



Title	Asymptotics of eigenvalues of the Laplacian with small spherical Robin boundary
Author(s)	Roppongi, Susumu
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1993, 30(4), p. 783-811
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/7593
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

ASYMPTOTICS OF EIGENVALUES OF THE LAPLACIAN WITH SMALL SPHERICAL ROBIN BOUNDARY

SUSUMU ROPPONGI

(Received June 8, 1992)

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^N with C^∞ boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let \tilde{w} be a fixed point in Ω and $B(\varepsilon, \tilde{w})$ be the ball of radius ε with the center \tilde{w} . We put $\Omega_\varepsilon = \Omega \setminus \overline{B(\varepsilon, \tilde{w})}$. Consider the following eigenvalue problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} -\Delta u(x) &= \lambda u(x) & x \in \Omega_\varepsilon \\ u(x) &= 0 & x \in \partial\Omega \\ u(x) + k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_x}(x) &= 0 & x \in \partial B(\varepsilon, \tilde{w}). \end{aligned}$$

Here k denotes a positive constant. And σ is a real number. Here $\partial/\partial \nu_x$ denotes the derivative along the exterior normal direction with respect to Ω_ε .

Let $\mu_j(\varepsilon) > 0$ be the j -th eigenvalue of (1.1). Let μ_j be the j -th eigenvalue of the problem

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} -\Delta u(x) &= \lambda u(x) & x \in \Omega \\ u(x) &= 0 & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Let $G(x, y)$ (resp. $G_\varepsilon(x, y)$) be the Green function of the Laplacian in Ω (resp. Ω_ε) associated with the boundary condition (1.2) (resp. (1.1)).

Main aim of this paper is to show the following Theorems. Let $\varphi_i(x)$ be the L^2 -normalized eigenfunction associated with μ_j . We have the following.

Theorem 1. Assume $N=3$. We fix j and $\sigma \geq 1$. Suppose that μ_j is simple. Then, for any fixed $s \in (0, 1)$,

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_j(\varepsilon) &= \mu_j + P_j \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^{2-s}) & (\sigma \geq 2) \\ \mu_j(\varepsilon) &= \mu_j + P_j \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^\sigma) & (1 < \sigma < 2) \\ \mu_j(\varepsilon) &= \mu_j + (1+k)^{-1} P_j \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^{2-s}) & (\sigma = 1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P_j = 4\pi \varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2.$$

Theorem 2. Assume $N=3$. We fix j and $\sigma < 1$. Suppose that μ_j is simple. Then,

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_j(\varepsilon) &= \mu_j + Q_j \varepsilon^{2-\sigma} + O(\varepsilon^{3-2\sigma}) & (0 \leq \sigma < 1) \\ \mu_j(\varepsilon) &= \mu_j + Q_j \varepsilon^{2-\sigma} + R_j \varepsilon^3 + O(\varepsilon^{3-2\sigma}) & (-1/2 < \sigma < 0) \\ \mu_j(\varepsilon) &= \mu_j + Q_j \varepsilon^{2-\sigma} + R_j \varepsilon^3 + O(\varepsilon^4) & (-2 < \sigma \leq -1/2) \\ \mu_j(\varepsilon) &= \mu_j + R_j \varepsilon^3 + O(\varepsilon^4) & (\sigma \leq -2), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_j &= (4\pi/k) \varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2 \\ R_j &= -\pi(2 |\text{grad } \varphi_j(\tilde{w})|^2 - (4/3) \mu_j \varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2). \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. The case $N=2$ is treated in Ozawa [10] and [11]. The singularity of $G(x, y)$ near $x=y$ in the case $N=3$ is stronger than that of the case $N=2$. When we use the Sobolev embedding; $W^{2,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^{2-N/p}(\bar{\Omega})$, we must take p larger as N increases. Therefore we may need some change of the method developed in the above papers.

When $N \geq 4$, we do not know whether the method we have used can be applied or not.

For the related papers we have Besson [2], Chavel and Feldman [3], Ozawa [8], [9], Rauch and Taylor [12] and the references in the above papers.

For other related problems on singular variation of domains the readers may refer to Arrieta, Hale and Han [1], Jimbo [4], Jimbo and Morita [5]. The Poisson equation with many small Robin holes is discussed in Kaizu [6], [7].

2. Outline of proof of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2

Hereafter we assume $N=3$.

We introduce the following kernel $p_\varepsilon(x, y)$.

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} p_\varepsilon(x, y) &= G(x, y) + g(\varepsilon) G(x, \tilde{w}) G(\tilde{w}, y) \\ &\quad + h(\varepsilon) \langle \nabla_w G(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ &\quad + i(\varepsilon) \langle H_w G(x, \tilde{w}), H_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla_w u(\tilde{w}), \nabla_w v(\tilde{w}) \rangle &= \sum_{n=1}^3 \frac{\partial u}{\partial w_n} \frac{\partial v}{\partial w_n} \Big|_{w=\tilde{w}} \\ \langle H_w u(\tilde{w}), H_w v(\tilde{w}) \rangle &= \sum_{m,n=1}^3 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial w_m \partial w_n} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial w_m \partial w_n} \Big|_{w=\tilde{w}} \end{aligned}$$

when $w=(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ is an orthonormal frame of \mathbf{R}^3 . Here $g(\varepsilon)$, $h(\varepsilon)$, $i(\varepsilon)$ are determined so that

$$(2.2) \quad p_\varepsilon(x, y) + k \varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_x} p_\varepsilon(x, y) \quad x \in \partial B(\varepsilon, \tilde{w})$$

is small in some sense.

If we put

$$(2.3) \quad g(\varepsilon) = -(\gamma + (4\pi\varepsilon)^{-1} + k(4\pi)^{-1}\varepsilon^{\sigma-2})^{-1}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} h(\varepsilon) &= (k\varepsilon^\sigma - \varepsilon) / ((4\pi)^{-1}\varepsilon^{-2} + k(2\pi)^{-1}\varepsilon^{\sigma-3}) & (\sigma < 1) \\ &= 0 & (\sigma \geq 1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} i(\varepsilon) &= k\varepsilon^{\sigma+1} / (3(4\pi)^{-1}\varepsilon^{-3} + 9k(4\pi)^{-1}\varepsilon^{\sigma-4}) & (\sigma < 1) \\ &= 0 & (\sigma \geq 1), \end{aligned}$$

the above aim for (2.2) to be small is attained.

Here

$$\gamma = \lim_{x \rightarrow \tilde{w}} (G(x, \tilde{w}) - (4\pi)^{-1} |x - \tilde{w}|^{-1}).$$

We put

$$(Gf)(x) = \int_{\Omega} G(x, y)f(y)dy$$

$$(G_{\varepsilon}f)(x) = \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} G_{\varepsilon}(x, y)f(y)dy$$

and

$$(P_{\varepsilon}f)(x) = \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} p_{\varepsilon}(x, y)f(y)dy$$

Let T and T_{ε} be operators on Ω and Ω_{ε} , respectively. Then, $\|T\|_p, \|T_{\varepsilon}\|_{p,\varepsilon}$ denotes the operator norm on $L^p(\Omega), L^p(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$, respectively. Let f and f_{ε} be functions on Ω and Ω_{ε} , respectively. Then, $\|f\|_p, \|f_{\varepsilon}\|_{p,\varepsilon}$ denotes the norm on $L^p(\Omega), L^p(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$, respectively.

At first we outline the proof of Theorem 1. A crucial part of our proof of Theorem 1 is the following.

Theorem 3. Fix $\sigma \geq 1$ and $s \in (0, 1)$. Then there exists a constant C , independent of ε such that

$$(2.6) \quad \|(P_{\varepsilon} - G_{\varepsilon})f\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C_s \varepsilon^{2-s} \|f\|_{p,\varepsilon}$$

holds for any $f \in L^p(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ ($p > 3$).

We put

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_{\varepsilon}(x, y) &= G(x, y) + g(\varepsilon)G(x, \tilde{w})G(\tilde{w}, y)\chi_{\varepsilon}(x)\chi_{\varepsilon}(y) \\ &\quad + h(\varepsilon) \langle \nabla_w G(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \chi_{\varepsilon}(x)\chi_{\varepsilon}(y) \\ &\quad + i(\varepsilon) \langle H_w G(x, \tilde{w}), H_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \chi_{\varepsilon}(x)\chi_{\varepsilon}(y) \end{aligned}$$

for the characteristic function $\chi_{\varepsilon}(x)$ of $\bar{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$.

And we put

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_\varepsilon f)(x) = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{P}_\varepsilon(x, y) f(y) dy.$$

Since \mathbf{G}_ε is approximated by \mathbf{P}_ε and the difference between \mathbf{P}_ε and $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_\varepsilon$ is small in some sense, we know that everything reduces to our investigation of the perturbative analysis of $\mathbf{G} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_\varepsilon$.

Next we outline the proof of Theorem 2. One important part of our proof of Theorem 2 is the following.

Theorem 4. *Fix $\sigma < 1$. Then, there exists a constant C such that*

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon)(\chi_\varepsilon \varphi_j)\|_{2, \varepsilon} &\leq C \varepsilon^{4-\sigma} & (0 \leq \sigma < 1) \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^4 & (\sigma < 0) \end{aligned}$$

hold.

We fix j and put

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_\varepsilon(x, y) = & G(x, y) - (4\pi/3) \mu_j \varepsilon^3 G(x, \tilde{w}) G(\tilde{w}, y) \xi_\varepsilon(x) \xi_\varepsilon(y) \\ & + g(\xi) G(x, \tilde{w}) G(\tilde{w}, y) \xi_\varepsilon(x) \xi_\varepsilon(y) \\ & + h(\varepsilon) \langle \nabla_w G(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \xi_\varepsilon(x) \xi_\varepsilon(y) \\ & + i(\varepsilon) \langle H_w G(x, \tilde{w}), H_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \xi_\varepsilon(x) \xi_\varepsilon(y), \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_\varepsilon(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^3)$ satisfies $|\xi_\varepsilon(x)| \leq 1$, $\xi_\varepsilon(x) = 1$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B(\varepsilon, \tilde{w})}$, $\xi_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ for $x \in B(\varepsilon/2, \tilde{w})$ and $\xi_\varepsilon(x - \tilde{w})$ is rotationary invariant.

