

Title	Radicals of group algebras
Author(s)	Tsushima, Yukio
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 4(1) P.179-P.182
Issue Date	1967
Text Version	publisher
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/7995">https://doi.org/10.18910/7995</a>
DOI	10.18910/7995
rights	
Note	

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/repo/ouka/all/>

## RADICALS OF GROUP ALGEBRAS

YUKIO TSUSHIMA

(Received December 9, 1966)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ ,  $G$  be a finite group whose order is divisible by  $p$  and  $H$  be its normal subgroup. By  $\mathfrak{R}$  and  $\mathfrak{R}$  we denote the radical of the group algebra  $kG$  and  $kH$  respectively. We know  $\mathfrak{R} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  by the theorem of Clifford [1]. Hence  $\mathfrak{L} = kG \cdot \mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{R} \cdot kG$  is a two sided ideal of  $kG$  contained in  $\mathfrak{R}$ . We investigate in this note some properties between  $\mathfrak{R}$  and  $\mathfrak{L}$ , (especially when  $[G:H]=p$ ) and also we show if  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable,  $\mathfrak{R}^{p^n} = 0$ , where  $p^n$  is the order of a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $G$ . Throughout this note, we adhere to the above notation and the following conventions; modules are finitely generated left modules,  $\otimes = \otimes_{kH}$ , and for a positive integer  $e$  and a module  $M$ ,  $eM$  means a direct sum of  $e$  copies of  $M$ . And finally, if  $M$  is a  $kG$ -module,  $M_H$  is the  $kH$ -module obtained by restricting the operators to  $kH$ .

The author is indebted to H. Nagao and M. Harada for their directions and for a generalization of his original result.

**2. Lemma 1.** *Let  $M$  be an irreducible  $kG$ -module. If  $kH$ -module  $N$  is a composition factor of  $M_H$ , then  $M$  is a composition factor of  $N^G = kG \otimes N$ .*

*Proof.*  $\text{Hom}_{kG}(N^G, M) \cong \text{Hom}_{kH}(N, M)$ . The right hand side is not 0, since  $N$  is a direct summand of  $M_H$ . So there is a  $kG$ -epimorphism  $N^G \rightarrow M$ , which shows our assertion.

Here we recall the theorem of Clifford [1].

Let  $N$  be any  $kH$ -module. A conjugate of  $N$  means  $g \otimes N (\subset kG \otimes N)$ , considered naturally as  $kH$ -module, where  $g \in G$ . The inertia group of  $N$ , denoted by  $H^*(N)$ , means  $H^*(N) = \{g \in G \mid g \otimes N \cong N \text{ as } kH\text{-modules}\} \supset H$ .

Let  $M$  be an irreducible  $kG$ -module and  $N$  be any irreducible  $kH$ -submodule of  $M_H$ . Then we have  $M_H = e(N_1 \oplus N_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_r)$ , where the  $N_i$ 's are non isomorphic conjugates of  $N_1 = N$ ,  $r = [G:H^*(N)]$ , and  $e$  is a positive integer.

**Lemma 2.** *We use the above notation. If  $H^*(N) = H$ , then we have  $N^G \cong M$ , equivalently, if the inertia group of an irreducible  $kH$ -module  $N$  is  $H$  itself, then  $N^G$  is also irreducible.*

*Proof.*  $r = [G:H]$  by the assumption. From lemma 1  $\dim N^G \geq \dim M$ .

On the other hand,  $\dim N^G = [G:H] \dim N$  and  $\dim M = e \dim N = e[G:H] \dim N$ . Therefore, we have  $\dim N^G = \dim M$ , that is  $N^G \cong M$  and  $e=1$ .

**Proposition 1.** *If  $[G:H]$  is prime to  $p$ , then  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{R}$ .*

Proof. It is well known that in this case  $kG$  is just a semisimple extension of  $kH$ . In other words, any  $kG$ -module is  $(kG, kH)$ -projective in the sense of Hochschild [5]. And so  $kG/\mathfrak{R}$  is also a semisimple extension of  $kH/\mathfrak{R}$  by [6]. However,  $kH/\mathfrak{R}$  is a semisimple algebra in an usual sense, so is  $kG/\mathfrak{R}$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{R}$ .

