



Title	Blocks of factor groups and heights of characters
Author(s)	Murai, Masafumi
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1998, 35(4), p. 835-854
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/8023">https://doi.org/10.18910/8023</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

## BLOCKS OF FACTOR GROUPS AND HEIGHTS OF CHARACTERS

MASAFUMI MURAI

(Received May 9, 1997)

### Introduction

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $p$  a prime number. Let  $(K, R, k)$  be a  $p$ -modular system. We assume that  $K$  contains a primitive  $|G|$ -th root of unity and that  $k$  is algebraically closed. Let  $\nu$  be the valuation of  $K$  normalized so that  $\nu(p) = 1$ . Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$  and let  $V$  be an indecomposable  $oG$ -module such that  $V_N$  is indecomposable, where  $o = R$  or  $k$ . As in [14], we say that a block  $B$  of  $G$   $V$ -dominates a block  $\bar{B}$  of  $G/N$  if there is an  $o[G/N]$ -module  $X$  in  $\bar{B}$  such that  $V \otimes \text{Inf} X$  belongs to  $B$ , where  $\text{Inf} X$  denotes the inflation of  $X$  to  $G$ . In [14] we have shown that there is a natural relation between  $B$  and  $\bar{B}$ , if  $B$   $V$ -dominates  $\bar{B}$ . In particular, if  $D$  is a defect group of  $B$ , then  $\bar{B}$  has a defect group of the form  $QN/N$  with  $D \cap N \leq Q \leq D$ . Then, we shall show in Section 2 that  $Q$  chosen in this way is of a rather restricted nature. In fact, we see that  $O_p(N_G(Q)) = Q$  and that  $Q$  is a Sylow intersection in  $G$  (Theorem 2.1). When, for example,  $V_N$  is irreducible, there exists a  $B$ -Brauer pair  $(Q, b_Q)$  (Theorem 2.8). As a consequence, we see there exist defect groups  $D$  and  $\bar{D}$  respectively of  $B$  and  $\bar{B}$  such that  $Z(D)N/N \leq \bar{D} \leq DN/N$ . Further,  $Q$  is then a “defect intersection”. When  $V$  is the trivial module “ $V$ -domination” is nothing but the usual “domination”, in which case we shall show even the existence of a weight  $(Q, S)$  belonging to  $B$  (in the sense of Alperin [2]) (Proposition 2.6).

In Section 1 we give an alternative proof of a result of Harris-Knörr [8].

In Section 3 we give an extendibility theorem for an irreducible character of a normal subgroup, the proof of which depends upon a result of Brauer on major subsections [4, (4C)] and a result of Knörr [11, Corollary 3.7 (i)].

As an application we study in Section 4 the following conjecture (\*) given by Robinson [17]. In [17] (\*) is proved under a conjecture related to Alperin’s weight conjecture, cf. Theorem 5.1 in [17].

- (\*) Let  $B$  be a block of a group  $G$  with defect group  $D$ . Then, for every irreducible character  $\chi$  in  $B$ ,  $\text{ht} \chi \leq \nu |D : Z(D)|$  and the equality holds only when  $D$  is abelian.

The conjecture (\*) is of course an extension of half of Brauer’s height 0 con-

ture and it is known to be true for  $p$ -blocks of  $p$ -solvable groups by the results of Fong [7] and Watanabe [18]. Indeed, Fong [7, (3C)] proves the inequality and Watanabe [18, Proposition] proves that the inequality is strict unless  $D$  is abelian.

Actually, we consider a “relative version” of  $(*)$  as follows:

- (#) Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ . For every irreducible character  $\chi$  in a block of  $G$  with defect group  $D$  and every irreducible constituent  $\xi$  of  $\chi_N$ , we have

$$\text{ht}\chi - \text{ht}\xi \leq \nu|DN : Z(D)N|$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $\chi$  is afforded by a  $Z(D)N$ -projective  $RG$ -module.

If  $N = 1$ , (#) boils down to  $(*)$ . (In fact, by Knörr’s theorem [11], an irreducible character of  $G$  in a block with defect group  $D$  is afforded by a  $Z(D)$ -projective  $RG$ -module if and only if  $D$  is abelian, cf. Lemma 4.5 below.) Conversely, we show (#) is true if  $(*)$  is true for blocks of certain groups related with the factor group  $G/N$  (Theorem 4.3). Thus the assertions  $(*)$  and (#) turn out to be equivalent. Furthermore, based on Theorem 4.3, we give a reduction of  $(*)$  to the case of quasi-simple groups (Theorem 4.6). As a special case we obtain that (#) is true if  $G/N$  is  $p$ -solvable (Corollary 4.7), which extends the results of P. Fong and A. Watanabe mentioned above.

In this paper all  $oG$ -modules are assumed to be  $o$ -free of finite rank. For a block  $B$  of  $G$ ,  $d(B)$  is the defect of  $B$ . For an  $oG$ -module  $X$  in  $B$ , we define  $\text{ht}X$ , the height of  $X$ , by  $\text{ht}X = \nu(\text{rank}_o X) - \nu|G| + d(B)$ . For an indecomposable module  $X$ ,  $\text{vx}(X)$  denotes a vertex of  $X$ . For a group  $H$ ,  $Z(H)$  denotes the center of  $H$ .

Throughout this paper Knörr’s papers [10, 11, 12] are of fundamental importance.

### 1. A result of Harris-Knörr

Let  $G$  be a group and let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $b$  be a block of  $N$  with defect group  $\delta$ . Let  $b_1$  be the Brauer correspondent of  $b$  in  $N_N(\delta)$ . Then Harris and Knörr [8] have proved

**Theorem 1.1** (Harris-Knörr [8, Theorem]). *Block induction gives a defect-preserving bijection between the set of blocks of  $N_G(\delta)$  covering  $b_1$  and the set of blocks of  $G$  covering  $b$ .*

A module-theoretical proof of the above theorem is found in Alperin [1]. Here we give still another (module-theoretical) proof (under our assumption on the fields  $K$  and  $k$ ).

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $L$  be a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $N_N(\delta) \triangleleft L$ . Then, for a block  $\beta$  of  $L$  such that  $\beta^G$  is defined, the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\beta$  covers some  $N_G(\delta)$ -conjugate of  $b_1$ .

(ii)  $\beta^G$  covers  $b$ .

Proof. Put  $M = N_N(\delta)$ . Let  $U$  be an indecomposable  $RG$ -module of height 0 in  $\beta^G$ . Then there is an indecomposable  $RL$ -module  $V$  of height 0 in  $\beta$  such that  $V|U_L$  by [13, Corollary 1.7 (i)]. Let  $b_1'$  be a block of  $M$  covered by  $\beta$ . Then there is an indecomposable  $RM$ -module  $W$  of height 0 in  $b_1'$  such that  $W|V_M$  by [13, Theorem 4.1] (see also [20, Proposition 2]). So there is an indecomposable  $RN$ -module  $X$  such that  $X|U_N$  and that  $W|X_M$ . Let  $b'$  be the block of  $N$  containing  $X$ . Since  $\text{ht}W = 0$ ,  $\text{vx}(W)$  is a defect group of  $b_1'$ . Further we get

$$(1) \quad \delta \triangleleft \text{vx}(W) \leq \text{vx}(X) \leq \delta',$$

where  $\text{vx}(X)$  is a vertex of  $X$  and  $\delta'$  is a defect group of  $b'$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): In the above we may choose  $b_1'$  so that  $b_1' = b_1^x$  for some  $x \in N_G(\delta)$ . So  $\text{vx}(W) = \delta$ . Hence  $X$  belongs to  $(b_1^x)^N = (b_1^N)^x = b^x$  by the Nagao-Green theorem [14, Theorem 3.12]. Thus  $\beta^G$  covers  $b$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): We have  $b' = b^x$  for some  $x \in G$ . So  $\delta' = \delta^{x^n}$  for some  $n \in N$ . Thus equality holds throughout in (1) and  $\text{vx}(W) = \delta = \delta^{x^n}$ . Hence  $X$  belongs to  $(b_1')^N$  by the Nagao-Green theorem. So  $(b_1')^N = b^x$ . Put  $y = (xn)^{-1} \in N_G(\delta)$ . Then  $((b_1')^y)^N = ((b_1')^N)^y = b^{xy} = b$ , since  $xy \in N$ . On the other hand, since  $b_1'$  has defect group  $\delta$ ,  $(b_1')^y$  has defect group  $\delta^y = \delta$ . Thus  $(b_1')^y = b_1$  by the First Main Theorem. Hence  $\beta$  covers  $b_1' = b_1^{y^{-1}}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Applying the First Main Theorem and Lemma 1.2 with  $L = N_G(\delta)$ , we get the result (cf. the proof of [8, Theorem]).  $\square$

## 2. Blocks of factor groups

Throughout this section we use the following notation:

Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of a group  $G$  and let  $V$  be an indecomposable  $oG$ -module such that  $V_N$  is indecomposable, where  $o = R$  or  $k$ . Let  $b$  be the block of  $N$  to which  $V_N$  belongs. (So  $b$  is  $G$ -invariant.) Let  $B$  be a block of  $G$  covering  $b$ . Let  $D$  be a defect group of  $B$ .