Furthermore we put

$$(\bar{\mathbf{P}}_\varepsilon f)(x) = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{P}_\varepsilon(x, y) f(y) dy.$$

The other important part of our proof of Theorem 2 is the following.

Theorem 5. *Fix $\sigma < 1$. Then, there exists a constant C such that*

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(\chi_\varepsilon \bar{\mathbf{P}}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon) \varphi_j\|_{2, \varepsilon} &\leq C \varepsilon^{4-\sigma} & (0 < \sigma < 1) \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^4 & (\sigma \leq 0) \end{aligned}$$

hold.

Since (2.8) and (2.10) are both $o(\varepsilon^3 + \varepsilon^{2-\sigma})$, we know that everything reduces to our investigation of the perturbative analysis of $\mathbf{G} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{P}}_\varepsilon$.

3. Estimation of L^p -norm

We write $B(\varepsilon, \tilde{w}) = B_\varepsilon$. In this section we show the following propositions.

Proposition 3.1. Fix $\sigma \geq 1$. Assume that $u_\varepsilon(x) \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}_\varepsilon)$ satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta u_\varepsilon(x) &= 0 & x \in \Omega_\varepsilon \\ u_\varepsilon(x) &= 0 & x \in \partial\Omega \\ u_\varepsilon(x) + k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu_x}(x) &= M(\omega) & x = \tilde{w} + \varepsilon\omega \in \partial B_\varepsilon (\omega \in S^2). \end{aligned}$$

We fix $s \in (0, 1)$. Then,

$$(3.2) \quad \|u_\varepsilon\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C_s \varepsilon^{1-s} \text{Max}_\omega |M(\omega)|$$

holds for a constant C_s independent of ε .

Proposition 3.2. Fix $\sigma < 2$. Under the same assumptions of u_ε in Proposition 3.1,

$$(3.3) \quad \|u_\varepsilon\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C \varepsilon^{2-\sigma} \text{Max}_\omega |M(\omega)|$$

holds for a constant C independent of ε .

We take the same procedure as in Ozawa [9, section 1, pp. 260–262] to prove the above Propositions. But we need some change of the method developed in the above paper, since we put the Robin condition on ∂B_ε and we assume that $N=3$.

At first we prepare two Lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. Fix $\alpha \in C^\infty(S^2)$ and $q > 1$. Then there exists at least one solution of

$$(3.4) \quad \Delta v_\varepsilon(x) = 0 \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \bar{B}_\varepsilon$$

$$(3.5) \quad v_\varepsilon(x) + k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu_x}(x) = \alpha(\omega) \quad x = \tilde{w} + \varepsilon\omega \in \partial B_\varepsilon (\omega \in S^2)$$

satisfying

$$(3.6) \quad |v_\varepsilon(x)| \leq C \varepsilon^{2-\sigma} \text{Max}_\omega |\alpha(\omega)| r^{-1} (\log(r/(r-\varepsilon)))^{1/2}$$

$$(3.7) \quad |v_\varepsilon(x)| \leq C_q \varepsilon^{1-\sigma/q} \text{Max}_\omega |\alpha(\omega)| (r-\varepsilon)^{-1/q'}$$

for $r = |x - \tilde{w}| > \varepsilon$ and

$$(3.8) \quad \|v_\varepsilon\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C'_q \varepsilon^{1-(\sigma-1)/(2q)} \text{Max}_\omega |\alpha(\omega)|,$$

where q' satisfies $(1/q) + (1/q') = 1$.

Proof. We put $x = \tilde{w} + r\omega$ ($\omega \in S^2$) and

$$\omega = (\sin \theta \cos \varphi, \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \cos \theta) \quad (0 \leq \theta < \pi, 0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi).$$

Let $P_n(z)$ be the Legendre polynomial and $P_n^m(z)$ be the associated Legendre function, that is,

$$P_n^m(z) = (1-z^2)^{m/2} \cdot d^m P_n(z)/dz^m \quad (|z| < 1, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+).$$

It is well-known that $\{P_n^m(\cos \theta) \cos m\varphi, P_n^m(\cos \theta) \sin m\varphi; 0 \leq m \leq n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a complete orthogonal system of $L^2(S^2)$ consisting of eigenfunction of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_{S^2} whose eigenvalue is $-n(n+1)$.

Therefore we have the Fourier expansion

$$(3.9) \quad \alpha(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(\theta, \varphi),$$

where

$$(3.10) \quad Y_n(\theta, \varphi) = \sum_{m=0}^n (a_{n,m} \cos m\varphi + b_{n,m} \sin m\varphi) P_n^m(\cos \theta).$$

By the Parseval relation, we see

$$(3.11) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1)^{-1} (a_{n,0}^2 + \sum_{m=1}^n ((n+m)!/2 \cdot (n-m)!) (a_{n,m}^2 + b_{n,m}^2)) \\ = C \|\alpha\|_{L^2(S^2)}^2 \leq C' (\text{Max}_{\omega} |\alpha(\omega)|)^2.$$

We put

$$v_{\mathbf{e}}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=0}^n (s_{n,m} \cos m\varphi + t_{n,m} \sin m\varphi) P_n^m(\cos \theta) \right) r^{-(n+1)}.$$

Then, it satisfies $\Delta v_{\mathbf{e}}(x) = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{B}_{\mathbf{e}}$.

We see that

$$v_{\mathbf{e}}(x) + k\varepsilon^{\sigma} \frac{\partial v_{\mathbf{e}}}{\partial \nu_x}(x)_{|x \in \partial B_{\mathbf{e}}} = \alpha(\omega) \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=0}^n (a_{n,m} \cos m\varphi + b_{n,m} \sin m\varphi) P_n^m(\cos \theta) \right)$$

implies

$$a_{n,m} = \varepsilon^{-(n+1)} (1 + (n+1)k\varepsilon^{\sigma-1}) s_{n,m} \\ b_{n,m} = \varepsilon^{-(n+1)} (1 + (n+1)k\varepsilon^{\sigma-1}) t_{n,m}$$

for $0 \leq m \leq n, n \geq 0$.

Thus we have

$$(3.12) \quad v_{\mathbf{e}}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(\theta, \varphi) (\varepsilon/r)^{n+1} (1 + (n+1)k\varepsilon^{\sigma-1})^{-1},$$

and

$$(3.13) \quad |v_{\mathbf{e}}(x)|^2 \leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(\theta, \varphi)^2 \right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\varepsilon/r)^{2n+2} (1 + (n+1)k\varepsilon^{\sigma-1})^{-2}.$$

Since (3.9) holds in $L^2(S^2)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\omega \in S^2} |v_{\epsilon}(x)|^2 d\omega \\
& \leq \|\alpha\|_{L^2(S^2)}^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\epsilon/r)^{2n+2} (1+(n+1)k\epsilon^{\sigma-1})^{-2} \\
& \leq C(\text{Max}_{\omega} |\alpha(\omega)|)^2 \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\epsilon/r)^{2(n+1)q'} \right)^{1/q'} \\
& \quad \times \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1+(n+1)k\epsilon^{\sigma-1})^{-2q} \right)^{1/q} \\
& \leq C(\text{Max}_{\omega} |\alpha(\omega)|)^2 (\epsilon/r)^2 \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\epsilon/r)^n \right)^{1/q'} \\
& \quad \times \left(\int_0^{\infty} (1+k\epsilon^{\sigma-1}t)^{-2q} dt \right)^{1/q} \\
& = C(\text{Max}_{\omega} |\alpha(\omega)|)^2 (\epsilon/r)^2 (r/(r-\epsilon))^{1/q'} ((2q-1)k\epsilon^{\sigma-1})^{-1/q}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v_{\epsilon}\|_{2,\epsilon}^2 & \leq \int_{\epsilon}^R \left(\int_{\omega \in S^2} |v_{\epsilon}(x)|^2 d\omega \right) r^2 dr \\
& \leq C_q (\text{Max}_{\omega} |\alpha(\omega)|)^2 \epsilon^{2+(1-\sigma)/q}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get (3.8).

Using the Schwarz inequality and the relation

$$P_n(\cos \theta)^2 + \sum_{m=1}^n (2 \cdot (n-m)!/(n+m)!) P_n^m(\cos \theta)^2 = 1,$$

we see

$$(3.13) \quad |Y_n(\theta, \varphi)|^2 \leq a_{n,0}^2 + \sum_{m=1}^n ((n+m)!/2 \cdot (n-m)!) (a_{n,m}^2 + b_{n,m}^2).$$

From (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13), we have

$$|v_{\epsilon}(x)| \leq C \text{Max}_{\omega} |\alpha(\omega)| R(\epsilon, \sigma, r)^{1/2} (\epsilon/r),$$

where

$$R(\epsilon, \sigma, r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\epsilon/r)^{2n} (n+1) (1+(n+1)k\epsilon^{\sigma-1})^{-2}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
R(\epsilon, \sigma, r) & \leq C \epsilon^{2(1-\sigma)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{-1} (\epsilon/r)^{n+1} \\
& \leq C \epsilon^{2(1-\sigma)} \log(r/(r-\epsilon))
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
R(\epsilon, \sigma, r) & \leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) (\epsilon/r)^{2nq'} \right)^{1/q'} \\
& \quad \times \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) (1+(n+1)k\epsilon^{\sigma-1})^{-2q} \right)^{1/q}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) (\varepsilon/r)^n \right)^{1/q'} \\
&\quad \times \left(k^{-1} \varepsilon^{1-\sigma} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1+(n+1)k\varepsilon^{\sigma-1})^{1-2q} \right)^{1/q} \\
&\leq (r/(r-\varepsilon))^{2/q'} (k^{-1} \varepsilon^{1-\sigma} \int_0^{\infty} (1+k\varepsilon^{\sigma-1}t)^{1-2q} dt)^{1/q} \\
&= (r/(r-\varepsilon))^{2/q'} (2(q-1)k^2 \varepsilon^{2(\sigma-1)})^{-1/q}
\end{aligned}$$

hold, we get (3.6) and (3.7).

q.e.d.

