad (3.22)$$

for $\bar{n}, \bar{\xi} \in \mathcal{R}_0$. Since Φ is norm continuous and $g \mapsto \alpha_g$ is ultrastrong*-continuous, it follows from (3.22) that $g \mapsto V(g)$ is strongly continuous.

The rest is easily done by direct computation. Furthermore, there exists a normal representation ρ of m on \mathcal{H} such that

$$\rho[\Pi_\ell(\xi)] \bar{n} = \overline{\Pi_\ell(\xi)n} \quad (3.23)$$

for $\xi \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\bar{n} \in \mathcal{R}_0$ where $(\Pi_\ell(\xi)\eta)(g) = \Pi_\ell(\xi)\eta(g)$. In fact, using (3.20),

$$\begin{aligned} ||\rho[\Pi_\ell(\xi)] \bar{n}||_\phi^2 &= \sum_{g,h} \alpha_h^{\alpha \circ \Phi(h^{-1}g)} [\Pi_\ell(\Pi_\ell(\xi)\eta(h))^* \Pi_\ell(\Pi_\ell(\xi)\eta(g))] \\ &= \psi_\eta [\Pi_\ell(\xi)^* \Pi_\ell(\xi)] \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi_\eta(x) = \sum_{g,h} \alpha_h^{\alpha \circ \Phi(h^{-1}g)} [\Pi_\ell(\eta(h))^* x \Pi_\ell(\eta(h))]$ for $x \in M$. Since Φ is α -positive definite, ψ_η is a positive element in M_* . Thus, one gets that

$$||\rho[\Pi_\ell(\xi)] \bar{n}||_\phi^2 \leq ||\Pi_\ell(\xi)||^2 ||\bar{n}||^2, \quad (3.24)$$

for all $\zeta \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\bar{\eta} \in \mathcal{R}_0$. Given a $x \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists a sequence $(\zeta_n)_n$ of \mathcal{B} with $\|\Pi_\lambda(\zeta_n)\| \leq \|x\|$ such that $\Pi_\lambda(\zeta_n)$ converges to x $*$ -strongly. By (3.24), there exists a bounded operator $\rho(x)$ on \mathcal{R} with $\|\rho(x)\| \leq \|x\|$ such that $\rho[\Pi_\lambda(\zeta_n)]$ converges to $\rho(x)$ $*$ -strongly. Then, it is clear that ρ is a $*$ -representation of \mathcal{M} on \mathcal{R} . Define $\xi_0 \in K(G_d; \mathcal{B})$ and $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{R}_0$ by

$$\xi_0(g) = \begin{cases} \xi_0 & (g=e) \\ 0 & (g \neq e) \end{cases}, \quad \eta_0 = \bar{\xi}_0.$$

Then it is verified that

$$\phi(g)[\Pi_\lambda(\zeta)] = \langle \rho[\Pi_\lambda(\zeta)]V(g)\eta_0 | \eta_0 \rangle_\phi \quad (3.25)$$

for all $\zeta \in \mathcal{B}$ and $g \in G$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle V(g)\eta_0 | \rho[\Pi_\lambda(\zeta)]\eta_0 \rangle_\phi &= \sum_{h,k} \alpha_k \circ \phi(k^{-1}h) [\Pi_\lambda(\zeta \# \xi_0(k)) \# \Pi_\lambda(\eta_0(g^{-1}h))] \\ &= \sum_h \phi(h) [\Pi_\lambda(\zeta) \Pi_\lambda(\eta_0(g^{-1}h))] \\ &= \phi(g)[\Pi_\lambda(\zeta)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $\rho[\Pi_\lambda(\zeta_n)]$ converges to $\rho(x)$ $*$ -strongly, it follows from (3.25) that (3.17) holds. Thus, it implies the normality of ρ . In order to prove that (ρ, V) is covariant, it suffices to show that for every $\zeta \in \mathcal{B}$ and $g \in G$,

$$V(g) \rho[\Pi_\lambda(\zeta)] V(g)^* = \rho \circ \alpha_g[\Pi_\lambda(\zeta)]. \quad (3.26)$$

Computing $V(g)\rho[\Pi_\lambda(\zeta)]$ and $\rho \circ \alpha_g[\Pi_\lambda(\zeta)] V(g)$ parallelly,

$$\begin{aligned} V(g)\rho[\Pi_\lambda(\zeta)] \bar{\eta} &= U_g(\Pi_\lambda(\zeta)\eta)_g = U_g \Pi_\lambda(\zeta)\eta_g \\ \rho \circ \alpha_g[\Pi_\lambda(\zeta)] V(g)\bar{\eta} &= \alpha_g[\Pi_\lambda(\zeta)] U_g \eta_g = U_g \Pi_\lambda(\zeta)\eta_g \end{aligned}$$

for all $\bar{\eta} \in \mathcal{R}_0$. Thus, one gets (3.26). Finally, it is easily seen that η_0 is cyclic for (ρ, V) since $\rho[\Pi_\ell(\xi)]V(g)\eta_0 = \overline{\delta_g \otimes \xi}$ where δ_g is the Dirac function at g . Q.E.D.

Given a norm continuous α -positive definite function ϕ , we now look for a certain condition under which ϕ belongs to $F_\alpha(G; M_\#)$. Define $(\phi \circ \Pi_\ell)(g) = \phi(g) \circ \Pi_\ell$ for $g \in G$ where $(\psi \circ \Pi_\ell)(\xi) = \psi[\Pi_\ell(\xi)]$ ($\psi \in M_\#, \xi \in \mathcal{B}$). Assume from now on that

$$\phi \circ \Pi_\ell \in L^2(G; \mathcal{H}). \quad (3.27)$$

This condition means that $\phi(g) \circ \Pi_\ell$ is continuous on \mathcal{B} for almost every $g \in G$ and the function $g \mapsto (\phi \circ \Pi_\ell)(g)$ is square summable. There are in fact sufficiently many functions ϕ with (3.27). Put $\phi^*(g) = \phi(g^{-1})^*$ for $g \in G$. Then, $\phi^* \circ \Pi_\ell \in L^2(G; \mathcal{H})$ if and only if $\phi \circ \Pi_\ell \in L^2(G; \mathcal{H})$ since $(\phi^* \circ \Pi_\ell)(g) = \Pi_{g^{-1}}(\phi \circ \Pi_\ell)(g)$. Applying Proposition 3.2, one has that for $\xi \in K(G; \mathcal{B})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi | \phi^* \circ \Pi_\ell \rangle &= \int_G \langle \xi(g) | \Pi_g^*(\phi \circ \Pi_\ell)(g) \rangle dg \\ &= \int_G \phi(g) [\Pi_\ell(\Pi_g \xi(g))] dg \\ &= \int_G \langle \rho \circ \Pi_\ell[\Pi_g \xi(g)] V(g) \eta_0 | \eta_0 \rangle_\phi dg \\ &= \int_G \langle V(g) \rho \circ \Pi_\ell[\xi(g)] \eta_0 | \eta_0 \rangle_\phi dg \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

where $(\rho, V) \in \text{Cov rep}(M, G)$ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{R} associated with ϕ .