If  $\bar{B}$  is a block of  $G/N$  which is  $V$ -dominated by  $B$ , then a defect group of  $\bar{B}$  is contained in  $DN/N$  ([14, Theorem 1.4 (i)]). Since  $DN/N \cong D/D \cap N$ , we may choose a  $p$ -subgroup  $Q$  so that  $QN/N$  is a defect group of  $\bar{B}$  and that  $D \cap N \leq Q \leq D$ . (We note that  $D \cap N$  is a defect group of  $b$  by [10, Proposition 4.2].)

For a  $p$ -subgroup  $Q$  such that  $D \cap N \leq Q \leq D$ , we denote by  $b(Q)$  a unique block of  $QN$  covering  $b$ . Since  $b$  is  $G$ -invariant,  $Q$  is a defect group of  $b(Q)$  ([13, Lemma 4.13]). Further, since  $b(Q)$  is  $N_G(QN)$ -invariant, we see, by the Frattini argument, that  $N_G(QN) = N_G(Q)N$ . Let  $b'(Q)$  be the Brauer correspondent of  $b(Q)$  in  $N_{QN}(Q) = QN_N(Q)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $QN/N$ ,  $D \cap N \leq Q \leq D$ , be a defect group of a block of  $G/N$  which is  $V$ -dominated by  $B$ . Then:*

- (i)  $O_p(N_G(Q)) = Q$ .
- (ii)  $Q$  is a Sylow intersection in  $G$ .

*Proof.* (i) By the First Main Theorem,  $N_{G/N}(QN/N)$  has a block with defect group  $QN/N$ . In view of the natural isomorphism

$$N_{G/N}(QN/N) = N_G(Q)N/N \cong N_G(Q)/N_N(Q),$$

it follows that  $N_G(Q)/N_N(Q)$  has a block with defect group  $QN_N(Q)/N_N(Q)$ . So  $N_G(Q)/QN_N(Q)$  has a block of defect 0 and hence  $O_p(N_G(Q)/QN_N(Q)) = 1$ . Thus  $Q \leq O_p(N_G(Q)) \leq O_p(QN_N(Q))$ . On the other hand, since the block  $b'(Q)$  has defect group  $Q$ , we get  $O_p(QN_N(Q)) \leq Q$ . Hence  $O_p(N_G(Q)) = Q$ .

(ii) As in the proof of (i),  $N_G(Q)/N_N(Q)$  has a block with defect group  $QN_N(Q)/N_N(Q)$ . So  $N_G(Q)/N_N(Q)$  has  $p$ -Sylow subgroups  $L_i/N_N(Q)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that  $L_1 \cap L_2 = QN_N(Q)$ . Since  $Q \cap N = Q \cap N_N(Q)$  is a defect group of a block of  $N_N(Q)$  covered by  $b'(Q)$ , we can choose  $p$ -Sylow subgroups  $T_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , of  $N_N(Q)$  such that  $T_1 \cap T_2 = Q \cap N$ . Choose  $p$ -Sylow subgroups  $S_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , of  $L_i$  such that  $T_i \leq S_i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} Q &\leq S_1 \cap S_2 \text{ (since } Q \text{ is a normal } p\text{-subgroup of } L_i, i = 1, 2) \\ &= S_1 \cap S_2 \cap QN_N(Q) \text{ (since } S_1 \cap S_2 \leq L_1 \cap L_2 = QN_N(Q)) \\ &= Q(S_1 \cap S_2 \cap N_N(Q)) \text{ (since } Q \leq S_1 \cap S_2) \\ &= Q(T_1 \cap T_2) \text{ (since } S_i \cap N_N(Q) = T_i, i = 1, 2) \\ &= Q(Q \cap N) = Q. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $S_1 \cap S_2 = Q$ . Choose  $p$ -Sylow subgroups  $P_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , of  $G$  such that  $S_i \leq P_i$ . Then  $P_1 \cap P_2 \cap N_G(Q) = S_1 \cap S_2 = Q$ , since  $S_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are  $p$ -Sylow subgroups of  $N_G(Q)$ . Thus we get  $P_1 \cap P_2 = Q$ . □

The following lemma is useful.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  with  $H \geq N$ . Let  $U$  be an  $oH$ -module such that  $U_N$  is indecomposable. Let  $Q$  be a  $p$ -subgroup with  $QN \triangleleft H$ . Let  $W$  be a projective indecomposable  $o[H/QN]$ -module. Then,  $U \otimes \text{Inf}W$  is indecomposable, and for a  $p$ -subgroup  $S$  of  $H$ ,  $S$  is a vertex of  $U_{QN}$  if and only if  $S$  is a vertex of  $U \otimes \text{Inf}W$ . Further,  $SN = QN$  for such  $S$ .*

*Proof.* If  $o = R$ , let  $\pi R$  be the maximal ideal of  $R$ . If  $o = k$ , let  $\pi = 0$ . As is well-known,  $W/\pi W$  is indecomposable, so  $U \otimes \text{Inf}W$  is indecomposable by [14, Lemma 1.1 (i)]. Clearly  $\text{Inf}W$  is  $QN$ -projective, so we have

(1)  $U \otimes \text{Inf}W$  is  $QN$ -projective.

Also we have

(2)  $(U \otimes \text{Inf}W)_{QN} \cong (\text{rank}_o W)U_{QN}$ .

If  $S$  is a vertex of  $U_{QN}$ , then (1) and (2) imply that  $S$  is a vertex of  $U \otimes \text{Inf}W$ . Further,  $U_{QN} \cong (U_{SN})^{QN}$  by Green's indecomposability theorem. So  $SN = QN$ . Conversely, let  $S$  be a vertex of  $U \otimes \text{Inf}W$ . Then, since  $QN \triangleleft H$ , (1) implies  $S \leq QN$ . Then (2) implies  $S$  is a vertex of  $U_{QN}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

For a  $p$ -subgroup  $Q$  such that  $D \cap N \leq Q \leq D$ , let  $b(Q)$  and  $b'(Q)$  be as before. We denote by  $BL(N_G(Q)N | b(Q))$  and  $BL(N_G(Q) | b'(Q))$  the set of blocks of  $N_G(Q)N$  covering  $b(Q)$  and the set of blocks of  $N_G(Q)$  covering  $b'(Q)$ , respectively. For a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , let

$$BL(H, B) = \{ \beta \mid \beta \text{ is a block of } H \text{ such that } \beta^G = B \}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Block induction gives a defect-preserving bijection between  $BL(N_G(Q), B)$  and  $BL(N_G(Q)N, B)$ .*

Proof. Let  $\beta \in BL(N_G(Q)N, B)$ . Then, since  $B = \beta^G$  covers  $b$ , we see, by [14, Lemma 1.3],  $\beta$  covers  $b$  and hence  $b(Q)$ . So  $BL(N_G(Q)N, B) \subseteq BL(N_G(Q)N | b(Q))$ . Let  $\beta' \in BL(N_G(Q), B)$ . Then, since  $(\beta'^{N_G(Q)N})^G = B$ ,  $\beta'^{N_G(Q)N}$  covers  $b(Q)$  by the same reason, so  $\beta'$  covers  $b'(Q)$  by Lemma 1.2. Thus  $BL(N_G(Q), B) \subseteq BL(N_G(Q) | b'(Q))$ . Hence the result follows from Theorem 1.1 (with  $(N_G(Q)N, QN, b(Q))$  in place of  $(G, N, b)$ ) and the transitivity of block induction.  $\square$

REMARK. For any block  $\beta$  of  $N_G(Q)N$  covering  $b$ ,  $\beta^G$  is defined. In fact, since  $\beta$  covers  $b(Q)$ ,  $\beta$  has a defect group  $P$  with  $P \geq Q$ . Since  $C_G(P) \leq C_G(Q) \leq N_G(Q)N$ ,  $\beta^G$  is defined.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $Q$  be a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  such that  $D \cap N \leq Q \leq D$ . Let  $\bar{\beta}$  be a block of  $N_{G/N}(QN/N) = N_G(Q)N/N$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\bar{\beta}^{G/N}$  is  $V$ -dominated by  $B$ .
- (ii)  $\bar{\beta}$  is  $V_{N_G(Q)N}$ -dominated by some  $\beta \in BL(N_G(Q)N, B)$ .
- (iii)  $\bar{\beta}$  is  $V_{N_G(Q)N}$ -dominated by  $\beta'^{N_G(Q)N}$  for some  $\beta' \in BL(N_G(Q), B)$ .