Lemma 3.4. Fix $\beta \in C^\infty(\partial B_\varepsilon)$. Assume that $g \in H^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.14) \quad &\Delta g(x) = 0 && x \in \Omega_\varepsilon \\
&g(x) = 0 && x \in \partial\Omega \\
&g(x) + k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_x}(x) = \beta(x) && x \in \partial B_\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla g(x)|^2 dx \leq 4\pi k^{-1} \varepsilon^{2-\sigma} (\max_{\partial B_\varepsilon} |\beta(x)|)^2.$$

Proof. Since $g \in H^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)$, we have Green's formula:

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (g \cdot \Delta g + |\nabla g|^2) dx = \int_{\partial\Omega_\varepsilon} g \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_x} d\sigma_x.$$

By (3.14), we can see that

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla g|^2 dx = \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon} g \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_x} d\sigma_x = \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon} (\beta(x) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_x}(x)) \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_x}(x) d\sigma_x.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(3.15) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla g|^2 dx + k\varepsilon^\sigma \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_x} \right|^2 d\sigma_x = \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon} \beta(x) \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_x}(x) d\sigma_x.$$

Using the Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
k\varepsilon^\sigma \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_x} \right|^2 d\sigma_x &\leq \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon} \beta(x) \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_x}(x) d\sigma_x \\
&\leq \left(\int_{\partial B_\varepsilon} \beta(x)^2 d\sigma_x \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\partial B_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_x} \right|^2 d\sigma_x \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(3.16) \quad \left(\int_{\partial B_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_x} \right|^2 d\sigma_x \right)^{1/2} \leq k^{-1} \varepsilon^{-\sigma} \left(\int_{\partial B_\varepsilon} \beta(x)^2 d\sigma_x \right)^{1/2}.$$

From (3.15) and (3.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla g|^2 dx &\leq \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon} \beta(x) \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_x}(x) d\sigma_x \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\partial B_\varepsilon} \beta(x)^2 d\sigma_x \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\partial B_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu_x} \right|^2 d\sigma_x \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq k^{-1} \varepsilon^{-\sigma} \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon} \beta(x)^2 d\sigma_x \\ &\leq 4\pi k^{-1} \varepsilon^{2-\sigma} (\text{Max}_{\partial B_\varepsilon} |\beta(x)|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

q.e.d.

Now we are in a position to prove Propositions 3.1 and 3.2.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let $u_\varepsilon(x)$ be as in Proposition 3.1. We take an arbitrary $q > \sigma$. Firstly we put $\alpha(\omega) = M(\omega)$ and we take $v_\varepsilon^{(0)}$ so that it satisfies (3.4), (3.5), (3.7) and (3.8). Then $v_\varepsilon^{(0)}$ may not satisfy $v_\varepsilon^{(0)}(x) = 0$ for $x \in \partial\Omega$. Let $v_\varepsilon^{(1)}$ be the harmonic function in Ω satisfying $v_\varepsilon^{(1)}(x) = v_\varepsilon^{(0)}(x)$ for $x \in \partial\Omega$. Put

$$M_\varepsilon = \text{Max}_\omega |M(\omega)|.$$

Then from (3.7) we see that $\text{Max}\{|v_\varepsilon^{(1)}(x)|; x \in \bar{\Omega}\} \leq \hat{C}_q \varepsilon^{1-\sigma/q} M_\varepsilon$ and $\text{Max}\{|v_\varepsilon^{(1)}(x) + k\varepsilon^\sigma \partial v_\varepsilon^{(1)}(x)/\partial \nu_x|; x \in \partial B_\varepsilon\} \leq \hat{C}_q \varepsilon^{1-\sigma/q} M_\varepsilon$, where \hat{C}_q is a constant independent of ε . Secondly, we put $\alpha(\omega) = v_\varepsilon^{(1)}(x) + k\varepsilon^\sigma \partial v_\varepsilon^{(1)}(x)/\partial \nu_x$ for $x = \tilde{\omega} + \varepsilon\omega \in \partial B_\varepsilon$ and we take $v_\varepsilon^{(2)}$ so that it satisfies (3.4), (3.5), (3.7) and (3.8). Let $v_\varepsilon^{(3)}$ be the harmonic function in Ω satisfying $v_\varepsilon^{(3)}(x) = v_\varepsilon^{(2)}(x)$ for $x \in \partial\Omega$. Then, $\text{Max}\{|v_\varepsilon^{(3)}(x)|; x \in \bar{\Omega}\} \leq (\hat{C}_q \varepsilon^{1-\sigma/q})^2 M_\varepsilon$ and $\text{Max}\{|v_\varepsilon^{(3)}(x) + k\varepsilon^\sigma \partial v_\varepsilon^{(3)}(x)/\partial \nu_x|; x \in \partial B_\varepsilon\} \leq (\hat{C}_q \varepsilon^{1-\sigma/q})^2 M_\varepsilon$.

By repeating this procedure we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta v_\varepsilon^{(2n+1)}(x) &= 0 & x \in \Omega \\ v_\varepsilon^{(2n+1)}(x) &= v_\varepsilon^{(2n)}(x) & x \in \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta v_\varepsilon^{(2n+2)}(x) &= 0 & x \in \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \bar{B}_\varepsilon \\ v_\varepsilon^{(2n+2)}(x) + k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial v_\varepsilon^{(2n+2)}}{\partial \nu_x}(x) &= v_\varepsilon^{(2n+1)}(x) + k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial v_\varepsilon^{(2n+1)}}{\partial \nu_x}(x) & x \in \partial B_\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Then, by induction,

$$(3.17) \quad \text{Max}_{\bar{\Omega}} |v_\varepsilon^{(2n+1)}(x)| \leq (\hat{C}_q \varepsilon^{1-\sigma/q})^{n+1} M_\varepsilon$$

$$(3.18) \quad \text{Max}_{\partial B_\varepsilon} |v_\varepsilon^{(2n+1)}(x) + k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial v_\varepsilon^{(2n+1)}}{\partial \nu_x}(x)| \leq (\hat{C}_q \varepsilon^{1-\sigma/q})^{n+1} M_\varepsilon$$

$$(3.19) \quad |v_\varepsilon^{(2n)}(x)| \leq (\hat{C}_q \varepsilon^{1-\sigma/q})^{n+1} M_\varepsilon (r-\varepsilon)^{-1/q'} \quad (r > \varepsilon)$$

$$(3.20) \quad \|v_\varepsilon^{(2n)}\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C'_q \varepsilon^{1-(\sigma-1)/(2q)} (\hat{C}_q \varepsilon^{1-\sigma/q})^n M_\varepsilon$$

hold for $n \geq 0$.

Since $q > \sigma$, we can take ε so that $\hat{C}_q \varepsilon^{1-\sigma/q} < 1/2$. We put

$$(3.21) \quad w_\varepsilon(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n v_\varepsilon^{(n)}(x).$$

From (3.17) and (3.19), we can see that the right hand side of (3.21) is uniformly convergent on $\bar{\Omega} \setminus B_\eta$ for any $\eta > \varepsilon$. Since $v_\varepsilon^{(n)}$ is harmonic in Ω_ε , we see that $w_\varepsilon(x)$ is harmonic in Ω_ε , $w_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ for $x \in \partial\Omega$ and

$$\frac{\partial w_\varepsilon}{\partial x_j}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\partial v_\varepsilon^{(n)}}{\partial x_j}(x) \quad x \in \Omega_\varepsilon, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

We put

$$g_\varepsilon^{(n)}(x) = u_\varepsilon(x) - \sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} (-1)^i v_\varepsilon^{(i)}(x).$$

Then,

$$(3.22) \quad \nabla g_\varepsilon^{(n)}(x) \rightarrow \nabla(u_\varepsilon - w_\varepsilon)(x) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega_\varepsilon.$$

It is easy to see that $g_\varepsilon^{(n)}$ is harmonic in Ω_ε , $g_\varepsilon^{(n)}(x) = 0$ for $x \in \partial\Omega$ and

$$g_\varepsilon^{(n)}(x) + k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial g_\varepsilon^{(n)}}{\partial \nu_x}(x) = v_\varepsilon^{(2n+1)}(x) + k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial v_\varepsilon^{(2n+1)}}{\partial \nu_x}(x) \quad x \in \partial B_\varepsilon.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.4 and (3.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla g_\varepsilon^{(n)}|^2 dx &\leq 4\pi k^{-1} \varepsilon^{2-\sigma} \max_{\partial B_\varepsilon} |v_\varepsilon^{(2n+1)}(x) + k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial v_\varepsilon^{(2n+1)}}{\partial \nu_x}(x)| \\ &\leq 4\pi k^{-1} \varepsilon^{2-\sigma} (\hat{C}_q \varepsilon^{1-\sigma/q})^n M_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Using Fatou's Lemma and (3.22), we see that

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla(u_\varepsilon - w_\varepsilon)|^2 dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla g_\varepsilon^{(n)}|^2 dx \leq 0.$$

Thus, $u_\varepsilon - w_\varepsilon = \text{constant a.e. } \Omega_\varepsilon$. Since $u_\varepsilon(x) = w_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ for $x \in \partial\Omega$, $u_\varepsilon = w_\varepsilon$ a.e. Ω_ε . Therefore,

$$(3.23) \quad u_\varepsilon(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n v_\varepsilon^{(n)}(x) \quad x \in \Omega_\varepsilon.$$

From (3.17) and (3.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\| \sum_{n=0}^{2n'+1} (-1)^n v_{\varepsilon}^{(n)} \|_{2, \varepsilon} &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{n'} (\| v_{\varepsilon}^{(2n)} \|_{2, \varepsilon} + \| v_{\varepsilon}^{(2n+1)} \|_{2, \varepsilon}) \\
&\leq \sum_{n=0}^{n'} (C'_q \varepsilon^{1-(\sigma-1)/(2q)} + \hat{C}_q \varepsilon^{1-\sigma/q}) (1/2)^n M_{\varepsilon} \\
&\leq C_q \varepsilon^{1-\sigma/q} M_{\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Fatou's Lemma and (3.23), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |u_{\varepsilon}(x)|^2 dx &\leq \liminf_{n' \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{2n'+1} (-1)^n v_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(x) \right|^2 dx \\
&\leq (C_q \varepsilon^{1-\sigma/q} M_{\varepsilon})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get (3.2).

q.e.d.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Let $\{v_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of functions as in the proof of Proposition 3.1. Then, by using (3.6), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.24) \quad \text{Max}_{\bar{\Omega}} |v_{\varepsilon}^{(2n+1)}(x)| &\leq (\hat{C} \varepsilon^{2-\sigma})^{n+1} M_{\varepsilon} \\
\text{Max}_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}} |v_{\varepsilon}^{(2n+1)}(x) + k \varepsilon^{\sigma} \frac{\partial v_{\varepsilon}^{(2n+1)}}{\partial \nu_x}(x)| &\leq (\hat{C} \varepsilon^{2-\sigma})^{n+1} M_{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}$$

$$(3.25) \quad |v_{\varepsilon}^{(2n)}(x)| \leq (\hat{C} \varepsilon^{2-\sigma})^{n+1} M_{\varepsilon} r^{-1} (\log(r/(r-\varepsilon)))^{1/2}$$

for $n \geq 0$. Here \hat{C} is a constant independent of ε and $M_{\varepsilon} = \text{Max}_{\bar{\Omega}} |M(\omega)|$.