Define a $\#$ -representation T of $K(G; \mathcal{B})$ on \mathcal{R} by

$$T(\xi) = \int_G V(g) \rho \circ \Pi_\ell[\xi(g)] dg \quad (3.29)$$

for $\xi \in K(G; \mathcal{B})$. In fact, for $\eta, \xi \in K(G; \mathcal{B})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
T(\eta\xi) &= \iint_{G \times G} V(g) \rho \circ \Pi_\lambda [\rho_h^{-1} \eta(gh^{-1}) \xi(h)] \, dh dg \\
&= \iint_{G \times G} V(gh) V(h^{-1}) \rho \circ \Pi_\lambda [\eta(g)] V(h) \rho \circ \Pi_\lambda [\xi(h)] \, dh dg \\
&= \iint_{G \times G} V(g) \rho \circ \Pi_\lambda [\eta(g)] V(h) \rho \circ \Pi_\lambda [\xi(h)] \, dh dg \\
&= T(\eta) T(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $\eta \in K(G; \mathcal{B})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
T(\eta^\#) &= \int_G V(g) \rho \circ \Pi_\lambda [\rho_g^* \eta(g^{-1})^\#] \, dg \\
&= \int_G \rho \circ \Pi_\lambda [\eta(g^{-1})]^\# V(g) \, dg \\
&= \int_G \rho \circ \Pi_\lambda [\eta(g)]^\# V(g)^\# \, dg \\
&= T(\eta)^\#.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.28), this $\#$ -representation T of $K(G; \mathcal{B})$ on \mathcal{R} satisfies the following relation:

$$\langle \xi | \rho \circ \Pi_\lambda^\# \rangle = \langle T(\xi) \eta_0 | \eta_0 \rangle_\phi \tag{3.30}$$

for every $\xi \in K(G; \mathcal{B})$. As $\langle T(\xi) \eta_0 | \eta_0 \rangle_\phi = 0$ for all $\xi \in K(G; \mathcal{B})$ implies $\eta = 0$, it follows from (3.30) that T is square integrable. Hence, one deduces by Lemma 2.2. that T is equivalent to a subrepresentation Π_P of Π_λ . Since P is a cyclic projection, then one can choose a cyclic vector $\xi_0 \in L^2(G; \mathcal{B})$ for P such that

$$\langle T(\xi) \eta_0 | \eta_0 \rangle_\phi = \langle \Pi_\lambda(\xi) \xi_0 | \xi_0 \rangle \tag{3.31}$$

for all $\xi \in K(G; \mathcal{B})$. Combining (3.30) and (3.31), it follows from (3.12) that

$$\int_G \phi^*(g) [\Pi_\lambda(\eta(g))] dg = \int_G \langle \Pi_\alpha \circ \Pi_\lambda [U_g \eta(g)] \lambda(g) \xi_0 | \xi_0 \rangle dg \quad (3.32)$$

for all $\eta \in K(G; \mathcal{B})$. For any $g \in G$, take $f \in K(G)$ with $f(g) \neq 0$. Consider a sequence $(f_n)_n$ of $K(G)$ such that f_n converges to δ_g vaguely. Let $\eta_n(h) = f_n(h) f(\eta^{-1}h) \xi \in K(G; \mathcal{B})$ for any $\xi \in \mathcal{B}$. Substituting η_n in (3.32), for $n=1, 2, \dots$,

$$\int_G \phi^*(h) [\Pi_\lambda(\xi)] f(h) f_n(h) dh = \int_G \langle \Pi_\alpha \circ \Pi_\lambda [U_h \xi] \lambda(h) \xi_0 | \xi_0 \rangle f(h) f_n(h) dh.$$

Since $\phi^*(h) [\Pi_\lambda(\xi)] f(h)$ and $\langle \Pi_\alpha \circ \Pi_\lambda [U_h \xi] \lambda(h) \xi_0 | \xi_0 \rangle f(h)$ are in $K(G)$, one concludes that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^*(g) [\Pi_\lambda(\xi)] &= \langle \Pi_\alpha \circ \Pi_\lambda [U_g \xi] \lambda(g) \xi_0 | \xi_0 \rangle \\ &= \widetilde{\xi_0 \cdot \xi_0^b} (g) [\Pi_\lambda(U_g \xi)] \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\phi^*(g) = \alpha_{g^{-1}} \circ \widetilde{\xi_0 \cdot \xi_0^b} (g)$. Therefore, one has by (3.15) that $\phi^*(g) = \widetilde{\xi_0 \cdot \xi_0^b} (g^{-1})^* = (\widetilde{\xi_0 \cdot \xi_0^b})^*(g)$. So, $\phi = \widetilde{\xi_0 \cdot \xi_0^b}$.

Summing up the argument discussed above, we have the following proposition which would be a generalization of Godement's theorem:

Proposition 3.3. Let (M, G, α) be a separable continuous W^* -dynamical system so that M is G -finite. Let ϕ be a norm continuous α -positive definite function. If $\phi \circ \Pi_\lambda \in L^2(G; \mathcal{M})$, then there exists an element $\xi_0 \in L^2(G; \mathcal{M})$ such that $\phi = \widetilde{\xi_0 \cdot \xi_0^b}$, which turns out that $\phi \in F_\alpha(G; M_*)$.

Remark. In Proposition 3.2 and 3.3, norm continuity of ϕ may be replaced by weak continuity.

§4. Generalized Schwartz spaces and Fourier spaces.

In this section, we shall especially study a continuous action of the real numbers \mathbb{R} , and try to construct a vector valued test space which is exactly the Schwartz space in the scalar case. Moreover, we shall compare this space with the Fourier space as a Fréchet space.

Let (M, \mathbb{R}, α) be a separable continuous W^* -dynamical system such that M is \mathbb{R} -finite. So the results obtained in the previous section are guaranteed. Now define a Fréchet space $S(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$ by the set of all infinite differentiable \mathcal{F} -valued functions η on \mathbb{R} such that for every $(p, q) \geq 0$,

$$\| \eta \|_{p,q} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (1+|t|^p) \| D^q \eta(t) \| < +\infty \quad (4.1)$$

where $(p, q) \geq 0$ means a pair of non-negative integers p and q , D^q is the differential operator of order q . As in the scalar case, $S(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$ is a dense subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$.

