

Title	Schrödinger operators with periodic potentials and constant magnetic fields with integer flux
Author(s)	Yoshitomi, Kazushi
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1997, 34(4), p. 859–893
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/8067
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

Yoshitomi, K. Osaka J. Math. **34** (1997), 859–893

# SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS WITH PERIODIC POTENTIALS AND CONSTANT MAGNETIC FIELDS WITH INTEGER FLUX

KAZUSHI YOSHITOMI

(Received October 14, 1996)

# 1. Introduction and main results

In this paper we study the spectral property of the 2-dimensional Schrödinger operators with periodic potentials and constant magnetic fields :

(1.1) 
$$H(\lambda) = (D_{x_1} + bx_2)^2 + (D_{x_2} - bx_1)^2 + \lambda^2 V(x) \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbf{R}^2),$$

where  $D_{x_j} = -i\partial/\partial x_j$   $(j = 1, 2), b \in \mathbf{R}, V(x)$  is a real-valued function on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ , and  $\lambda$  is a positive parameter. The corresponding magnetic field is defined by the 2-form  $B = -2bdx_1 \wedge dx_2$ . We assume that V(x) satisfies the following conditions : (H.1)  $V(x) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^2; \mathbf{R})$ . (H.2)  $V(x + \gamma) = V(x)$  on  $\mathbf{R}^2$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma = 2\pi \mathbf{Z} \oplus 2\pi \mathbf{Z}$ . (H.3)  $V(x) \ge 0$  on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . (H.4) V(x) = 0 if and only if  $x \in \Gamma$ . (H.5)  $V''(0) = 2\begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu_1, \mu_2 > 0$ .

The spectral property of  $H(\lambda)$  depends largely on number theoretical properties of B and  $\Gamma$ . In this paper we assume that  $(H.6) \ b \in (1/4\pi)\mathbb{Z}$ .

Under the assumption (H.6), the spectrum of  $H(\lambda)$  has a band structure. Our main purpose is to study the asymptotic behavior of the spectrum of  $H(\lambda)$  when  $\lambda$  tends to infinity. When the magnetic field is absent (i.e. b=0), B. Simon [5] and A. Outassout [4] proved that the width of the lowest band (*the ground state band*) decreases in exponential order when  $\lambda \to \infty$ . Simon used the theory of Brownian motion in the proof, while Outassout employed the W.K.B. type analysis developed by B. Helffer-J. Sjöstrand [2]. In this paper we prove similar estimates in the presence of the magnetic field B.

For  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^2$ , we denote by  $d_V(x, y)$  the Agmon distance associated with V(x)

(see  $\S3$ ), and we set

$$s_0 = \min_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}} d_V(0, \gamma).$$

The hypotheses (H.3) and (H.4) imply that  $s_0 > 0$ . Then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem A.** Assume  $(H.1) \sim (H.6)$ . Let  $L(\lambda)$  be the width of the ground state band. Then, for any  $\eta > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C_{\eta} > 0$  such that

(1.2) 
$$L(\lambda) \leq C_{\eta} e^{-(s_0 - 2\eta)\lambda} \quad as \quad \lambda \to \infty.$$

We improve the estimate (2) under an additional geometrical assumption. Let

$$\Lambda = \{\gamma \in \Gamma; d_V(0, \gamma) = s_0\}.$$

For  $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^2$  and r > 0, we set

$$B_V(x_0, r) = \{ x \in \mathbf{R}^2; d_V(x_0, x) < r \}.$$

For each  $\gamma \in \Lambda$ , we assume the following.

(H.7) There is a unique geodesic  $\kappa$  of length  $s_0$  joining 0 and  $\gamma$ .

(H.8) Let  $x_0 \in \kappa \cap B_V(0, s_0) \cap B_V(\gamma, s_0)$  and let  $\Gamma_0 \subset B_V(0, s_0) \cap B_V(\gamma, s_0)$  be any smooth curve such that  $\overline{\Gamma_0} \cap \kappa = \{x_0\}$  and  $\Gamma_0$  intersects  $\kappa$  transversally at  $x_0$ . Then there exists a constant  $C = C(x_0, \Gamma_0) > 0$  such that

$$d_V(x,0) + d_V(x,\gamma) \ge s_0 + C d_V(x,x_0)^2$$
 for any  $x \in \Gamma_0$ .

**Theorem B.** Under the hypotheses  $(H.1) \sim (H.8)$ , the width of the ground state band of  $H(\lambda)$  is

$$(b_0\lambda^{3/2} + O(\lambda^{1/2}))e^{-s_0\lambda}$$
 as  $\lambda \to \infty$ ,

where  $b_0 > 0$  is a constant depending only on V(x) and B.

We owe the basic ideas of the proof of these theorems to the work of Helffer-Sjöstrand [2] on the tunneling effect of Schrödinger operators and to that of Outassourt [4] which applied the technique of Helffer-Sjöstrand to periodic potentials and the tight-binding approximation. The assumption (H.6) allows us to generalize this idea to the magnetic Schrödinger operators with small modification. In §2, we introduce a differential operator on a torus, and estimate its eigenvalues by using

harmonic approximation. In §3, we prove Theorem A by slightly deforming the periodic potential and comparing the first eigenvalue of the resulting Schrödinger operator with the one introduced in §2. In order to prove Theorem B, we shall introduce in §4 a W.K.B. solution of the magnetic Schrödinger operator and approximate the eigenfunctions of the reference problem.

### 2. Preliminaries

First we introduce various function spaces and magnetic translations which reduce our problem to that of a differential operators on a torus. For details see Sjöstrand [6] p. 247.

Let E be the fundamental domain of  $\Gamma = 2\pi \mathbb{Z} \oplus 2\pi \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\Gamma^*$  be the dual lattice of  $\Gamma$ , and  $E^*$  be the fundamental domain of  $\Gamma^*$ . Namely,

$$E = [0, 2\pi) \times [0, 2\pi),$$
  

$$\Gamma^* = \{\gamma^* \in \mathbf{R}^2; \gamma \cdot \gamma^* \in 2\pi \mathbf{Z}, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma\} = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z},$$
  

$$E^* = [0, 1) \times [0, 1).$$

Let  $H^2_B(\mathbf{R}^2) = \{ u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2); T_i u, T_i T_j u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2), \forall i, j \in \{1, 2\} \}$ , where

$$T_1 = D_{x_1} + bx_2, T_2 = D_{x_2} - bx_1.$$

We define the inner product of  $H_B^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$  by

$$(u,v)_{H^2_B(\mathbf{R}^2)} = (u,v)_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} + \sum_{i=1}^2 (T_i u, T_i v)_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} + \sum_{i,j=1}^2 (T_i T_j u, T_i T_j v)_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

Then,  $H(\lambda)$  is self-adjoint with domain  $H_B^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ .

For  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \Gamma$  and  $u \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , we define the magnetic translation  $\mathbb{T}^B_{\gamma}$  by

$$(\mathbf{T}^B_{\gamma}u)(x) = e^{ib\gamma_1\gamma_2}e^{-ib(x_1\gamma_2 - x_2\gamma_1)}u(x-\gamma).$$

 $\{\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^B\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$  is an Abelian group, and each  $\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^B$  commutes with the differential operator  $H(\lambda)$  defined by (1).

For  $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^2)$  and  $\theta \in E^*$ , we define

$$(\mathcal{U}u)(x; heta) = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} e^{i\gamma\cdot heta}(\mathbf{T}^B_\gamma u)(x), \quad x\in\mathbf{R}^2.$$

For  $\theta \in E^*$ , we define

$$\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta} = \{ v \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^2); \mathbf{T}^B_{\gamma} v = e^{-i\gamma \cdot \theta} v \quad \text{a.e. in} \quad \mathbf{R}^2, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \}$$

equipped with the inner product  $(u, v)_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}} = \int_E u(x)\overline{v(x)}dx$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H} = \int_{E^*}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{B,\theta} d\theta$  equipped with the inner product

$$(u,v)_{\mathcal{H}} = (\operatorname{vol} E^*)^{-1} \int_{E^*} d\theta \int_E u(x,\theta) \overline{v(x,\theta)} dx.$$

For  $\theta \in E^*$ , we define

(2.1) 
$$H(\lambda;\theta) = (D_{x_1} + bx_2)^2 + (D_{x_2} - bx_1)^2 + \lambda^2 V(x) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}$$

with domain

$$\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}^2 = \{ v \in \mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}; T_i v, T_i T_j v \in \mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}, \forall i, j \in \{1,2\} \}.$$

We then have the following fundamental proposition (cf. [6] p. 255).

**Proposition 2.1.** U is uniquely extended to a unitary operator from  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$  to  $\mathcal{H}$ , and the following equality holds :

(2.2) 
$$\mathcal{U}H(\lambda)\mathcal{U}^{-1} = \int_{E^*}^{\oplus} H(\lambda;\theta)d\theta.$$

Because  $H(\lambda; \theta)$  has a compact resolvent, the spectum of  $H(\lambda; \theta)$  is discrete. We denote by  $\mathcal{E}_j(\lambda; \theta)$  the *j*-th eigenvalue of  $H(\lambda; \theta)$  counted with multiplicity. By the min-max principle,  $\mathcal{E}_j(\lambda; \theta)$  is a continuous function of  $\theta \in E^*$ . So, we have

(2.3) 
$$\sigma(H(\lambda)) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_j(\lambda; E^*), \text{ where } \mathcal{E}_j(\lambda; E^*) = \{\mathcal{E}_j(\lambda; \theta); \theta \in E^*\}.$$

 $\mathcal{E}_j(\lambda; E^*)$  is either a closed interval or a one-point set. We call  $\mathcal{E}_j(\lambda; E^*)$  the *j*-th band, and  $\mathcal{E}_1(\lambda; E^*)$  the ground state band.

Before going into the precise analysis of the ground state band, we first get the asymptotic expansion of first order of each eigenvalue. Let N be the set of non-negative integers and  $N_+$  the set of positive integers. Let

$$\Lambda_0 = \{(2j+1)\sqrt{\mu_1} + (2k+1)\sqrt{\mu_2}; j, k \in \mathbf{N}\}$$

(where  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$  are defined in (H.5)) and let  $v_n$  be the *n*-th smallest element of  $\Lambda_0$  counted with multiplicity. Then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}_+$ , we have

(2.4) 
$$\mathcal{E}_n(\lambda;\theta) = v_n \lambda + o(\lambda) \quad (\lambda \to \infty),$$

where the error term is uniform with respect to  $\theta \in E^*$ .

Proof. The proof is done along the line of Theorem 1 of Simon [5]. We prove the following two inequalities.

(2.5) 
$$\mathcal{E}_n(\lambda;\theta) \ge v_n \lambda - O(\lambda^{4/5}) \quad (\lambda \to \infty),$$

(2.6) 
$$\mathcal{E}_n(\lambda;\theta) \le v_n \lambda + O(\lambda^{1/2}) \quad (\lambda \to \infty),$$

where the error term is uniform with respect to  $\theta \in E^*$ .

As was done in Simon [5], (5) is proved by using the I.M.S. localization formula and the min-max principle. The presense of the magnetic fields requires no essential change.

Next we prove (6). To show this, we use the harmonic approximation (cf. [5]). (H.5) implies that

$$V(x) = \mu_1 x_1^2 + \mu_2 x_2^2 + O(|x|^3) \quad (|x| \to 0).$$

Let us introduce the following approximate operator :

(2.7) 
$$H_0(\lambda) = (D_{x_1} + bx_2)^2 + (D_{x_2} - bx_1)^2 + \lambda^2(\mu_1 x_1^2 + \mu_2 x_2^2)$$
 in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ .

We use the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of  $H_0(\lambda)$  to approximate  $\mathcal{E}_j(\lambda;\theta)$ . By the symplectic invariance of Weyl operators,  $H_0(\lambda)$  is unitarily equivalent to the following harmonic oscillator (see Appendix):

(2.8) 
$$-\triangle + m_1(\lambda)x_1^2 + m_2(\lambda)x_2^2$$
 in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ ,

where  $m_1(\lambda)$  and  $m_2(\lambda)$  are the roots of

$$t^2 - ((\mu_1 + \mu_2)\lambda^2 + 4b^2)t + \mu_1\mu_2\lambda^4 = 0, m_1(\lambda) < m_2(\lambda).$$

Therefore, the eigenvalues of  $H_0(\lambda)$  are

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{j,k}(\lambda) = (2j+1)\sqrt{m_1(\lambda)} + (2k+1)\sqrt{m_2(\lambda)}, \quad j,k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let

$$v_{j,k} = (2j+1)\sqrt{\min(\mu_1,\mu_2)} + (2k+1)\sqrt{\max(\mu_1,\mu_2)}.$$

In Appendix, we shall show that

(2.9) 
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{j,k}(\lambda) = v_{j,k}\lambda + O(1) \quad (\lambda \to \infty).$$

Let  $\{\psi_{j,k}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$  be the complete orthonormal system of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , where  $\psi_{j,k}(\lambda;x)$  is the eigenfunction of  $H_0(\lambda)$  associated with the eigenvalue  $(2j+1)\sqrt{m_1(\lambda)} + (2k+1)\sqrt{m_2(\lambda)}$ 

 $1)\sqrt{m_2(\lambda)}$ . Each  $\psi_{j,k}$  can be computed explicitly, and the following estimate holds (see Appendix) :

$$|\psi_{j,k}(\lambda;x)| \le C_{j,k}\lambda^{1/2}\exp(-c\lambda|x|^2),$$

where  $C_{j,k} > 0$  and c > 0 are constants independent of  $\lambda > 1$ . We can choose  $\{(j_n, k_n)\}_{n \ge 1}$   $(j_n, k_n \in \mathbb{N})$  such that

$$v_n = v_{j_n,k_n} (n = 1, 2, \cdots), (j_n, k_n) \neq (j_m, k_m) \quad \text{if} \quad n \neq m$$

Let  $\psi_n = \psi_{j_n,k_n}$ ,  $C_n = C_{j_n,k_n}$ ,  $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_n(\lambda) = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{j_n,k_n}(\lambda)$   $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$ , and

(2.11) 
$$\varphi_n(\lambda; x; \theta) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{i\gamma \cdot \theta} (\mathbf{T}^B_{\gamma} \psi_n)(\lambda; x) \ (\theta \in E^*).$$

We prove the following estimates :

(2.12) 
$$(\varphi_n(\lambda; x; \theta), \varphi_m(\lambda; x; \theta))_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}} = \delta_{nm} + O(e^{-k\lambda}) \ (\lambda \to \infty),$$

(2.13) 
$$(H(\lambda;\theta)\varphi_n(\lambda;x;\theta),\varphi_m(\lambda;x;\theta))_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}} = v_n\lambda\delta_{nm} + O(\lambda^{1/2}) \ (\lambda \to \infty),$$

where k > 0 is a constant independent of  $\lambda$  and each error term is uniform with respect to  $\theta \in E^*$ . The inequality (6) then follows from (12) and (13) by Schmidt's orthogonalization process and the Rayleigh-Ritz Principle.

First we show (12). For  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \Gamma$ , we set

(2.14) 
$$\theta(\gamma) = e^{ib\gamma_1\gamma_2}.$$

Then (H.6) implies that

(2.15) 
$$\theta(\gamma) \in \{1, -1\}.$$

From (11) and (15), we have

$$(2.16) \qquad (\varphi_n(\lambda; x; \theta), \varphi_m(\lambda; x; \theta))_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}} \\ = \int_E \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \psi_n(\lambda; x - \gamma) \overline{\psi_m(\lambda; x - \gamma)} dx \\ + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sum_{\substack{\gamma' \in \Gamma \\ \gamma' \neq \gamma}} \int_E e^{i(\gamma - \gamma') \cdot \theta} \theta(\gamma) \theta(\gamma') \\ \times e^{-ib(x_1\gamma_2 - x_1\gamma_1)} e^{ib(x_1\gamma'_2 - x_2\gamma'_1)} \psi_n(\lambda; x - \gamma) \overline{\psi_m(\lambda; x - \gamma')} dx$$

where  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2), \ \gamma' = (\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2).$ 

The first term of the right-hand side equals

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \psi_n(\lambda; x) \overline{\psi_m(\lambda; x)} dx = \delta_{nm}.$$

We denote the second term by  $R_{n,m}(\lambda)$ . Then (10) implies that

$$|R_{n,m}(\lambda)| \leq C_n C_m \lambda \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma \\ \gamma' \neq \gamma}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma' \in \Gamma \\ \gamma' \neq \gamma}} \int_E \exp(-c\lambda(|x-\gamma|^2 + |x-\gamma'|^2)) dx.$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$|x-\gamma|^2+|x-\gamma'|^2\geq 2\pi^2 \quad ext{in} \quad \mathbf{R}^2 \quad ext{for} \quad {}^{orall}\gamma,\gamma'\in\Gamma,\gamma
eq\gamma'.$$

Let  $k = \pi^2 c$  (> 0). Using the above inequality, we have

$$(2.17) |R_{n,m}(\lambda)| \leq C_n C_m \lambda e^{-k\lambda} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sum_{\substack{\gamma' \in \Gamma \\ \gamma' \neq \gamma}} \int_E \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}c\lambda(|x-\gamma|^2 + |x-\gamma'|^2)\right) dx$$
$$\leq C_n C_m \lambda e^{-k\lambda} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}c\lambda \min_{x \in E} |x-\gamma|^2\right)\right) \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma} \int_E \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}c\lambda|x-\gamma'|^2\right) dx$$
$$= C_n C_m \lambda e^{-k\lambda} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}c\lambda \min_{x \in E} |x-\gamma|^2\right)\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}c\lambda|x|^2\right) dx$$
$$= C_n C_m e^{-k\lambda} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}c|x|^2\right) dx\right) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}c\lambda \min_{x \in E} |x-\gamma|^2\right),$$

where we have used the scale change  $\sqrt{\lambda}x \to x$  in the third line. For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $|\gamma| \ge 4\sqrt{2}\pi$ , we have

$$\min_{x\in E} |x-\gamma|^2 \ge \frac{1}{4} |\gamma|^2.$$

So, there exists a constant C' > 0 independent of  $\lambda > 1$  such that

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \exp\left(-rac{1}{2}c\lambda\min_{x \in E}|x-\gamma|^2
ight) \leq C' \quad ext{for any} \quad \lambda \geq 1.$$

Therefore, we get (12).

Next we show (13). We denote by  $\widetilde{H}^{\circ}(\lambda)$  and  $H^{\circ}(\lambda)$  the formal differential operators

$$(D_{x_1} + bx_2)^2 + (D_{x_2} - bx_1)^2 + \lambda^2(\mu_1 x_1^2 + \mu_2 x_2^2)$$

and

$$(D_{x_1} + bx_2)^2 + (D_{x_2} - bx_1)^2 + \lambda^2 V(x)$$

respectively. Let  $E_0 = [-\pi, \pi) \times [-\pi, \pi)$ . Because each  $\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^B(\gamma \in \Gamma)$  commutes with  $H^{\circ}(\lambda)$ , we have by using (11)

$$(2.18) \qquad (H(\lambda;\theta)\varphi_{n}(\lambda;x;\theta),\varphi_{m}(\lambda;x;\theta))_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}} \\ = \int_{E_{0}} (H^{\circ}(\lambda)\varphi_{n}(\lambda;x;\theta))\overline{\varphi_{m}(\lambda;x;\theta)}dx \\ = \int_{E_{0}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{i\gamma \cdot \theta} (H^{\circ}(\lambda)\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^{B}\psi_{n})(\lambda;x)\overline{\varphi_{m}(\lambda;x;\theta)}dx \\ = \int_{E_{0}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{i\gamma \cdot \theta} (\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^{B}H^{\circ}(\lambda)\psi_{n})(\lambda;x)\overline{\varphi_{m}(\lambda;x;\theta)}dx \\ (2.19) \qquad = \int_{E_{0}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{i\gamma \cdot \theta} (\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^{B}(H^{\circ}(\lambda) - \widetilde{H}^{\circ}(\lambda))\psi_{n})(\lambda;x)\overline{\varphi_{m}(\lambda;x;\theta)}dx \\ + \int_{E_{0}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{i\gamma \cdot \theta} (\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{H}^{\circ}(\lambda)\psi_{n})(\lambda;x)\overline{\varphi_{m}(\lambda;x;\theta)}dx. \end{cases}$$

Let us recall that

$$\widetilde{H}^{\circ}(\lambda)\psi_n = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_n(\lambda)\psi_n.$$

This together with (9) implies that

$$\widetilde{H}^{\circ}(\lambda)\psi_n = (v_n\lambda + O(1))\psi_n \ (\lambda \to \infty).$$

So, the second term of (19) equals

$$\begin{split} (v_n\lambda + O(1)) \int_{E_0} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{i\gamma \cdot \theta} (\mathbf{T}^B_{\gamma} \psi_n)(\lambda; x) \overline{\varphi_m(\lambda; x; \theta)} dx \\ &= (v_n\lambda + O(1)) \int_{E_0} \varphi_n(\lambda; x; \theta) \overline{\varphi_m(\lambda; x; \theta)} dx \\ &= (v_n\lambda + O(1))(\delta_{nm} + O(e^{-k\lambda})) \\ &= v_n \delta_{nm} \lambda + O(1), \end{split}$$

where we used (12).

We denote by  $R'_{n,m}(\lambda)$  the first term of (19). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^{B}((H^{\circ}(\lambda) - \widetilde{H}^{\circ}(\lambda))\psi_{n})(\lambda; x) \\ &= \lambda^{2}\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^{B}\{(V(x) - (\mu_{1}^{2}x_{1}^{2} + \mu_{2}^{2}x_{2}^{2}))\psi_{n}\} \\ &= \lambda^{2}\theta(\gamma)e^{-ib(x_{1}\gamma_{2} - x_{2}\gamma_{1})}\{V(x - \gamma) - (\mu_{1}(x_{1} - \gamma_{1})^{2} + \mu_{2}(x_{2} - \gamma_{2})^{2})\}\psi_{n}(\lambda; x - \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

By (H.5), there exists a constant  $C_0 > 0$  such that

$$|V(x) - (\mu_1 x_1^2 + \mu_2 x_2^2)| \le C_0 |x|^3$$
 in  $E_0$ .

Because V(x) is bounded in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\operatorname{dis}(\Gamma \setminus \{0\}, E_0) > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C'_0 > 0$  independent of  $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$|V(x-\gamma)| + \mu_1 (x_1 - \gamma_1)^2 + \mu_2 (x_2 - \gamma_2)^2 \le C'_0 |x-\gamma|^3 \text{ in } E_0 \text{ for any } \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}.$$

Using (10), we have for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ 

$$|\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^{B}((H^{\circ}(\lambda) - \widetilde{H}^{\circ}(\lambda))\psi_{n})(\lambda; x)| \leq C_{0}''\lambda^{5/2}|x - \gamma|^{3}\exp(-c\lambda|x - \gamma|^{2}) \quad \text{in} \quad E_{0},$$

where  $C_0'' > 0$  is a constant independent of  $\lambda > 1$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Using (10) again, we have

$$\begin{split} |R'_{n,m}(\lambda)| &\leq C_0'' C_m \lambda^3 \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma} \int_{E_0} |x - \gamma|^3 \exp(-c\lambda |x - \gamma|^2) \exp(-c\lambda |x - \gamma'|^2) dx \\ &\leq C_0'' C_m \lambda^3 \left( \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma} \exp(-c\lambda \min_{x \in E_0} |x - \gamma'|^2) \right) \\ &\qquad \times \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{E_0} |x - \gamma|^3 \exp(-c\lambda |x - \gamma|^2) dx \end{split}$$

As in the preceding caluculus, there exists a constant C' > 0 independent of  $\lambda \ge 1$  such that

$$\sum_{\gamma'\in\Gamma} \exp(-c\lambda \min_{x\in E_0} |x-\gamma'|^2) \le C' \quad (\lambda \ge 1).$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} |R'_{n,m}(\lambda)| &\leq C' C''_0 C_m \lambda^3 \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |x|^3 \exp(-c\lambda |x|^2) dx \\ &= O(\lambda^{1/2}) \end{aligned}$$

and we get (13).

## 3. Proof of Theorem A

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem A. The most important part of the proof is the exponential decay of eigenfunctions of approximate operators (cf. [1] §3.3).

First we introduce the Agmon distance. For  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , we define

(3.1) 
$$d_V(x,y) = \inf_{\gamma} \int_0^1 \sqrt{V(\gamma(t))} |\dot{\gamma}(t)| dt$$

where  $\gamma : [0,1] \to \mathbf{R}^2$  is a piecewise  $C^1$  path satisfying  $\gamma(0) = x$  and  $\gamma(1) = y$ .  $d_V(x, y)$  has the following properties (see [1] §2.3, 2.4, and 3.1): For any  $y \in \mathbf{R}^2$ ,

(3.2) 
$$|\nabla_x d_V(x,y)|^2 \le V(x) \quad \text{a.e. in} \quad \mathbf{R}^2.$$

 $d_V(x,0)$  is smooth in a neighborhood of 0 and satisfies

 $|\nabla_x d_V(x,0)|^2 = V(x)$  in a neighborhood of 0.