Proof. (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii): Put  $H = N_G(Q)N$ . We can choose a projective indecomposable  $o[H/QN]$ -module  $W$  which lies in  $\bar{\beta}$  as an  $H/N$ -module. Then  $W$  has vertex  $QN/N$ . Let  $U$  be the Green correspondent of  $W$  with respect to  $(G/N, H/N, QN/N)$ . So  $U$  lies in  $\bar{\beta}^{G/N}$  by the Nagao-Green theorem [16, Theorem

5.3.12]. Clearly  $V_H \otimes \text{Inf}W | (V \otimes \text{Inf}U)_H$ . By Lemma 2.2 with  $V_H$  in place of  $U$ ,  $V_H \otimes \text{Inf}W$  is indecomposable, so there is an indecomposable summand  $X$  of  $V \otimes \text{Inf}U$  such that  $V_H \otimes \text{Inf}W | X_H$ . Let  $S$  be a vertex of  $V_H \otimes \text{Inf}W$ . Then by Lemma 2.2, we obtain  $SN = QN$ . So  $C_G(S) \leq N_G(S) \leq N_G(QN) = H$ . Thus, if  $\beta$  is the block of  $H$  containing  $V_H \otimes \text{Inf}W$ , then  $X$  lies in  $\beta^G$  by the Nagao-Green theorem. So  $V \otimes \text{Inf}U$  belongs to  $\beta^G$  by [14, Theorem 1.2]. Thus, (i) is equivalent to (ii) (by [14, Theorem 1.2 (ii)]).

(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii): This follows from Lemma 2.3. This completes the proof. □

Now we can refine [14, Theorem 1.4 (ii)].

**Corollary 2.5.** *There exists a block of  $G/N$  with defect group  $DN/N$  which is  $V$ -dominated by  $B$ . Furthermore, the number of blocks of  $G/N$  with defect group  $DN/N$  which are  $V$ -dominated by  $B$  equals the number of blocks of  $N_G(D)N/N$  with defect group  $DN/N$  which are  $V_{N_G(D)N}$ -dominated by  $\tilde{B}^{N_G(D)N}$ , where  $\tilde{B}$  is the Brauer correspondent of  $B$  in  $N_G(D)$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $H = N_G(D)N$ . By the First Main Theorem, there is a bijection between the set of blocks of  $G/N$  with defect group  $DN/N$  and the set of blocks of  $H/N$  with defect group  $DN/N$ . By Proposition 2.4, it suffices to show

- (1)  $BL(N_G(D), B) = \{\tilde{B}\}$ .
- (2)  $\tilde{B}^H$   $V_H$ -dominates a block  $\bar{\beta}$  of  $H/N$ , and for any such  $\bar{\beta}$ ,  $\bar{\beta}$  has defect group  $DN/N$ .

(1) follows from the First Main Theorem. To prove (2), put  $\beta = \tilde{B}^H$ . Then, since  $\beta^G = B$  covers  $b$ ,  $\beta$  covers  $b$  by [14, Lemma 1.3]. So, by [14, Theorem 1.2 (i)],  $\beta$   $V_H$ -dominates a block  $\bar{\beta}$  of  $H/N$ . Let  $Q_1$  be a defect group of  $\bar{\beta}$ . Since  $D$  is a defect group of  $\beta$ , we get  $Q_1 \leq_{H/N} DN/N$  by [14, Theorem 1.4 (i)]. On the other hand,  $Q_1 \geq_{H/N} DN/N$ , since  $DN/N$  is normal in  $H/N$ . So  $Q_1 =_{H/N} DN/N$ . Thus (2) is proved. □

In the case of usual domination, we have the following:

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $QN/N$ ,  $D \cap N \leq Q \leq D$ , be a defect group of a block of  $G/N$  which is dominated by  $B$ . Then there is a weight  $(Q, S)$  belonging to  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{B}$  be a block of  $G/N$  with defect group  $QN/N$  which is dominated by  $B$ . Let  $\bar{\beta}$  be the Brauer correspondent of  $\bar{B}$  in  $N_G(Q)N/N$ . Let  $\beta$  be a unique block of  $N_G(Q)N$  dominating  $\bar{\beta}$ . We have  $\beta^G = B$  by Proposition 2.4. Let  $W$  be an irreducible  $k[N_G(Q)N/N]$ -module in  $\bar{\beta}$ . Then  $W$  has vertex  $QN/N$ , so if  $\text{Inf}W$  is the inflation to  $N_G(Q)N$  of  $W$ , then  $\text{Inf}W$  has vertex  $Q$  (note that  $Q$  is a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $QN$ ). Put  $S = (\text{Inf}W)_{N_G(Q)}$ . Then  $S$  is irreducible and has vertex  $Q$ . Let

$\beta'$  be the block of  $N_G(Q)$  containing  $S$ . Then, by using the Green correspondence and the Nagao-Green theorem, we see that  $\beta'^{N_G(Q)N} = \beta$ . So  $\beta'^G = B$ . Hence  $(Q, S)$  is a weight belonging to  $B$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

In the rest of this section we consider mainly the case when  $V_N$  is an irreducible  $oN$ -module. In this case as well, defect groups of the blocks of  $G/N$  which are  $V$ -dominated by  $B$  are rather restricted, though the condition we give below is not so strong as Proposition 2.6. We prepare the following lemma, which complements 1.21 Remark in Knörr [12]. For the definition of virtually irreducible modules (lattices) and basic properties of them, see Knörr [12].

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $W$  be an irreducible  $o[G/N]$ -module.*

- (i) *If  $o = R$  and  $V_N$  is virtually irreducible  $RN$ -module, then  $V \otimes \text{Inf}W$  is virtually irreducible.*
- (ii) *If  $o = k$  and  $\text{End}_{kN}(V_N) = k$ , then  $\text{End}_{kG}(V \otimes \text{Inf}W) = k$ .*

Proof. (i) Let  $\phi \in \text{End}_{RG}(V \otimes \text{Inf}W)$ . Let  $\{w_i\}$  be an  $R$ -basis of  $W$ . We may write

$$(v \otimes w_i)\phi = \sum_j v\phi_{ij} \otimes w_j, \quad v \in V,$$

where  $\phi_{ij}$  are uniquely determined elements of  $\text{End}_{RN}(V_N)$ . Put  $E = \text{End}_{RN}(V_N)$  and  $n = \text{rank}_R W$ . Let  $\phi F \in \text{Mat}_n(E)$  be the matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -entry is  $\phi_{ij}$ . Clearly  $F$  is an  $R$ -algebra monomorphism from  $\text{End}_{RG}(V \otimes \text{Inf}W)$  to  $\text{Mat}_n(E)$ . Put

$$w_i g = \sum_j a_{ij}(g)w_j, \quad a_{ij}(g) \in R, \text{ for every } g \in G.$$

Then we get

$$\sum_s a_{is}(g)\phi_{sj} = \sum_s \phi_{is}^g a_{sj}(g),$$

where  $\phi_{is}^g$  is defined by the rule:  $v\phi_{is}^g = vg^{-1}\phi_{is}v$ ,  $v \in V$ . Taking the traces of both sides, we get

$$\sum_s a_{is}(g)\text{tr}(\phi_{sj}) = \sum_s \text{tr}(\phi_{is})a_{sj}(g).$$

This shows that the  $R$ -endomorphism  $\Phi$  of  $W$  defined by

$$w_i \Phi = \sum_j \text{tr}(\phi_{ij})w_j$$

is an  $RG$ -endomorphism of  $W$ . So by assumption on  $W$ ,

(1)  $\text{tr}(\phi_{ii}) = \text{tr}(\phi_{11})$  for all  $i$ , and  $\text{tr}(\phi_{ij}) = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ .

Thus

$$\text{tr}(\phi) = \sum_i \text{tr}(\phi_{ii}) = (\text{rank}_R W) \text{tr}(\phi_{11}).$$

So

$$\nu(\text{tr}(\phi)) = \nu(\text{rank}_R W) + \nu(\text{tr}(\phi_{11})) \geq \nu(\text{rank}_R(V \otimes \text{Inf}W)),$$

since  $V_N$  is virtually irreducible. It remains to show that if the equality holds here then  $\phi$  is invertible. Assume the equality holds. Since  $V_N$  is virtually irreducible, (1) yields that  $\phi_{ii}$  are invertible for all  $i$  and that  $\phi_{ij} \in J(E)$  if  $i \neq j$ , where  $J(E)$  is the radical of  $E$ . Let

$$\alpha : \text{Mat}_n(E) \rightarrow \text{Mat}_n(E)/J(\text{Mat}_n(E)) \cong \text{Mat}_n(E/J(E))$$

be the natural map. Then, by the above,  $\phi F \alpha$  is invertible. So  $\phi F$  is invertible and then  $\phi$  is invertible. This completes the proof.

(ii) cf. the proof of 1.21 Remark in Knörr [12]. □

We say  $(Q, b_Q)$  is a  $B$ -Brauer pair if  $b_Q$  is a block of  $QC_G(Q)$  with defect group  $Q$  and  $(b_Q)^G = B$ . We refer to Brauer [5] for the basic facts about Brauer pairs.

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $QN/N$ ,  $D \cap N \leq Q \leq D$ , be a defect group of a block  $\bar{B}$  of  $G/N$  which is  $V$ -dominated by  $B$ . Assume either of the following:*

- (a)  $o = R$  and  $V$  is an  $RG$ -module such that  $V_N$  is virtually irreducible.
- (b)  $o = k$  and  $V$  is a  $kG$ -module such that  $\text{End}_{kN}(V_N) = k$ .

Then

- (i) *There is a  $B$ -Brauer pair  $(Q, b_Q)$ .*
- (ii) *For some defect group  $D_1$  of  $B$ , we have  $Z(D_1)N/N \leq QN/N \leq D_1N/N$ . In particular if  $D$  is abelian, then every block of  $G/N$   $V$ -dominated by  $B$  has  $DN/N$  as a defect group.*
- (iii) *There exist defect groups  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  of  $B$  such that  $Q = D_1 \cap D_2$ , that is,  $Q$  is a "defect intersection".*

*Proof.* Put  $H = N_G(Q)N$ .

(i) Let  $\bar{\beta}$  be the Brauer correspondent of  $\bar{B}$  in  $H/N$  and let  $\beta$  be a unique block of  $H$  which  $V_H$ -dominates  $\bar{\beta}$ .

Let  $W$  be an irreducible  $o[H/N]$ -module in  $\bar{\beta}$  with  $\text{Ker}W \geq QN/N$ . Let  $S$  be a vertex of  $V_H \otimes \text{Inf}W$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $SN = QN$ . We claim that in both cases there exists a  $\beta$ -Brauer pair  $(S, b_S)$ .

CASE (a). By Lemma 2.7,  $V_H \otimes \text{Inf}W$  is a virtually irreducible  $RH$ -module in  $\beta$ . So, by Knörr's theorem [11, Corollary 3.7 (i)] (or [12, Corollary 4.11]), there is a  $\beta$ -Brauer pair  $(S, b_S)$ .

CASE (b). By Lemma 2.7 (ii),  $\text{End}_{kH}(V_H \otimes \text{Inf}W) = k$ . So, by Knörr [11, Theorem 3.3], there is a  $\beta$ -Brauer pair  $(S, b_S)$ .

Thus the claim is proved. Now there is a primitive  $\beta$ -Brauer pair  $(P, b_P)$  such that  $(S, b_S) \subseteq (P, b_P)$ . Then, since  $S \leq P \cap SN \leq P$ , there is a  $\beta$ -Brauer pair  $(P \cap SN, b_{P \cap SN})$ . On the other hand, since  $P$  is a defect group of  $\beta$  and  $\beta$  covers  $b(Q)$ ,  $P \cap QN = P \cap SN$  is a defect group of  $b(Q)$ . Thus  $P \cap SN$  is  $QN$ -conjugate to  $Q$ . Thus there is a  $\beta$ -Brauer pair  $(Q, b_Q)$ . Then  $b_Q$  is a block of  $QC_H(Q) = QC_G(Q)$  with defect group  $Q$  and  $(b_Q)^G = ((b_Q)^H)^G = \beta^G = B$ . Thus (i) is proved.

(ii) This follows from (i) and the Brauer-Olsson theorem [5, (4K)].

(iii) Let  $\beta$  be as in the proof of (i). From the proof of (i), we see there is a  $\beta$ -Brauer pair  $(Q, b_Q)$ . Put  $(b_Q)^{N_G(Q)} = \beta'$ . From the proof of Theorem 2.1 (ii), we see there are  $p$ -Sylow subgroups  $S_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , of  $N_G(Q)$  with  $S_1 \cap S_2 = Q$ . Let  $U_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , be defect groups of  $\beta'$  such that  $S_i \geq U_i$ . Then  $Q = S_1 \cap S_2 \geq U_1 \cap U_2 \geq Q$ , so  $U_1 \cap U_2 = Q$ . Now, as in the proof of (i), we have  $\beta'^G = B$ . Then we see that there is a defect group  $D_1$  of  $B$  such that  $U := N_{D_1}(Q)$  is a defect group of  $\beta'$ , cf. [16, Theorem 5.5.21]. Thus there are  $x, y \in N_G(Q)$  such that  $U_1 = U^x$  and  $U_2 = U^y$ . Then  $N_G(Q) \cap D_1^x \cap D_1^y = U^x \cap U^y = U_1 \cap U_2 = Q$ , and so  $D_1^x \cap D_1^y = Q$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

REMARK. When  $V$  is the trivial module, “ $B$   $V$ -dominates  $\overline{B}$ ” coincides with “ $B$  dominates  $\overline{B}$ ” (or “ $B$  contains  $\overline{B}$ ”). In this case, the last assertion of Theorem 2.8 (ii) is proved in Berger and Knörr [3, Step 2 of the proof of Theorem].

### 3. Extension of a character of a normal subgroup

Throughout this section, we use the following notation: Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of a group  $G$ . Let  $b$  be a block of  $N$ . Let  $B$  be a block of  $G$  covering  $b$ . Let  $D$  be a defect group of the Fong-Reynolds correspondent of  $B$  in the inertial group of  $b$  in  $G$ . Put  $\delta = D \cap N$ . So  $\delta$  is a defect group of  $b$ .

If  $Y$  is a subgroup of a group  $X$  and  $\beta$  is a block of  $Y$ , then for a character  $\chi$  of  $X$ , we denote by  $\chi_\beta$  the  $\beta$ -component of  $\chi_Y$  and call it the  $\beta$ -component of  $\chi$ .

The following theorem plays an important role in Section 4.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let the notation be as above. For any  $D$ -invariant irreducible character  $\xi$  in  $b$ , there exists a  $D$ -invariant extension of  $\xi$  to  $Z(D)N$ .*

For the proof we prepare a lemma, which extends [13, Proposition 4.15 (i) (in case (1))].

