

Title	Infinite outer Galois theory of non commutative rings
Author(s)	Takeuchi, Yasuji
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 3(2) P.195-P.200
Issue Date	1966
Text Version	publisher
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/8082
DOI	10.18910/8082
rights	
Note	

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

INFINITE OUTER GALOIS THEORY OF NON COMMUTATIVE RINGS

YASUJI TAKEUCHI

(Received August 26, 1966)

In [4], T. Nagahara presented infinite Galois theory of commutative rings with no non-trivial idempotent. On the other hand, Y. Miyashita studied in [3] finite outer Galois theory of non commutative rings.

We shall introduce the notion of infinite outer Galois extension of non commutative rings and obtain a generalization of the fundamental theorem of Galois theory.

In the first place, we recall the definition of finite Galois extension of non commutative rings. Let Γ be a ring with identity 1, Λ a subring with the same identity 1 and G a finite group of automorphisms of Γ . Then Γ is called a (finite) Galois extension of Λ relative to a group G if the following conditions hold:

- (1) There exists an element z of Γ such that $t_G(z)=1$ where $t_G(x)=\sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(x)$ for any element x of Γ .
- (2) $\Lambda = \Gamma^G$ where Γ^G is the fixed ring of Γ by G , i.e. Γ^G is the set of all elements of Γ left invariant by G .
- (3) There are elements x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n of Γ such that for all σ in G

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \sigma(y_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & (\sigma=1) \\ 0 & (\sigma \neq 1) \end{cases}$$

If Γ is a finite Galois extension of Λ relative to group G and $V_\Gamma(\Lambda)$ is the center C of Γ where $V_\Gamma(\Lambda)$ is the commutator ring of Λ in Γ , then Γ is called a finite outer Galois extension of Λ relative to a group G [cf. 3]. This notion will be extended to the following case.

Let Γ be a ring with identity 1, Ω a subring of Γ and σ, τ are two mappings of Ω to Γ . If there exists $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $\sigma(\omega)e \neq \tau(\omega)e$ for any central idempotent e of Γ , we say that the mappings σ and τ are strongly distinct. Moreover let G be a group of automorphisms of Γ (not necessarily finite). Then by G -strong subring we mean a subring Ω of Γ to which the restrictions of any two elements of G are either equal or strongly distinct as mappings

of Ω to Γ . Fixing a representative system $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n\}$ of the right cosets of H in G for any finite index subgroup H of G , $t_{G/H}$ means $t_{G/H}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(x)$ for $x \in \Gamma$.

DEFINITION. Let Γ and G be as above and Λ a subring of Γ with the same identity 1. Then it is said that Γ is an outer Galois extension of Λ relative to a group G if the following conditions (from now on, we shall call them the outer Galois conditions) are satisfied:

- (1) $t_{G/N^*}(\Gamma^N) = \Lambda$ for any finite index subgroup N of G where $N^* = \{\sigma \mid \sigma \in G, \sigma(x) = x \text{ for all } x \in \Gamma^N\}$.
- (2) For any finite subset F of Γ , there exists a subring Ω of Γ containing Λ such that a) $F \subset \Omega$, b) Ω is a separable extension¹⁾ of Λ , c) Ω is G -strong, and d) H is a finite index subgroup of G where $H = \{\sigma \mid \sigma \in G, \sigma(x) = x \text{ for any } x \in \Omega\}$ and there exists an element ω_K of Ω such that $t_{K/H}(\omega_K) = 1$ for any subgroup K of G containing H .
- (3) $V_\Gamma(\Lambda) = C$ where C is the center of Γ .

Throughout this paper, we assume that Γ is an outer Galois extension of Λ relative to a group G and Λ -module means right Λ -module.

First we shall present a characterization of outer Galois extensions. From the Definition we obtain clearly next Lemma.

Lemma 1. $\#\{\sigma(\gamma) \mid \sigma \in G\}$ is finite for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Corollary. If Ω is a subring of Γ finitely generated as Λ -module, then $\#(G \mid \Omega)$ is finite.

Lemma 2. Let Ω be a subring of Γ such that Ω is a separable extension of Λ and is G -strong. If $\#(G \mid \Omega)$ is finite, then the following statements hold: 1) $\Omega = \Gamma^H$ where $H = \{\sigma \mid \sigma \in G, \sigma(x) = x \text{ for all } x \in \Omega\}$. 2) Ω is a finitely generated projective Λ -module.