3. In the section, we assume  $k$  is a splitting field for  $kG$  and  $[G:H] = p$ . Hence for any  $kH$ -module  $N$ , its inertia group is  $H$  or  $G$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $N$  be any irreducible  $kH$ -module. Then  $N^G$  is either irreducible or its composition factors are all isomorphic to each other, and the number of them is equal to  $p$ . More precisely, the former case holds if  $H^*(N) = H$ , and the latter holds if  $H^*(N) = G$ .*

Proof. Anyway, there exists an irreducible  $kG$ -module  $M$  such that  $N$  is a composition factor of  $M_H$ . If  $H^*(N) = H$ , then we have  $N^G \cong M$  by lemma 2. If  $H^*(N) = G$ , then  $M = eN$  (since  $r=1$ ). Suppose  $M$  appears  $a$  times as a composition factor of  $N^G$ , then  $a \neq 0$  from lemma 1.

We have  $\dim N^G \geq a \dim M \geq ae \dim N$ , that is  $p \dim N \geq ae \dim N$ . On the other hand, the group character of  $N^G$ , as is easily to be shown, is 0. However the distinct irreducible characters of  $G$  are linearly independent over  $k$ , since  $k$  is a splitting field for  $G$ . Hence we have  $p|a$ . Combining with the above inequality, we have  $p \geq ae \geq p$ , that is  $a=p, e=1$  and  $\dim N^G = p \dim NM$ . This completes the proof.

REMARK. From the proof, we know for any irreducible  $kG$ -module  $M, M_H$  is either irreducible or its decomposed into a direct sum of non isomorphic irreducible  $kH$ -modules.

Now let  $\{U_1 \cdots U_s, V_1 \cdots V_t\}$  be the full set of non isomorphic irreducible  $kH$ -modules in which we assume  $H^*(U_i) = H$ , and  $H^*(V_j) = G$ . Then we have  $kH/\mathfrak{R} = \bigoplus \sum f_i U_i \oplus \sum h_j V_j$  and  $f_i = \dim U_i, h_j = \dim V_j$ . We put  $kG/\mathfrak{R} = A$ . Clearly  $A \cong kG \otimes kH/\mathfrak{R}$  as  $kG$ -modules. Hence  $A \cong f_1 U_1^G \oplus f_2 U_2^G \oplus \cdots f_s U_s^G \oplus h_1 V_1^G \oplus h_2 V_2^G \oplus \cdots h_t V_t^G$ .

**Proposition 2.**  *$V_i^G$  is either indecomposable or completely reducible as an  $A$ -module.*

Proof. Since  $V_i^G$  is  $A$ -projective, we can decompose  $V_i^G = Ae_1 \oplus Ae_2 \oplus \cdots Ae_n$ , where  $\{e_i\}$  are primitive orthogonal idempotents of  $A$ . From lemma 3,  $V_i^G$  has  $p$  number of the composition factors which are isomorphic to each other.

Especially we have  $Ae_i \cong Ae_j$  for all  $i, j$ . So if  $Ae_i$  is irreducible, then we have  $k=p$ , and  $V_i^G$  is completely reducible. If this is not the case, each  $Ae_i$  has the same number of composition factors greater than one. Since  $p$  is a prime number, we have  $k=1$ . This completes our proof.

For a brevity of notations, we put  $f_1U_1^G \oplus f_2U_2^G \oplus \dots \oplus f_sU_s^G = C_0$ ,  $h_jV_j^G = C_j$ , and  $A \cong C_0 \oplus C_1 \oplus \dots \oplus C_t$ . We identify each  $C_i$  with its isomorphic image in  $A$ .