For  $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^2$  and r > 0, we set

$$B_V(x_0, r) = \{ x \in \mathbf{R}^2 : d_V(x_0, x) < r \}.$$

Let

$$s_0 = \min_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}} d_V(0,\gamma) \quad (>0).$$

For sufficiently small  $\eta > 0$ , we choose  $W_{\eta} \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^2)$  such that

$$W_{\eta} = 1$$
 on  $B_V\left(0, \frac{\eta}{4}\right), W_{\eta} \ge 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}^2, \operatorname{supp} W_{\eta} \subset B_V\left(0, \frac{\eta}{2}\right).$ 

Let

$$\widetilde{V}(x) = V(x) + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}} W_\eta(x - \gamma).$$

To approximate  $\mathcal{E}_1(\lambda; \theta)$   $(\theta \in E^*)$ , we introduce the following approximate operator

(3.3) 
$$\widetilde{H}(\lambda) = (D_{x_1} + bx_2)^2 + (D_{x_2} - bx_1)^2 + \lambda^2 \widetilde{V}(x)$$

in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$  with domain  $H^2_B(\mathbf{R}^2)$ .

Since  $\tilde{V}(x)$  has a non-degenerate minimum only at the origin, one can argue as in §2 to show the following fact.

For any  $n \in \mathbf{N}_+$  and sufficiently large  $\lambda$ ,  $\tilde{H}(\lambda)$  has at least n eigenvalues below its essential spectrum, and the *j*-th eigenvalue counted with multiplicity has asymptotic expansion  $v_j\lambda + o(\lambda)$   $(\lambda \to \infty)$ .

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)$  be the first eigenvalue of  $\tilde{H}(\lambda)$  and let  $\tilde{\phi}(\lambda)(x)$  be the associated normalized eigenfunction. We have the following theorem which is analogous to Helffer-Sjöstrand (cf. [2] Lemma 2.4).

**Lemma 3.1.** For sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$  we have

(3.4) 
$$\|e^{\lambda(1-\epsilon)d_{\widetilde{V}}(x,0)}\widetilde{\phi}(\lambda)(x)\|_{H^1_B(\mathbf{R}^2)} = O_{\epsilon}(e^{\epsilon\lambda}) \quad (\lambda \to \infty),$$

where  $(u, v)_{H^1_B(\mathbf{R}^2)} = (u, v)_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} + \sum_{i=1}^2 (T_i u, T_i v)_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}.$ 

Proof. First we show the following equality

(3.5) 
$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \{ |(D_{x_1} + bx_2)(e^{\lambda\varphi}\widetilde{\phi})|^2 + |(D_{x_2} - b_{x_1})(e^{\lambda\varphi}\widetilde{\phi})|^2 \} dx + \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} e^{2\lambda\varphi} (\lambda^2 (\widetilde{V} - |\nabla\varphi|^2) - \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)) |\widetilde{\phi}|^2 dx = 0,$$

where  $\varphi$  is any **R**-valued locally Lipschitzian function in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , which is constant for sufficiently large |x|.

We choose  $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^2)$  such that

$$\chi(x) = egin{cases} 1 & (|x| \leq 1) \ 0 & (|x| \geq 2) \ \end{pmatrix}, 0 \leq \chi \leq 1 \quad ext{in} \quad \mathbf{R}^2.$$

For R > 0, we set

$$u_R(\lambda; x) = \chi\left(rac{x}{R}
ight) \widetilde{\phi}(\lambda)(x).$$

Note that  $\varphi \in H^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^2)$  and  $u_R = 0$  for  $|x| \ge 2R$ . Then integrating by parts shows that

(3.6) 
$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}} e^{2\lambda\varphi} \{ (\widetilde{H}(\lambda) - \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)) u_{R} \} \overline{u_{R}} dx \\ = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}} \{ |(D_{x_{1}} + bx_{2})(e^{\lambda\varphi}u_{R})|^{2} + |(D_{x_{2}} - bx_{1})(e^{\lambda\varphi}u_{R})|^{2} \} dx \\ + \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}} e^{2\lambda\varphi} (\lambda^{2}(\widetilde{V} - |\nabla\varphi|^{2}) - \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)) |u_{R}|^{2} dx.$$

Because  $\varphi$  is constant for sufficiently large |x| and  $u_R \to \tilde{\phi}$  in  $H^2_B(\mathbb{R}^2)$   $(R \to \infty)$ , we get (5) by taking the limit  $R \to \infty$  in (6).

Let

$$\chi_R(t) = \begin{cases} t & (0 \le t \le R) \\ R & (t > R). \end{cases}$$

We set

$$\varphi(x) = d_{\widetilde{V}}(x,0) \quad ext{and} \quad \varphi_R(x) = (1-\delta)\chi_R(\varphi(x)) \quad (0 < \delta < 1).$$

It follows from (2) that if  $\varphi(x) \leq R$ , we have

(3.7) 
$$|\nabla \varphi_R|^2 = (1-\delta)^2 |\nabla \varphi|^2 \\ \leq (1-\delta)^2 \widetilde{V}(x),$$

and  $\nabla \varphi_R = 0$  otherwise. So it follows that if  $\widetilde{V}(x) \ge \delta$ , we have

(3.8) 
$$\widetilde{V} - |\nabla \varphi_R|^2 - \lambda^{-2} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) \ge \delta^2 (2 - \delta) - \lambda^{-2} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda).$$

Because  $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) = v_1 \lambda + o(\lambda)$   $(\lambda \to \infty)$ , for any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $\lambda(\delta) > 1$  such that

(3.9) 
$$\widetilde{V} - |\nabla \varphi_R|^2 - \lambda^{-2} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) \ge \delta^2 \quad \text{if} \quad \widetilde{V}(x) \ge \delta, \lambda > \lambda(\delta).$$

We set

$$Q_{\delta}^{+} = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^{2}; \widetilde{V}(x) \geq \delta\}, Q_{\delta}^{-} = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^{2}; \widetilde{V}(x) < \delta\}.$$

Then, (5) and (9) imply that

$$(3.10) \qquad \lambda^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \{ |(D_{x_{1}} + bx_{2})(e^{\lambda\varphi_{R}}\widetilde{\phi})|^{2} + |(D_{x_{2}} - bx_{1})(e^{\lambda\phi_{R}}\widetilde{\phi})|^{2} \} dx + \delta^{2} \int_{Q_{\delta}^{+}} e^{2\lambda\varphi_{R}} |\widetilde{\phi}|^{2} dx \leq \sup_{Q_{\delta}^{-}} |\widetilde{V} - |\nabla\varphi_{R}|^{2} - \lambda^{-2}\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)| \int_{Q_{\delta}^{-}} e^{2\lambda\varphi_{R}} |\widetilde{\phi}|^{2} dx.$$

Let

$$a(\delta) = 2 \sup_{x \in Q_{\delta}^{-}} \varphi_R(x).$$

(H.4) and (H.5) imply that

(3.11) 
$$a(\delta) = O(\delta^2) \quad (\delta \to 0).$$

Besides, there exists a constant C > 0 such that for any R > 0 and  $\lambda > \lambda(\delta)$ 

$$\sup_{Q_{\delta}^{-}} |\widetilde{V} - |\nabla \varphi_{R}|^{2} - \lambda^{-2} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)| \leq C.$$

So, it follows from (10) that

$$(3.12) \qquad \lambda^{-2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \{ |(D_{x_1} + bx_2)(e^{\lambda\varphi_R}\widetilde{\phi})|^2 + |(D_{x_2} - bx_1)(e^{\lambda\varphi_R}\widetilde{\phi})|^2 \} dx \\ + \delta^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} e^{2\lambda\varphi_R} |\widetilde{\phi}|^2 dx \\ \le (C+1)e^{\lambda a(\delta)}$$

Taking the limit  $R \to \infty$ , we have

$$(3.13) \qquad \lambda^{-2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \{ |(D_{x_1} + bx_2)(e^{\lambda(1-\delta)\varphi}\widetilde{\phi})|^2 + |(D_{x_2} - bx_1)(e^{\lambda(1-\delta)\varphi}\widetilde{\phi})|^2 \} dx + \delta^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} e^{2\lambda(1-\delta)\varphi} |\widetilde{\phi}|^2 dx \leq (C+1)e^{\lambda a(\delta)} \quad (\lambda > \lambda(\delta)).$$

Plugging (11) to (13), we get (4).

**Lemma 3.2.** For any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , and R > 0, there exists a constant  $C_{\alpha,\epsilon,R} > 0$  independent of  $\lambda$  such that

$$(3.14) |\partial_x^{\alpha} \widetilde{\phi}(\lambda)(x)| \le C_{\alpha,\epsilon,R} e^{-\lambda (d_{\widetilde{V}}(x,0)-\epsilon)} in B_{\widetilde{V}}(0,R).$$

Proof. Because  $\widetilde{V}(x) = 0 \iff x = 0$  and  $\widetilde{V}(x)$  is non-degenerate at x = 0, we have

(3.15) 
$$\varphi(x) = d_{\widetilde{V}}(x,0) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^2;\mathbf{R}).$$

Let

$$w(\lambda)(x) = e^{\lambda \varphi(x)} \widetilde{\phi}(x).$$

Let K,  $\tilde{K}$  be any bounded open set of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  satisfying  $K \subset \tilde{K}$ . Lemma 3.1 implies

(3.16) 
$$\|e^{\lambda\varphi}\phi\|_{H^1(\widetilde{K})} = O(e^{\epsilon\lambda}).$$

Because  $\widetilde{H}(\lambda)\widetilde{\phi} = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)\widetilde{\phi}$ , we have

$$(3.17) \qquad -\Delta w = (\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) - \lambda^2 \widetilde{V}(x) - \lambda \Delta \varphi + \lambda^2 |\nabla \varphi|^2 + b^2 |x|^2) w + 2\lambda (D_{x_1} \varphi) (D_{x_1} + bx_2) w + 2\lambda (D_{x_2} \varphi) (D_{x_2} - bx_1) w - 2bx_2 (D_{x_1} + bx_2) w + 2bx_1 (D_{x_2} - bx_1) w.$$

We denote by  $f(\lambda)(x)$  the right-hand side of (17). Noting  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) = v_1\lambda + o(\lambda)$  and (16), we have by an a-priori estimate for the Laplacian

$$(3.18) ||w||_{H^{2}(K)} \leq C_{K,\widetilde{K}}(||f(\lambda)||_{L^{2}(\widetilde{K})} + ||w(\lambda)||_{L^{2}(\widetilde{K})}) \leq C'_{K,\widetilde{K}}\lambda^{2}||w(\lambda)||_{H^{1}(\widetilde{K})} = O(e^{\epsilon\lambda}).$$

Let K',  $\widetilde{K'}$  be any bounded open set of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  satisfying  $K' \subset \widetilde{K'}$ . Then the above argument shows that

(3.19) 
$$\|w\|_{H^{3}(K')} \leq C_{K',\widetilde{K'}}(\|f(\lambda)\|_{H^{1}(\widetilde{K'})} + \|w\|_{L^{2}(\widetilde{K'})})$$
$$\leq C'_{K',\widetilde{K'}}\lambda^{2}\|w(\lambda)\|_{H^{2}(\widetilde{K'})}$$
$$= O(e^{\epsilon\lambda}).$$

Inductively, for any  $m \in \mathbf{N}_+$  and R > 0, we have

$$\|w\|_{H^m(B_{\widetilde{V}}(0,R))} \leq C_R e^{\epsilon \lambda}.$$

where  $C_R$  is a constant independent of  $\lambda$ .

By using Sobolev's imbedding theorem and (20), we get (14).

