

Title	DAFTAR-KÂNA-YE HOMÂYÛN (SUPREME SECRETARIAT), ESPECIALLY IN SAFAVID IRAN (10TH~12TH CENTURIES)
Author(s)	Rajabzadeh, Hashem
Citation	大阪外国語大学論集. 1992, 7, p. 169-177
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://hdl.handle.net/11094/79572
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

# DAFTAR-<u>K</u>ÂNA-YE HOMÂYÛN (SUPREME SECRETARIAT), ESPECIALLY IN SAFAVID IRAN (10TH~12TH CENTURIES)

Hashem RAJABZADEH

## ABSTRACT

The establishment of the Supreme Secretariat in the Islamic empire is attributed to 'Omar, the Second Caliph (ruled 634~644 A.D.), who organized an administration of public finance after the Sasanid tradition. The earliest mention of the Daftar-kana dates to the 14th Century.

In the time of II-khans (14th Century), the head of the financial chamber was assisted by two high officials: the mošref al-mamâlek and the nâzer al-mamâlek.

In Safavid period (1502~1736) the bureaucracy was headed by the Grand Minister, under whom a group of officials were in charge of branches of the minister's department, called daftar-kâna. The actual operation of the financial machine was in the hands of a large staff, including Nâzer-e Daftar-kâna and Dâruga-ye Daftar-kâna, under the comptroller of Finance (Mostawfi al-mamâlek).

In the reign of Afsâr kings, especially Nâder Shâh, and later on at the time of Zands (18th Century), the main features of the Safavid administration, including the Daftar-kâna, were maintained.

Under the Qâjârs(1797~1925) the departments of the Royal Secretariats were still existing, though it had lost its true functions.

#### BACKGROUND

Daftar-<u>k</u>âna (Secretariat) was an important part of the administration n Iran until the end of the 19th Century. New sources, however, have described it simply as "a part of the Supreme Court (divân) during the Safavid period... headed by the Superintendent (nâzer) of the Supreme Royal Secretariat who had nine scribes working under him" (Dehkodâ, under "Daftar-<u>k</u>âna-ye Homâyûn").

The establishment of the Supreme Secretariat in the Islamic Empire is attributed to 'Omar, the Second Caliph, who, following the advice of one of his Iranian commanders, organized an administration of public finance, called the "divân", after the Sasanid tradition (Ebn-e Taqtaqi,pp.12-13. See also Encyclopaedia of Islam, under "Daftar"; Mâwardi, p.191; and Rajabzādeh, p.184).

The earliest mention of the Daftar-kâna dates to the 14th Century. The author of <u>Dastûr al-kâteb</u> devotes a chapter of his book, titled "assigning the duties of the ministers and the officials of the Great Court", to "Daftar-dâri-ye mamâlek (book-keeping of the great divisions of Persia)". In this work he gives credit to <u>K</u>âja Šams al-Din Jovaini, the Great Minister (Şâheb-divân) for assigning a Royal Secretary (daftar-dâr-e divîn) to the Mongol court. Jovaini also allocated the revenues of some crown lands (moze-e divânî) for the Secretariat's use; "... and since the Secretariat (daftar-kâna) was in perfect order, the ministers and high officials of the great provinces (mamalek) had most often their office in the Secretariat" (Nakjavânî, vol. [], pp. 125-31).

In the time of Il-khans the mostawfi al-mamâlek, the head of the financial chamber, was assisted by two high officials, although both were of lesser rank: the mošref al-mamâlek and the nâzer al-mamâlek. "Obviously, in directing the financial administration the primary function of the trio was to exercise mutual control." In the Safavid nâzer-e daftar-kâna-ye homâyun-e a'lâ we can recognize the older nâzer al-mamâlek; and the mošref al-mamâlek was presumably the prototype of the Safavid dâruğa-ye daftar-kâna" (Fragner, p. 554). Dastur al-kâteb (14th Century) has many references to the positions of the nâzer-e mamâlek and the mostawfi-ye mamâlek (see Nakjavâni, vol. [, pp. 67-70, 108-109 &111-13).

### DAFTAR-KÂNA IN SAFAVID PERIOD

The bureaucracy was headed by the Grand Minister (wazir), under whom a group of officials of lesser ranks were in charge of branches of the minister's department, called daftar-kâna.