Since $\sigma < 2$, we can take ε so that $\hat{C} \varepsilon^{2-\sigma} < 1/2$. Then, by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we can see that

$$(3.26) \quad u_{\varepsilon}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n v_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(x) \quad x \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}.$$

From (3.24), (3.25) and (3.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.27) \quad |u_{\varepsilon}(x)| &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (|v_{\varepsilon}^{(2n)}(x)| + |v_{\varepsilon}^{(2n+1)}(x)|) \\
&\leq C \varepsilon^{2-\sigma} M_{\varepsilon} r^{-1} (\log(r/(r-\varepsilon)))^{1/2} \quad (r > \varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Now (3.3) easily follows from (3.27).

q.e.d.

4. Proof of Theorem 3

From this section to section 7, we assume $\sigma \geq 1$. By (2.3) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.1) \quad g(\varepsilon) &= -4\pi \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^{\sigma}) \quad (\sigma > 1) \\
&= -4\pi(1+k)^{-1} \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) \quad (\sigma = 1).
\end{aligned}$$

We take an arbitrary fixed point $x \in \partial B_{\varepsilon}$. Without loss of generality we

may assume $\tilde{w}=0$ and $x=\varepsilon e_1$. Here we put $e_1=(1, 0, 0)$. We put $p_\varepsilon(x, y)$ as before and

$$S(x, y) = G(x, y) - (4\pi)^{-1} |x - y|^{-1}.$$

Then, $S(x, y) \in C^\infty(\Omega \times \Omega)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & p_\varepsilon(x, y) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} p_\varepsilon(x, y)|_{x=\varepsilon e_1} \\ &= G(x, y) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} G(x, y) - g(\varepsilon) k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} S(x, \tilde{w}) G(\tilde{w}, y) \\ & \quad + g(\varepsilon) ((4\pi)^{-1} \varepsilon^{-1} + S(x, \tilde{w}) + k(4\pi)^{-1} \varepsilon^{\sigma-2}) G(\tilde{w}, y) \end{aligned}$$

for $\tilde{w}=0$, $x=\varepsilon e_1$.

Since $\gamma = S(\tilde{w}, \tilde{w})$, $S(x, \tilde{w}) = \gamma + O(\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. By (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2) \quad & p_\varepsilon(x, y) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} p_\varepsilon(x, y)|_{x=\varepsilon e_1} \\ &= G(x, y) - G(\tilde{w}, y) + O(\varepsilon^2) G(\tilde{w}, y) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} G(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

for $\tilde{w}=0$, $x=\varepsilon e_1$.

We take an arbitrary $f \in L^p(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ and let \tilde{f} be the extension of f to Ω defined by 0 on B_ε . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad & (P_\varepsilon f)(x) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (P_\varepsilon f)(x)|_{x=\varepsilon e_1} \\ &= (G\tilde{f})(x) - (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) + O(\varepsilon^2) (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (G\tilde{f})(x) \end{aligned}$$

for $\tilde{w}=0$, $x=\varepsilon e_1$.

By the Sobolev embedding theorem and a priori estimate

$$(4.4) \quad \|G\tilde{f}\|_{C^{1+\tau}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C \|\tilde{f}\|_p \leq C \|f\|_{p, \varepsilon}$$

hold for $\tau = 1 - 3/p$ ($p > 3$). Therefore we have

$$|(P_\varepsilon f)(x) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (P_\varepsilon f)(x)|_{x=\varepsilon e_1} \leq C\varepsilon \|f\|_{p, \varepsilon}.$$

We put $u_\varepsilon = (P_\varepsilon - G_\varepsilon)f$. Then u_ε satisfies (3.1) because $G_\varepsilon f$ satisfies the given Robin condition on ∂B_ε . By Proposition 3.1, we have (2.6).

5. Convergence of eigenvalues for $\sigma \geq 1$

We put $\tilde{p}_\varepsilon(x, y)$, \tilde{P}_ε as before. Then,

$$(5.1) \quad \tilde{P}_\varepsilon = A_0 + g(\varepsilon)A_1,$$

where $A_0 = G$ and

$$(5.2) \quad (A_1 f)(x) = G(x, \tilde{w})\chi_\varepsilon(x)(G\chi_\varepsilon f)(\tilde{w}).$$

Since

$$|(A_1 f)(x)| \leq C|x - \tilde{w}|^{-1}\chi_\varepsilon(x)\|f\|_p \quad (p > 3/2),$$

we have

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|A_1 f\|_p &\leq C\|f\|_p \quad (3/2 < p < 3) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{3/p-1}\|f\|_p \quad (p > 3). \end{aligned}$$

From (4.1), (5.1) and (5.3) we have

$$\|(\tilde{P}_\varepsilon - G)f\|_2 \leq |g(\varepsilon)| \|A_1 f\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon\|f\|_2$$

for any $f \in L^2(\Omega)$. Therefore we get the following.

Lemma 5.1. *There exists a constant C independent of ε such that*

$$(5.4) \quad \|\tilde{P}_\varepsilon - G\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon$$

holds.

Next we want to estimate $\|\chi_\varepsilon \tilde{P}_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon - \tilde{P}_\varepsilon\|_2$. It does not exceed

$$(5.5) \quad \|(1 - \chi_\varepsilon)\tilde{P}_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon\|_2 + \|\tilde{P}_\varepsilon(1 - \chi_\varepsilon)\|_2.$$

Notice that $(1 - \chi_\varepsilon)\chi_\varepsilon = 0$ in $g(\varepsilon)$ term. By (5.1),

$$\|(1 - \chi_\varepsilon)\tilde{P}_\varepsilon v\|_2 \leq C|B_\varepsilon|^{1/2} \|Gv\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{3/2} \|v\|_2$$

hold for any $v \in L^2(\Omega)$. Therefore we get

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(1 - \chi_\varepsilon)\tilde{P}_\varepsilon\|_2 &\leq C\varepsilon^{3/2} \\ \|(1 - \chi_\varepsilon)\tilde{P}_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon\|_2 &\leq C\varepsilon^{3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since we have the duality

$$((1 - \chi_\varepsilon)\tilde{P}_\varepsilon)^* = \tilde{P}_\varepsilon(1 - \chi_\varepsilon),$$

we get

$$(5.7) \quad \|\tilde{P}_\varepsilon(1 - \chi_\varepsilon)\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{3/2}.$$

Summing up these facts, we get the following.

Lemma 5.2. *There exists a constant C independent of ε such that*

$$\|\chi_\varepsilon \tilde{P}_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon - \tilde{P}_\varepsilon\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{3/2}$$

holds.

Notice that the j -th eigenvalue of P_ε is equal to the j -th eigenvalue of $\chi_\varepsilon \tilde{P}_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon$. By virtue of Theorem 3, Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2, we see that there exists a constant C independent of ε such that

$$(5.8) \quad |\mu_j(\varepsilon)^{-1} - \mu_j^{-1}| \leq C(\varepsilon^{2-s} + \varepsilon + \varepsilon^{3/2}) \leq C \cdot \varepsilon$$

hold.

For the later convenience we estimate $\|(\chi_\varepsilon \tilde{P}_\varepsilon - P_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon)f\|_{2,\varepsilon}$. We put $v_\varepsilon = (\chi_\varepsilon \tilde{P}_\varepsilon - P_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon)f$. Then, $v_\varepsilon = (G\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon f)$ on Ω_ε . Here $\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon$ is the characteristic function on B_ε . Thus v_ε satisfies $\Delta v_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ for $x \in \Omega_\varepsilon$, $v_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ for $x \in \partial\Omega$ and

$$(5.9) \quad |v_\varepsilon(x)| \leq C \left(\int_{B_\varepsilon} |x-y|^{-p'} dy \right)^{1/p'} \|f\|_p \\ \leq \begin{cases} C\varepsilon^{2-3/p} \|f\|_p & (3/2 < p < \infty) \\ C\varepsilon^2 \|f\|_\infty & (p = \infty) \end{cases}$$

for $x \in \partial B_\varepsilon$.

By the maximum principle, we get the following.

Lemma 5.3. *There exists a constant C independent of ε such that*

$$(5.10) \quad \|(\chi_\varepsilon \tilde{P}_\varepsilon - P_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon)f\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon^{2-3/p} \|f\|_p \quad (3/2 < p < \infty) \\ \leq C\varepsilon^2 \|f\|_\infty \quad (p = \infty)$$

hold for any $f \in L^p(\Omega)$.

6. Perturbational calculus for \tilde{P}_ε

In this section we consider the behaviour of eigenvalues of \tilde{P}_ε as ε tends to 0. We put

$$\lambda(\varepsilon) = \lambda_0 + g(\varepsilon)\lambda_1 \\ \psi(\varepsilon) = \psi_0 + g(\varepsilon)\psi_1$$

so that $\lambda(\varepsilon)$ and $\psi(\varepsilon)$ is an approximate eigenvalue of \tilde{P}_ε and an approximate eigenfunction of \tilde{P}_ε , respectively.

Let λ_0 be a simple eigenvalue of A_0 and ψ_0 be a solution of

$$(6.1) \quad (A_0 - \lambda_0)\psi_0 = 0, \quad \|\psi_0\|_2 = 1.$$

Next we solve the following equations:

$$(6.2) \quad (A_0 - \lambda_0)\psi_1 = (\lambda_1 - A_1)\psi_0$$

$$(6.3) \quad (\psi_0, \psi_1)_2 = 0,$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_2$ denotes the inner product on $L^2(\Omega)$.