We turn to the proof of the Theorem A. First note that  $V(x) = \widetilde{V}(x)$  in  $B_V(0, s_0 - \frac{\eta}{2})$ , and  $d_{\widetilde{V}}(x, 0) \ge d_V(x, 0)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We choose  $\chi_{\eta} \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{supp} \chi_\eta \subset B_V\left(0, s_0 - \frac{3}{4}\eta\right), \quad 0 \leq \chi_\eta \leq 1 \quad \mathrm{in} \quad B_V\left(0, s_0 - \frac{3}{4}\eta\right), \\ \chi_\eta = 1 \quad \mathrm{on} \quad B_V(0, s_0 - \eta). \end{split}$$

Let  $\widetilde{\psi}(\lambda)(x) = \chi_{\eta}(x)\widetilde{\phi}(\lambda)(x)$ . For  $\theta \in E^*$  we set

(3.21) 
$$\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta}(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{i\gamma \cdot \theta} (\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^{B} \widetilde{\psi})(x) (\in \mathcal{H}_{B,\theta} \cap C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^{2})).$$

Then, by a direct computation we get

(3.22) 
$$H(\lambda;\theta)\overline{\psi}_{\theta}(\lambda) = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)\overline{\psi}_{\theta}(\lambda) + \widetilde{r}_{\theta}(\lambda)$$

where

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{r}_{\theta}(\lambda)(x) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{i\gamma \cdot \theta} (\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^{B} \widetilde{r}(\lambda))(x), \\ \widetilde{r}(\lambda)(x) &= -(\triangle \chi_{\eta}) \widetilde{\phi} - 2\nabla \chi_{\eta} \cdot \nabla \widetilde{\phi} - 2bi((x_{2}\partial_{x_{1}} - x_{1}\partial_{x_{2}})\chi_{\eta}) \widetilde{\phi}. \end{split}$$

We estimate  $\|\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta}(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}}$  and  $\|\widetilde{r}_{\theta}(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}}$ . Because  $\|\widetilde{\phi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})} = 1$  and  $0 \leq \chi_{\eta} \leq 1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ , we have

$$1 - \|(1 - \chi_{\eta})\widetilde{\phi}\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \le \|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \le 1.$$

Using Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{split} \|(1-\chi_{\eta})\phi\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{2})} &\leq \|\phi\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{2}\setminus B_{V}(0,s_{0}-\eta))} \\ &= \|e^{-\lambda(1-\epsilon)\varphi}e^{\lambda(1-\epsilon)\varphi}\widetilde{\phi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{2}\setminus B_{V}(0,s_{0}-\eta))} \\ &\leq C_{\epsilon}e^{-\lambda(1-\epsilon)(s_{0}-\eta)}e^{\epsilon\lambda} \\ &= C_{\epsilon}e^{-\lambda(s_{0}-\eta)+\lambda\epsilon(s_{0}-\eta+1)}. \end{split}$$

We choose  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\epsilon(s_0 - \eta + 1) < \eta$ . Then we have

$$\|(1-\chi_{\eta})\widetilde{\phi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{2})} = O(e^{-\lambda(s_{0}-2\eta)}),$$

and

(3.23) 
$$\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} = 1 + O(e^{-\lambda(s_0 - 2\eta)}).$$

Using (21), we have

(3.24) 
$$\|\widetilde{\psi_{\theta}}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}}^{2} = \|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{2})}^{2} + \sum_{\substack{\gamma,\gamma'\in\Gamma\\\gamma\neq\gamma'}} e^{i(\gamma-\gamma')\cdot\theta} (\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\psi},\mathbf{T}_{\gamma'}^{B}\widetilde{\psi})_{L^{2}(E_{0})},$$

where  $E_0 = [-\pi, \pi) \times [-\pi, \pi)$ . We note that the summation of the right-hand side of (24) ranges over a finite set of indices because  $\tilde{\psi}$  is compactly supported. Let  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma' \in \Gamma$ ,  $\gamma \neq \gamma'$ . Lemma 3.2 implies

$$\begin{split} |(\mathbf{T}^B_{\gamma}\widetilde{\psi},\mathbf{T}^B_{\gamma'}\widetilde{\psi})_{L^2(E_0)}| &\leq \int_{E_0} |\widetilde{\phi}(\lambda)(x-\gamma)\widetilde{\phi}(\lambda)(x-\gamma')| dx \\ &\leq C_{\epsilon} \int_{E_0} e^{-\lambda d_V(x-\gamma,0)+\lambda\epsilon} e^{-\lambda d_V(x-\gamma',0)+\lambda\epsilon} dx. \end{split}$$

Because

$$d_V(x-\gamma,0) + d_V(x-\gamma',0) \ge d_V(\gamma,\gamma') \ge s_0,$$

we have

(3.25) 
$$|(\mathbf{T}^B_{\gamma}\widetilde{\psi},\mathbf{T}^B_{\gamma'}\widetilde{\psi})_{L^2(E_0)}| = O(e^{-\lambda(s_0-2\eta)}).$$

Combining (24) and (23), (25), we have

(3.26) 
$$\|\widetilde{\psi_{\theta}}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}} = 1 + O(e^{-\lambda(s_0 - 2\eta)}),$$

where the error term is uniform with respect to  $\theta \in E^*$ .

Next we estimate  $\|\widetilde{r_{\theta}}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}}$ . We have

(3.27) 
$$\|\widetilde{r_{\theta}}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}}^{2} = \sum_{\gamma,\gamma'\in\Gamma} e^{i(\gamma-\gamma')\cdot\theta} (\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{r}, \mathbf{T}_{\gamma'}^{B}\widetilde{r})_{L^{2}(E_{0})}$$

We again note that the summation of the right-hand side of (27) ranges over a finite set of indices. Let us recall

$$\widetilde{r}(\lambda)(x) = -(\bigtriangleup \chi_\eta) \widetilde{\phi} - 2 \nabla \chi_\eta \cdot \nabla \widetilde{\phi} - 2bi((x_2 \partial_{x_1} - x_1 \partial_{x_2}) \chi_\eta) \widetilde{\phi}.$$

We note that

So, Lemma 3.2 implies

$$|\widetilde{r}(\lambda)(x)| \leq C_{\eta} e^{-\lambda(s_0 - 2\eta)}$$
 in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

Using (27) and the above inequality, we have

(3.28) 
$$\|\widetilde{r_{\theta}}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}} = O(e^{-\lambda(s_0 - 2\eta)}),$$

where the error term is uniform with respect to  $\theta \in E^*$ .

Using (22), (26), and (28), we get

$$\operatorname{dis}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda), \sigma(H(\lambda; \theta))) \leq \frac{\|(H(\lambda; \theta) - \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda))\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B, \theta}}}{\|\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B, \theta}}} \leq \frac{\|\widetilde{r}_{\theta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B, \theta}}}{\|\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B, \theta}}} = O(e^{-\lambda(s_0 - 2\eta)}).$$

On the other hand,

- - -

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) = v_1 \lambda + o(\lambda), \mathcal{E}_1(\lambda; \theta) = v_1 \lambda + o(\lambda), \mathcal{E}_2(\lambda; \theta) = v_2 \lambda + o(\lambda),$$

where  $v_1 = \sqrt{\mu_1} + \sqrt{\mu_2} < v_2$  and each error term is uniform with respect to  $\theta \in E^*$ . These two facts imply Theorem A.

### 4. Proof of Theorem B

In this section, we describe the proof of Theorem B. For this purpose, we shall get the  $\theta$ -dependence of the asymptotic behavior of  $\mathcal{E}_1(\lambda; \theta)$ . In this proof, the W.K.B. type analysis plays an important role.

First, we define a distance between the subspaces of a Hilbert space H. Let E, F be closed subspaces of H, and let  $\Pi_F$  be the orthogonal projection onto F. We define

$$\vec{d}(E,F) = \sup_{x \in E, \|x\|=1} \operatorname{dis}(x,F) = \|(1 - \Pi_F)|_E\|_H.$$

**Proposition 4.1** (cf. [2, Proposition 2.5]). Let A be a selfadjoint operator in H. Let  $I \subset \mathbf{R}$  be a compact interval. Let  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_N \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  be linearly independent, and  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_N \in I = [\alpha, \beta]$  be such that

$$A\psi_j = \mu_j \psi_j + r_j, \quad ||r_j|| \le \epsilon \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots, N).$$

Suppose that there exists a constant a > 0 such that

$$\sigma(A)\cap [lpha-2a,lpha]=\emptyset, \quad \sigma(A)\cap [eta,eta+2a]=\emptyset.$$

Let E be the subspace of H spanned by  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_N$  and let F be the range of  $E_A(I), E_A(\cdot)$  being the spectral projection associated with A.

Then, we have

$$\overrightarrow{d}(E,F) \le \frac{N^{1/2}\epsilon}{a\sqrt{\lambda_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\min}}},$$

where  $\lambda_s^{\min}$  is the smallest eigenvalue of the matrix  $S = ((\psi_j, \psi_k)_H)_{1 \le i,j \le N}$ .

For  $\theta \in E^*$  and  $\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta}(\lambda)$  defined in (21), let

$$E_{\theta}(\lambda) = \{k\overline{\psi}_{\theta}(\lambda); k \in \mathbf{C}\},\$$

and let  $F_{\theta}(\lambda)$  be the eigenspace of  $H(\lambda; \theta)$  associated with  $\mathcal{E}_1(\lambda; \theta)$ . Using the decay estimates of eigenfunctions in §3 and this proposition, we have the following.

Lemma 4.2.

(4.1) 
$$\overrightarrow{d}(E_{\theta}(\lambda), F_{\theta}(\lambda)) = O(e^{-(s_0 - 2\eta)\lambda}) \quad (\lambda \to \infty)$$

where the error term is uniform with respect to  $\theta \in E^*$ .

Proof. First we recall the following estimates. (See §3 (26), (22), and (28).)

(4.2) 
$$\|\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}} = 1 + O(e^{-\lambda(s_0 - 2\eta)}),$$

(4.3) 
$$H(\lambda;\theta)\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta}(\lambda) = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta}(\lambda) + \widetilde{r}_{\theta}(\lambda),$$

(4.4) 
$$\|\widetilde{r}_{\theta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}} = O(e^{-\lambda(s_0 - 2\eta)}),$$

where the error terms in (2) and (4) are uniform with respect to  $\theta \in E^*$ .

In  $\S2$ , we have shown that

$$(4.5)\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) = v_1\lambda + o(\lambda), \mathcal{E}_1(\lambda;\theta) = v_1\lambda + o(\lambda), \mathcal{E}_2(\lambda;\theta) = v_2\lambda + o(\lambda), v_1 < v_2,$$

where each error term is uniform with respect to  $\theta \in E^*$ .

We set  $k = (v_2 - v_1)/4$  (> 0). Then (5) implies

(4.6) 
$$\mathcal{E}_{1}(\lambda;\theta) \in [(v_{1}-k)\lambda, (v_{1}+k)\lambda],$$
$$\sigma(H(\lambda;\theta)) \cap [(v_{1}-2k)\lambda, (v_{1}-k)\lambda] = \emptyset,$$
$$\sigma(H(\lambda;\theta)) \cap [(v_{1}+k)\lambda, (v_{1}+2k)\lambda] = \emptyset$$

for sufficiently large  $\lambda$ .

Applying Proposition 4.1, we have

$$\vec{d} (E_{\theta}(\lambda), F_{\theta}(\lambda)) \leq \frac{\|\widetilde{r}_{\theta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}}}{\frac{k}{2}\lambda \|\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}}^2} = O(e^{-\lambda(s_0 - 2\eta)}),$$

where we used (2) and (4) in the last equality, and the last term is uniform with respect to  $\theta \in E^*$ .

Lemma 4.3.

$$\mathcal{E}_{1}(\lambda;\theta) = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}} e^{i\gamma \cdot \theta} (\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^{B} \widetilde{r}, \widetilde{\psi})_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{2})} + O(e^{-(2s_{0} - 5\eta)\lambda}) \quad (\lambda \to \infty)$$

where the error term is uniform with respect to  $\theta \in E^*$ .

Proof. Let  $\Pi_{F_{\theta}}$  be the orthogonal projection onto  $F_{\theta}$ . We set

(4.7) 
$$v_{\theta} = \prod_{F_{\theta}} \psi_{\theta}.$$

Lemma 4.2 implies

(4.8)  

$$\|v_{\theta} - \widetilde{\psi}_{\theta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}} = \|(\Pi_{F_{\theta}} - 1)\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}}$$

$$\leq \|\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}} \overrightarrow{d} (E_{\theta}(\lambda), F_{\theta}(\lambda))$$

$$= O(e^{-(s_{0}-2\eta)}),$$
(4.9)  

$$\|\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}}^{2} = \|v_{\theta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}}^{2} + \|v_{\theta} - \widetilde{\psi}_{\theta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}}^{2}$$

$$= \|v_{\theta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}}^{2} + O(e^{-2(s_{0}-2\eta)\lambda}).$$

Recalling again the relations  $(2)\sim(4)$ , we have

(4.10) 
$$H(\lambda;\theta)(v_{\theta} - \widetilde{\psi}_{\theta}) = H(\lambda;\theta)(\Pi_{F_{\theta}} - 1)\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta}$$
$$= (\Pi_{F_{\theta}} - 1)H(\lambda;\theta)\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta}$$

$$= (\Pi_{F_{\theta}} - 1)(\mathcal{E}(\lambda)\psi_{\theta} + \tilde{r}_{\theta})$$
  
=  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)(v_{\theta} - \tilde{\psi}_{\theta}) + (\Pi_{F_{\theta}} - 1)\tilde{r}_{\theta}$   
=  $O(e^{-(s_0 - 3\eta)\lambda})$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}$ ,

where we used (3) in the third equality and (8), (4) in the fifth equality. So we get

(4.11) 
$$(H(\lambda;\theta)\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta},\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta})_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}}$$
$$= (H(\lambda;\theta)v_{\theta},v_{\theta})_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}} + (H(\lambda;\theta)(\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta}-v_{\theta}),\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta}-v_{\theta})_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}}$$
$$= (H(\lambda;\theta)v_{\theta},v_{\theta})_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}} + O(e^{-(2s_0-5\eta)\lambda}).$$