The actual operation of the financial machine was in the hands of a large staff of accountants, clerks, tax-collectors and financial agents under the control of the Comptroller of Finance (Mostawfi al-mamâlek). Mostawfi al-mamalek: The Comptroller of Finance was the highest financial official of the Chancery (divân). T.M. describes him as one of the Great Masters (omarâ-ye 'ezâm) enjoying the title of 'Âli-jâh and whose activities (šogl va 'amal) were very important (T.M., pp.43 & 54). "All operations (dâd va setad) with the Divân revenue on the territory of the God-protected provinces (mamâlek) must be carried out in accordance with the instructions which the Mostawfi al-mamâlek writes from his office to Grand Wazir under whose orders the mostawfi was acting, was the real Minister of Finance (idem, p. 122), but in fact, most of the technical financial activity laid on the shoulders of the mostawfi. French travellers usually call the offices of the Mostawfi al-mamâlek and Mostawfi-ye kâşşa (the comptroller of crown land), "chambres des comptes." Sansom considers the Mostawfi al-mamâlek as the deputy Grand Minister and the first minister (Sansom, p.45).

The approval of the Mostawfi al-mamâlek was required before an order (raqam) of appointment of scribes (moharrerân) in the Royal Secretariat (daftar-kâna-ye homâyûn) was issued on the strength of a recommendation (ta'liqča) by the Grand Minister (idem, p.45). Aditionally, all mostawfis of the provinces were appointed with the Mostofi al-mamâlek's approval. He also prosecuted (bâz-kâst) in cases of offences committed by the scribes (moharrers) of the Chancery (divân) (idem, pp.54-55).

## OFFICIALS OF THE DAFTAR-KÂNA

The principal officials under the Mostawfi al-mamâlek were: Nâzer-e Daftar-<u>k</u>âna, Dâruğa-ye Daftar-<u>k</u>âna. Şâḥeb-tawjih,  $\hat{z}$ âbeta-nevis, and the Keepers of the avâraja books (T.M., P.122).

<u>T.M.</u> (pp.71-78. See also <u>D.M.</u>, pp.482-83) in a chapter "On the function of the wazirs and mostawfis of the Royal Secretariat (daftar-kana), who are in charge of the income (jam') and expenditure (<u>karj</u>), and on those of Dâruğa, Azab-bâši, etc. lists the following officials:

(1) Nâzer: <u>D.M.</u> describes the Nâzer (superintendent) and Dâruğa of the Royal Secretariat as those who enjoy the title of moqarrab al-hażra and have the privilage of sitting in the Paradaisic Assembly (majles-e behešt-â'in) P. 491). From the description given in <u>T.M.</u> on the duties of the Nâzer, Minorsky concludes that the official was the head of the Royal Supreme Secretariat (<u>T.M.</u>, p. 141). According to Kaempfer, the Nâzer was the head of three financial departments and performed the duties of accounting office (Kaempfer, pp. 110-11). The Nâzer was appointed by the king and received some 225 tumâns of fees and salary (T.M., Pp.88-89).

Nâzer-e Daftarkâna was different from Nâzere Boyûtât. The latter was the superintendent of Royal Workshops (boyûtât-e kâṣṣe-ye šarifa), of which there were eventually about thirty-three (Savory, p. 354). Our sources make a clear distinction betweenthe Royal Workshops (boyûtât) and the Royal Secretariat (daftar-kâna), headed by Nâzer-e Boyûtât and Nâzer-e Daftar-kâna respectively (e.g. see T.M., pp. 37-38, 48-50 & 71-72). Some scholars, however, have mistakan one for the other. On the duties of the Nâzer-e Daftar-kâna, Minorsky writes: " Chardin thought that the Nâzer, appointed by the Shah, controlled the activities of the grand Wazir" (T.M. p. 140), whereas the official in question to whom Chardin has made frequent references was the Nâzer-e Boyûtât.

In his description of the crown ( $\underline{k}$ âṣṣa) and public (mamâlek) accountkeeping system , Chardin distinguishes between the two: "the task is performed in two main centres, namely Daftar-<u>k</u>âna-ye <u>K</u>âṣṣa and Daftar-<u>k</u>âna-ye Mamâlek... each consisting of three main branches, with some sixty scribes and their supervisors" (Chardin, vol. <u>M</u>, pp.310-12). The writer names the three branches of each division as Kâleṣa, Tawjih, and Laškar.