By the Fredholm alternative theory, we see that

$$(6.4) \quad \lambda_1 = (A_1 \psi_0, \psi_0)_2$$

is the condition such that the unique solution of ψ_1 of (6.2) and (6.3) exists.

Hereafter we put $\lambda_0 = \mu_j^{-1}$. Then $\psi_0 = \varphi_j$. It is easy to see

$$(6.5) \quad \lambda_1 = |(G\chi_\varepsilon \varphi_j)(\tilde{w})|^2 = \mu_j^{-2} \varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2 + O(\varepsilon^2)$$

$$(6.6) \quad (\tilde{P}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))\psi(\varepsilon) = g(\varepsilon)^2 (A_1 - \lambda_1)\psi_1.$$

From (5.3), (6.2), (6.4) and (6.6), we have the following.

Lemma 6.1. *There exists a constant C independent of ε such that*

$$(6.7) \quad \|(\tilde{P}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))\psi(\varepsilon)\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C g(\varepsilon)^2 \leq C \varepsilon^2$$

hold.

By (5.3), (6.2) and (6.4), we have

$$(6.8) \quad \|\psi_1\|_p, \|A_1\|_p \leq C \varepsilon^{3/p-1} \quad (p > 3).$$

Now we have the following.

Lemma 6.2. *Fix $s \in (0, 1)$. Then, there exist constants C, C_s independent of ε such that*

$$(6.9) \quad \|(\tilde{P}_\varepsilon - G_\varepsilon)(\chi_\varepsilon \psi(\varepsilon))\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C_s \varepsilon^{2-s}$$

$$(6.10) \quad \|(\chi_\varepsilon \tilde{P}_\varepsilon - P_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon)\psi(\varepsilon)\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C \varepsilon^2$$

hold.

Proof. By (6.8), Theorem 3 and Lemma 5.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\tilde{P}_\varepsilon - G_\varepsilon)(\chi_\varepsilon \psi(\varepsilon))\|_{2,\varepsilon} \\ & \leq C_s \varepsilon^{2-s} (1 + |g(\varepsilon)| \varepsilon^{3/p-1}) \leq C_s \varepsilon^{2-s} \quad (p > 3) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\chi_\varepsilon \tilde{P}_\varepsilon - P_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon)\psi(\varepsilon)\|_{2,\varepsilon} \\ & \leq C(\varepsilon^2 + |g(\varepsilon)| \varepsilon^{2-3/p} \varepsilon^{3/p-1}) \leq C \varepsilon^2 \quad (p > 3). \end{aligned}$$

q.e.d.

7. Proof of Theorem 1

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1. We fix $s \in (0, 1)$. Then,

by Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (G_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon)) (\chi_\varepsilon \psi(\varepsilon)) \|_{2,\varepsilon} \\ & \leq \| (G_\varepsilon - P_\varepsilon) (\chi_\varepsilon \psi(\varepsilon)) \|_{2,\varepsilon} + \| (P_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon - \chi_\varepsilon \tilde{P}_\varepsilon) \psi(\varepsilon) \|_{2,\varepsilon} \\ & \quad + \| \chi_\varepsilon (\tilde{P}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon)) \psi(\varepsilon) \|_{2,\varepsilon} \\ & \leq C_s \varepsilon^{2-s}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|\psi(\varepsilon)\|_{2,\varepsilon} \in (1/2, 2)$ for small ε , there exists at least one eigenvalue $\lambda^*(\varepsilon)$ of G_ε satisfying

$$(7.1) \quad |\lambda^*(\varepsilon) - \lambda(\varepsilon)| \leq C_s \varepsilon^{2-s}.$$

We here represent $\lambda(\varepsilon)$ explicitly as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (7.2) \quad \lambda(\varepsilon) &= \mu_j^{-1} + g(\varepsilon) (\mu_j^{-2} \varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2 + 0(\varepsilon^2)) \\ &= \begin{cases} \mu_j^{-1} - 4\pi \mu_j^{-2} \varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2 \varepsilon + 0(\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^\sigma) & (\sigma > 1) \\ \mu_j^{-1} - 4\pi(1+k)^{-1} \mu_j^{-2} \varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2 \varepsilon + 0(\varepsilon^2) & (\sigma = 1) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

By (7.1), (7.2) and the fact (5.8), we see that $\lambda^*(\varepsilon)$ must be $\mu_j(\varepsilon)^{-1}$. Then, (1.3) easily follows from (7.1) and (7.2). Therefore we get the desired Theorem 1.

8. Proof of Theorem 4

From this section we assume $\sigma < 1$. By (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (8.1) \quad g(\varepsilon) &= -(4\pi/k) \varepsilon^{2-\sigma} + O(\varepsilon^{3-2\sigma}) \\ h(\varepsilon) &= 2\pi \varepsilon^3 + O(\varepsilon^{4-\sigma}) \\ i(\varepsilon) &= (4\pi/9) \varepsilon^5 + O(\varepsilon^{6-\sigma}). \end{aligned}$$

We take an arbitrary $x \in \partial B_\varepsilon$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\tilde{w} = 0$ and $x = \varepsilon e_1$. We put $S(x, y)$ as before. Then, the same calculation as in p. 263 of Ozawa [9] yields

$$\begin{aligned} (8.2) \quad & \langle \nabla_w G(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ &= (4\pi)^{-1} \varepsilon^{-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} G(\tilde{w}, y) + \langle \nabla_w S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (8.3) \quad & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle \nabla_w G(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ &= -(2\pi)^{-1} \varepsilon^{-3} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} G(\tilde{w}, y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle \nabla_w S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (8.4) \quad & \langle H_w G(x, \tilde{w}), H_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle - \langle H_w S(x, \tilde{w}), H_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ &= 3(4\pi)^{-1} \varepsilon^{-3} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} G(\tilde{w}, y) - (4\pi)^{-1} \varepsilon^{-3} \Delta_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \end{aligned}$$

$$(8.5) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle H_w G(x, \tilde{w}), H_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle H_w S(x, \tilde{w}), H_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ = -9(4\pi)^{-1} \varepsilon^{-4} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} G(\tilde{w}, y) + 3(4\pi)^{-1} \varepsilon^{-4} \Delta_w G(\tilde{w}, y)$$

for $x = \varepsilon e_1$, $\tilde{w} = 0$. We recall that

$$(8.6) \quad \Delta_w G(\tilde{w}, y) = 0 \quad \text{for } y \in \Omega_\varepsilon.$$

We put $p_\varepsilon(x, y)$ as before. By (8.2), (8.3), (8.4), (8.5) and (8.6), we have

$$(8.7) \quad p_\varepsilon(x, y) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} p_\varepsilon(x, y)|_{x=\varepsilon e_1} = \sum_{j=1}^7 L_j,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= G(x, y) \\ L_2 &= g(\varepsilon) ((4\pi\varepsilon)^{-1} + \gamma + (4\pi)^{-1} k\varepsilon^{\sigma-2}) G(\tilde{w}, y) \\ L_3 &= g(\varepsilon) O(\varepsilon^\sigma) G(\tilde{w}, y) \\ L_4 &= (4\pi)^{-1} (\varepsilon^{-2} + 2k\varepsilon^{\sigma-3}) h(\varepsilon) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} G(\tilde{w}, y) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} G(x, y) \\ L_5 &= 3(4\pi)^{-1} (\varepsilon^{-3} + 3k\varepsilon^{\sigma-4}) i(\varepsilon) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} G(\tilde{w}, y) \\ L_6 &= h(\varepsilon) \langle \nabla_w S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ &\quad - k\varepsilon^\sigma h(\varepsilon) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle \nabla_w S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ L_7 &= i(\varepsilon) \langle H_w S(x, \tilde{w}), H_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ &\quad - k\varepsilon^\sigma i(\varepsilon) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle H_w S(x, \tilde{w}), H_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for $\tilde{w} = 0$, $x = \varepsilon e_1$.

Here we used the fact that

$$S(x, \tilde{w}) = \gamma + O(\varepsilon) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

By (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (8.6), we get the following.

$$(8.8) \quad p_\varepsilon(x, y) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} p_\varepsilon(x, y)|_{x=\varepsilon e_1} \\ = G(x, y) - G(\tilde{w}, y) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} G(\tilde{w}, y) + L_3 + L_6 + L_7 \\ - k\varepsilon^\sigma \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} G(x, y) - \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} G(\tilde{w}, y) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} G(\tilde{w}, y) \right)$$

for $\tilde{w}=0$, $x=\varepsilon e_1$.

We take an arbitrary $f \in L^p(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ and let \tilde{f} be the extension of f to Ω defined by 0 on B_ε . By (8.8),

$$\begin{aligned} (8.9) \quad & (P_\varepsilon f)(x) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (P_\varepsilon f)(x)|_{x=\varepsilon e_1} \\ &= (G\tilde{f})(x) - (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) + I_0(\varepsilon, \tilde{f}) \\ & \quad - k\varepsilon^\sigma \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (G\tilde{f})(x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_0(\varepsilon, \tilde{f}) &= g(\varepsilon) O(\varepsilon^\sigma) (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \\ & \quad + h(\varepsilon) \langle \nabla_w S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \rangle \\ & \quad - k\varepsilon^\sigma h(\varepsilon) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle \nabla_w S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \rangle \\ & \quad + i(\varepsilon) \langle H_w S(x, \tilde{w}), H_w (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \rangle \\ & \quad - k\varepsilon^\sigma i(\varepsilon) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle H_w S(x, \tilde{w}), H_w (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for $\tilde{w}=0$, $x=\varepsilon e_1$.

By (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (8.10) \quad & |(G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w})| \leq C \|f\|_{p,\varepsilon} \\ & |(G\tilde{f})(x) - (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w})| \leq C \varepsilon^{2-3/p} \|f\|_{p,\varepsilon} \\ & \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (G\tilde{f})(x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \right| \leq C \varepsilon^{1-3/p} \|f\|_{p,\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

for $\tilde{w}=0$, $x=\varepsilon e_1$, $p > 3$.