Let $(h_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be the sequence of Hermite functions. Namely,

$$h_n(t) = (2^n n! \sqrt{\pi})^{-1/2} H_n(t) e^{-t^2/2} \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.2)$$

where $H_n(t) = (-1)^n e^{t^2} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} e^{-t^2}$. Let $(\xi_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a complete orthonormal system for \mathcal{F} . Then the system $(h_n \otimes \xi_m)_{(n,m) \geq 0}$ is complete orthonormal for $L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$. Given a $\eta \in S(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$, then there exists a square summable sequence $(c_{n,m})_{(n,m) \geq 0}$ of complex numbers such that

$$\eta = \sum_{(n,m) \geq 0} c_{n,m} (h_n \otimes \xi_m) \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F}). \quad (4.3)$$

Using the same ideas as in the scalar case, it can be verified that

$$N_p [(c_{n,m})]^2 = \sum_{(n,m) \geq 0} |c_{n,m}|^{2(n+1)^p} < +\infty \quad (4.4)$$

for $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ (cf: [7], [5]) Conversely if $(c_{n,m})_{(n,m) \geq 0}$

satisfies (4.4), then the vector η defined as in (4.3) belongs to $S(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$. In addition, such correspondence determines an isomorphism between $S(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$ with norms $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$ and the set of all double sequences $(C_{n,m})_{(n,m) \geq 0}$ satisfying (4.4) with norms $N_p(\cdot)$. Let ϕ be a linear combination of norm continuous α -positive definite functions ϕ_i such that $\phi_i \circ \Pi_\ell \in S(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$. Since $S(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$ is contained in $L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$, it follows from Proposition 3.3 that $\phi \in F_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_x)$. Therefore, $\|\phi\|_*$ and $\|\phi \circ \Pi_\ell\|_{p,q}$ exist for such ϕ as above. In order to compare them, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 4.1. Let $(h_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be the sequence of Hermite functions. Then there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\|h_n\|_* \leq C(n+1) \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (4.5)$$

where $\|h_n\|_*$ is the norm of h_n in the Fourier algebra $A(\mathbb{R})$ of \mathbb{R} .

Proof. Since one knows that

$$h_n(t) = (\sqrt{2\pi} i^n)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{its} h_n(s) ds \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

it implies by definition that $\|h_n\|_* = (\sqrt{2\pi})^{-1} \|h_n\|_1$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$).

Put $g(t) = 1 + it$. Then one estimates that

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_n\|_1 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_n(t) \frac{1}{g(t)}| dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |th_n(t) \frac{1}{g(t)}| dt \\ &\leq \|h_n\|_2 \|\frac{1}{g}\|_2 + \|th_n\|_2 \|\frac{1}{g}\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|h_n\|_2 = 1$ and $\|\frac{1}{g}\|_2 = \sqrt{\pi}$, one gets that

$$\|h_n\|_1 \leq \sqrt{\pi} (1 + \|th_n\|_2) \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

As one also knows that

$$th_n(t) = \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{2}} h_{n+1}(t) + \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} h_{n-1}(t) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

it follows that $\|h_n\|_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2n+1}{2}}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Therefore, one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_n\|_* &= (\sqrt{2\pi})^{-1} \|h_n\|_1 \\ &\leq (\sqrt{2})^{-1} (1 + \sqrt{\frac{2n+1}{2}}) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|h_0\|_* = 1$, there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\|h_n\|_* \leq C(n+1) \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

Using this lemma, we have the following estimation which is a generalization in the scalar case:

Proposition 4.2. Let (M, \mathbb{R}, α) be a separable continuous W^* -dynamical system such that M is \mathbb{R} -finite. Let ϕ be a linear combination of norm continuous α -positive definite functions ϕ_ℓ such that $\phi_\ell \circ \pi_\ell \in S(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B})$. Then there exist a positive constant C and a finite set $\{(p_i, q_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ which are independent of ϕ such that

$$\|\phi\|_* \leq C \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|\phi \circ \pi_\ell\|_{p_i, q_i} \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. Since $\phi \circ \pi_\ell \in L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B})$, it follows from (4.3) that

$$\phi \circ \pi_\ell = \sum_{n,m} C_{n,m} h_n \otimes \xi_m \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}).$$

Then one estimates that for any $\xi \in K(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B})$ with $\|\pi_\ell(\xi)\| \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t) \circ \pi_\ell [\mathcal{U}_t \xi(t)] dt \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \mathcal{U}_t \xi(t) | \sum_{n,m} C_{n,m} h_n(t) \xi_m \rangle dt \right| \\ &\leq \sum_n \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_n(t) \langle \mathcal{U}_t \xi(t) | \sum_m C_{n,m} \xi_m \rangle dt \right| \\ &= \sum_n \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_n(t) f_n^\xi(t) dt \right|, \quad (4.7) \end{aligned}$$

where $f_n^\xi(t) = \langle \mathcal{U}_t \xi(t) | \sum_m C_{n,m} \xi_m \rangle \in K(\mathbb{R})$. Since $\|\pi_\ell(\xi)\| \leq 1$,

$$||\lambda(f_n^\xi)|| \leq (\sum_m |c_{n,m}|^2)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.8)$$

where $\lambda(f_n^\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n^\xi(t) \lambda(t) dt$ and $(\lambda(t)f)(s) = f(s-t)$ for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Actually, for any $g \in K(\mathbb{R})$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda(f_n^\xi)g)(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n^\xi(s) g(t-s) ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \mathcal{U}_{t-s} \xi(t-s) | \sum_m c_{n,m} \xi_m \rangle g(s) ds \\ &= \langle \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{U}_{t-s} \xi(t-s) g(s) ds | \sum_m c_{n,m} \xi_m \rangle \\ &= \langle [\pi_\ell(\xi)(g \otimes \xi_0)](t) | \mathcal{U}_t^* (\sum_m c_{n,m} \xi_m) \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows from $||\pi_\ell(\xi)|| \leq 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} ||\lambda(f_n^\xi)g||_2^2 &\leq ||\pi_\ell(\xi)(g \otimes \xi_0)||^2 ||\sum_m c_{n,m} \xi_m||^2 \\ &\leq (\sum_m |c_{n,m}|^2) ||g||_2^2 , \end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.8). Using (4.7) and (4.8), one has that

$$\begin{aligned} ||\Phi||_* &= \sup \{ |\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t) \circ \pi_\ell[\mathcal{U}_t \xi(t)] dt| : \xi \in K(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}), ||\pi_\ell(\xi)|| \leq 1 \} \\ &\leq \sup \{ |\sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_n(t) f_n^\xi(t) dt| : \xi \in K(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}), ||\pi_\ell(\xi)|| \leq 1 \} \\ &\leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \sup \{ |\int_{\mathbb{R}} h_n(t) f_n^\xi(t) dt| : \xi \in K(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}), ||\pi_\ell(\xi)|| \leq 1 \} \\ &\leq \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n \sup \{ |\int_{\mathbb{R}} h_n(t) f(t) dt| : f \in K(\mathbb{R}), ||\lambda(f)|| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n ||h_n||_* \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

where $c_n = [\sum_{m \geq 0} |c_{n,m}|^2]^{1/2}$. On the other hand, it follows from Lemma

4.1 that there exists a positive constant C' such that $||h_n||_* \leq C'(n+1)$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Hence, one obtains by (4.4) and (4.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi\|_* &\leq C' \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n(n+1) \\
&\leq C' \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n^2(n+1)^4 \right]^{1/2} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \right]^{1/2} \\
&= C'' \left[\sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} |C_{n,m}|^2(n+1)^4 \right]^{1/2} \\
&= C'' N_4 [(C_{n,m})]
\end{aligned}$$

where $C'' = C' \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \right]^{1/2}$. Since $\phi \circ \Pi_\ell \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B})$, the observation stated before gives us that there exist a positive constant C and finite family $\{(p_i, q_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ such that

$$C'' N_4 [(C_{n,m})] \leq C \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|\phi \circ \Pi_\ell\|_{p_i, q_i}$$

, which implies the desired inequality.