Proof. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n be elements of Ω satisfying the separability conditions. If we write e the image of $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i$ by the natural mapping of $\Omega \otimes_\Lambda \Omega$ to $\Omega \otimes_\Lambda \Gamma$ and set $e_\sigma = (1 \otimes \sigma)(e)$ for $\sigma \in G$, it is clear that $xe = ex$ and $xe_\sigma = e_\sigma \sigma(x)$ for any $x \in \Omega$. Let φ be a mapping of $\Omega \otimes_\Lambda \Gamma$ onto Γ by $\varphi(x \otimes y) = xy$ for any $x \otimes y \in \Omega \otimes_\Lambda \Gamma$. Then $\varphi(e_\sigma)$ belongs to the center C of Γ

1) Let Γ be a ring with identity 1, Λ a subring of Γ . Γ is called a separable extension of Λ if there exist x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n of Γ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i = 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n z x_i \otimes y_i = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i z$ for any $z \in \Gamma$ where $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \in \Gamma \otimes_\Lambda \Gamma$. In this case, we shall say that x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n satisfy the separability conditions.

since $x\varphi(e_\sigma)=\varphi(e_\sigma)\sigma(x)$ for any $x\in\Omega$. We have that $\varphi(e_\sigma)=(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i)\varphi(e_\sigma)=(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\sigma(y_i))\varphi(e_\sigma)=\varphi(e_\sigma)^2$. Therefore for all $\sigma\in G$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\sigma(y_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & (\sigma\in H) \\ 0 & (\sigma\notin H) \end{cases}$$

since Ω is G -strong. Since the index of H in G is finite, we have $\omega=\sum_{i=1}^n x_i t_{G/H}(y_i\omega)$ for any $\omega\in\Omega$. Thus Ω is a finitely generated projective Λ -module. The remaining part is trivial from the fact that $\gamma=\sum_{i=1}^n x_i t_{G/H}(y_i\gamma)$ for any $\gamma\in\Gamma^H$.

Lemma 3. *Let F be any finite subset of Γ . Then there exists a normal subgroup N of G such that the index of N in G is finite, $F\subset\Gamma^N$ and Γ^N is a (finite) outer Galois extension of Λ relative to G/N .*

Proof. If $F^*=\{\sigma(x)\mid\sigma\in G, x\in F\}$, F^* is finite. Let Ω be a subring of Γ satisfying the outer Galois conditions (2) for a finite subset F^* of Γ . Then $\Omega=\Gamma^H$ where $H=\{\sigma\mid\sigma\in G, \sigma(x)=x \text{ for all } x\in\Omega\}$. If N is the normal subgroup of G generated by H , we have $F\subset\Gamma^N$. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n be elements of Ω satisfying the separability conditions. Then we have already known

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\sigma(y_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & (\sigma\in H) \\ 0 & (\sigma\notin H) \end{cases}$$

for all $\sigma\in G$. Since there exists $\omega_N\in\Omega$ such that $t_{N/H}(\omega_N)=1$, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^n t_{N/H}(\omega_N x_i)\sigma(t_{N/H}(y_i)) = \begin{cases} 1 & (\sigma\in N) \\ 0 & (\sigma\notin N) \end{cases}$$

for all $\sigma\in G$. Hence $N=\{\sigma\mid\sigma\in G, \sigma(x)=x \text{ for all } x\in\Gamma^N\}$, so that there exists $\gamma_N\in\Gamma^N$ such that $t_{G/N}(\gamma_N)=1$. Since $V_{\Gamma^N}(\Lambda)$ is clearly the center of Γ^N , Γ^N is a (finite) outer Galois extension of Λ relative to G/N .

Lemma 4. (cf. [3]). *Let Γ be a finite outer Galois extension of Λ relative to G . Then if H is any subgroup of G , Γ^H is a separable extension of Λ finitely generated as Λ -module and G -strong. Moreover if H is a normal subgroup of G , Γ^H is a (finite) outer Galois extension of Λ relative to G/H .*

Proposition 2. *If H is a subgroup of G such that the index of H in G is finite, then we obtain that Γ^H is a separable extension of Λ finitely generated as Λ -module and G -strong.*