The same distinction is made by Kaempfer who explains that the revenues of the state and those of the court are collected by two mostawfis (high financial officials), called Mostawfi al-mamâlek and Mostawfi-ye <u>k</u>âşşa respectively (Kaempfer, pp.109-10).

Nâzer-e Boyûtât (kâșșa) was in rank higher than Nâzer-e Daftar-kâna, and equal to Mostawfi al-mamâlek. T.M. describes the position of Nâzer-e Boyûtât and Mostawfi al-mamâlek together with the Grand Wazir in Chapter [, "On the Great Amirs called 'Âli-jâh (the highest dignitaries of State and Court), while "the duties of the Moqarrab al-hažra al-alliyya al-âliya Nâzer-e Daftar-kâna-ye Homâyûn-e Alâ" are described in Chapter [], "On Financial Officers and Secretaries".

(2) Dârûga: The Dâruga-ye Daftar-kâna was second to the Nâzer within the Royal Secretariat. He used to seal many financial documents as well as the orders of employment for all army ranks. He also used to prosecute all the agents ('âmels) of the Chancery (divân) whose accounts were then cleared by the Nâzer of the Secretariat (idem, pp.71-72). The duties of the Dâruga, as described by the contemporary sources, generally were:

A) To supervise the lower staff (amala) of the Secretariat;

B) To seal the inside (Zemn) of all financial documents issued by or processed through the Secretariat, and;

C) To prosecute all the agents, tax-collectors and other debtors whose accounts needed to be cleared by the Nâzer and the Mostawfis (accountants) of the Secretariat (see D.M., p. 426 and Kaempfer, p. 111).

The Dârûğa was appointed by the king , and the historical sources indicate that he was sometimes assigned to some extraordinary missions as an envoy, executioner, mediator, etc. (see, for example, Eskandar beg, pp. 296, 324, 459, 468, 616 & 633).

The Dârûğa received up to 320 tumâns or more in salary and toyûl, plus local fees (T.M., p. 90). This amount shows that he was of considerable rank. He worked in association with the Nâzer of the Secretariat, but he was subordinate to the Mostawfi al-mamâlek (T.M., p. 141).

(3) & (4) Wazir and Mostawfi of the Qûrčiyân (Royal Guards) Department.
(5) & (6) Wazir and Mostawfi of the Golâmân (non-Moslem soldiers)

Department.

(7) & (8) Wazir and Mostawfi of the Tofangčiyân (Musketeers) Department.

(9) & (10) Wazir and Mostawfi of the Tupkâna (Artillery) Department.

(11) & (12) The Laškar-nevis and the Sar-katt-nevis of the Supreme Divân. These last two were the Wazir and the Mostawfi of the Ešik-âqâsi-bâši Department (the senior officer of all the aids-de-camps), respectively (<u>T.M.</u>, P. 47 & 141-42). The Laškar-nevis wrote and sealed the appointment orders (raqam) for the whole staff of the Divân, from the great amirs down to the workers in the workshops. The four principal corps of army had their own Wazirs and Mostawfis (Nos.3 to 10 above), but the Laškar-nevis appointed his own representative to armies on expedition.

Both Chardin (vol.W, pp. 311-12) and Kaempfer (pp. 109-10) consider the office of the Laškar-nevis as the third division of the Divân-e mamâlek.

The Sar-kaţţ-nevis was associated with the Laškar-nevis as a mostawfi to a wazir, but practically speaking, their association was limited to affairs of the Ešik-âqâsi-baši's department (T.M. p.142).

(13) Žâbeta-nevis: According to T.M., this official dealt with all the revenues, with the exception of what passed through the Private Department (sarkâr-e kâșșa) and the avâreja (accounting) books. Minorsky surmises that avâreja-books registered the land taxes based on some kind of survey, while the zâbeta books contained any other kind of revenue (idem, pp. 142-43).

In naming the staff of the Royal Secretariat, 'Alam-ârâ referes to "Mîr abû Torâb-e Naţanzi, the Mostawfi-ye mâl, an office which is now also called Żâbeta-nevîs and mofrada-nevîs" (Eskandar beg, p. 258).