Q. E. D.

Now define $\|\phi\|_{p,q}$ by $\|\phi \circ \Pi_\ell\|_{p,q}$. In what follows, we shall construct a test space of $M_{\mathbb{K}}$ -valued functions on \mathbb{R} with norms $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$ which is exactly the Schwartz space in the scalar case.

Let us denote by \mathcal{B}_∞ the set of allelements $\xi \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\xi_0(t) = \mathcal{U}_t \xi$ is an infinite differentiable (\mathcal{B}, P_K) -valued function on \mathbb{R} . Then, \mathcal{B}_∞ is sufficiently large in \mathcal{B} since for any $\xi \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$\xi_n = n^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-nt^2} \mathcal{U}_t \xi dt \in \mathcal{B}_\infty \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.10)$$

can be chosen as close to ξ as possible with respect to Hilbert space norm. Moreover, it is a J and Δ^Z -invariant subalgebra of \mathcal{B} . In fact, concerning to J -operation, one sees that for any $\xi \in \mathcal{B}_\infty$,

$$P_K[(J\xi)_0(t)] = P_K[J\xi_0(t)] = P_{-\bar{K}}[\xi_0(t)]$$

where $-\bar{K} = \{-\bar{z} : z \in K\}$. Thus, $D^n(J\xi)_0(t) = J[D^n\xi_0(t)]$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Concerning to Δ^Z -operation, one knows that for any $\xi \in \mathcal{B}_\infty$,

$$P_K[(\Delta^z \xi)_0(t)] = P_K[\Delta^z \xi_0(t)] = P_{K+z}[\xi_0(t)]$$

where $K + z = \{\omega + z : \omega \in K\}$. Thus, $D^n(\Delta^z \xi)_0(t) = \Delta^z[D^n \xi_0(t)]$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Finally concerning to multiplication, one sees without difficulty that for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{B}_\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_K[(\xi\eta)_0(t)] &= P_K[\xi_0(t)\eta_0(t)] \\ &\leq P_K[\xi_0(t)]P_K[\eta_0(t)], \end{aligned}$$

from which one deduces that

$$D^n(\xi\eta)_0(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} D^k \xi_0(t) D^{n-k} \eta_0(t)$$

where $\binom{n}{k} = n! / k!(n-k)!$. Notice that \mathcal{B}_∞ is U_t -invariant. Now let $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ be the set of all infinit differentiable $(\mathcal{B}_\infty, P_K)$ -valued functions on \mathbb{R} with compact support. In order to construct a proper algebra sitting in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ which is invariant under J and Δ^z -operations, we shall introduce an operation L on $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ by the following manner:

$$(L \eta)(s) = D\eta(s)_0(0) \tag{4.11}$$

for all $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $U_t[D\eta(s)_0(0)] = D\eta(s)_0(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $D[D\eta(s)_0(0)]_0(0) = D^2\eta(s)_0(0)$. Thus, $(L^2\eta)(s) = D^2\eta(s)_0(0)$ formally. By repetition, $(L^k\eta)(s) = D^k\eta(s)_0(0)$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where $L^0\eta = \eta$. In general, it seems to be negative that for every $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$, $L^k\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). However, there exist sufficiently many elements $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ such that

$$(i) \quad L^k D^l \eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$$

and

$$(ii) \quad D^l L^k \eta = L^k D^l \eta$$

(4.12)

for every $(k, \ell) \geq 0$. In fact, let $\eta = f \otimes \xi$ for $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{B}_\infty$ where $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of all infinite differentiable complex valued functions on \mathbb{R} with compact support. Then, one easily checks that $L^{k,\ell} \eta = D^\ell f \otimes D^k \xi_j(0)$, which is in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ and $L^{k,\ell} \eta = D^\ell L^k \eta$. Let us denote by $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ the set of all elements in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ satisfying the condition (4.12). We shall show that $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ is a J and Δ^Z -invariant algebra which is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$. First of all, concerning to J -operation, one computes by repetition that

$$D^n J \eta = (-1)^n J \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} C_k D^k L^{n-k} \right] \eta \quad (4.13)$$

for every $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Thus, $J \eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ for all $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$. Since J commutes with U_t , one deduces that $L^k J \eta = J L^k \eta$ for all $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Given a $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$, then, one can check by (4.12) that $D^\ell L^k \eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ for any $(k, \ell) \geq 0$. Therefore, it implies by (4.13) that

$$L^m D^n J \eta = (-1)^n J \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} C_k D^k L^{m+n-k} \right] \eta \quad (4.14)$$

for all $(n, m) \geq 0$. Therefore, $L^m D^n J \eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ for $(n, m) \geq 0$. Moreover, since $L^m J \eta = J L^m \eta$ and $L^m \eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$, it follows from (4.13), (4.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} D^n L^m J \eta &= D^n J L^m \eta \\ &= (-1)^n J \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} C_k D^k L^{n-k} \right] (L^m \eta) \\ &= L^m D^n J \eta \end{aligned}$$

for all $(n, m) \geq 0$, which means that $J \eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$. Next, concerning to Δ^Z -operation, one computes that

$$D^n \Delta^Z \eta = \Delta^Z D^n \eta \quad (4.15)$$

for every $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Thus, $\Delta^Z \eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ for all $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$. Since Δ^Z commutes with U_t , one has without difficulty that $L^k \Delta^Z \eta = \Delta^Z L^k \eta$ for all $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Given a $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$, then $D^n \eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Hence, it follows from (4.15) that

$$L^m D^n \Delta^Z \eta = \Delta^Z L^m D^n \eta \quad (4.16)$$

for all $(n, m) \geq 0$. Since $L^m D^n \eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$, it implies by (4.16) that $L^m D^n \Delta^Z \eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ and $L^m D^n \Delta^Z \eta = D^n L^m \Delta^Z \eta$ for all $(n, m) \geq 0$, which means that $\Delta^Z \eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$. Finally, concerning to multiplication, one knows by definition that

$$(\eta \xi)(t) = \int_K U_{-s} \eta(t-s) \xi(s) ds \quad (4.17)$$

for every $\eta, \xi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $K = \text{supp } \xi$. Let $\xi(t, s) = U_{-s} \eta(t-s) \xi(s)$. Then, one obtains by repetition that

$$D^n \xi(t, s)_U(r) = \sum_{k=0}^n {}_n C_k U_{-s} D^k \eta(t-s)_U(r) D^{n-k} \xi(s)_U(r) \quad (4.18)$$

for $r, s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Since $D^n \eta(s)_U(r) = U_r(L^n \eta)(s)$ for $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$, it follows from (4.18) that

$$D^n \xi(t, s)_U(r) = \sum_{k=0}^n {}_n C_k U_r \left[\int_K U_{-s} (L^k \eta)(t-s) (L^{n-k} \xi)(s) ds \right]. \quad (4.19)$$