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $A$  be an abelian subgroup of  $C_D(\delta)$ . Then every irreducible character in  $b$  extends to  $AN$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $L = AN$ . Let  $\xi$  be an irreducible character in  $b$ . Let  $\zeta$  be an irreducible character of  $L$  lying over  $\xi$ . Since  $L/N$  is a  $p$ -group, there exist a subgroup  $H$  and a character  $\eta$  of  $H$  with the following properties:  $N \leq H \leq L$ ,  $\eta_N = \xi$  and  $\eta^L = \zeta$ , cf. Isaacs [9, Theorem 6.22]. Let  $V$  be an  $RH$ -module affording  $\eta$ . If  $\hat{b}$  is a block of  $L$  to which  $\zeta$  belongs, then  $A\delta$  is a defect group of  $\hat{b}$ , cf. [13, Lemma 4.13]. Then, since  $V^L$  affords  $\zeta$  and  $V^L$  is  $H$ -projective, we get  $Z(A\delta) \leq {}_L H$  by [11, Corollary 3.7 (i)] (or [12, Corollary 4.11]). Clearly  $A \leq Z(A\delta)$ , so  $A \leq {}_L H$  and  $L = H$ . Thus  $\zeta$  is an extension of  $\xi$  to  $L$ . □

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Put  $L = Z(D)N$ . Since  $L$  is a normal subgroup of  $DN$ , the assertion makes sense. Applying Lemma 3.2 with  $A = Z(D)$ , we see that there exists an extension  $\zeta$  of  $\xi$  to  $L$ . Fix any element  $x$  of  $D$ . Since  $\xi^x = \xi$ ,  $\zeta^x$  is also an extension of  $\xi$  to  $L$ . So there is a unique irreducible (linear) character  $\lambda = \lambda_x$  of  $L/N$  such that  $\zeta^x = \zeta\lambda$ .

Let  $u$  be any element of  $Z(D)$ . If  $B'$  is a unique block of  $DN$  covering  $b$ , then  $D$  is a defect group of  $B'$ , cf. [13, Lemma 4.13]. So there is a block  $b'$  of  $C_{DN}(u)$  such that  $b'^{DN} = B'$  and that  $D$  is a defect group of  $b'$ . (In fact, it suffices to choose the block of  $C_{DN}(u)$  induced by a root of  $B'$  in  $DC_{DN}(D)$ .) Now  $C_L(u) \triangleleft C_{DN}(u)$  and  $C_{DN}(u) = DC_L(u)$ . So  $b'$  covers a unique ( $D$ -invariant) block, say  $b_1$ , of  $C_L(u)$ , and  $b_1$  has  $D \cap C_L(u) = Z(D)\delta$  as a defect group. Let  $B_1$  be a unique block of  $L$  covering  $b$ . Then clearly  $B_1$  is  $D$ -invariant and, by [13, Lemma 4.13],  $Z(D)\delta$  is a defect group of  $B_1$ . Since  $b'^{DN} = B'$ , and  $b_1$  and  $B_1$  are  $D$ -invariant, it readily follows that  $b_1^L = B_1$ .

Now we consider the  $b_1$ -component of  $\zeta^x = \zeta\lambda$ . Let  $e$  be the block idempotent of  $RC_L(u)$  corresponding to  $b_1$ . Then for  $h \in C_L(u)$ ,

$$(\zeta^x)_{b_1}(h) = \zeta^x(he) = \zeta(h^{x^{-1}}e) = (\zeta_{b_1})^x(h),$$

since  $e^x = e$ . So  $(\zeta^x)_{b_1} = (\zeta_{b_1})^x$ . On the other hand, put  $e = \sum_y a_y y$ , where  $a_y \in R$  and  $y$  ranges over the  $p'$ -elements of  $C_L(u)$ . Then for  $h \in C_L(u)$ ,

$$(\zeta\lambda)_{b_1}(h) = \sum_y a_y \zeta(hy)\lambda(h) = (\zeta_{b_1}\lambda)(h).$$

Thus  $(\zeta\lambda)_{b_1} = \zeta_{b_1}\lambda$  and we have shown  $(\zeta_{b_1})^x = \zeta_{b_1}\lambda$ . Evaluating at  $u$ , we get  $\zeta_{b_1}(u) = \zeta_{b_1}(u)\lambda(u)$ . Since  $Z(D)\delta$  is a common defect group of  $b_1$  and  $B_1$ ,  $\zeta_{b_1}(u) \neq 0$  by Brauer [4, (4C)]. Thus we get  $\lambda(u) = 1$ . Since  $u$  is an arbitrary element of  $Z(D)$ , this shows that  $\lambda$  is the trivial character. So  $\zeta$  is  $\langle x \rangle$ -invariant and, since  $x \in D$  is arbitrary, we get that  $\zeta$  is  $D$ -invariant. This completes the proof. □

REMARK. For alternative proofs of Brauer [4, (4C)], see [6, Proposition 3.4.1], [15, Corollary 1.10, Corollary 2.6], [19, Lemma].

#### 4. Robinson's conjecture

We recall from Introduction Robinson's conjecture:

- (\*) Let  $B$  be a block of a group  $G$  with defect group  $D$ . Then, for every irreducible character  $\chi$  in  $B$ ,  $\text{ht } \chi \leq \nu|D : Z(D)|$  and the equality holds only when  $D$  is abelian.

We shall give a "relative version" of the conjecture (\*) and reduce (\*) to the case of quasi-simple groups. In this section we assume that the field  $K$  contains a primitive  $|G|^{3\text{-th}}$  root of unity.

In the following Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, we use the following notation:  $N$  is a normal subgroup of a group  $G$ ,  $B$  is a block of  $G$ ,  $\chi$  is an irreducible character in  $B$ , and  $\xi$  is an irreducible constituent of  $\chi_N$ . Let  $T_G(\xi)$  be the inertial group of  $\xi$  in  $G$ . Let  $\text{Irr}(T_G(\xi)|\xi)$  be the set of irreducible characters of  $T_G(\xi)$  lying over  $\xi$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\tilde{\chi} \in \text{Irr}(T_G(\xi)|\xi)$  be such that  $\tilde{\chi}^G = \chi$ . Let  $\tilde{B}$  be the block of  $T_G(\xi)$  to which  $\tilde{\chi}$  belongs. Let  $b$  be the block of  $N$  to which  $\xi$  belongs and assume that  $b$  is  $G$ -invariant. Let  $\tilde{D}$  be a defect group of  $\tilde{B}$ . Then for every defect group  $D$  of  $B$  with  $D \geq \tilde{D}$ , we have  $C_D(\tilde{D}) \leq \tilde{D}$ . In particular,  $Z(D) \leq Z(\tilde{D})$ .*

Proof. Put  $S_G(b) = \cap T_G(\eta)$ , where  $\eta$  ranges over the irreducible characters in  $b$ . Since  $b$  is  $G$ -invariant, we see that  $S_G(b) \triangleleft G$ . Then, by Knörr [10], there is a block  $B_1$  of  $S_G(b)$  with defect group  $\tilde{D} \cap S_G(b)$  which is covered by  $\tilde{B}$ . Since  $B$  also covers  $B_1$ ,  $D \cap S_G(b)$  is  $G$ -conjugate to  $\tilde{D} \cap S_G(b)$ . So, since  $\tilde{D} \leq D$ , we have  $\tilde{D} \cap S_G(b) = D \cap S_G(b)$ . On the other hand,  $\tilde{D} \cap N = D \cap N$  is a defect group of  $b$ . Then, by [13, Lemma 4.14 (ii)],  $C_D(\tilde{D}) \leq C_D(\tilde{D} \cap N) = C_D(D \cap N) \leq S_G(b)$ . So  $C_D(\tilde{D}) \leq S_G(b) \cap D = S_G(b) \cap \tilde{D} \leq \tilde{D}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Recently Watanabe [20] obtained simpler proofs of some results of [13] and [14]. Applying her method, we obtain the following.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let the notation be as above and let  $D$  be a defect group of  $B$ . If  $\chi$  is afforded by a  $Z(D)N$ -projective  $RG$ -module, then*

$$\text{ht } \chi - \text{ht } \xi \geq \nu|DN : Z(D)N|.$$

Proof. Let  $U$  be a  $Z(D)N$ -projective  $RG$ -module affording  $\chi$ . Let  $Q$  be a vertex of  $U$  with  $Q \leq D$ . Then

$$\nu(\text{rank}_R U) \geq \nu|G : QN| + \nu(\text{rank}_R V),$$

where  $V$  is some indecomposable summand of  $U_N$ , cf. the proof of Proposition 2 in [20]. Then, since  $\text{rank}_R V$  is a multiple of  $\xi(1)$ , we get

$$\text{ht}\chi - \text{ht}\xi \geq \nu|DN : QN|.$$

By Knörr [11],  $Q \geq_G Z(D)$ . So, since  $Q \leq_G Z(D)N$ , we get  $QN =_G Z(D)N$ . Thus the result follows. □

The following is a “relative version” of Robinson’s conjecture.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of a group  $G$  with the following property:*

(\*) *is true for every block of every central extension of  $H/N$  for every subgroup  $H$  with  $N \leq H \leq G$ .*

*Let  $B$  be a block of  $G$  with defect group  $D$ . Let  $\chi$  be an irreducible character in  $B$  and let  $\xi$  be an irreducible constituent of  $\chi_N$ . Then*

$$\text{ht}\chi - \text{ht}\xi \leq \nu|DN : Z(D)N|$$

*and the equality holds if and only if  $\chi$  is afforded by a  $Z(D)N$ -projective  $RG$ -module.*

**Proof.** First we note that in the statement of Theorem 4.3 the choice of  $D$  is an immaterial thing.

The proof is done by induction on  $|G/N|$ , the assertion being trivially true if  $G = N$ . It suffices to prove the inequality and the “only if” part. In fact, then the “if” part follows from the inequality and Lemma 4.2.