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} (8.11) \quad & \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \right| \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |y - \tilde{w}|^{-2p'} dy \right)^{1/p'} \|\tilde{f}\|_p \\ & \leq \begin{cases} C \varepsilon^{1-3/p} \|f\|_{p,\varepsilon} & (1 < p < 3) \\ C \|f\|_{p,\varepsilon} & (p > 3) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq n \leq 3$, where p' satisfies $(1/p) + (1/p') = 1$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} (8.12) \quad & \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_m \partial w_n} (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \right| \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |y - \tilde{w}|^{-3p'} dy \right)^{1/p'} \|\tilde{f}\|_p \\ & \leq C \varepsilon^{-3/p} \|f\|_{p,\varepsilon} \quad (p > 1) \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq m, n \leq 3$.

Summing up these facts, we get

$$|(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon f)(x) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon f)(x)|_{x=\varepsilon e_1} \leq C\varepsilon^{1+\sigma-3/p} \|f\|_{p,\varepsilon}$$

for $p > 3$.

Therefore we have the following by Proposition 3.2.

Lemma 8.1. *For a constant C independent of ε ,*

$$(8.13) \quad \|(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon)f\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon^{3-3/p} \|f\|_{p,\varepsilon}$$

holds for any $f \in L^p(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ ($p > 3$).

The right hand side of (8.13) is not $O(\varepsilon^3)$. On the other hand, the right hand side of (2.8) is $o(\varepsilon^3)$. Therefore we need some sharper estimate to get Theorem 4.

We put $v_\varepsilon(x) = ((\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon)(\chi_\varepsilon \varphi_j))(x)$. As we get (8.9),

$$(8.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & v_\varepsilon(x) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} v_\varepsilon(x)|_{x=\varepsilon e_1} \\ &= I_1(\varepsilon) - I_2(\varepsilon) - k\varepsilon^\sigma (I_3(\varepsilon) - I_4(\varepsilon)) + I_5(\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(\varepsilon) &= (\mathbf{G}\varphi_j)(x) - (\mathbf{G}\varphi_j)(\tilde{w}) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1}(\mathbf{G}\varphi_j)(\tilde{w}) \\ I_2(\varepsilon) &= (\mathbf{G}\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon \varphi_j)(x) - (\mathbf{G}\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon \varphi_j)(\tilde{w}) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1}(\mathbf{G}\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon \varphi_j)(\tilde{w}) \\ I_3(\varepsilon) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{G}\varphi_j)(x) - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2}\right)(\mathbf{G}\varphi_j)(\tilde{w}) \\ I_4(\varepsilon) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{G}\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon \varphi_j)(x) - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2}\right)(\mathbf{G}\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon \varphi_j)(\tilde{w}) \end{aligned}$$

for $\tilde{w}=0$, $x=\varepsilon e_1$, and $I_5(\varepsilon)$ is given by replacing f with $\chi_\varepsilon \varphi_j$ in the term $I_0(\varepsilon, \tilde{f})$ of (8.9).

Since $\mathbf{G}\varphi_j = \mu_j^{-1} \varphi_j$,

$$(8.15) \quad |I_1(\varepsilon)| \leq C\varepsilon^2, \quad |I_3(\varepsilon)| \leq C\varepsilon^2.$$

Using (8.11), (8.12) with $f = \chi_\varepsilon \varphi_j$, we have

$$(8.16) \quad |I_5(\varepsilon)| \leq C(\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^{3+\sigma}).$$

Furthermore,

$$(8.17) \quad \begin{aligned} |I_2(\varepsilon)| &\leq C\varepsilon^{2-3/p} \|\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon \varphi_j\|_p \quad (p > 3) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{2-3/p} |B_\varepsilon|^{1/p} \leq C\varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now we want to estimate $I_4(\varepsilon)$. We put $L(x, y) = (4\pi)^{-1} |x - y|^{-1}$. Then, we have

$$(8.18) \quad I_4(\varepsilon) = I_6(\varepsilon) + I_7(\varepsilon) + I_8(\varepsilon),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_6(\varepsilon) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left(\int_{B_\varepsilon} L(x, y) (\varphi_j(y) - \varphi_j(x)) dy \right)_{|x=\varepsilon e_1} \\ &\quad - \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} \int_{B_\varepsilon} L(w, y) (\varphi_j(y) - \varphi_j(w)) dy \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} \int_{B_\varepsilon} L(w, y) (\varphi_j(y) - \varphi_j(w) - \sum_{n=1}^3 (y_n - w_n) \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial w_n}(w)) dy \\ I_7(\varepsilon) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (\varphi_j(x) F(x))_{|x=\varepsilon e_1} - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} \right) (\varphi_j(w) F(w)) \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \sum_{n=1}^3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial w_n}(w) K_n(w) \right) \\ I_8(\varepsilon) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (\mathbf{S} \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon \varphi_j)(x)_{|x=\varepsilon e_1} - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} \right) (\mathbf{S} \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon \varphi_j)(w) \end{aligned}$$

for $w=0$. Here we put operator \mathbf{S} and functions F, K_n as follows:

$$(\mathbf{S}f)(x) = \int_{\Omega} S(x, y) f(y) dy$$

$$F(x) = \int_{B_\varepsilon} L(x, y) dy$$

and

$$K_n(w) = \int_{B_\varepsilon} L(w, y) (y_n - w_n) dy \quad (n=1, 2, 3).$$

It is easy to see that

$$(8.19) \quad |I_8(\varepsilon)| \leq C\varepsilon^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} (8.20) \quad |I_6(\varepsilon)| &\leq C \int_{B_\varepsilon} |x - y|^{-1} dy_{|x=\varepsilon e_1} + C \int_{B_\varepsilon} |\tilde{w} - y|^{-1} dy \\ &\quad + C\varepsilon \int_{B_\varepsilon} |\tilde{w} - y|^{-1} dy \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

The simple calculation yields

$$(8.21) \quad \begin{aligned} F(x) &= (\varepsilon^3/3)|x|^{-1} & \text{for } x \in \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \bar{B}_\varepsilon \\ &= \varepsilon^2/2 - |x|^2/6 & \text{for } x \in B_\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

$$(8.22) \quad K_n(w) = w_n(|w|^2/5 - \varepsilon^2)/3 \quad \text{for } w \in B_\varepsilon.$$

Therefore, we see that

$$(8.23) \quad F(x) = \varepsilon^2/3, \quad \partial F(x)/\partial x_1 = -\varepsilon/3$$

for $x = \varepsilon e_1$, and

$$(8.24) \quad F(w) = \varepsilon^2/2, \quad \partial F(w)/\partial w_1 = 0, \quad \partial^2 F(w)/\partial w_1^2 = -1/3$$

$$(8.25) \quad K_n(w) = \partial^2 K_n(w)/\partial w_1^2 = 0, \quad \partial K_n(w)/\partial w_1 = -\delta_{1,n}(\varepsilon^2/3)$$

for $w=0$, where $\delta_{1,n}$ is the Kronecker delta. Summing up these facts, we have

$$(8.26) \quad I_7(\varepsilon) = -\varepsilon(\varphi_j(\varepsilon e_1) - \varphi_j(0))/3 + O(\varepsilon^2) = O(\varepsilon^2).$$

From (8.14), (8.15), (8.16), (8.17), (8.18), (8.19), (8.20) and (8.26), we see that

$$|v_\varepsilon(x) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} v_\varepsilon(x)|_{x=\varepsilon e_1} \leq C(\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^{2+\sigma}).$$

By Proposition 3.2, we have

$$\|v_\varepsilon\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon^4(1 + \varepsilon^{-\sigma}).$$

Therefore, we get the desired Theorem 4.

9. Convergence of eigenvalues for $\sigma < 1$

We put A_0, A_1 as before. Then,

$$(9.1) \quad \tilde{P}_\varepsilon = A_0 + g(\varepsilon)A_1 + h(\varepsilon)A_2 + i(\varepsilon)A_3,$$

where

$$(9.2) \quad (A_2 f)(x) = \langle \nabla_w G(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w (G \mathcal{X}_\varepsilon f)(\tilde{w}) \rangle \mathcal{X}_\varepsilon(x)$$

$$(9.3) \quad (A_3 f)(x) = \langle H_w G(x, \tilde{w}), H_w (G \mathcal{X}_\varepsilon f)(\tilde{w}) \rangle \mathcal{X}_\varepsilon(x).$$

Using (8.11) and (8.12), we have

$$(9.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|A_2 f\|_p &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x - \tilde{w}|^{-2p} dx \right)^{1/p} \|\nabla_w (G \mathcal{X}_\varepsilon f)\|_\infty \\ &\leq \begin{cases} C\varepsilon^{-1} \|f\|_p & (3/2 < p < 3) \\ C\varepsilon^{3/p-2} \|f\|_p & (p > 3), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(9.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|A_3 f\|_p &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x - \tilde{w}|^{-3p} dx \right)^{1/p} \|H_w(G\chi_\varepsilon f)\|_\infty \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{-3} \|f\|_p \quad (p > 1). \end{aligned}$$

Here we put

$$(H_w v)(w) = \sum_{m,n=1}^3 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial w_m \partial w_n}(w).$$

From (5.3), (8.1), (9.1), (9.4) and (9.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\tilde{P}_\varepsilon - G)f\|_2 &\leq C(|g(\varepsilon)| + |h(\varepsilon)|\varepsilon^{-1} + |i(\varepsilon)|\varepsilon^{-3})\|f\|_2 \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^{2-\sigma})\|f\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

hold for any $f \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Therefore we get the following.

Lemma 9.1. *There exists a constant C independent of ε such that*

$$(9.6) \quad \|\tilde{P}_\varepsilon - G\|_2 \leq C(\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^{2-\sigma})$$

holds.

Notice that Lemma 5.2 is valid for $\sigma < 1$ because $(1 - \chi_\varepsilon)\chi_\varepsilon = 0$. As we get (5.8),

$$(9.7) \quad |\mu_j(\varepsilon)^{-1} - \mu_j^{-1}| \leq C(\varepsilon^{3-3/p} + \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^{2-\sigma} + \varepsilon^{3/2}) \leq C(\varepsilon^{3/2} + \varepsilon^{2-\sigma})$$

hold for a constant C independent of ε .