(14) §âheb-tawjih: Minorsky concludes that the office of tawjih was the Pay Department (<u>T.M.</u>, p. 143). The official had, however, a kind of auditing duty. The "'Âli-ḥażrat Şâḥeb-tawjih" (idem, p. 42) was under the Mostawfi al-mamâlek. "Among other duties, the Şâḥeb-tawjih kept the Royal instructions defining the tarrifs of various casual levies and saw that they should not be arbitrarily increased" (idem, p. 143. See also Kaempfer, p. 110).

According to D.M. (p. 440), in 1712 the office had 16 scribes. T.M., however, puts the number at 12.

(15) Daftar-dâr-e Daftar-kâne-ye Homâyûn: The principal duty of the Archivist of the Royal Secretariat was to keep the archives of documents belonging to departments. He had his share of emoluments in the rosûm levied from the amirs, etc (T.M., p. 144).

(16) <sup>Azab-bâši:</sup> This officer was in charge of the lower personnel (azabân va farrâšân) of the Secretariat, apparently under the orders of the Dârûga-ye Daftar-<u>k</u>âna.

(17): Žâbeţ-e došulluk-e wakil: "This Žâbeţ (Collector) was appointed during the abeyance of the office of the Wakîl-e Dîwân-e A'lâ in order to collect the fees appertaining to the said office" (idem).

(18) Avâraja-nevisân (keepers of avâraja books): According to Minorsky, the term avâraja (avâraj, avâra) is a very old Islamic (and probably Sasanian) accountancy term. The Avâraja-nevises were under the Mostawfi al-mamâlek's orders. In T.M. reference is made to the Avâraja-nevises of the four "great divisions of Persia (Iraq, Åzarbâyjân and Shirvân, Fârs, Kermân)" and an Avâraja-nevis of the Mines, each taking care of the accounts for their relevant division. The Avâraja-nevises were immediately under Mostawfi al-mamâlek, and in his absence, each of whom were the mostawfi of his own Department" (idem, p. 145). During the reign of Shah Tahmâsb (1524~1576), for a while, the duties of Mostawfi al-mamâlek were placed in the hands of Avâraja-nevisân (Eskandar Beg, p. 254).

### DAFTAR-KÂNA AFTER THE SAFAVID

In the reign of the Afšår kings, especially Nåder Shah, and later on at the time of the Zands, distinguished by the rule of Karim <u>K</u>ân, under whom law and order were prevalent, the main features of the Safavid administration, including the Daftar-<u>k</u>âna, were maintained. That these offices existed until the later part of the Qajar period is verified by several sources. The author of <u>the History of Nâder Shah</u> makes many references to Laškar-nevis, the Mostawfis of the four great divisions of Persia (Mohammad Kâzem, vol.  $\parallel$ , pp. 33-35 & vol.  $\parallel$ , p. 72), the position of the Superintendent of the Royal Wororkshops (idem, vol.  $\parallel$ , pp. 451-53),

#### DAFTAR-KÂNA-YE HOMÂYÛM (SUPREME SECRETARIAT), ESPECIALLY IN SAFAVID IRAN (10TH~12TH CENTURIES)

and the Mostawfi al-mamâlek (idem, p. 458). Mirzâ Moḥammad-e Širâzî also referes to the Mostawfi of Fars and the Žâbeța-nevis in the administration of Nâder Shah (pp. 23 & 25-26). The office of the Dâruga-ye Daftar-<u>k</u>âna was also existing at this time (see ibid. pp.41-42 and Kâšâni, p. 2).

Under the Qajars the departments of the Royal Secretariat were still existing, though it had lost its true functions of administrating public finances. The author of <u>Târik-e Montazam-e Nâşeri</u> mentions the titles of Grand Wazir and Minister of Interior and Finance (Mostawfi al-mamâlek), Wazir-e Daftar (for the Nâzer-e Daftar-kâna), and Amîn-e Laškar (the senior Laškar-nevis) (E'temâd al-Salţana, pp. 1222-23). Early in the Nâșer al-Din Shâh's reign, Hâj Moḥammad Raḥīm Kân was appointed as the Dârūĝa-ye Daftar-kâna (Bâmdâd, vol.V, p. 248). Later, Nâzem-e Daftar-kâna, and subsequently Wazîr-e Baqâyâ, in the person of 'Ali Âqâ Amîn-hozîr-e štîyânî, were the titles which replaced the Dârûĝa-ye Daftar-kâna. However, 'Emârat-e Daftar-kâna, the public administration building faceing the Royal Citedral (ark) in downtown Tehran, remained a landmark in name.