Proof. Let γ_1 be one of generators of Γ^H as Λ -module. Then there exists a normal subgroup N_1 of G such that $\gamma_1\in\Gamma^{N_1}$ and Γ^{N_1} is a Galois exten-

sion of Λ relative to G/N_1 . Assume that N_{k-1} exists. If we can take out γ_k being one of generators of Γ^H as Λ -module not included in $\Gamma^{N_{k-1}}$, N_k is a normal subgroup of G such that $\gamma_k \in \Gamma^{N_k}$, $\Gamma^{N_{k-1}} \subset \Gamma^{N_k}$ and Γ^{N_k} is a finite Galois extension of Λ relative to G/N_k . Then we have a chain

$$G \supset HN_1 \supset HN_2 \supset \dots \supset HN_k \supseteq H.$$

Hence

$$[G:H] > [HN_1:H] > [HN_2:H] > \dots > [HN_k:H] \geq 1.$$

Since $[G:H]$ is finite, there is a rational integer k_0 such that $\Gamma^H \subset \Gamma^{N_{k_0}}$. Γ^H is the fixed ring of $\Gamma^{N_{k_0}}$ by HN_{k_0}/N_{k_0} , so that Γ^H is a separable extension of Λ finitely generated as Λ -module and G -strong.

Corollary. *If N is a normal subgroup of finite index in G , Γ^N is a (finite) outer Galois extension of Λ relative to a factor group of G .*

Proof. (cf. [3]).

Now we summarize a characterization of outer Galois extensions.

Proposition 3. *Let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be a ring with identity 1, $\tilde{\Lambda}$ a subring of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ with same identity 1 and G a group of automorphisms of $\tilde{\Gamma}$.*

Then $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is an outer Galois extension of $\tilde{\Lambda}$ relative to G if and only if the following conditions hold:

(1) $\tilde{\Gamma}^G = \tilde{\Lambda}$.

(2) *For any finite subset F of $\tilde{\Gamma}$, there exists a normal subgroup \tilde{N} of \tilde{G} such that $F \subset \tilde{\Gamma}^{\tilde{N}}$, the index of \tilde{N} in \tilde{G} is finite and $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\tilde{N}}$ is a finite outer Galois extension of $\tilde{\Lambda}$ relative to \tilde{G}/\tilde{N} .*

Proof. Necessity. It is obvious from Lemma 3 and 4.

Sufficiency. It follows from the proof of Proposition 2 that Γ^H is finitely generated as Λ -module for any finite index subgroup H of G . Then there exists a normal subgroup N of G such that $\Gamma^H \subset \Gamma^N$ and Γ^N is a finite Galois extension of Λ relative to G/N . Hence we have $t_{G/\bar{H}}(\Gamma^H) = \Lambda$ where $\bar{H} = \{\sigma \mid \sigma \in G, \sigma(x) = x \text{ for all } x \in \Gamma^H\}$. The remainder of the proof is obvious.

Lemma 5. *Let Ω be a subring of Γ which is a separable extension of Λ finitely generated as Λ -module and G -strong. If M is a left free Γ -module $\sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma \sigma'_i$ where $G|\Omega = \{\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, \dots, \sigma'_m\}$, we may regard M as a right Ω -module by $x \cdot \sigma'_i \cdot y = x \sigma'_i(y) \sigma'_i$ for $x \in \Gamma, y \in \Omega$.*

Then if ψ is a mapping of M to $\text{Hom}(\Omega_\Lambda, \Gamma_\Lambda)$ by $\psi(\sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_i \cdot \sigma'_i)(y) = \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_i \cdot \sigma'_i(y)$ for $\sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_i \sigma'_i \in M, y \in \Omega$, ψ is Γ - Ω -isomorphism.

Proof. (cf. [4]).

REMARK. In the above Lemma, if $\Lambda = \Gamma^G$ and $\#\{\sigma(\gamma) \mid \sigma \in G\}$ is finite for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we may omit the assumption that Γ is an outer Galois extension of Λ relative to G .

Proposition 4. *Let G^* be the closure of G (with respect to the finite topology). Then Γ is an outer Galois extension of Λ relative to G^* .*

Proof. For any finite subset F of Γ , there exists a normal subgroup N of G such that Γ^N is a finite outer Galois extension of Λ relative to G/N . Then we have $G \mid \Gamma^N = G^* \mid \Gamma^N$. Hence Γ^{N^*} is a finite Galois extension of Λ relative to G^*/N^* where $N^* = \{\sigma \mid \sigma \in G^*, \sigma(x) = x \text{ for all } x \in \Gamma^N\}$.