10. Perturbational calculus for \bar{P}_ε

We recall (2.9). Then,

$$(10.1) \quad \bar{P}_\varepsilon = A_0 + \bar{g}(\varepsilon)\bar{A}_1 + h(\varepsilon)\bar{A}_2 + i(\varepsilon)\bar{A}_3,$$

where

$$(10.2) \quad \bar{g}(\varepsilon) = g(\varepsilon) - (4\pi/3)\mu_j\varepsilon^3$$

and $\bar{A}_1, \bar{A}_2, \bar{A}_3$ is given by replacing χ_ε with ξ_ε in (5.2), (9.2), (9.3), respectively.

Furthermore we put $\lambda_0 = \mu_j^{-1}$, $\psi_0 = \varphi_j$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\varepsilon) &= \lambda_0 + \bar{g}(\varepsilon)\lambda_1 + h(\varepsilon)\lambda_2 + i(\varepsilon)\lambda_3 \\ \psi(\varepsilon) &= \psi_0 + \bar{g}(\varepsilon)\psi_1 + h(\varepsilon)\psi_2 + i(\varepsilon)\psi_3. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$(10.3) \quad (A_0 - \lambda_0)\psi_0 = 0, \quad \|\psi_0\|_2 = 1.$$

Next we consider the following equations:

$$(10.4) \quad (A_0 - \lambda_0)\psi_n = (\lambda_n - \bar{A}_n)\psi_0, \quad (\psi_0, \psi_n)_2 = 0 \quad (n=1, 2, 3).$$

By the Fredholm alternative theory, we see that

$$(10.5) \quad \lambda_n = (\bar{A}_n \psi_0, \psi_0)_2 \quad (n=1, 2, 3)$$

is the condition such that the unique solution ψ_n of (10.4) exists.

Since $\xi_\varepsilon = 0$ on $B_{\varepsilon/2}$, $\bar{A}_1, \bar{A}_2, \bar{A}_3$ satisfies the same inequality as in (5.3), (9.4), (9.5), respectively. Then, by the Fredholm theory and the estimate of the $L^p(\Omega)$ norm of the right hand side of (10.4), we get the following.

Lemma 10.1. *For a constant C independent of ε ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_1\|_p, \|\bar{A}_1\|_p &\leq C & (3/2 < p < 3) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{3/p-1} & (p > 3) \\ \|\psi_2\|_p, \|\bar{A}_2\|_p &\leq C\varepsilon^{-1} & (3/2 < p < 3) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{3/p-2} & (p > 3) \\ \|\psi_3\|_p, \|\bar{A}_3\|_p &\leq C\varepsilon^{-3} & (p > 1) \end{aligned}$$

hold.

In view of (10.1), (10.3) and (10.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (10.6) \quad & (\bar{P}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))\psi(\varepsilon) \\ &= \bar{g}(\varepsilon)^2(\bar{A}_1 - \lambda_1)\psi_1 + h(\varepsilon)^2(\bar{A}_2 - \lambda_2)\psi_2 + i(\varepsilon)^2(\bar{A}_3 - \lambda_3)\psi_3 \\ &\quad + \bar{g}(\varepsilon)h(\varepsilon)((\bar{A}_1 - \lambda_1)\psi_2 + (\bar{A}_2 - \lambda_2)\psi_1) \\ &\quad + h(\varepsilon)i(\varepsilon)((\bar{A}_2 - \lambda_2)\psi_3 + (\bar{A}_3 - \lambda_3)\psi_2) \\ &\quad + i(\varepsilon)\bar{g}(\varepsilon)((\bar{A}_3 - \lambda_3)\psi_1 + (\bar{A}_1 - \lambda_1)\psi_3). \end{aligned}$$

By (10.5), (10.6) and Lemma 10.1, we see that

$$\|(\bar{P}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))\psi(\varepsilon)\|_2 \leq C(\bar{g}(\varepsilon)^2 + \varepsilon^4) \leq C\varepsilon^4(1 + \varepsilon^{-2\sigma}).$$

Therefore we get the following.

Lemma 10.2. *For a constant C independent of ε ,*

$$(10.7) \quad \|(\bar{P}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))\psi(\varepsilon)\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^4(1 + \varepsilon^{-2\sigma})$$

holds.

On the other hand, by Lemmas 8.1, 10.1 and Theorem 4, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon)(\chi_\varepsilon \psi(\varepsilon))\|_{2,\varepsilon} \\
& \leq C(\varepsilon^4(1+\varepsilon^{-\sigma}) + |\bar{g}(\varepsilon)|\varepsilon^2 + |h(\varepsilon)|\varepsilon + |i(\varepsilon)|\varepsilon^{-3/p}) \quad (p > 3) \\
& \leq C\varepsilon^4(1+\varepsilon^{-\sigma}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get the following.

Lemma 10.3. *For a constant C independent of ε ,*

$$(10.8) \quad \|(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon)(\chi_\varepsilon \psi(\varepsilon))\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon^4(1+\varepsilon^{-\sigma})$$

holds.

11. Proof of Theorem 5

We put

$$(11.1) \quad J_\varepsilon(x; v) = (\chi_\varepsilon \bar{\mathbf{P}}_\varepsilon v - \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon v)(x)$$

for $v \in L^p(\Omega)$.

Then, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
(11.2) \quad \Delta J_\varepsilon(x; v) &= 0 & x \in \Omega_\varepsilon \\
J_\varepsilon(x; v) &= 0 & x \in \partial\Omega.
\end{aligned}$$

As we get (8.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(11.3) \quad J_\varepsilon(x; v) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} J_\varepsilon(x; v)|_{x=\varepsilon e_1} \\
= \sum_{n=9}^{11} I_n(\varepsilon; v) - k\varepsilon^\sigma (I_{12}(\varepsilon; v) + I_{13}(\varepsilon; v)),
\end{aligned}$$

where $I_9(\varepsilon; v)$ is given by $I_0(\varepsilon; \hat{f})$ in (8.9) with $f = \xi_\varepsilon \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon v = (\xi_\varepsilon - \chi_\varepsilon)v$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{10}(\varepsilon; v) &= (\mathbf{G} \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon v)(x) - (\mathbf{G} \xi_\varepsilon \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon v)(\tilde{w}) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} (\mathbf{G} \xi_\varepsilon \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon v)(\tilde{w}) \\
I_{11}(\varepsilon; v) &= -(4\pi/3) \mu_j \varepsilon^3 G(x, \tilde{w}) (\mathbf{G} \xi_\varepsilon v)(\tilde{w}) \\
I_{12}(\varepsilon; v) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (\mathbf{G} \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon v)(x) - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} \right) (\mathbf{G} \xi_\varepsilon \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon v)(\tilde{w}) \\
I_{13}(\varepsilon; v) &= -(4\pi/3) \mu_j \varepsilon^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} G(x, \tilde{w}) (\mathbf{G} \xi_\varepsilon v)(\tilde{w})
\end{aligned}$$

for $\tilde{w} = 0$, $x = \varepsilon e_1$.

It is easy to see that

$$(11.4) \quad |I_9(\varepsilon; v)| \leq C(\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^{3+\sigma}) \|v\|_p \quad (p > 3)$$

$$(11.5) \quad |I_{11}(\varepsilon; v)| \leq C\varepsilon^2 \|v\|_p \quad (p > 3/2)$$

$$(11.6) \quad |I_{13}(\varepsilon; v)| \leq C\varepsilon \|v\|_p \quad (p > 3/2).$$

We have

$$(11.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |I_{10}(\varepsilon; v)| &\leq C \left(\int_{B_\varepsilon} |x-y|^{-p'} dy \right)^{1/p'} \|v\|_p \\ &\quad + C \left(\int_{B_\varepsilon} |\tilde{w}-y|^{-p'} dy \right)^{1/p'} \|v\|_p \\ &\quad + C\varepsilon \left(\int_{B_\varepsilon} |\tilde{w}-y|^{-2p'} dy \right)^{1/p'} \|v\|_p \\ &\leq \begin{cases} C\varepsilon^{2-3/p} \|v\|_p & (3/2 < p < \infty) \\ C\varepsilon^2 \|v\|_\infty & (p = \infty), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(11.8) \quad \begin{aligned} |I_{12}(\varepsilon; v)| &\leq C \left(\int_{B_\varepsilon} |x-y|^{-2p'} dy \right)^{1/p'} \|v\|_p \\ &\quad + C \left(\int_{B_\varepsilon} |\tilde{w}-y|^{-2p'} dy \right)^{1/p'} \|v\|_p \\ &\quad + C\varepsilon \left(\int_{B_\varepsilon} |\tilde{w}-y|^{-3p'} dy \right)^{1/p'} \|v\|_p \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{1-3/p} \|v\|_p \quad (3 < p < \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Summing up these facts, we have

$$|J_\varepsilon(x; v) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} J_\varepsilon(x; v)|_{x=\varepsilon e_1} \leq C\varepsilon^{1+\sigma-3/p} \|v\|_p$$

for $p > 3$. By Proposition 3.2, we get the following.

Lemma 11.1. *There exists a constant C independent of ε such that*

$$(11.9) \quad \|J_\varepsilon(\cdot; v)\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon^{3-3/p} \|v\|_p$$

holds for any $v \in L^p(\Omega)$ ($p > 3$).

Next we estimate $\|J_\varepsilon(\cdot; \varphi_j)\|_{2,\varepsilon}$. We see that

$$(11.10) \quad I_{12}(\varepsilon; \varphi_j) = I_4(\varepsilon) + \sum_{n=14}^{16} I_n(\varepsilon),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{14}(\varepsilon) &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} \right) (\mathbf{S}(1 - \xi_\varepsilon) \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon \varphi_j)(\tilde{w}) \\ I_{15}(\varepsilon) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} \int_{B_\varepsilon} L(w, y) (1 - \xi_\varepsilon(y)) \varphi_j(y) dy|_{w=0} \\ I_{16}(\varepsilon) &= \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} \int_{B_\varepsilon} L(w, y) (1 - \xi_\varepsilon(y)) \varphi_j(y) dy|_{w=0}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $S(x, y) \in C^\infty(\Omega \times \Omega)$,

$$(11.11) \quad |I_{14}(\varepsilon)| \leq C\varepsilon^2.$$

In section 8, we have already showed the following.

$$(11.12) \quad |I_4(\varepsilon)| \leq C\varepsilon^2$$

$$(11.13) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} \int_{B_\varepsilon} L(w, y) \varphi_j(y) dy = O(\varepsilon^2)$$

$$(11.14) \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} \int_{B_\varepsilon} L(w, y) \varphi_j(y) dy = -(1/3) \varphi_j(\tilde{w}) + O(\varepsilon^2)$$

for $w = \tilde{w} = 0$.