Since $\eta, \xi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$, one concludes by (4.17), (4.19) that

$$\begin{aligned} D^n (\eta \xi)(t)_U(r) &= \int_K D^n \xi(t, s)_U(r) ds \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n {}_n C_k U_r \left[\int_K U_{-s} (L^k \eta)(t-s) (L^{n-k} \xi)(s) ds \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n {}_n C_k U_r \left[(L^k \eta) (L^{n-k} \xi)(t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, which implies that $(\eta \xi)(t) \in \mathcal{B}_\infty$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$

and

$$L^n(\eta\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (L^k \eta)(L^{n-k} \xi) \quad (4.20)$$

for every $\eta, \xi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$. It is deduced by definition that for any $\eta, \xi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$,

$$D^n(\eta\xi) = (D^n \eta)\xi \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (4.21)$$

which tells us that $\eta\xi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$. It also follows from (4.20) and (4.21) that

$$\begin{aligned} L^n D^m(\eta\xi) &= L^n [(D^m \eta)\xi] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (L^k D^m \eta)(L^{n-k} \xi), \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

which implies that $L^n D^m(\eta\xi) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ since $L^k D^m \eta$ and $L^{n-k} \xi$ are in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ for all $(k, m, n) \geq 0$. Moreover, it follows from (4.20), (4.21), and (4.22) that

$$\begin{aligned} D^m L^n(\eta\xi) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} D^m [(L^k \eta)(L^{n-k} \xi)] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (D^m L^k \eta)(L^{n-k} \xi) \\ &= L^n D^m(\eta\xi), \end{aligned}$$

which means that $\eta\xi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ for every $\eta, \xi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$. Summing up the above discussion, we have the following:

Proposition 4.3. Let (M, \mathbb{R}, α) be a separable continuous W^* -dynamical system such that M is \mathbb{R} -finite. Then, there exists a dense subalgebra $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ of the Tomita algebra $K(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B})$ which is contained in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$, the set of all infinite differentiable $(\mathcal{B}_\infty, P_K)$ -valued functions on \mathbb{R}

with compact support.

Remark. If α is the trivial action, it is clear that $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty) = C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$.

By Proposition 4.3, $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ is $\#$ and b -invariant. Let us define $D_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$ the linear space generated by \widetilde{nn}^b , $n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$. Then, this space has the following properties:

Proposition 4.4. let (M, \mathbb{R}, α) be as in Proposition 4.3. Then one has that

$$(i) \quad \phi \circ \Pi_\ell \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\ell)$$

and

$$(ii) \quad D^n(\widetilde{nn}^b) = (-1)^n (D^n n)_n^b \quad (4.23)$$

$$(D^n \phi) \circ \Pi_\ell = D^n(\phi \circ \Pi_\ell) \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

for all $\phi \in D_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$ and $n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$.

Proof. (i): Using (3.3), (3.1), and (2.2) in order,

$$\begin{aligned} (\widetilde{nn}^b \circ \Pi_\ell)(t)(\xi) &= \langle \Pi_\alpha \circ \Pi_\ell(\xi) \lambda(t) n | n \rangle \\ &= \langle nn^b(-t) | \xi^\# \rangle \\ &= \langle \xi | nn^b(-t)^b \rangle \\ &= \langle \xi | \mathcal{U}_t nn^b(t) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$, $\xi \in \mathcal{B}$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, $(\widetilde{nn}^b \circ \Pi_\ell)(t) = \mathcal{U}_t nn^b(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $nn^b \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ for $n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$, one gets by repetition that

$$D^n(\widetilde{nn}^b \circ \Pi_\ell)(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{U}_t (D_L^{k, n-k} nn^b)(t) \quad (4.24)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, which implies that $\widetilde{\eta}^b \circ \Pi_\ell \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$.
 Therefore, $\widetilde{\eta}^b \circ \Pi_\ell \in S(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ for every $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$, which yields the
 statement (i).

(ii) : Given a $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$. Since $\|\psi\| \leq \|\psi \circ \Pi_\ell\|$ for such
 $\psi \in M_x$ as $\psi \circ \Pi_\ell \in \mathcal{B}$ exists, it follows by (i) that $D^n(\widetilde{\eta}^b)$ exists for $n =$
 $0, 1, 2, \dots$. Moreover, one estimates by (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} D(\widetilde{\eta}^b)(t)[\Pi_\ell(\xi)] &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \langle \Pi_\alpha \circ \Pi_\ell(\xi) \{ \frac{\lambda(t+r) - \lambda(t)}{r} \} \eta | n \rangle \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \langle \{ \frac{\lambda(r) - I}{r} \} \eta \mid \lambda(-t) \Pi_\alpha \circ \Pi_\ell(\xi^\#) \eta \rangle \quad (4.25) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi \in \mathcal{B}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$, $D\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} -(D\eta)(s) &= P_K - \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\eta(s-r) - \eta(s)}{r} \\ &= P_K - \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \{ \frac{\lambda(r) - I}{r} \} \eta(s) \quad (4.26) \end{aligned}$$

for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Applying (4.26) to (4.25), one has that

$$\begin{aligned} D(\widetilde{\eta}^b)(t)[\Pi_\ell(\xi)] &= \langle -(D\eta) \mid \lambda(-t) \Pi_\alpha \circ \Pi_\ell(\xi^\#) \eta \rangle \\ &= \langle \Pi_\alpha \circ \Pi_\ell(\xi) \lambda(t) (-1)(D\eta) \mid \eta \rangle \end{aligned}$$

which implies by (3.3) that $D(\widetilde{\eta}^b) = (-1)(D\eta)_n^b$. By repetition, one gets
 that $D^n(\widetilde{\eta}^b) = (-1)^n (D^n \eta)_n^b$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Similarly, using the
 fact $D^n \phi \in D_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_x)$ for every $\phi \in D_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_x)$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, it
 follows by (i) that $(D^n \phi) \circ \Pi_\ell$ exists and $(D^n \phi) \circ \Pi_\ell = D^n(\phi \circ \Pi_\ell)$ for every
 $\phi \in D_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_x)$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Q. E. D.

Remark. As we saw in (i) of the above proposition, if $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$,
 $(U\eta)(t) = U_t \eta(t) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ and $L U \eta = U L \eta$, $D U \eta = U D \eta + U L \eta$.

By Proposition 4.4 (i), norms $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$ can be well defined on $D_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$. Let $S_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$ be the completion of $D_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$ -norms. Since $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_0)$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B})$, it is verified by Proposition 4.2 that $S_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$ is a $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$ -dense Fréchet space in the Fourier space $F_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$. We call it a generalized Schwartz space associated with a triple (M, \mathbb{R}, α) . By Proposition 4.4, the n^{th} differential operator D^n on $D_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$ is continuous with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$ -norms. Therefore, so is it on $S_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$.

Consequently, combining Proposition 4.2-4.4, we obtain the following result which is a generalization in the scalar case :

Proposition 4.5 Let (M, \mathbb{R}, α) be a separable continuous W^* -dynamical system such that M is \mathbb{R} -finite. Then, the crossed product $\mathbb{R} \otimes_\alpha M$ is a subspace of the dual space $S_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)^*$ of a generalized Schwartz space $S_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$ corresponding to (M, \mathbb{R}, α) .

Proof. Since $\mathbb{R} \otimes_\alpha M$ is the dual Banach space of the Fourier space $F_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$, it implies by Proposition 4.2 that the restriction $T \mapsto T|_{S_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)}$ is a linear isomorphism of $\mathbb{R} \otimes_\alpha M$ into $S_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)^*$. Q. E. D.