Let  $b$  be the block of  $N$  to which  $\xi$  belongs. By the Fong-Reynolds theorem and the induction hypothesis, we may assume that  $b$  is  $G$ -invariant. We divide the proof into several steps.

**STEP 1.** We may assume  $\xi$  is  $G$ -invariant.

**Proof.** Let  $\tilde{\chi} \in \text{Irr}(T_G(\xi)|\xi)$  be such that  $\tilde{\chi}^G = \chi$ . Let  $\tilde{B}$  be the block of  $T_G(\xi)$  to which  $\tilde{\chi}$  belongs. We have

$$(1.a) \quad \text{ht}\chi = \text{ht}\tilde{\chi} + d(B) - d(\tilde{B}).$$

Let  $\tilde{D}$  be a defect group of  $\tilde{B}$ . Since  $\tilde{B}^G = B$ ,  $\tilde{D} \leq D^g$  for some  $g \in G$ . So we may assume  $\tilde{D} \leq D$  without loss of generality. If  $T_G(\xi) < G$ , then, by induction,

$$(1.b) \quad \text{ht}\tilde{\chi} - \text{ht}\xi \leq \nu|\tilde{D}N : Z(\tilde{D})N|.$$

Since  $b$  is  $G$ -invariant, we have  $Z(\tilde{D}) \geq Z(D)$  by Lemma 4.1. From (1.a) and (1.b) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ht}\chi - \text{ht}\xi &\leq d(B) - d(\tilde{B}) + \nu|\tilde{D}N : Z(\tilde{D})N| \\ &= d(B) + \nu|N : \tilde{D} \cap N| - \nu|Z(\tilde{D})N| \\ &= d(B) + \nu|N : D \cap N| - \nu|Z(\tilde{D})N| \\ &\quad (\text{since } \tilde{D} \cap N = D \cap N) \\ &\leq \nu|DN : Z(D)N| \quad (\text{since } Z(\tilde{D})N \geq Z(D)N). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(1.c) \quad \text{ht}\chi - \text{ht}\xi \leq \nu|DN : Z(D)N|.$$

If the equality holds in (1.c), then the equality holds throughout. So  $Z(\tilde{D})N = Z(D)N$ . Also, since the equality holds in (1.b), we see by induction that  $\tilde{\chi}$  is afforded by a  $Z(\tilde{D})N$ -projective  $RT_G(\xi)$ -module  $V$ . Then  $V^G$  is a  $Z(D)N$ -projective  $RG$ -module affording  $\chi$ . Thus we may assume  $G = T_G(\xi)$ .

The following step extends Step 5 of the proof of Theorem in [3] or (#) in the proof of Theorem 6.1 in [13].

STEP 2. There exists a central extension of  $G$ ,

$$1 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \hat{G} \xrightarrow{f} G \rightarrow 1$$

with the following properties:

- (2.a)  $f^{-1}(N) = Z \times N_1$  for a normal subgroup  $N_1$  of  $\hat{G}$ .
- (2.b)  $\xi$  extends to  $\hat{G}$ . (Here we identify  $N$  with  $N_1$  by (2.a).)
- (2.c)  $Z$  is a finite cyclic group.
- (2.d) There is a subgroup  $L$  of  $\hat{G}$  such that  $f^{-1}(Z(D)N) = Z \times L$  and that  $L$  is normal in  $f^{-1}(DN)$ .
- (2.e)  $K$  is a splitting field for every subgroup of  $\hat{G}$ .

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, there is a  $D$ -invariant extension  $\zeta$  of  $\xi$  to  $Z(D)N$ . Let  $\rho : Z(D)N \rightarrow GL(\xi(1), K)$  be a representation affording  $\zeta$ . Let  $T$  be a transversal of  $N$  in  $G$  with  $1 \in T$ . Since  $\xi$  and  $\zeta$  are  $G$ -invariant and  $D$ -invariant, respectively, we can choose by standard arguments  $\tilde{\rho}(t) \in GL(\xi(1), F)$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}(t)\rho(n)\tilde{\rho}(t)^{-1} &= \rho(tnt^{-1}), n \in N, \text{ for } t \in T - DN, \\ \tilde{\rho}(t)\rho(x)\tilde{\rho}(t)^{-1} &= \rho(tx t^{-1}), x \in Z(D)N, \text{ for } t \in T \cap (DN - Z(D)N), \\ \det \tilde{\rho}(t) &= 1, \text{ for } t \in T - Z(D)N, \end{aligned}$$

where  $F$  is a suitable extension of  $K$ . For  $t \in T \cap Z(D)N$ , put  $\tilde{\rho}(t) = \rho(t)$ . For  $g \in G$ , write  $g = tn$ ,  $t \in T$ ,  $n \in N$  and put  $\tilde{\rho}(g) = \tilde{\rho}(t)\rho(n)$ . Then

$$(2.f) \quad \tilde{\rho}(g)\rho(n)\tilde{\rho}(g)^{-1} = \rho(gng^{-1}), \quad g \in G, n \in N, \text{ and}$$

$$(2.g) \quad \tilde{\rho}(x) = \rho(x), \quad x \in Z(D)N.$$

Further,

$$(2.h) \quad \tilde{\rho}(g)\rho(x)\tilde{\rho}(g)^{-1} = \rho(gxg^{-1}), \quad g \in DN, x \in Z(D)N.$$

Let  $F^*$  be the multiplicative group of  $F$ . By (2.f) and (2.g), there is a factor set  $\alpha : G \times G \rightarrow F^*$  satisfying the following:

$$(2.i) \quad \tilde{\rho}(g)\tilde{\rho}(h) = \alpha(g, h)\tilde{\rho}(gh), \quad g, h \in G, \text{ and}$$

$$(2.j) \quad \alpha(x, y) = 1, \quad x, y \in Z(D)N.$$

Then, taking determinants in (2.i), we get  $\alpha(g, h)^r = 1$ ,  $g, h \in G$ , where  $r = |Z(D)N|\xi(1)$ .

Now let  $Z$  be the cyclic subgroup of order  $r$  of  $K^*$ . (Since  $r$  divides  $|G|^2$  and  $K$  contains a primitive  $|G|^3$ -th root of unity,  $Z$  exists.) Let

$$1 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \hat{G} \xrightarrow{f} G \rightarrow 1$$

be the central extension of  $G$  corresponding to the factor set  $\alpha$ . So  $\hat{G} = Z \times G$  as a set and the multiplication in it is defined by

$$(z, g)(w, h) = (zw\alpha(g, h), gh), \quad z, w \in Z, g, h \in G.$$

We show that this central extension is a required one. To prove (2.d), put  $L = \{(1, x) | x \in Z(D)N\}$ . By (2.j),  $L$  is a subgroup of  $f^{-1}(Z(D)N)$  and  $f^{-1}(Z(D)N) = Z \times L$ . To show that  $L$  is normal in  $f^{-1}(DN)$ , it suffices to prove  $(z, g)(1, x) = (1, gxg^{-1})(z, g)$ ,  $z \in Z$ ,  $g \in DN$ ,  $x \in Z(D)N$ ; namely  $\alpha(g, x) = \alpha(gxg^{-1}, g)$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(gxg^{-1}, g)I &= \tilde{\rho}(gxg^{-1})\tilde{\rho}(g)\tilde{\rho}(gx)^{-1} \text{ (by (2.i))} \\ &= \tilde{\rho}(g)\rho(x)\tilde{\rho}(g)^{-1}\tilde{\rho}(g)\tilde{\rho}(gx)^{-1} \text{ (by (2.g) and (2.h))} \\ &= \tilde{\rho}(g)\rho(x)\tilde{\rho}(gx)^{-1} \\ &= \alpha(g, x)I \text{ (by (2.g) and (2.i)),} \end{aligned}$$

where  $I$  is the identity matrix of degree  $\xi(1)$ . Thus (2.d) follows. To show (2.a) and (2.b), put  $N_1 = \{(1, n) | n \in N\}$ . Then we have  $f^{-1}(N) = Z \times N_1$  by (2.j). Similar computation as in the above shows that  $N_1$  is a normal subgroup of  $\hat{G}$ . If we let  $\hat{\rho}((z, g)) = z\tilde{\rho}(g)$ ,  $z \in Z$ ,  $g \in G$ , then  $\hat{\rho}$  is a representation of  $\hat{G}$  and, since

$\hat{\rho}((1, n)) = \rho(n)$  for  $n \in N$ ,  $\hat{\rho}$  affords an extension of  $\xi$  to  $\hat{G}$ . Since  $|\hat{G}| = r|G|$  divides  $|G|^3$  and  $K$  contains a primitive  $|G|^3$ -th root of unity, (2.e) follows. This completes the proof.