Using (3) and  $H(\lambda;\theta)v_{\theta} = \mathcal{E}_1(\lambda;\theta)v_{\theta}$ , we get

$$(4.12) \qquad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{1}(\lambda;\theta) \|v_{\theta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}}^{2} \\ &= \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) \|\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}}^{2} + (\widetilde{r}_{\theta},\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta})_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}} + O(e^{-(2s_{0}-5\eta)\lambda}) \\ &= \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) \|v_{\theta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}}^{2} + (\widetilde{r}_{\theta},\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta})_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}} + \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) (\|\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}}^{2} - \|v_{\theta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}}^{2}) \\ &+ O(e^{-(2s_{0}-5\eta)\lambda}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (9), (2), (4), we have

(4.13) 
$$\mathcal{E}_1(\lambda;\theta) = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) + (\widetilde{r}_{\theta},\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta})_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}} + O(e^{-(2s_0-5\eta)\lambda}).$$

A direct computation shows that

(4.14) 
$$(\widetilde{r}_{\theta}, \widetilde{\psi}_{\theta})_{\mathcal{H}_{B,\theta}} = (\widetilde{r}, \widetilde{\psi})_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{2})} + \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma\\\gamma \neq 0}} e^{i\gamma \cdot \theta} (\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^{B} \widetilde{r}, \widetilde{\psi})_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{2})}.$$

Because  $\tilde{r}$  and  $\tilde{\psi}$  are compactly supported and  $\tilde{r} = 0$  in  $B_V(0, s_0 - \eta)$ , Lemma 3.2 implies that

(4.15) 
$$|(\widetilde{r},\widetilde{\psi})_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}| = O(e^{-(2s_0 - 4\eta)\lambda}).$$

Combining (13), (14), and (15), we get the conclusion.

Let  $s'_0 = \min_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus (\Lambda \cup \{0\})} d_V(\gamma, 0)$ . Since V is periodic, it is easy to see that  $s_0 < s'_0 \leq 2s_0$ . Then, Lemma 3.2 implies

(4.16) 
$$\mathcal{E}_1(\lambda;\theta) = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) + \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} e^{i\gamma \cdot \theta} (\mathbf{T}^B_{\gamma} \widetilde{r}, \widetilde{\psi})_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} + \widetilde{O}(e^{-s'_0 \lambda}) \quad (\lambda \to \infty).$$

where  $\tilde{O}(e^{-s'_0\lambda})$  means  $O_{\eta}(e^{-(s'_0-\eta)\lambda})$  for any  $\eta > 0$ , and the error term is uniform with respect to  $\theta \in E^*$ .

(H.2) implies that :  $\gamma \in \Lambda \Rightarrow -\gamma \in \Lambda$ . After a straightfoward calculation, we have

(4.17) 
$$(\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{r},\widetilde{\psi})_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{2})} = \overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{r},\widetilde{\psi})_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{2})}} \text{ for any } \gamma \in \Lambda.$$

For  $\gamma \in \Lambda$  and a > 0, let

$$E_{\gamma}^{(a)} = \{ x \in \mathbf{R}^2; d_V(0, x) + d_V(\gamma, x) \le s_0 + a \}.$$

Then, for sufficiently small a > 0, we have

$$E_{\gamma}^{(2a)} \subset B_V\left(0, s_0 - \frac{3}{4}\eta\right) \cup B_V\left(\gamma, s_0 - \frac{3}{4}\eta\right).$$

We choose an open domain  $\Omega$  with smooth boundary such that

$$0 \notin \overline{\Omega}, \gamma \in \Omega, E_{\gamma}^{(2a)} \cap \overline{\Omega} \subset B_V(\gamma, s_0 - \eta), E_{\gamma}^{(2a)} \cap \Omega^c \subset B_V(0, s_0 - \eta).$$

Let  $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma} = \partial \Omega \cap E^{(2a)}$  and let  $n = (n_1, n_2)$  be the outer unit normal of  $\partial \Omega$ . Using the decay estimates of eigenfunctions, we get

**Lemma 4.4.** We have mod  $O(\lambda^{-\infty}e^{-s_0\lambda})$ 

(4.18) 
$$(\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{r},\widetilde{\psi})_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{2})} \equiv \int_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_{-\gamma}} \left\{ \widetilde{\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi})} - \overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi})} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \widetilde{\phi} \right\} dS \\ -2bi \int_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_{-\gamma}} \widetilde{\phi} \overline{\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi}} (x_{2}n_{1} - x_{1}n_{2}) dS.$$

Proof. Using the Green's formula, we have

$$(4.19) \qquad (\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^{B}\tilde{r},\tilde{\psi})_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{2})} \\ = (\tilde{r},\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\tilde{\psi})_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{2})} \\ = (-(\Delta\chi_{\eta})\tilde{\phi} - 2\nabla\chi_{\eta} \cdot \nabla\tilde{\phi} - 2bi(L\chi_{\eta})\tilde{\phi},\chi_{\eta}(x+\gamma)\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\tilde{\phi})_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{2})} \\ = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}} (\nabla\chi_{\eta})(x)(\nabla\chi_{\eta})(x+\gamma)\tilde{\phi}(x)\overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\tilde{\phi})(x)}dx \\ + \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}} (\nabla\chi_{\eta})(x)[\tilde{\phi}\overline{\nabla(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\tilde{\phi})} - \overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\tilde{\phi})}\nabla\tilde{\phi}]\chi_{\eta}(x+\gamma)dx \\ - 2bi\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}} (L\chi_{\eta})(x)\tilde{\phi}\chi_{\eta}(x+\gamma)\overline{\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\tilde{\phi}}dx \\ =: I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3},$$

where  $L = x_2 \partial_{x_1} - x_1 \partial_{x_2}$ . We choose  $\chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}} \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^2)$  such that

$$\chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}}=1 \quad \text{on} \quad E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}, \quad \text{supp} \ \chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}} \subset E_{-\gamma}^{(2a)}.$$

We compute these terms mod  $O(\lambda^{-\infty}e^{-s_0\lambda})$  in the following way :

(4.20) 
$$I_{1} \equiv 0,$$
  
(4.21) 
$$I_{2} \equiv \int_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_{-\gamma}} [\widetilde{\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B} \widetilde{\phi})} - \overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B} \widetilde{\phi})} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \widetilde{\phi}] dS$$

$$-2bi\int_{\Omega}\chi_{\eta}\chi_{E^{(a)}_{-\gamma}}[\widetilde{\phi}L\overline{\mathbf{T}^{B}_{-\gamma}}\widetilde{\phi}+\overline{\mathbf{T}^{B}_{-\gamma}}\widetilde{\phi}L\widetilde{\phi}]dx,\ I_{3}\equiv 2bi\int\chi_{\eta}\chi_{E^{(a)}}[\widetilde{\phi}L\overline{\mathbf{T}^{B}_{-\gamma}}\widetilde{\phi}+\overline{\mathbf{T}^{B}_{-\gamma}}\widetilde{\phi}L\widetilde{\phi}]dx$$

$$-2bi\int_{\widetilde{\Gamma}-\gamma}\widetilde{\phi}\overline{\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^B}\widetilde{\phi}(x_2n_1-x_1n_2)dS.$$

Lemma 4.4 is an immediate consequence of  $(19)\sim(22)$ .

First we estimate  $I_1$ . We note that

$$abla \chi_{\eta} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad B_V(s_0 - \eta), \quad \text{supp } \chi_{\eta} \subset B_V\left(0, s_0 - \frac{3}{4}\eta\right).$$

So, Lemma 3.2 implies

$$|(
abla\chi_\eta)(x)\widetilde{\phi}(x)| \le C_\eta e^{-(s_0-2\eta)\lambda}$$
 in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

Similary we have

(4.22)

$$|(\nabla \chi_{\eta})(x+\gamma)(\mathbf{T}^{B}_{-\gamma}\widetilde{\phi})(x)| \leq C_{\eta}e^{-(s_{0}-2\eta)\lambda} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbf{R}^{2}.$$

So, (20) is proved.

Next we compute  $I_2$ . Using Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{split} &|(\nabla\chi_{\eta})(x)[\widetilde{\phi}\overline{\nabla(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi})}-\overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi})}\nabla\widetilde{\phi}]\chi_{\eta}(x+\gamma)|\\ &\leq C_{\eta}e^{-(d_{V}(x,0)+d_{V}(x+\gamma,0)-2\eta)\lambda}\\ &\leq C_{\eta}e^{-(d_{V}(x,0)+d_{V}(x,-\gamma)-2\eta)\lambda}. \end{split}$$

So, we get

$$I_{2} \equiv \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}} \chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}}(\nabla \chi_{\eta})(x) \left[ \widetilde{\phi} \overline{\nabla(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B} \widetilde{\phi})} - \overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B} \widetilde{\phi})} \nabla \widetilde{\phi} \right] \chi_{\eta}(x+\gamma) dx$$
$$\mod \quad O(\lambda^{-\infty} e^{-s_{0}\lambda}).$$

Because

$$\chi_\eta(x)=1 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathrm{supp}\chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}}\cap \Omega^c, \chi_\eta(x+\gamma)=1 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathrm{supp}\chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}}\cap \overline{\Omega},$$

we have

$$\begin{split} I_2 &\equiv \int_{\Omega} \chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}} (\nabla \chi_{\eta}) [ \widetilde{\phi} \overline{\nabla (\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^B \widetilde{\phi})} - \overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^B \widetilde{\phi})} \nabla \widetilde{\phi} ] dx \quad \text{mod} \quad O(\lambda^{-\infty} e^{-s_0 \lambda}) \\ &= -\int_{\Omega} \chi_{\eta} \nabla \chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}} [ \widetilde{\phi} \overline{\nabla (\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^B \widetilde{\phi})} - \overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^B \widetilde{\phi})} \nabla \widetilde{\phi} ] dx \\ &- \int_{\Omega} \chi_{\eta} \chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}} [ \widetilde{\phi} \overline{\Delta} (\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^B \widetilde{\phi}) - \overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^B \widetilde{\phi})} \Delta \widetilde{\phi} ] dx \\ &+ \int_{\partial \Omega} \chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}} [ \widetilde{\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} (\overline{\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{(a)} \widetilde{\phi})} - \overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^B \widetilde{\phi})} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \widetilde{\phi} ] \chi_{\eta} dS. \end{split}$$

Noting that

$$\widetilde{\phi}\overline{\nabla(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi})} = O(e^{-(s_{0}+a-2\eta)\lambda}), \ \overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi})}\nabla\widetilde{\phi} = O(e^{-(s_{0}+a-2\eta)\lambda}) \text{ on } \operatorname{supp}\nabla\chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}},$$

we have

$$\begin{split} I_{2} &\equiv -\int_{\Omega} \chi_{\eta} \chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}} [\widetilde{\phi} \overline{\bigtriangleup} (\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B} \widetilde{\phi}) - \overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B} \widetilde{\phi})} \bigtriangleup \widetilde{\phi}] dx \\ &+ \int_{\partial \Omega} \chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}} [\widetilde{\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B} \widetilde{\phi})} - \overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B} \widetilde{\phi})} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \widetilde{\phi}] \chi_{\eta} dS \mod O(\lambda^{-\infty} e^{-s_{0} \lambda}) \end{split}$$

for sufficientry small  $\eta$ . We further compute

$$\begin{split} & \widetilde{\phi}\overline{\bigtriangleup}(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi}) - \overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi})}\bigtriangleup\widetilde{\phi} \\ &= \widetilde{\phi}\overline{H(\lambda)}\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi} - \overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi})}H(\lambda)\widetilde{\phi} - 2bi\widetilde{\phi}L\overline{\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi}} - 2bi\overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi})}L\widetilde{\phi} \\ &= \widetilde{\phi}\overline{\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}H(\lambda)}\widetilde{\phi} - \overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi})}H(\lambda)\widetilde{\phi} - 2bi\widetilde{\phi}L\overline{\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi}} - 2bi\overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi})}L\widetilde{\phi}. \end{split}$$