Remark. Let $\phi \in S_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$. Then, $\phi \circ \pi_\eta$ exists and is in $S(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B})$. In fact, taking a sequence $(\phi_n)_n$ of $D_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$ whose limit point is ϕ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$ -norms, then there exists a $\eta \in S(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B})$ which is a limit point of $\phi_n \circ \pi_\eta$ in $S(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B})$. Therefore, $\langle \xi | \eta(t) \rangle = \lim_n \langle \xi | (\phi_n \circ \pi_\eta)(t) \rangle = \lim_n \phi_n(t)[\pi_\eta(\xi)] = \phi(t)[\pi_\eta(\xi)]$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{B}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $\phi(t) \circ \pi_\eta$ exists and equals to $\eta(t)$, which means that $\phi \circ \pi_\eta \in S(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B})$.

§ 5. Fourier expansions in crossed products. In this section, we

shall present a vector valued function in a certain fashion corresponding to any element in the space $\mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)^*$ of generalized tempered distributions constructed by a triple (M, \mathbb{R}, α) . In particular, a Fourier expansion in crossed products can be obtained in the case of \mathbb{R} -finite W^* -dynamical systems.

Let $D_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$, $\mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$, $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$, etc be as in the previous section. Given a $f \in K(\mathbb{R}) \cup K(\mathbb{R}_d)$, then there exists a $T_{f \otimes 1} \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)^*$ such that

$$T_{f \otimes 1}(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \phi(t)[1] dt \quad (5.1)$$

for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$, where \mathbb{R}_d is the real numbers with discrete topology. Actually, $|T_{f \otimes 1}(\phi)| \leq \|f\|_1 \|\phi\|_\infty$. As in the scalar case, to each $\phi \in D_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$, there corresponds a generalized convolution $T_{f \otimes 1} * \phi \in D_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$ of $T_{f \otimes 1}$ and ϕ as follows :

$$(T_{f \otimes 1} * \phi)(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s) \phi(s+t) ds \quad (5.2)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, suppose $\phi = \widetilde{\eta}^b$ for $\eta \in C_U^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$. Then, one has that

$$\begin{aligned} (T_{f \otimes 1} * \phi)(t)[x] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s) \phi(s+t)[x] ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s) \langle \pi_\alpha(x) \lambda(s+t) \eta | \eta \rangle ds \\ &= \langle \pi_\alpha(x) \lambda(t) \lambda(f) \eta | \eta \rangle \\ &= \widetilde{\xi}^b(t)[x] \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

for every $x \in M$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\xi = \lambda(f) \eta$. Since $\eta \in C_U^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$, $\xi \in C_D^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\infty)$. In fact, $L^n D^m \xi = \lambda(f) L^n D^m \eta$ for all $(n, m) \geq 0$. Thus

it follows by (5.3) that $T_{f \otimes 1} * \phi \in D_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$. Moreover, since one knows that

$$D^q [(T_{f \otimes 1} * \phi) \circ \pi_\ell] = \lambda(\bar{f}) D^q(\phi \circ \pi_\ell) \quad \text{for } q = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

then one estimates that for any $(p, q) \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{f \otimes 1} * \phi\|_{p,q} &\leq \|f\|_\infty \int_K \sup_t (1+|t-s|^p) \|D^q \phi \circ \pi_\ell(t)\| ds \\ &\leq 2^p \|f\|_\infty \int_K (1+|s|^p) \|\phi\|_{p,q} ds \\ &= C_f \|\phi\|_{p,q} \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

for all $\phi \in D_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$, where $K = \text{supp } f$, and $C_f = 2^p \|f\|_\infty \int_K (1+|s|^p) ds$. Therefore, it follows that for any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$, there exists an element $T_{f \otimes 1} * \phi$ in $\mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$ satisfying (5.2) and (5.4), which enable us to define a convolution product $T * T_{f \otimes 1}$ of T and $T_{f \otimes 1}$ for $T \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)^*$. Namely, given a $T \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)^*$ and $f \in K(\mathbb{R}) \mathcal{UK}(\mathbb{R}_q)$, there exists an element $T * T_{f \otimes 1} \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)^*$ such that $(T * T_{f \otimes 1})(\phi) = T[T_{f \otimes 1} * \phi]$ for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$. By the similar way as in the scalar case, it implies by (5.4) that there exist a positive constant C and a $(p, q) \geq 0$ such that

$$|(T * T_{f \otimes 1})(\phi)| \leq C \|\phi\|_{p,q} \tag{5.5}$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$. (cf : [11]) The $(p, q) \geq 0$ depends only on T .

Now let us assume that $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Then, one easily computes that for any $T \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)^*$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$

$$\begin{aligned} (T * T_{f \otimes 1})(\phi) &= T[T_{f \otimes 1} * \phi] \\ &= T[\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s) (\lambda(-s)\phi) ds] \\ &= T[\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\lambda(s)\bar{f}) \otimes \phi(s) ds], \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

where $(\lambda(-s)\phi)(t) = \phi(t+s)$, $(\lambda(s)\check{f})(t) = f(s-t)$ and $[(\lambda(s)\check{f}) \otimes \phi(s)](t) = (\lambda(s)\check{f})(t)\phi(s)$. Since $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, it follows that

$$(i) \quad [(\lambda(s)\check{f}) \otimes \phi(s)] \circ \pi_\ell \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{Z})$$

and

(5.7)

$$(ii) \quad \|[(\lambda(s)\check{f}) \otimes \phi(s)] \circ \pi_\ell\|_{p,q} \leq 2^p(1+|s|^p) \|\phi(s) \circ \pi_\ell\| \|f\|_{p,q}$$

for all $(p, q) \geq 0$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\|f\|_{p,q} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (1+|t|^p) |D^q f(t)|$.

However, it would be doubtful in general that $(\lambda(s)\check{f}) \otimes \phi(s) \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$. Thus, in order to analyze (5.6), let us consider

a new space $\mathcal{S}_{\pi_\ell}(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$, the set of all infinite differentiable m_* -

valued functions ϕ of \mathbb{R} such that $\phi \circ \pi_\ell \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{Z})$. Then it is a Fréchet

space with $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$ -norms containing $\mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$. So, it implies by

Hahn-Banach's extension theorem that there exists an element $T' \in$

$\mathcal{S}_{\pi_\ell}(\mathbb{R}; M_*)^*$ such that

$$T' \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\lambda(s)\check{f}) \otimes \phi(s) ds \right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} T' [(\lambda(s)\check{f}) \otimes \phi(s)] ds \quad (5.8)$$

for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$.

Consider now a bounded conjugate linear functional u_s on \mathcal{Z} for $s \in \mathbb{R}$

as follows :

$$u_s(\xi) = T' [(\lambda(s)\check{f}) \otimes \psi_\xi] \quad (5.9)$$

for every $\xi \in \mathcal{Z}$, where $\psi_\xi \in M_*$ is as $\langle \zeta | \xi \rangle = \psi_\xi[\pi_\ell(\zeta)]$ for $\zeta \in \mathcal{B}$.