We fix a central extension  $\hat{G}$  of  $G$  as above. Let  $\hat{\chi}$  be the inflation of  $\chi$  to  $\hat{G}$ . Let  $\hat{B}$  be the block of  $\hat{G}$  to which  $\hat{\chi}$  belongs and let  $\hat{D}$  be a defect group of  $\hat{B}$ . Since  $\hat{G}$  is a central extension of  $G$ , we may choose  $\hat{D}$  so that  $\hat{D}Z/Z = D$ .

STEP 3. We have:

$$(3.a) \quad \hat{D}Z/Z = D. \text{ In particular, } d(\hat{B}) = d(B) + \nu|Z|.$$

$$(3.b) \quad Z(\hat{D})Z/Z = Z(D). \text{ In particular, } \nu|Z(\hat{D})| = \nu|Z(D)| + \nu|Z|.$$

$$(3.c) \quad \hat{D} \cap N = D \cap N.$$

$$(3.d) \quad Z(\hat{D}) \cap N = Z(D) \cap N.$$

Proof. (3.a) This is true by our choice of  $\hat{D}$ .

(3.b) By (3.a),  $Z(\hat{D})Z/Z \leq Z(D)$ . In the notation of Step 2,  $f^{-1}(Z(D)N) = Z \times L$ . Let  $U = f^{-1}(Z(D)) \cap \hat{D}$ . Let  $Z_p$  be a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $Z$ . It is obvious that  $Z_p \leq U \leq Z_p \times L$ . So  $U = Z_p \times (U \cap L)$ . Then, since  $\hat{D} \leq f^{-1}(DN)$  normalizes  $L$  by (2.d) and  $[U, \hat{D}] \leq Z$  by (3.a), we get  $[U, \hat{D}] = [U \cap L, \hat{D}] \leq L \cap Z = 1$ . So  $U \leq Z(\hat{D})$  and  $Z(D) \leq Z(\hat{D})Z/Z$ . Hence  $Z(\hat{D})Z/Z = Z(D)$ .

(3.c) By our choice of  $\hat{D}$  (and our convention that  $N_1 = N$ ),  $\hat{D} \cap N \leq D \cap N$ . Since both  $\hat{D} \cap N$  and  $D \cap N$  are defect groups of  $b$ , we get  $\hat{D} \cap N = D \cap N$ .

(3.d) By (3.a),  $[Z(\hat{D}) \cap N, D] = 1$ . Thus  $Z(\hat{D}) \cap N \leq Z(D) \cap N$  by (3.c). On the other hand,  $[Z(D) \cap N, \hat{D}] \leq Z$  by (3.a), so  $[Z(D) \cap N, \hat{D}] \leq Z \cap N = 1$ . Thus  $Z(D) \cap N \leq Z(\hat{D}) \cap N$  by (3.c) and (3.d) follows.

There is an extension  $\hat{\xi}$  of  $\xi$  to  $\hat{G}$  by (2.b). Then there is a unique irreducible character  $\theta$  of  $\hat{G}/N$  with  $\hat{\chi} = \hat{\xi} \otimes \theta$ . Let  $\tilde{B}$  be the block of  $\hat{G}/N$  to which  $\theta$  belongs and let  $\tilde{D}$  be a defect group of  $\tilde{B}$ .

STEP 4. We have:

$$(4.a) \quad \text{ht}\chi - \text{ht}\xi = \text{ht}\theta + d(\hat{B}) - d(\tilde{B}) - d(b).$$

$$(4.b) \quad \text{ht}\theta \leq \nu|\tilde{D} : Z(\tilde{D})|.$$

Further, we may choose  $\tilde{D}$  so that

$$(4.c) \quad Z(\tilde{D}) \geq Z(\hat{D})N/N. \text{ In particular,} \\ \nu|Z(\tilde{D})| \geq \nu|Z(\hat{D})| - \nu|Z(\hat{D}) \cap N|.$$

Proof. (4.a) follows from (3.a). Since  $\hat{G}/N$  is a central extension of  $G/N$ , we get (4.b) by our assumption on  $N$ . Let  $V$  be an irreducible  $R\hat{G}$ -module affording  $\hat{\xi}$ . Then  $\tilde{B}$  is  $V$ -dominated by  $\hat{B}$ . So we get (4.c) by Theorem 2.8 (ii).

STEP 5. Conclusion.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{ht}\chi - \text{ht}\xi &= \text{ht}\theta + d(\hat{B}) - d(\tilde{B}) - d(b) \text{ (by (4.a))} \\
 &\leq \nu|\tilde{D} : Z(\tilde{D})| + d(\hat{B}) - d(\tilde{B}) - d(b) \text{ (by (4.b))} \\
 &= -\nu|Z(\tilde{D})| + d(\hat{B}) - d(b) \\
 &\leq -(\nu|Z(\hat{D})| - \nu|Z(\hat{D}) \cap N|) + d(B) + \nu|Z| - d(b) \\
 &\quad \text{(by (4.c) and (3.a))} \\
 &= -(\nu|Z(D)| + \nu|Z|) + \nu|Z(\hat{D}) \cap N| + d(B) + \nu|Z| - d(b) \\
 &\quad \text{(by (3.b))} \\
 &= d(B) - d(b) - \nu|Z(D)| + \nu|Z(D) \cap N| \text{ (by (3.d))} \\
 &= \nu|D : D \cap N| - \nu|Z(D) : Z(D) \cap N| \\
 &= \nu|DN/N| - \nu|Z(D)N/N| \\
 &= \nu|DN : Z(D)N|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$(5.a) \quad \text{ht}\chi - \text{ht}\xi \leq \nu|DN : Z(D)N|.$$

It remains to show that the equality holds in (5.a) only if  $\chi$  is afforded by a  $Z(D)N$ -projective  $RG$ -module. Assume the equality holds in (5.a), then in the above proof of (5.a) the equality holds throughout. Hence  $\tilde{D}$  is abelian by (4.b) and our assumption on  $N$ , and  $Z(\tilde{D}) = Z(\hat{D})N/N$  by (4.c). Thus,

$$(5.b) \quad \tilde{D} = Z(\hat{D})N/N.$$

Let  $W$  be an  $R[\hat{G}/N]$ -module affording  $\theta$  and  $V$  an  $R\hat{G}$ -module affording  $\hat{\xi}$ . Then  $V \otimes W$  affords  $\hat{\chi}$ . Since  $W$  is, as an  $R[\hat{G}/N]$ -module,  $\tilde{D}$ -projective,  $V \otimes W$  is  $Z(\hat{D})N$ -projective by (5.b). So,  $V \otimes W$  is, as an  $RG$ -module,  $Z(D)N$ -projective by (3.b) and affords  $\chi$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *If (\*) is true for every block of every quasi-simple group, then it is true for every block of every finite group  $G$  such that  $G/C$  is simple for a central subgroup  $C$  of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* If  $G/C$  is of prime order, then  $G$  is abelian and  $(*)$  is trivially true. Assume that  $G/C$  is non-abelian simple. Then, as is well-known,  $G = G'C$ , where  $G'$  is the commutator subgroup of  $G$  (which is quasi-simple). Let  $C_p$  be a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $C$  and  $D$  a defect group of  $B$ . Let  $B'$  be the block of  $G'$  covered by  $B$ . Then  $C_p \leq D \leq C_p G'$ , so if we put  $Q = D \cap G'$ , then  $D = C_p Q$  and  $Q$  is a defect group of  $B'$ . Let  $\chi$  be an irreducible character in  $B$ . Clearly  $\chi_{G'}$  is an irreducible character in  $B'$ . By assumption, we get