Because

$$\begin{split} H(\lambda)\widetilde{\phi} &= \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)\widetilde{\phi} & \text{on } \operatorname{supp}\chi_{\eta}, \\ \mathbf{T}^{B}_{-\gamma}H(\lambda)\widetilde{\phi} &= \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)\mathbf{T}^{B}_{-\gamma}\widetilde{\phi} & \text{on } \operatorname{supp}\chi_{\eta}(x+\gamma), \end{split}$$

we have

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\phi}\overline{\bigtriangleup}(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi}) &- \overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi})}\bigtriangleup\widetilde{\phi} \\ &= \widetilde{\phi}\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)\overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi})} - \overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi})}\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)\widetilde{\phi} - 2bi\widetilde{\phi}L\overline{\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi}} - 2bi\overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi})}L\widetilde{\phi} \\ &= -2bi\widetilde{\phi}L\overline{\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi}} - 2bi\overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi})}L\widetilde{\phi} \end{split}$$

on  $\operatorname{supp}_{X_{E_{-\gamma}}^{(a)}} \cap \operatorname{supp}_{\chi_{\eta}}$ . So, we get

$$\begin{split} I_2 &\equiv -2bi \int_{\Omega} \chi_{\eta} \chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}} [\widetilde{\phi} L \overline{\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^B \widetilde{\phi}} + \overline{\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^B \widetilde{\phi}} L \widetilde{\phi}] dx \\ &+ \int_{\partial \Omega} \chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}} \left[ \widetilde{\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^B \widetilde{\phi})} - \overline{(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^B \widetilde{\phi})} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \widetilde{\phi} \right] \chi_{\eta} dS \mod O(\lambda^{-\infty} e^{-s_0 \lambda}). \end{split}$$

From this formula one can easily derive (21) by noting that

(4.23) 
$$\chi_{\eta}(x) = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad \widetilde{\Gamma}_{-\gamma},$$

(4.24) 
$$d_V(x,0) + d_V(x,-\gamma) \ge s_0 + a \quad \text{if} \quad \chi_{E^{(a)}_{-\gamma}}(x) \ne 1.$$

Finally we compute  $I_3$ . A similar argument shows that

$$I_3 \equiv -2bi \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}}(L\chi_{\eta}) \widetilde{\phi}(\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^B \widetilde{\phi}) \chi_{\eta}(x+\gamma) dx \quad \text{mod} \quad O(\lambda^{-\infty} e^{-s_0 \lambda}).$$

Because

$$\begin{split} (L\chi_{\eta})(x) &= 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \text{supp}\chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}} \cap \Omega^{c}, \\ \chi_{\eta}(x+\gamma) &= 1 \quad \text{on} \quad \text{supp}\chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}} \cap \Omega, \end{split}$$

we have

$$\begin{split} I_{3} &\equiv -2bi \int_{\Omega} \chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}}(L\chi_{\eta}) \widetilde{\phi}(\overline{\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi}}) dx \\ &= 2bi \int_{\Omega} (L\chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}}) \chi_{\eta} \widetilde{\phi}(\overline{\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi}}) dx \\ &+ 2bi \int_{\Omega} \chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}} \chi_{\eta} (L\widetilde{\phi})(\overline{\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi}}) dx \\ &+ 2bi \int_{\Omega} \chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}} \chi_{\eta} \widetilde{\phi} L \overline{\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi}} dx \\ &- 2bi \int_{\partial\Omega} \chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}} \chi_{\eta} \widetilde{\phi} \overline{\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi}} (x_{2}n_{1} - x_{1}n_{2}) dS. \end{split}$$

Noting that

$$\widetilde{\phi} \mathbf{T}^B_{-\gamma} \widetilde{\phi} = O(e^{-(s_0 + a - 2\eta)\lambda}) \quad \text{on} \quad \mathrm{supp} L\chi_{E^{(a)}_{-\gamma}},$$

we have

$$2bi\int_{\Omega}(L\chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}})\chi_{\eta}\widetilde{\phi}(\overline{\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{\phi})}dx\equiv 0 \mod O(\lambda^{-\infty}e^{-s_{0}\lambda})$$

for sufficiently large  $\lambda$ . (23), (24) imply that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\partial\Omega} \chi_{E_{-\gamma}^{(a)}} \chi_{\eta} \widetilde{\phi} \overline{\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B} \widetilde{\phi}}(x_{2}n_{1} - x_{1}n_{2}) dS \\ &\equiv \int_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_{-\gamma}} \widetilde{\phi} \overline{\mathbf{T}_{-\gamma}^{B} \widetilde{\phi}}(x_{2}n_{1} - x_{1}n_{2}) dS \mod O(\lambda^{-\infty} e^{-s_{0}\lambda}). \end{split}$$

Therefore we get (22).

To approximate  $(\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^{B} \widetilde{r}, \widetilde{\psi})_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{2})} \mod O(\lambda^{-\infty} e^{-s_{0}\lambda})$ , we construct an approximate eigenfunction of  $\widetilde{H}(\lambda)$  by the W.K.B. method which we explain below.

For the differential operator

$$H(\lambda) = (D_{x_1} + bx_2)^2 + (D_{x_2} - bx_1)^2 + \lambda^2 V(x) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbf{R}^2,$$

we construct an asymptotic solution of the following type

$$(a_0(x)+a_1(x)\lambda^{-1}+a_2(x)\lambda^{-2}+\cdots)e^{-\lambda\varphi(x)},$$

where  $\varphi(x)$  is a real valued  $C^{\infty}$  function defined near 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $a_0(x)$ ,  $a_1(x)$ ,  $\cdots$  are complex valued  $C^{\infty}$  functions defined near 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . For  $e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_{N+1} \in \mathbb{C}$ , we set

$$a(x)=\sum_{j=0}^N a_j(x)\lambda^{-j},\quad E(\lambda)=\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} e_k\lambda^{2-k}.$$

Then we get the following identity :

$$(4.25) \qquad e^{\lambda\varphi}(H(\lambda) - E(\lambda)) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{j}(x)\lambda^{-j}e^{-\lambda\varphi}\right) \\ = \lambda^{2}(V - |\nabla\varphi|^{2}) + \lambda(M_{\varphi}a_{0} - e_{1}a_{0}) \\ + \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \left\{ M_{\varphi}a_{l+1} - 2biLa_{l} + b^{2}|x|^{2}a_{l} - \Delta a_{l} - \sum_{\substack{j+k=l+2\\ j\geq 0,k\geq 1}} e_{k}a_{j} \right\} \lambda^{-l} \\ + \lambda^{-N}(-2biLa_{N} + b^{2}|x|^{2}a_{N} - \Delta a_{N}) - \sum_{l=N}^{2N-2} \lambda^{-l} \sum_{\substack{j+k=l+2\\ j\geq 0,k\geq 1}} e_{k}a_{j},$$

where  $L = x_2 \partial_{x_1} - x_1 \partial_{x_2}$  and  $M_{\varphi} = 2 \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla + \Delta \varphi + 2 b i L \varphi$ .

So, we shall consider the following equations in the neighborhood of the origin :

$$(4.26) V - |\nabla \varphi|^2 = 0,$$

(4.27) 
$$M_{\varphi}a_0 = e_1a_0,$$

$$(4.28)_l M_{\varphi}a_{l+1} = 2biLa_l - b^2|x|^2a_l + \Delta a_l + \sum_{\substack{j+k=l+2\\j\ge 0, k\ge 1}} e_ka_j.$$

882

If we solve these equations, the right-hand side of (25) is  $O(\lambda^{-N})$  in the neighborhood of the origin. Since V(0) = 0, special attentions should be paid in solving these eikonal equation (26) and transport equations (27), (28)<sub>l</sub>. We make use of the arguments of Helffer-Sjöstrand [2].

We first consider the eikonal equation. For  $\epsilon \ge 0$  sufficiently small, let  $\Omega_{\epsilon}$  be the set consisting of  $\{0\}$  and the union of the interiors of all minimal geodesics starting from  $\{0\}$  of length strictly less than  $s_0 - \epsilon$ . Here by geodesic we mean the curve satisfying that

$$\begin{array}{l} \gamma:[0,a] \to \mathbf{R}^2; ext{smooth curve,} \\ \gamma(t) \notin \Gamma ext{ for any } t \in (0,a], \\ \gamma(t) \to 0 ext{ as } t \to +0, \\ \gamma|_{(0,a]} ext{ is a geodesic of } \mathbf{R}^2 \backslash \Gamma ext{ with metric } V dx^2. \end{array}$$

 $\Omega_0$  is an open set. Let  $d(x) = d_V(x,0)$ , then we have

$$d(x) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_0), |\nabla d(x)|^2 = V(x)$$
 in  $\Omega_0$ .

Namely, d(x) solves the eikonal equation (26) (see [1] §4.4). Moreover, d(x) has the following property (see [1] §2.3 and 3.2).

(4.29) 
$$d(x) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\mu_1}x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\mu_2}x_2^2 + O(|x|^3) \quad (|x| \to 0).$$

Next, we consider the transport equations. Let

 $X = 2\nabla d \cdot \nabla \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_0.$ 

Since this vector field vanishes at the origin, we must impose compatibility conditions on transport equations to guarantee the solvability.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let a(x) and b(x) be **C**-valued  $C^{\infty}$  functions in  $\Omega_0$  with

$$a(0) = b(0) = 0.$$

Then, for any  $c \in \mathbf{C}$ , the initial value problem

$$\left\{egin{array}{ll} Xu=au+b & in & \Omega_0 \ u(0)=c \end{array}
ight.$$

has a unique solution.

The proof of this Lemma is the same as those in [1] Propositions 2.3.7 and 4.4.2, where this fact is proved when a(x) and b(x) are real valued.

Now we determine  $e_1, e_2, \cdots$  in such a way that the above compatibility conditions are satisfied. To solve the first transport equation (27):

$$2\nabla d \cdot \nabla a_0 = -(\Delta d + 2biLd - e_1)a_0,$$

we set

$$e_1 = (\Delta d)(0) + 2bi(Ld)(0) = (\Delta d)(0).$$

Using (29), we have  $e_1 = \sqrt{\mu_1} + \sqrt{\mu_2}$ . Lemma 4.5 implies that (27) with initial condition  $a_0(0) = 1$  has a unique solution defined in  $\Omega_0$ .

Next, we consider  $(28)_0$ :

$$2\nabla d \cdot \nabla a_1 = -(\Delta d + 2biLd - e_1)a_1 + (2biLa_0 - b^2|x|^2a_0 + \Delta a_0 + e_2a_0).$$

By choosing  $e_2$  in such a way that

$$e_{2} = -\frac{1}{a_{0}(0)}(2bi(La_{0})(0) + (\Delta a_{0})(0)) = -(\Delta a_{0})(0),$$

one can see by Lemma 4.5 that  $(28)_0$  with initial condition  $a_1(0) = 0$  has a unique solution defined in  $\Omega_0$ .

Inductively,  $(28)_l$   $(l = 1, 2, \dots)$  with initial condition  $a_{l+1}(0) = 0$  has a unique solution defined in  $\Omega_0$  if we set  $e_{l+2} = -(\Delta a_l)(0)$ .

Using the Borel procedure, we have the following.

Lemma 4.6. One can construct

$$\begin{split} e_1, e_2, \cdots &\in \mathbf{R} \ (e_1 = \sqrt{\mu_1} + \sqrt{\mu_2}), \\ \mathcal{E}(\lambda) &\sim e_1 \lambda + e_2 + e_3 \lambda^{-1} + \cdots \ (\lambda \to \infty), \\ \mathbf{C}\text{-valued} \ C^{\infty} functions \ a_0(x), a_1(x), \cdots \quad in \quad \Omega_0, \\ \mathbf{C}\text{-valued} \ C^{\infty} function \ a(x, \lambda) \quad in \quad \Omega_{\epsilon}, \end{split}$$

satisfying that

$$\begin{cases} a_0(x) \neq 0 \quad in \quad \Omega_0, a_0(0) = 1, a_j(0) = 0 \ (j \ge 1), \\ a(x,\lambda) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(x)\lambda^{-j}, \\ (H(\lambda) - \mathcal{E}(\lambda))\theta(\lambda) = O(\lambda^{-\infty})e^{-\lambda d(x)} \ in \ \Omega_{\epsilon} \ where \ \theta(\lambda) = \lambda^{1/2}a(x,\lambda)e^{-\lambda d(x)}. \end{cases}$$

More precisely,

$$\begin{split} \max_{|\alpha| \le 2} \sup_{x \in \Omega_{\epsilon}} |\partial_x^{\alpha}(a(x,\lambda) - \sum_{j=0}^N a_j \lambda^{-j})| &= O(\lambda^{-(N+1)}) \quad for \ any \quad N \in \mathbf{N}, \\ \sup_{x \in \Omega_{\epsilon}} |e^{\lambda d(x)}(H(\lambda) - \mathcal{E}(\lambda))\theta(\lambda)| &= O(\lambda^{-\infty}). \end{split}$$

We fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . By deviding  $\theta(\lambda)$  by  $\|\theta(\lambda)\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\epsilon})} \sim \sqrt{2\pi} + O(\lambda^{-1})$ , one can normalize  $\theta(\lambda)$  so that  $\|\theta(\lambda)\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\epsilon})} = 1$ . Let K be a compact subset of  $\Omega_{\epsilon}$ . We can choose  $\eta > 0$  sufficiently small such that  $\Omega_{\epsilon} \subset B_V(0, s_0 - \eta)$ . Let  $\widehat{K}$  be the set composed of all minimal geodesics joining K to  $\{0\}$ . Then,  $\widehat{K} \subset \Omega_{\epsilon}$ . We choose  $\widetilde{\Omega}$ : an open neighborhood of  $\widehat{K}$  such that  $\widetilde{\Omega} \subset \subset \Omega_{\epsilon}$ . We choose  $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that  $\chi = 1$  in a neighborhood of  $\widehat{K}$  and  $\operatorname{supp} \chi \subset \widetilde{\Omega}$ . Recall that  $\widetilde{\phi}(\lambda)$  is a normalized first eigenfunction of  $\widetilde{H}(\lambda)$ .