In fact, using the same way as to get (5.7), one gets by (5.9) that

there exist a $C' > 0$ such that

$$|u_s(\xi)| \leq C'(1 + |s|^p) \|f\|_{p,q} \|\xi\|,$$

which guarantees that there exists a unique element $\eta_f(s) \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$(i) \quad \|\eta_f(s)\| \leq C'(1 + |s|^p) \|f\|_{p,q}$$

and

(5.10)

$$(ii) \quad u_s(\xi) = \langle \eta_f(s) \mid \xi \rangle \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Since $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, the function $s \mapsto \eta_f(s)$ is weakly infinite differentiable \mathcal{F} -valued function on \mathbb{R} . Thus, it is strongly infinite differentiable.

(cf : [4]) Combining (5.6)-(5.10) altogether, one concludes that there exist a $(p, q) \geq 0$, a $C > 0$, and a $\eta_f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$ such that

$$(i) \quad \|\eta_f(t)\| \leq C(1 + |t|^p) \|f\|_{p,q}$$

and

(5.11)

$$(ii) \quad (T * T_{f \otimes 1})(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \eta_f(s) \mid \phi(s) \circ \pi_\ell \rangle ds$$

for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Note that the above pair $(p, q) \geq 0$ is independent of the choice of f , and the constant C is dependent upon $\text{supp} f$. Let us now take W a bounded open set in \mathbb{R} containing zero $0 \in \mathbb{R}$. By the parametrix formula in the scalar case, to the above q , there correspond a positive integer r , an element $g \in C^\infty(W)$, and an element $h \in C^q(W)$ such that

$$f(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(t) D^r f(t) dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) f(t) dt \quad (5.12)$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, where $C^\infty(W)$ [resp. $C^q(W)$] is the set of all infinite [resp. q -times] differentiable complex valued functions whose support is contained in W , and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is the Schwartz space of \mathbb{R} . (cf : [11])

Thus it follows from (5.2) and (5.12) that

$$T_{\delta \otimes 1} * \Phi = T_{h \otimes 1} * D^r \Phi + T_{g \otimes 1} * \Phi \quad (5.13)$$

for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$. Since $T * T_{\delta_0 \otimes 1} = T$, one has by (5.13) that

$$T = D^r T * T_{h \otimes 1} + T * T_{g \otimes 1} \quad (5.14)$$

where $(D^r T)(\phi) = T(D^r \phi)$ for $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$. Since $g \in C^\infty(W)$, there exist by (5.11) a $C > 0$ and a $\eta_g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{S})$ such that

$$(i) \quad \|\eta_g(t)\| \leq C(1 + |t|^p) \|g\|_{p,q}$$

and (5.15)

$$(ii) \quad (T * T_{g \otimes 1})(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \eta_g(s) | \phi(s) \circ \pi_\alpha \rangle ds$$

for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Now choose a bounded open set W_1 in \mathbb{R} which contains the closure of W , and a sequence $(k_n)_n$ of $C^\infty(W_1)$ such that $\|k_n - h\|_{i,j} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $i = 0, \dots, p, j = 0, \dots, q$. By (5.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\|T_{k_n \otimes 1} * D^r \phi - T_{h \otimes 1} * D^r \phi\|_{p,q} &\leq C_{k_n - h} \|D^r \phi\|_{p,q} \\ &= 2^p \|\phi\|_{p,q+r} \left(\int_{W_1} (1 + |s|^p) ds \right) \|k_n - h\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $D^r T * T_{k_n \otimes 1}$ converges to $D^r T * T_{h \otimes 1}$ in $\mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)^*$. On the other hand, since $k_n \in C^\infty(W_1)$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, there exist a $C' > 0$ and a $\eta_{k_n} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{S})$ such that

$$(i) \quad \|\eta_{k_n}(t)\| \leq C'(1 + |t|^p) \|k_n\|_{p,q} \quad (5.16)$$

and

$$(ii) \quad (D^r T * T_{k_n \otimes 1})(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \eta_{k_n}(s) | D^r \phi(s) \circ \pi_\alpha \rangle ds$$

for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. One knows by construction that

$$\|\eta_{k_n}(t) - \eta_{k_m}(t)\| \leq C'(1 + |t|^p) \|k_n - k_m\|_{p,q}$$

for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, which tells us by (5.16) that there exists a $\eta_h \in C(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$ such that

$$(i) \quad \|\eta_h(t)\| \leq C'(1 + |t|^p) \|h\|_{p,q}$$

and (5.17)

$$(ii) \quad (D^r T * T_{h \otimes l})(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \eta_h(s) | D^r \phi(s) \circ \pi_\ell \rangle ds$$

for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$. Combining (5.14), (5.15) and (5.17), one gets that

$$T(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{ \langle \eta_h(s) | D^r \phi(s) \circ \pi_\ell \rangle + \langle \eta_g(s) | \phi(s) \circ \pi_\ell \rangle \} ds \quad (5.18)$$

for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$. Let us define $\zeta_g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$ by

$$\zeta_g(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \dots \int_0^{t_{r-1}} (-1)^r \eta_g(s) ds dt_1 \dots dt_{r-1}. \quad (5.19)$$

Since $\|\eta_g(s)\| \leq C(1 + |s|^p) \|g\|_{p,q}$, it follows from (5.19) that there exists a $C'' > 0$ such that $\|\zeta_g(t)\| \leq C''(1 + |t|^{p+r})$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Moreover, $D^r \zeta_g = (-1)^r \eta_g$. Thus, one concludes that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \zeta_g(s) | D^r \phi(s) \circ \pi_\ell \rangle ds &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle (-1)^r D^r \zeta_g(s) | \phi(s) \circ \pi_\ell \rangle ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \eta_g(s) | \phi(s) \circ \pi_\ell \rangle ds, \end{aligned}$$

which implies by (5.18) that

$$T(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \eta_h(s) + \zeta_g(s) | D^r \phi(s) \circ \pi_\ell \rangle ds \quad (5.20)$$

for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$. The condition that $\|\eta_h(t)\| \leq C' \|h\|_{p,q} (1 + |t|^p)$ and $\|\zeta_g(t)\| \leq C'' (1 + |t|^{p+r})$ gives us that there exists a $\xi \in BC(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$ such that

$$T(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |s|^{p+r}) \langle \xi(s) | D^r \phi(s) \circ \pi_\ell \rangle ds \quad (5.21)$$

for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$, where $BC(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$ is the set of all bounded continuous \mathcal{F} -valued functions on \mathbb{R} . Conversely, suppose that there exist a $(p, q) \geq 0$ and a $\xi \in BC(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$ such that

$$T(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |s|^p) \langle \xi(s) | D^q \phi(s) \circ \pi_\ell \rangle ds \quad \text{for } \phi \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*).$$

Then, one estimates that

$$\begin{aligned} |T(\phi)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |s|^p) \|\xi(s)\| \|D^q \phi(s) \circ \pi_\ell\| ds \\ &\leq C \|\phi\|_{r, q} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |s|^p) (1 + |s|^r)^{-1} ds \end{aligned}$$

for some $C > 0$ and $r = 1, 2, \dots$. Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |s|^p) (1 + |s|^r)^{-1} ds$ is finite for a sufficiently large number r , then $T \in \mathcal{S}'_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)^*$.