On the other hand, we see that

$$\Delta_w \int_{B_\varepsilon} L(w, y) \xi_\varepsilon(y) dy = -(\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon \xi_\varepsilon)(w).$$

Since $\xi_\varepsilon(w) = 0$ for $w \in B_{\varepsilon/2}$ and $\xi_\varepsilon(w)$ is rotationary invariant, we have

$$(11.15) \quad \int_{B_\varepsilon} L(w, y) \xi_\varepsilon(y) dy = \text{Constant} = O(\varepsilon^2) \quad \text{for } w \in B_{\varepsilon/2}$$

and

$$(11.16) \quad |\xi_\varepsilon(y)| = |\xi_\varepsilon(y) - \xi_\varepsilon(w)| \leq C\varepsilon^{-1} |y - w|$$

for $w \in B_{\varepsilon/2}$, $y \in B_\varepsilon$.

Therefore, we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned} (11.16) \quad & \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} \int_{B_\varepsilon} L(w, y) \xi_\varepsilon(y) \varphi_j(y) dy|_{w=0} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} \int_{B_\varepsilon} L(w, y) \xi_\varepsilon(y) (\varphi_j(y) - \varphi_j(w)) dy|_{w=0} \\ & \quad + \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} (\varphi_j(w) \int_{B_\varepsilon} L(w, y) \xi_\varepsilon(y) dy)|_{w=0} \\ &= O(\varepsilon^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (11.17) \quad & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} \int_{B_\varepsilon} L(w, y) \xi_\varepsilon(y) \varphi_j(y) dy|_{w=0} \\ &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} \int_{B_\varepsilon} L(w, y) \xi_\varepsilon(y) (\varphi_j(y) - \varphi_j(w)) dy|_{w=0} \\ & \quad + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} (\varphi_j(w) \int_{B_\varepsilon} L(w, y) \xi_\varepsilon(y) dy)|_{w=0} \\ &= O(\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Summing up these facts, we have

$$(11.18) \quad I_{12}(\varepsilon; \varphi_j) = -(\varepsilon/3)\varphi_j(\tilde{w}) + O(\varepsilon^2).$$

It is easy to see that

$$(11.19) \quad I_{13}(\varepsilon; \varphi_j) = (\varepsilon/3)\varphi_j(\tilde{w}) + O(\varepsilon^2).$$

Thus, by (11.3), (11.4), (11.5), (11.7), (11.18) and (11.19), we have

$$|J_\varepsilon(x; \varphi_j) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} J_\varepsilon(x; \varphi_j)|_{x=\varepsilon e_1} \leq C(\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^{2+\sigma}).$$

By Proposition 3.2, we get the desired Theorem 5.

Furthermore, we have the following.

Lemma 11.2. *There exists a constant C independent of ε such that*

$$(11.20) \quad \|J_\varepsilon(\cdot; \psi(\varepsilon))\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon^4(1 + \varepsilon^{-\sigma})$$

holds.

Proof. We recall that $\psi(\varepsilon) = \varphi_j + \bar{g}(\varepsilon)\psi_1 + h(\varepsilon)\psi_2 + i(\varepsilon)\psi_3$. We put $p > 3$ in Lemma 10.1. Then, (11.20) easily follows from Lemmas 10.1, 11.1 and Theorem 5. q.e.d.

REMARK. By neglecting $I_{11}(\varepsilon; v)$ and $I_{13}(\varepsilon; v)$ in (11.13), we have

$$(11.21) \quad \|(\chi_\varepsilon \hat{P}_\varepsilon - P_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon)\varphi_j\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon^3,$$

where

$$\hat{P}_\varepsilon = \bar{P}_\varepsilon + (4\pi/3)\mu_j \varepsilon^3 \bar{A}_1.$$

Since the remainder term of an asymptotic formula (1.4) is $O(\varepsilon^4)$ for $\sigma \leq -2$, the estimate (11.21) is weak in the sense that the right hand side is $O(\varepsilon^3)$. Therefore, the existence of the term $(4\pi/3)\mu_j \varepsilon^3 G(x, \tilde{w})G(\tilde{w}, y)\xi_\varepsilon(x)\xi_\varepsilon(y)$ in (2.9) is essential to get Theorem 2.

12. Proof of Theorem 2

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 2. As in section 7, by Lemmas 10.2, 10.3 and 11.2, we have

$$\|(G_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))(\chi_\varepsilon \psi(\varepsilon))\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon^4(1 + \varepsilon^{-2\sigma}).$$

Since $\|\psi(\varepsilon)\|_{2,\varepsilon} \in (1/2, 2)$ for small ε , there exists at least one eigenvalue $\lambda^*(\varepsilon)$ of G_ε satisfying

$$(12.1) \quad |\lambda^*(\varepsilon) - \lambda(\varepsilon)| \leq C\varepsilon^4(1 + \varepsilon^{-2\sigma}).$$

We here represent $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ explicitly as follows.

$$(12.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= \left(\int_{\Omega} G(w, y) \xi_{\varepsilon}(y) \varphi_j(y) dy \right)_{|w=\tilde{w}}^2 \\ &= \mu_j^{-2} \varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2 + O(\varepsilon^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$(12.3) \quad \lambda_2 = \sum_{n=1}^3 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} \int_{\Omega} G(w, y) \xi_{\varepsilon}(y) \varphi_j(y) dy \right)_{|w=\tilde{w}}^2$$

$$(12.4) \quad \lambda_3 = \sum_{m,n=1}^3 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_m \partial w_n} \int_{\Omega} G(w, y) \xi_{\varepsilon}(y) \varphi_j(y) dy \right)_{|w=\tilde{w}}^2$$

Since $\xi_{\varepsilon}(y)=0$ for $y \in B_{\varepsilon/2}$,

$$(12.5) \quad |\lambda_3| \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\varepsilon/2}} |y - \tilde{w}|^{-3} dy \right)^2 \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^2.$$

On the other hand, by (8.25) and (11.15), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} \int_{\Omega} G(w, y) (1 - \xi_{\varepsilon}(y)) \varphi_j(y) dy \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} \int_{\Omega} S(w, y) (1 - \xi_{\varepsilon}(y)) \varphi_j(y) dy \\ & \quad + \frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} \int_{\Omega} L(w, y) (1 - \xi_{\varepsilon}(y)) (\varphi_j(y) - \varphi_j(w)) dy \\ & \quad + \frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} (\varphi_j(w) \int_{\Omega} L(w, y) (1 - \xi_{\varepsilon}(y)) dy) \\ &= O(\varepsilon) \quad (n=1, 2, 3) \end{aligned}$$

for $w=\tilde{w}=0$.

Thus, we have

$$(12.6) \quad \lambda_2 = \mu_j^{-2} |\text{grad } \varphi_j(\tilde{w})|^2 + O(\varepsilon).$$

From (12.2), (12.5) and (12.6),

$$(12.7) \quad \lambda(\varepsilon) = \mu_j^{-1} - \mu_j^{-2} (Q_j \varepsilon^{2-\sigma} + R_j \varepsilon^3) + O(\varepsilon^{3-2\sigma} + \varepsilon^{4-\sigma} + \varepsilon^4),$$

where Q_j, R_j are as mentioned before.

By (12.1), (12.7) and the fact (9.7), we see that $\lambda^*(\varepsilon)$ must be $\mu_j(\varepsilon)^{-1}$. Then,

$$(12.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\mu_j(\varepsilon)^{-1} - \mu_j^{-1} (1 - \mu_j^{-1} (Q_j \varepsilon^{2-\sigma} + R_j \varepsilon^3))| \\ & \leq C(\varepsilon^{3-2\sigma} + \varepsilon^4) \end{aligned}$$

holds.

Theorem 2 easily follows from (12.8).

References

- [1] J.M. Arrieta, J. Hale and Q. Han: *Eigenvalue problems for nonsmoothly perturbed domains*, J. Diff. Equations, **91** (1991), 24–52.
- [2] G. Besson: *Comportement asymptotique des valeurs propres du laplacien dans un domaine avec un trou*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **113** (1985), 211–239.
- [3] I. Chavel and E.A. Feldman: *Spectra of manifolds less a small domain*, Duke Math. J., **56** (1988), 399–414.
- [4] S. Jimbo: *The singularly perturbed domain and the characterization for the eigenfunctions with Neumann boundary condition*, J. Diff. Equations, **77** (1989), 322–350.
- [5] S. Jimbo and Y. Morita: *Remarks on the behavior of certain eigenvalues on a singularly perturbed domain with several thin channels*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **17** (1992), 523–552.
- [6] S. Kaizu: *The Robin problems on domains with many tiny holes*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A, **61** (1985), 39–42.
- [7] S. Kaizu: *The Poisson equation with non-autonomous semilinear boundary conditions in domains with many tiny holes*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **22** (1991), 1222–1245.
- [8] S. Ozawa: *Electrostatic capacity and eigenvalues of the Laplacian*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sec IA, **30** (1983), 53–62.
- [9] S. Ozawa: *Spectra of domains with small spherical Neumann boundary*, Ibid., **30** (1983), 259–277.
- [10] S. Ozawa: *Singular variation of domain and spectra of the Laplacian with small Robin conditional boundary I*, to appear in Osaka J. Math.
- [11] S. Ozawa, S. Roppongi: *Singular variation of domain and spectra of the Laplacian with small Robin conditional boundary II*, Kodai Math. J., **15** (1992), 403–429.
- [12] J. Rauch, M. Taylor: *Potential and scattering theory on wildly perturbed domains*, J. Funct. Anal., **18** (1975), 27–59.

Department of Mathematics
 Faculty of Sciences
 Tokyo Institute of Technology
 O-okayama, Meguro-ku,
 Tokyo 152
 Japan