Let  $E_1 = \{k(\chi\theta(\lambda)); k \in \mathbb{C}\}$  and  $F_1 = \{k\widetilde{\phi}(\lambda); k \in \mathbb{C}\}$ . Then the above lemma and Proposition 4.1 imply  $\vec{d}(E_1, F_1) = O(\lambda^{-\infty})$ . So we have

$$|(\chi\theta(\lambda),\phi(\lambda))_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}| = 1 + O(\lambda^{-\infty}).$$

So we can assume that  $\widetilde{\phi}(\lambda)$  satisfies

$$(\chi\theta(\lambda),\phi(\lambda))_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}>0$$

for sufficiently large  $\lambda$ .

Let  $\omega(\lambda) = \chi(\tilde{\phi}(\lambda) - \theta(\lambda))$ . By the same argument as in [1] §4.4 of Helffer, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.7.** There exists  $\widetilde{K}$ : a neighborhood of  $\widehat{K}$  with  $\widetilde{K} \subset \subset \widetilde{\Omega}$  such that

$$\omega = O(\lambda^{-\infty})e^{-\lambda d(x)} \quad in \quad H^2(\widetilde{K}).$$

This Lemma together with (18) implies that for any  $\gamma \in \Lambda$  we have

$$(4.30) \ (\mathbf{T}^{B}_{\gamma}\tilde{r},\tilde{\psi})_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{2})} \equiv \int_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_{-\gamma}} \left\{ \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial n} (\overline{\mathbf{T}^{B}_{-\gamma}\theta}) - (\overline{\mathbf{T}^{B}_{-\gamma}\theta}) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \theta \right\} dS \\ - 2bi \int_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_{-\gamma}} \theta \overline{\mathbf{T}^{B}_{-\gamma}\theta} (x_{2}n_{1} - x_{1}n_{2}) dS \ \mathrm{mod} \ O(\lambda^{-\infty}e^{-s_{0}\lambda}).$$

We have now arrived at the final step for proving Theorem B.

**Lemma 4.8.** For  $\gamma \in \Lambda$ , there exists a constant  $\tilde{b_{\gamma}} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  such that (4.31)  $(\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^{B} \tilde{r}, \tilde{\psi})_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})} = (\tilde{b_{\gamma}} \lambda^{3/2} + O(\lambda^{1/2}))e^{-s_{0}\lambda} \quad (\lambda \to \infty).$ 

Proof. We insert  $\theta(\lambda) = \lambda^{1/2} a(x, \lambda) e^{-\lambda d(x)}$  in (30) and use the definition of  $\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^{B}$ :  $(\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^{B} u)(x) = e^{ib\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}} e^{-ib(x_{1}\gamma_{2}-x_{2}\gamma_{1})} u(x-\gamma)$  to get

$$(\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^{B}\widetilde{r},\widetilde{\psi})_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{2})}$$

$$= \lambda^{2} e^{-ib\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}} \int_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_{-\gamma}} a(x,\lambda)\overline{a(x+\gamma,\lambda)} e^{-ib(x_{1}\gamma_{2}-x_{2}\gamma_{1})} \\ \times \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial n}d(x+\gamma) + \frac{\partial}{\partial n}d(x)\right) e^{-\lambda(d(x)+d(x+\gamma))}dS \\ + \lambda e^{-ib\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}} \int_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_{-\gamma}} \left\{a(x,\lambda)\frac{\partial}{\partial n}(e^{-ib(x_{1}\gamma_{2}-x_{2}\gamma_{1})}\overline{a(x+\gamma,\lambda)}) - e^{-ib(x_{1}\gamma_{2}-x_{2}\gamma_{1})} \\ \times \overline{a(x+\gamma,\lambda)}\frac{\partial}{\partial n}a(x,\lambda)\right\} e^{-\lambda(d(x)+d(x+\gamma))}dS \\ - 2bi\lambda e^{-ib\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}} \int_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_{-\gamma}} a(x,\lambda)\overline{a(x+\gamma,\lambda)} e^{-ib(x_{1}\gamma_{2}-x_{2}\gamma_{1})}(x_{2}n_{1}-x_{1}n_{2}) \\ \times e^{-\lambda(d(x)+d(x+\gamma))}dS \\ =: I_{\gamma_{1}} + I_{\gamma_{2}} + I_{\gamma_{3}}.$$

We can assume that  $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{-\gamma}$  intersects  $\kappa_{-\gamma}$  transversally at  $x_{-\gamma}$  where  $x_{-\gamma}$  is the only point in  $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{-\gamma} \cap \kappa_{-\gamma}$ . Let  $\eta$  be the angle between  $\overrightarrow{n}$  and  $\nabla d$  at  $x_{-\gamma}(\pi/2 < \eta \leq \pi)$ . Because  $|\nabla d(x)|^2 = V(x)$  in  $\Omega_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} d(x_{-\gamma}) &= \overrightarrow{n} \cdot \nabla d(x_{-\gamma}) \\ &= |\nabla d(x_{-\gamma})| \cos \eta \\ &= \sqrt{V(x_{-\gamma})} \cos \eta, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} d(x+\gamma)|_{x=x_{-\gamma}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial n} d_V(-\gamma, x)|_{x=x_{-\gamma}} \\ &= -\sqrt{V(x_{-\gamma})} \cos \eta. \end{aligned}$$

So, decreasing a if necessary, we may assume that there exists a constant  $C_0 > 0$  such that

(4.32) 
$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial n}d(x+\gamma) + \frac{\partial}{\partial n}d(x) \le -C_0 \quad \text{in} \quad \widetilde{\Gamma}_{-\gamma}.$$

(H.8) implies

(4.33) 
$$d(x) + d(x+\gamma) \ge s_0 + C d_V(x, x_{-\gamma})^2 \quad \text{in} \quad \widetilde{\Gamma}_{-\gamma}.$$

First we compute  $I_{\gamma,1}$ . Let

(4.34) 
$$b_0(x) = a_0(x)\overline{a_0(x+\gamma)}e^{-ib(x_1\gamma_2-x_2\gamma_1)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial n}d(x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial n}d(x+\gamma)\right),$$

(4.35) 
$$J(\lambda) = \int_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_{-\gamma}} b_0(x) e^{-\lambda (d(x) + d(x+\gamma))} dS.$$

Then

(4.36) 
$$b_0(x) \neq 0$$
 in  $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{-\gamma}$ .

Let

(4.37) 
$$x = c(t)(-\epsilon \le t \le \epsilon), c(0) = x_{-\gamma}, |c'(t)| = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$$

be the curve representing  $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{-\gamma}$  near  $x_{-\gamma}$ . Let

$$d_0(t) = d(c(t)) + d(c(t) + \gamma), \quad t \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon].$$

Because

$$c(t) = x_{-\gamma} + tp + O(t^2) \quad (t \to 0), \quad p \in \mathbf{R}^2, \ |p| = 1,$$

and there exists a constant C' > 0 such that

$$d_V(x, x_{-\gamma}) \ge C' |x - x_{-\gamma}|$$
 near  $x_{-\gamma}$ ,

(33) implies that there exists a constant C'' > 0 such that

$$d_0(t) \ge s_0 + C''t^2$$
 in a neighborhood of 0.

So, we have

$$d_0(t) = s_0 + \frac{1}{2}d_0''(0)t^2 + O(t^3) \quad (t \to 0), d_0''(0) > 0.$$

Then, we can apply the stationary phase method and get

$$J(\lambda) \equiv \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} b_0(c(t)) e^{-\lambda d_0(t)} dt$$
  
=  $e^{-s_0\lambda} (b_0(x_{-\gamma})\mu^{-1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sqrt{\pi} + O(\lambda^{-3/2})),$ 

where  $\mu = (1/2)d_0''(0)$ .

So, we have

$$I_{\gamma,1} \equiv e^{-s_0\lambda} (\widetilde{b_{\gamma}}\lambda^{3/2} + O(\lambda^{1/2})),$$

where

$$\widetilde{b_{\gamma}} = e^{-ib\gamma_1\gamma_2}b_0(x_{-\gamma})\sqrt{\pi}\mu^{-1/2} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.$$

A similar argument shows that

$$I_{\gamma,2} = e^{-s_0\lambda} O(\lambda^{1/2}), I_{\gamma,3} = e^{-s_0\lambda} O(\lambda^{1/2}).$$

So, we get the conclusion.

We are now in a position of proving Theorem B.

Let  $f(\theta) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} e^{i\gamma \cdot \theta} \tilde{b_{\gamma}}$  for any  $\theta \in E^*$ . (17) and (31) imply that  $\tilde{b_{\gamma}} = \overline{\tilde{b_{-\gamma}}}$  for any  $\gamma \in \Lambda$ . Let  $b_0 = \max_{\theta \in E^*} f(\theta) - \min_{\theta \in E^*} f(\theta)$ . Combining (16) and (31), we get

(4.38) length of  $\mathcal{E}_1(\lambda; E^*) = (b_0 \lambda^{3/2} + O(\lambda^{1/2}))e^{-s_0 \lambda}$  (as  $\lambda \to \infty$ ).

Since  $b_{\gamma} \neq 0$  for  $\gamma \in \Lambda$ ,  $f(\theta)$  is a non-constant real function. So we have  $b_0 > 0$  and complete the proof of Theorem B.

# Appendix Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of $H_0(\lambda)$

Let us first recall the following well-known fact on the Weyl operator  $a^w(x, D_x)$ (cf. [7]). For a symplectic transformation  $\chi$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n_x \times \mathbf{R}^n_{\xi}$ , there exists a unitary operator U on  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$  such that

$$U^{-1}a^w(x,D_x)U = (a \circ \chi)^w(x,D_x).$$

We use only the following two cases.

CASE i) When  $\chi$  is the map interchanging  $x_j$ ,  $\xi_j$  by  $\xi_j$ ,  $-x_j$  respectively, leaving the other coordinates unchanged, U is the partial Fourier transformation with respect to  $x_j$ .

CASE ii) If  $\chi$  is the map  $(x,\xi) \mapsto (Tx, {}^tT^{-1}\xi)$  where T is an  $n \times n$  real matrix with det  $T \neq 0$ , then  $(Uf)(x) = |\det T|^{-1/2} f(T^{-1}x)$ .