Summing up the argument discussed above, we have the following theorem which is a generalization of the Schwartz's theorem for tempered distributions. (cf : [7], [11])

Theorem 5.1. Let (M, \mathbb{R}, α) be a separable continuous W^* -dynamical system so that M is \mathbb{R} -finite. Let $\mathcal{S}'_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)^*$ be the dual space of a generalized Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$ with respect to (M, \mathbb{R}, α) . Then, $T \in \mathcal{S}'_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)^*$ if and only if there exist a $(p, q) \geq 0$ and a $\xi \in BC(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$ such that

$$T(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |s|^p) \langle \xi(s) | D^q \phi(s) \circ \pi_\ell \rangle ds \quad (5.22)$$

for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$.

As we have done in Proposition 4.5, the crossed product $\mathbb{R} \otimes_\alpha M$ associated with (M, \mathbb{R}, α) is contained in $\mathcal{S}'_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)^*$. Let $T \in \mathcal{S}'_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)^*$ as in (5.22). In what follows, we shall look for a certain condition of the triple (ξ, p, q) under which $T \in \mathbb{R} \otimes_\alpha M$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$ the set of all elements $\phi \circ \pi_\ell$, $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$. By Proposition

4.4. (ii), $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$ is a D-invariant closed subspace of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$. Let η be a \mathcal{F} -valued function on \mathbb{R} . Then, it is called slowly increasing if there exist a non-negative integer p and a $\xi \in BC(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$ such that $\eta(t) = (1+|t|^p)\xi(t)$. For a such function η , there exists a T_η in the dual space $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})^*$ of $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$ such that

$$T_\eta(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \eta(s) | \zeta(s) \rangle ds \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F}).$$

Then, the equation (5.22) means that

$$T(\phi) = D^{\mathcal{Q}T}_\eta(\phi \circ \pi_\lambda) \tag{5.23}$$

for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$, where $(D^{\mathcal{Q}T}_\eta)(\zeta) = T_\eta(D^{\mathcal{Q}}\zeta)$ for $\zeta \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$. Now assume that $T \in \mathbb{R} \otimes_\alpha M$. Then, there exists a positive constant C such that $|T(\phi)| \leq C \|\phi\|_*$ for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$. Remembering the equation (3.9) together with Remark of Proposition 4.3, one gets that

$$\|\phi\|_* = \sup \{ |T_{0\zeta}(\phi \circ \pi_\lambda)| : \zeta \in K(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}), \|\pi_\lambda(\zeta)\| \leq 1 \}. \tag{5.24}$$

Since $|T(\phi)| \leq C \|\phi\|_*$, it follows from (5.23) and (5.24) that

$$|D^{\mathcal{Q}T}_\eta(\omega)| \leq \sup \{ |T_{0\zeta}(\omega)| : \zeta \in K(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}), \|\pi_\lambda(\zeta)\| \leq C \} \tag{5.25}$$

for every $\omega \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})$. Since $E = \{T_{0\zeta} : \zeta \in K(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}), \|\pi_\lambda(\zeta)\| \leq C\}$ is circled convex in $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})^*$, one deduces by (5.25) that $D^{\mathcal{Q}T}_\eta$ is in the weak* closure F of E . Moreover, using Proposition 4.2, E is equicontinuous in $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{F})^*$. Hence, F is weak* compact, which implies that $D^{\mathcal{Q}T}_\eta$ is a limit point of $T_{0\zeta_\nu}$, $\|\pi_\lambda(\zeta_\nu)\| \leq C$ with respect to weak* topology. The converse is also valid. Consequently, we have the following main theorem :

Theorem 5.2. Let (M, \mathbb{R}, α) be as in Theorem 5.1, and $T \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}; M_*)^*$.

Let (η, p) be the pair of a slowly increasing function η and a non-negative integer p corresponding to T . Then, $T \in \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\alpha} M$ if and only if there exists a positive constant C such that $D^p T_{\eta}$ is a weak* limit point of $T_{0\zeta}$ for $\zeta \in K(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B})$ with $\|\pi_{\ell}(\zeta)\| \leq C$ in $S_0(\mathbb{R}; \frac{1}{2})^*$, where $S_0(\mathbb{R}; \frac{1}{2})$ is the set of all elements $\phi \circ \pi_{\ell}$, $\phi \in S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$.

Remark. One may find a prototype of the above theorem in G. Loupiaz and S. Miracle-Sole's paper [5], which tells us that any operator of the Schrodinger representation can be considered as a tempered distribution on the phase space of a system with n degrees of freedom.

Remark. Let us remember the definition of $\pi_{\alpha}(x)$, $\lambda(t)$ ($x \in M$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$) which are generators of $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\alpha} M$. Then, one easily computes that $\pi_{\alpha} \circ \pi_{\ell}(\eta)[\phi] = T_{\delta_0 \otimes \eta}(\phi \circ \pi_{\ell})$, $\lambda(t)[\phi] = T_{\delta_t \otimes \xi_0}(\phi \circ \pi_{\ell})$ for every $\eta \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\phi \in S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}; M_*)$.

Corollary 5.3. Let $T \in S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}; M_*)^*$ be as in Theorem 5.2.

Then, it is $\|\cdot\|_*$ -continuous if and only if there exists a unique element $T_0 \in \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\alpha} M$ such that

$$\langle T_0 \eta_1 | \eta_2 \rangle = D^p T_{\eta}(\widetilde{\eta_1 \eta_2^b} \circ \pi_{\ell})$$

for every $\eta_i \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{\infty})$, $i = 1, 2$.

References

- [1] A. Connes : Une classification des facteurs de type III.
Ann. Sci. École Normale Supérieure., 4 e série, 6 fasc 2 (1973).
- [2] A. Connes : Almost periodic states and factors of type III₁.
J. Func Anal., 16 (1974), 415-445.
- [3] P. Eymard : L'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact.
Bull. Soc. Math. France., 92 (1964), 181-236.
- [4] A. Grothendieck : Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires. Memoirs. Amer. Math. Soc., 16 (1955).
- [5] G. Loupias et S. Miracle-Sole : C*-algèbres des systems canoniques. II Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré., Vol VI, 1. (1967), 39-58.
- [6] J. Phillips : Positive integrable elements relative to a left Hilbert algebra. J. Func Anal., 13 (1973), 390-409.
- [7] L. Schwartz : Théorie des distributions. Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1966).
- [8] H. Takai : The quasi-orbit space of continuous C*-dynamical systems. Preprint (1974), CPT-CNRS, Marseille.
- [9] M. Takesaki : Duality for crossed products and the structure of von Neumann algebras of type III. Acta Math., 131 (1973) 249-310.
- [10] M. Tomita : Standard forms of von Neumann algebras. The 5 th Func. Anal. Symp. of the Math. Soc. of Japan, Sendai (1967).
- [11] K. Yoshida : The theory of distributions. Kyôritsu, Tokyo, Japan (1968).
- [12] G. Zeller-Meier : Produits croisés d'une C*-algèbre par un groupe d'automorphismes. J. Math. Pures et Appl., 47 (1968), 101-239.