**Theorem 1.**

- (1)  $C_0$  is a semisimple algebra and each  $C_i$  is a block of  $A$  ( $i \geq 1$ ).
- (2)  $A$  is a quasi-Frobenius algebra over  $k$ .
- (3) The composition factors of  $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{I}$  are those irreducible  $kG$ -modules which are also irreducible as  $kH$ -modules. Conversely any irreducible  $kG$ -module, say  $M$ , which is also irreducible as  $kH$ -module appears as composition factor of  $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{I}$  with multiplicity  $(p-1) \dim M$ .

Proof.

- (1) We know from lemma 3 and the remark, for  $i \neq j$ ,  $C_i$  and  $C_j$  have no composition factor in common. Hence clearly  $C_i$  is a block of  $A$  for  $i \geq 1$  and  $C_0$  is a semisimple algebra.
- (2) For  $i \geq 1$ ,  $C_i$  has only one irreducible module and  $C_0$  is a semisimple algebra. hence our assertion is clear from the definition.
- (3) Since  $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{I}$  is the radical of  $A$ , it is contained in  $C_1 \oplus C_2 \oplus \dots \oplus C_t$ . So the first assertion is clear. Let  $M$  be an irreducible  $kG$ -module which is irreducible as  $kH$ -module. Then  $M_H \cong V_i$  for some  $i$ . We have  $\dim M = \dim V_i = h_i$ .  $M$  appears  $ph_i = p \dim M$  times as a composition factor of  $A$ . On the other hand,  $M$  appears  $\dim M$  times in  $kG/\mathfrak{R}$ , since  $k$  is a splitting field for  $G$ . Hence  $M$  appears  $(p-1) \dim M$  times between  $\mathfrak{I}$  and  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

**Lemma 4.**  $\mathfrak{R}^p \subset \mathfrak{I}$

Proof. Let  $e$  be any primitive idempotent of  $A$ . Then by lemma 3 and Theorem 1(1),  $Ae$  has at most  $p$  number of composition factors. Hence we have  $(\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{I})^p e = 0$  and since  $e$  is arbitrary,  $(\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{I})^p = 0$ , that is  $\mathfrak{R}^p \subset \mathfrak{I}$ .

**4. Theorem 2.** If  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable, then  $\mathfrak{R}^{p^n} = 0$ .

Proof. We may assume  $k$  is a splitting field for  $G$ . If  $G$  is a  $p$ -group of order  $p^n$ , our assertion is clear, since in this case  $\dim \mathfrak{R}^n = p^n - 1$  by [2]. (or [3] p. 189) Generally, there exists a normal subgroup of  $G$  whose index is  $p$  or prime to  $p$ . Using proposition 1 and lemma 4, it is easy to prove the theorem by induction on the order of  $G$ .

REMARK. It will be necessary to remark that  $\dim \mathfrak{R} \geq p^n - 1$  in general. We may also assume  $k$  is a splitting field for  $G$ , since in the group algebra the

radical is preserved by the extension of the coefficient field<sup>1)</sup>. Then there exists a primitive idempotent  $e$  of  $kG$  such that  $(kG)e/\mathfrak{N}e \cong k$ . Hence  $\dim \mathfrak{N} \geq \dim \mathfrak{N}e \geq \dim (kG)e - 1 \geq p^n - 1$ .

OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY

### References

- [1] A.H. Clifford: *Representations induced in an invariant subgroup*, Ann. of Math. **38** (1937), 533–550.
- [2] S.A. Jennings: *The structure of the group ring of a  $p$ -group over a modular field*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **50** (1941), 175–185.
- [3] C.W. Curtis and I. Reiner: *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, Wiley, New York, 1962.
- [4] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg: *Homological algebra*, Princeton, 1956.
- [5] G. Hochschild: *Relative homological algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1956), 246–269.
- [6] A. Hattori: *Semisimple algebra over a commutative ring*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **15** (1963), 404–419.

---

1) This is true in general if the structure constants are in a perfect field contained in the coefficient field.