Next we describe the computation of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of  $H_0(\lambda)$ . For  $x = (x_1, x_2), \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbf{R}^2$ , we set

$$p(x,\xi) = (\xi_1 + bx_2)^2 + (\xi_2 - bx_1)^2 + \lambda^2(\mu_1 x_1^2 + \mu_2 x_2^2).$$

Then, we have

(A.1) 
$$H_0(\lambda) = p^w(x, D_x).$$

Let  $U_1$  be the Fourier transformation with respect to  $x_1$ . We set

$$egin{aligned} p_1(x,\xi) &= p(\xi_1,x_2,-x_1,\xi_2) \ &= \lambda^2 \mu_1 \xi_1^2 + (\xi_2 - b\xi_1)^2 + (-x_1 + bx_2)^2 + \lambda^2 \mu_2 x_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

888

Then we have

(A.2) 
$$p^{w}(x, D_{x}) = U_{1}p_{1}^{w}(x, D_{x})U_{1}^{-1}.$$
  
Let  $T = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\mu_{1}}\lambda & -b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , and we set  
 $p_{2}(x, \xi) = p_{1}(Tx, {}^{t}T^{-1}\xi)$   
 $= \xi_{1}^{2} + \xi_{2}^{2} + (x_{1}x_{2}) \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{1}\lambda^{2} & -2b\sqrt{\mu_{1}}\lambda \\ -2b\sqrt{\mu_{1}}\lambda & 4b^{2} + \mu_{2}\lambda^{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \end{pmatrix}$ 

For  $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ , we set

$$(U_2 f)(x) = \mu_1^{-1/4} \lambda^{-1/2} f(T^{-1} x).$$

 $U_2$  is unitary on  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ , and we have

(A.3) 
$$p_1^w(x, D_x) = U_2 p_2^w(x, D_x) U_2^{-1}$$

Next, we diagonalize the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \lambda^2 & -2b\sqrt{\mu_1}\lambda \\ -2b\sqrt{\mu_1}\lambda & \mu_2\lambda^2 + 4b^2 \end{pmatrix}$  by an orthogonal matrix. Let  $m_1(\lambda)$  and  $m_2(\lambda)$  be the eigenvalues of this matrix such that  $m_1(\lambda) < m_2(\lambda)$ . Namely, we set

$$m_1(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_1 + \mu_2)\lambda^2 + 2b^2 - \left\{\frac{1}{4}(\mu_1 - \mu_2)^2\lambda^4 + 2b^2(\mu_1 + \mu_2)\lambda^2 + 4b^4\right\}^{1/2},$$
  
$$m_2(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_1 + \mu_2)\lambda^2 + 2b^2 + \left\{\frac{1}{4}(\mu_1 - \mu_2)^2\lambda^4 + 2b^2(\mu_1 + \mu_2)\lambda^2 + 4b^4\right\}^{1/2}.$$

Then, we get

(A.4) 
$$m_1(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \mu_1 \lambda^2 + O(1) & (\mu_2 > \mu_1) \\ \mu_1 \lambda^2 - 2b\mu_1 \lambda + O(1) & (\mu_2 = \mu_1) \\ \mu_2 \lambda^2 + O(1) & (\mu_2 < \mu_1), \end{cases}$$

(A.5) 
$$m_2(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \mu_2 \lambda^2 + O(1) & (\mu_2 > \mu_1) \\ \mu_1 \lambda^2 + 2b\mu_1 \lambda + O(1) & (\mu_2 = \mu_1) \\ \mu_1 \lambda^2 + O(1) & (\mu_2 < \mu_1) \end{cases}$$

Let  $A(\lambda) = (a_1(\lambda), a_2(\lambda))$  where

(*A*.6)

$$a_{1}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(\lambda) \\ a_{21}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$$
  
=  $\{(\lambda^{2}\mu_{2} + 4b^{2} - m_{1}(\lambda))^{2} + 4b^{2}\mu_{1}\lambda^{2}\}^{-1/2} \times \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{2}\mu_{2} + 4b^{2} - m_{1}(\lambda) \\ 2b\sqrt{\mu_{1}}\lambda \end{pmatrix}$   
(A.7)  
 $a_{2}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{12}(\lambda) \\ a_{22}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$   
=  $\{(\mu_{1}\lambda^{2} - m_{2}(\lambda))^{2} + 4b^{2}\mu_{1}\lambda^{2}\}^{-1/2} \times \begin{pmatrix} 2b\sqrt{\mu_{1}}\lambda \\ \mu_{1}\lambda^{2} - m_{2}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$ 

Then  $A(\lambda)$  is an orthogonal matrix and the following equality holds :

$${}^{t}\!A(\lambda) egin{pmatrix} \mu_1\lambda^2 & -2b\sqrt{\mu_1}\lambda \ -2b\sqrt{\mu_1}\lambda & \lambda^2\mu_2+4b^2 \end{pmatrix} A(\lambda) = egin{pmatrix} m_1(\lambda) & 0 \ 0 & m_2(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$$

Let

$$p_3(x,\xi) = p_2(A(\lambda)x, A(\lambda)\xi) = \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + m_1(\lambda)x_1^2 + m_2(\lambda)x_2^2.$$

Then

$$p_3^w(x, D_x) = -\Delta + m_1(\lambda)x_1^2 + m_2(\lambda)x_2^2.$$

For  $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ , we set

$$(U_3f)(x) = f({}^tA(\lambda)x).$$

 $U_3$  is unitary on  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ , and we have

(A.8) 
$$p_2^w(x, D_x) = U_3 p_3^w(x, D_x) U_3^{-1}$$

Let  $U = U_1 U_2 U_3$ . U is unitary on  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ . So, (A.1), (A.2), (A.3), and (A.8) imply

(A.9) 
$$H_0(\lambda) = U(-\Delta + m_1(\lambda)x_1^2 + m_2(\lambda)x_2^2)U^{-1}.$$

Namely,  $H_0(\lambda)$  is unitarily equivalent to the Harmonic oscillator

$$-\Delta + m_1(\lambda)x_1^2 + m_2(\lambda)x_2^2.$$

The eigenvalues of  $-\Delta + m_1(\lambda)x_1^2 + m_2(\lambda)x_2^2$  in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$  are

$$(2j+1)\sqrt{m_1(\lambda)} + (2k+1)\sqrt{m_2(\lambda)} \quad (j,k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

and the corresponding eigenfunctions is

$$w_{j,k} = m_1(\lambda)^{1/8} m_2(\lambda)^{1/8} Q_j(m_1(\lambda)^{1/4} x_1) Q_k(m_2(\lambda)^{1/4} x_2) \\ \times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} m_1(\lambda)^{1/2} x_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_2(\lambda)^{1/2} x_2^2\right),$$

where  $Q_j$  is the Hermite polynomial of degree j.  $\{w_{j,k}\}_{j,k\geq 0}$  is a complete orthonormal system in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ . Therefore, the eigenvalues of  $H_0(\lambda)$  are

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{j,k}(\lambda) = (2j+1)\sqrt{m_1(\lambda)} + (2k+1)\sqrt{m_2(\lambda)} \quad (j,k \in \mathbf{N}),$$

and the corresponding eigenfunction is  $(Uw_{j,k})(\lambda; x)$ . So, (A.4), and (A.5) implies

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{j,k}(\lambda) = v_{j,k}\lambda + O(1) \quad (\lambda \to \infty),$$

where  $v_{j,k}(\lambda) = (2j+1)\sqrt{\min(\mu_1, \mu_2)} + (2k+1)\sqrt{\max(\mu_1, \mu_2)}$ . Next, we compute  $(Uw_{j,k})(\lambda; x)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} &(U_2 U_3 w_{j,k})(\lambda) \\ &= m_1(\lambda)^{1/8} m_2(\lambda)^{1/8} \mu_1^{-1/4} \lambda^{-1/2} \\ &\times Q_j(m_1(\lambda)^{1/4} (a_{11}(\lambda) \lambda^{-1} \mu_1^{-1/2} (x_1 + bx_2) + a_{21}(\lambda) x_2)) \\ &\times Q_k(m_2(\lambda)^{1/4} (a_{12}(\lambda) \lambda^{-1} \mu_1^{-1/2} (x_1 + bx_2) + a_{22}(\lambda) x_2)) \\ &\times \exp\{(-m_1(\lambda)^{1/2} (a_{11}(\lambda) \lambda^{-1} \mu_1^{-1/2} (x_1 + bx_2) + a_{21}(\lambda) x_2)^2 \\ &- m_2(\lambda)^{1/2} (a_{12}(\lambda) \lambda^{-1} \mu_1^{-1/2} (x_1 + bx_2) + a_{22}(\lambda) x_2)^2)/2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{split} c_{11}(\lambda) &= m_1(\lambda)^{1/4} \mu_1^{-1/2} \lambda^{-1} a_{11}(\lambda), \\ c_{12}(\lambda) &= m_2(\lambda)^{1/4} \mu_1^{-1/2} \lambda^{-1} a_{12}(\lambda), \\ c_{21}(\lambda) &= m_1(\lambda)^{1/4} (\mu_1^{-1/2} \lambda^{-1} b a_{11}(\lambda) + a_{21}(\lambda)), \\ c_{22}(\lambda) &= m_2(\lambda)^{1/4} (\mu_1^{-1/2} \lambda^{-1} b a_{12}(\lambda) + a_{22}(\lambda)). \end{split}$$

We have

$$(U_2 U_3 w_{j,k})(\lambda; x)$$

$$= m_1(\lambda)^{1/8} m_2(\lambda)^{1/8} \mu_1^{-1/4} \lambda^{-1/2} Q_j(c_{11}(\lambda) x_1 + c_{21}(\lambda) x_2) Q_k(c_{12}(\lambda) x_1 + c_{22}(\lambda) x_2)$$

$$\times \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} (c_{11}(\lambda) x_1 + c_{21}(\lambda) x_2)^2 - \frac{1}{2} (c_{12}(\lambda) x_1 + c_{22}(\lambda) x_2)^2\right\}.$$

Let

 $f(x_1, x_2)$ 

K. YOSHITOMI

$$= (c_{11}(\lambda)x_1 + c_{21}(\lambda)x_2)^n (c_{12}(\lambda)x_1 + c_{22}(\lambda)x_2)^m \\ \times \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(c_{11}(\lambda)x_1 + c_{21}(\lambda)x_2)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(c_{12}(\lambda)x_1 + c_{22}(\lambda)x_2)^2\right\} \quad (n, m \in \mathbf{N}).$$

A direct computation implies that

$$(A.10) \int e^{-ix_1 \cdot \xi_1} f(\xi_1, x_2) d\xi_1$$
  
=  $(-c_{11}D_{x_1} + c_{21}x_2)^n (-c_{12}D_{x_1} + c_{21}x_2)^m \left\{ \exp\left(-\frac{(c_{11}c_{22} - c_{12}c_{21})^2}{2(c_{11}^2 + c_{12}^2)}x_2^2\right) \times \exp\left(i\frac{c_{11}c_{21} + c_{12}c_{22}}{c_{11}^2 + c_{12}^2}\right) \times \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{c_{11}^2 + c_{12}^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2(c_{11}^2 + c_{12}^2)}x_1^2\right) \right\}.$ 

Because  $(Uw_{j,k})(\lambda; x)$  is the Fourier transform with respect to  $x_1$  of  $(U_2U_3w_{j,k})(\lambda; x)$ ,  $(Uw_{j,k})(\lambda; x)$  is a linear combination of (A.10) whose coefficients are independent of  $\lambda$ .

Using (A.4), (A.5), (A.6), and (A.7), we have that there exist positive constants  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ ,  $k_3$ ,  $k_{11}$ ,  $k_{12}$ ,  $k_{21}$ , and  $k_{22}$  such that

(A.11) 
$$k_{1}\lambda \leq \frac{1}{c_{11}(\lambda)^{2} + c_{12}(\lambda)^{2}} \leq k_{2}\lambda,$$
$$|c_{11}(\lambda)| \leq k_{11}\lambda^{-1/2}, \quad |c_{12}(\lambda)| \leq k_{12}\lambda^{-1/2},$$
$$|c_{21}(\lambda)| \leq k_{21}\lambda^{1/2}, \quad |c_{22}(\lambda)| \leq k_{22}\lambda^{1/2},$$
$$|c_{11}(\lambda)c_{21}(\lambda) + c_{12}(\lambda)c_{22}(\lambda)| \leq k_{3}$$

for  $\lambda \geq 1$ .

Noting that

$$(c_{11}(\lambda)c_{22}(\lambda) - c_{12}(\lambda)c_{21}(\lambda))^2 = m_1(\lambda)^{1/2}m_2(\lambda)^{1/2}\mu_1^{-1}\lambda^{-2},$$

we can find positive constants  $k_4$  and  $k_5$  such that

(A.12) 
$$k_4 \le (c_{11}(\lambda)c_{22}(\lambda) - c_{12}(\lambda)c_{22}(\lambda))^2 \le k_5$$

for  $\lambda \geq 1$ . So we get

(A.13) 
$$|(Uw_{j,k})(\lambda;x)| \le C_{j,k}\lambda^{1/2}\exp(-c\lambda|x|^2) \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbf{R}^2,$$

where  $C_{j,k}$  and c are positive constants independent of  $\lambda$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author wishes to thank Professor Hiroshi Isozaki for helpful advice and critical reading of the manuscript which greatly improved the paper.

### References

- [1] B. Helffer: Semi-Classical Analysis for the Schrödinger Operator and Applications, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1336, Springer-Verlag.
- [2] B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand: Multiple wells in the semi-classical limit I, Comm. in P.D.E. 9(4) (1984), 337-408.
- [3] L. Hörmander: The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators III, Springer-Verlag.
- [4] A. Outassourt: Comportement semi-classique pour l'opérateurs de Schrödinger à potentiel pèriodique, J. Funct. Anal. 72 (1987), 65–93.
- [5] B. Simon: Semiclassical Analysis of Low-Lying Eigenvalues III. Width of the Ground State Band in Strongly Coupled Solids, Ann. Phys. 158 (1984), 415–420.
- [6] J. Sjöstrand: Microlocal Analysis for the Periodic Magnetic Schrödinger Equations and Related Questions, CIME Lectures July (1989), Springer-Verlag.
- [7] A. Voros: An Algebra of Pseudodifferential Operators and the Asymptotics of Quantum Mechanics, J. Funct. Anal. 29 (1978), 104–132.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Osaka University Toyonaka, Osaka 560 Japan