With the inauguration of Rezâ Shah and the introduction of a new system of public finances, the old administration departments, including the Daftar-<u>k</u>âna, passed into history.

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY:**

M. Bâmdâd, <u>Târîk-e Rejâl-e Iran</u>, 3rd ed., Tehran, 1363 S./ 1984.
J.Chardin, <u>Travels in Persia</u>, London, 1927. Idem., <u>Siyâhat-nâma-ye</u> <u>Chardin</u>, Tr. M. Abbâsî, Tehran, 1345 S./1966. <u>Dastûr al-Molûk</u> (indicated in the text by the initials D.M.), ed. M.T. Dânes-pazûh, <u>MDAT</u>, 1347 S./ 1968. A.A. Dehkodâ, <u>Loĝat-nâma</u>, Tehran, E temâd al-Salţana, <u>Târik-e</u> <u>Montazam-e Nâşerî</u>, ed. M.E. Reżwânî, Tehran, 1364 S./1985. Ebn-e Ţaqţaqi, <u>Târik-e Fakri</u>, tr. M.W. Golpâygânî, Tehran, 1350 S./1971. Eskandar Beg Monši, <u>History of Shah Abbas the Great (Târik-e Âlam-âra-ye Abbâsi)</u>, ed. R.M. Savory, Colorado, 1978. B. Fragner, (The Post mongol)Social and Internal Economic Affairs, <u>The Cambridge History of Iran</u>, vol.6, Cambridge, 1986. S.H. Hoseinî-ye Astarâbâdi, <u>Târik-e Solţâni</u> (az Šeik Şafi ta Shâh Şafi), ed. E. Ešrâqi, Tehran, 1364 S./1985. A. Jawâher-kalâm, Târik-e

Tehrân, 2nd ed., Tehran, 1357 S./1978, Jovaini, Alâ al-Din Atâ-malek. Târik-e Jahangošâi, ed. M. Qazvini, Layden, 1911~17. E. Kaempfer, Safarnâma-ye Kaempfer, tr. K. Jahândâri, 2nd ed., Tehran, 1360 S./1981. Wâge', A.Kašmiri, Bayân-e Lahore, 1970. B. Lewis, "Daftar", in Encyclopaedia of Islam. Mohammad Kâzem, Nâme-ye 'Âlam-ârâ-ye Nâderi, Moscow, 1960. Mohammad Ma'sûm B. Kâjegi-ye Eşfahâni, Kolâsat al-Siyar, Tehran, 1368 S./1988. V. Minorsky, Tadhkirat al-Muluk, A Manual of Safavid Administration, Cambridge, 1943. Mirzâ Moḥammad-e Širāzī, Rūznâma-ye Mirzâ Mohammad Kalântar-e Fârs, ed. 'A. Eqbâl, Tehran, 1325 S./1946. M. Nakjavâni, Dastûr al-Kâteb fi Ta'iin al-Marâteb, ed. A. A. Alizadeh, Moscow, 1964. H. Rajabzadeh, Âyin-e kešwardâri-ye Rašid al-Din fažl al-Lâh, Tehran, 1355 S. /1976. P. Raphaël du Mans, Estat do la Perse en 1660, Paris, 1890. K.M. Röhrborn, Nezâm-e Ayâlât dar dawre-ye Şafaviya, tr. K. Jahândâri,, Tehran, 1357 S./1978. Sansom, Safar-nâma, tr. T. Tafazzoli, Tehran, 1346 S./1967. R.M. Savory, The Safavid Administrative System, The Cambridge History of Iran, vol.6, Cambridge, 1986. Tadkerat al-Molûk (indicated in the text by the initials T.M.), ed. M. Dabîr-siyûqi, Tehran, 1332 S./1954.

(1992.5.12受理)