$$(1) \quad \text{ht}\chi_{G'} \leq \nu|Q : Z(Q)|.$$

Since  $G = G'C$  and  $D \geq C_p$ ,  $|G/G'D|$  is prime to  $p$ . This shows  $\text{ht}\chi = \text{ht}\chi_{G'}$ . Also, easy computation shows  $\nu|D : Z(D)| = \nu|Q : Z(Q)|$ . So we get

$$(2) \quad \text{ht}\chi \leq \nu|D : Z(D)|.$$

If the equality holds in (2), then the equality holds in (1). So  $Q$  is abelian by assumption, and  $D$  is abelian. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of a group  $G$ . Let  $B$  be a block of  $G$  with defect group  $D$ . Let  $\chi$  be an irreducible character in  $B$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\chi$  is afforded by a  $Z(D)N$ -projective  $RG$ -module.
- (ii)  $\chi$  is afforded by a  $Z(D)(D \cap N)$ -projective  $RG$ -module.

*Further, the following are equivalent.*

- (iii)  $\chi$  is afforded by a  $Z(D)$ -projective  $RG$ -module.
- (iv)  $D$  is abelian.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let  $U$  be a  $Z(D)N$ -projective  $RG$ -module affording  $\chi$ . By Knörr [11], there is a vertex  $Q$  of  $U$  such that

$$(1) \quad D \geq Q \geq C_D(Q) \geq Z(D).$$

We have  $Q \leq_G Z(D)N$ . So, by (1), we get  $QN = Z(D)N$  and  $Q = Z(D)(Q \cap N) \leq Z(D)(D \cap N)$ . Thus (ii) holds.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): This is trivial.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv): Let  $U$  be a  $Z(D)$ -projective  $RG$ -module affording  $\chi$ . There is a vertex  $Q$  of  $U$  such that (1) above holds. Then, since  $Q \leq_G Z(D)$ , we get, by (1),  $Q = Z(D) = D$ . So  $D$  is abelian.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): This is trivial.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.6.** *If  $(*)$  is true for every block of every quasi-simple group, then it is true for every block of every finite group.*

Proof. Let  $B$  a block of  $G$  with a defect group  $D$ . The proof is done by induction on  $|G/Z(G)|$ . If  $G = Z(G)$ , then  $(*)$  is trivially true. Assume  $G > Z(G)$  and let  $N/Z(G)$  be a maximal normal subgroup of  $G/Z(G)$ . We claim that  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  satisfying the condition in Theorem 4.3. Let  $H$  be a subgroup such that  $N \leq H \leq G$  and let  $L$  be a central extension of  $H/N$ . If  $H < G$ , then  $|L/Z(L)| \leq |H/N| < |G/N| \leq |G/Z(G)|$ , so  $(*)$  is true for every block of  $L$  by induction. On the other hand, if  $H = G$ , then  $(*)$  is true for every block of  $L$  by Lemma 4.4 and assumption. So the claim is proved. Thus we may apply Theorem 4.3 to conclude that for every irreducible character  $\chi$  in  $B$  and an irreducible constituent  $\xi$  of  $\chi_N$ , we have

$$(1) \quad \text{ht}\chi - \text{ht}\xi \leq \nu|DN : Z(D)N|.$$

Let  $b$  be the block of  $N$  to which  $\xi$  belongs. Since  $|N/Z(N)| < |G/Z(G)|$ , we get by induction,

$$(2) \quad \text{ht}\xi \leq \nu|\delta : Z(\delta)|,$$

where  $\delta$  is a defect group of  $b$ . Replacing  $\xi$  by a  $G$ -conjugate of it if necessary, we may assume  $\delta = D \cap N$  by Knörr [10]. Thus, by (1) and (2),

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{ht}\chi &\leq \nu|DN : Z(D)N| + \nu|\delta : Z(\delta)| \\ &= \nu|D : Z(D)| + \nu|Z(D) \cap N| - \nu|Z(\delta)| \\ &\leq \nu|D : Z(D)| \text{ (since } Z(D) \cap N \leq Z(\delta)\text{)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(4) \quad \text{ht}\chi \leq \nu|D : Z(D)|.$$

If the equality holds in (4), then equality holds throughout. So, by (1) and Theorem 4.3, we see that  $\chi$  is afforded by a  $Z(D)N$ -projective  $RG$ -module. Further, we get  $\delta \leq Z(D)$  by (2), (3) and induction. Now,  $Z(D)(D \cap N) = Z(D)\delta = Z(D)$ . So, by Lemma 4.5, we see that  $D$  is abelian. Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

The following is a “relative version” of the results of Fong [7, (3C)] and Watanabe [18, Proposition].

**Corollary 4.7.** *Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of a group  $G$  such that  $G/N$  is  $p$ -solvable. Let  $B$  be a  $p$ -block of  $G$  with defect group  $D$ . Let  $\chi$  be an irreducible character in  $B$  and let  $\xi$  be an irreducible constituent of  $\chi_N$ . Then*

$$\text{ht}\chi - \text{ht}\xi \leq \nu|DN : Z(D)N|$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $\chi$  is afforded by a  $Z(D)N$ -projective  $RG$ -module.

Proof. Since (\*) is true for every block of a  $p$ -solvable quasi-simple group, because a  $p$ -solvable quasi-simple group is a  $p'$ -group, (\*) is true for every block of a  $p$ -solvable group, cf. the proof of Theorem 4.6. Then the assertion follows from Theorem 4.3.  $\square$

REMARK. (1) If  $N = 1$ , the corollary above boils down to the results of Fong [7] and Watanabe [18], cf. Lemma 4.5.

(2) The modular version of Corollary 4.7 is also true.

---

### References

- [1] J.L. Alperin: *The Green correspondence and normal subgroups*, J. Algebra, **104** (1986), 74–77.
- [2] J.L. Alperin: *Weights for finite groups, Proceedings of Arcata Conference*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **47** (1987), 369–379.
- [3] T.R. Berger and R. Knörr: *On Brauer's height 0 conjecture*, Nagoya Math. J. **109** (1988), 109–116.
- [4] R. Brauer: *On blocks and sections in finite groups, II*, Amer. J. Math. **90** (1968), 895–925.
- [5] R. Brauer: *On the structure of blocks of characters of finite groups*, Lecture Notes in Math. **372** (1974), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 103–130.
- [6] M. Broué: *Radical, hauteurs,  $p$ -sections et blocs*, Ann. of Math. **107** (1978), 89–107.
- [7] P. Fong: *On the characters of  $p$ -solvable groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **98** (1961), 263–284.
- [8] M. Harris and R. Knörr: *Brauer correspondences for covering blocks of finite groups*, Comm. Algebra, **13** (1985), 1213–1218.
- [9] I.M. Isaacs: *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [10] R. Knörr: *Blocks, vertices and normal subgroups*, Math. Z. **148** (1976), 53–60.
- [11] R. Knörr: *On the vertices of irreducible modules*, Ann. of Math. **110** (1979), 487–499.
- [12] R. Knörr: *Virtually irreducible lattices*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **59** (1989), 99–132.
- [13] M. Murai: *Block induction, normal subgroups and characters of height zero*, Osaka J. Math. **31** (1994), 9–25.
- [14] M. Murai: *Normal subgroups and heights of characters*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **36** (1996), 31–43.
- [15] M. Murai: *On subsections of blocks and Brauer pairs*.
- [16] H. Nagao and Y. Tsushima: *Representations of Finite Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [17] G.R. Robinson: *Local structure, vertices and Alperin's conjecture*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **72** (1996), 312–330.
- [18] A. Watanabe: *On Fong's reductions*, Kumamoto J. Sci. (Math.), **13** (1979), 48–54.
- [19] A. Watanabe: *Notes on  $p$ -blocks of characters of finite groups*, J. Algebra, **136** (1991), 109–116.
- [20] A. Watanabe: *Normal subgroups and multiplicities of indecomposable modules*, Osaka J. Math. **33** (1996), 629–635.

2-27 Meiji-machi  
Izumi Toki-shi  
Gifu-ken 509-5146  
Japan