DEFINITION. Let Ω be a subring of Γ containing Λ . Then we shall call Ω is a *locally separable G -strong extension of Λ* if, for any finite subset F of Ω , there exists a subring Ω' of Ω containing F which is a separable extension of Λ finitely generated as Λ -module and G -strong.

Proposition 5. *If H is a closed subgroup of G (with respect to the finite topology), then Γ^H is a locally separable G -strong extension of Λ and $H = H^*$ where $H^* = \{\sigma \mid \sigma \in G, \sigma(x) = x \text{ for all } x \in \Gamma^H\}$.*

Proof. Let F be a finite subset of Γ^H . Then there exists a normal subgroup N of G such that the index of N in G is finite, $F \subset \Gamma^N$ and Γ^N is an outer Galois extension of Λ relative to G/N . Since $\Gamma^H \cap \Gamma^N = \Gamma^{HN} = (\Gamma^N)^{HN/N}$, $\Gamma^H \cap \Gamma^N$ is a separable extension of Λ finitely generated as Λ -module and G -strong. Hence Γ^H is a locally separable G -strong extension of Λ . We shall show the remaining part. Let F be any finite subset of Γ . Then there exists a subring Ω of Γ which is a finite outer Galois extension of Λ relative to a factor group of G and contain F . Then $H \mid \Omega = H^* \mid \Omega$ by finite Galois theory (cf. [2]), so that $H \mid F = H^* \mid F$. Thus we have $H = H^*$ since H is dense in H^* .

Corollary 1. *Let H_1, H_2 be two closed subgroup of G . If $\Gamma^{H_1} \supset \Gamma^{H_2}$, we have $H_1 \subset H_2$.*

Corollary 2. *Let H_1, H_2 be as above. If $H_1 \neq H_2$, then $\Gamma^{H_1} \neq \Gamma^{H_2}$.*

Now we may exhibit the fundamental theorem of outer Galois theory.

Theorem. 1) *Let Γ be an outer Galois extension of Λ relative to a group G and assume that G is compact (with respect to the finite topology). Then there is one-to-one lattice-inverting correspondence between closed subgroups of G and subrings of Γ which are locally separable G -strong extension of Λ . If Ω is a locally separable G -strong extension of Λ which is a subring of Γ , then the corresponding subgroup is $H_\Omega = \{\sigma \mid \sigma \in G, \sigma(x) = x \text{ for all } x \in \Omega\}$.*

2) A closed subgroup N of G is normal in G if and only if Γ^N is mapped onto itself by every elements of G , in which case Γ^N is an outer Galois extension of Λ relative to G/N .

Proof. 2) is obvious. We need only show that if Ω is a subring of Γ which is a locally separable G -strong extension of Λ , then $\Omega = \Gamma^H$ where $H = \{\sigma \mid \sigma \in G, \sigma(x) = x \text{ for all } x \in \Omega\}$. Suppose that there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma^H$ such that $\gamma \notin \Omega$. If $C = \{\sigma \mid \sigma \in G, \sigma(\gamma) \neq \gamma\}$, C is closed in G . Let X be the set of subring Ω_α of Ω which is separable extension of Λ finitely generated as Λ -module and G -strong (Let I be the set of suffixes of Ω_α 's). If $H_\alpha = \{\sigma \mid \sigma \in G, \sigma(x) = x \text{ for all } x \in \Omega_\alpha\}$ for each $\Omega_\alpha \in X$, H_α is closed subgroup of G . Let $\{\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_n\}$ be any finite subset of X . Then there exists a subring Ω' of Ω such that $\Omega_i \subset \Omega'$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$), and Ω' is a separable extension of Λ finitely generated as Λ -module and G -strong. If $K = \{\sigma \mid \sigma \in G, \sigma(x) = x \text{ for all } x \in \Omega'\}$, $\Omega' = \Gamma^K$ and so $K \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n H_i$. Since $C \cap K \neq \phi$, $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (C \cap H_i) = C \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n H_i) \neq \phi$. Furthermore we obtain $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} (C \cap H_\alpha) \neq \phi$ since G is compact. Hence $C \cap H \neq \phi$. This is contradiction. Therefore $\Omega = \Gamma^H$, completing the proof.

OSAKA GAKUGEI DAIGAKU

References

- [1] S.U. Chase, D.K. Harrison and A. Rosenberg: *Galois theory and Galois cohomology of commutative rings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 52, 1964.
- [2] M. Harada and T. Kanzaki: *On the Galois extension of rings*, Sūgaku (in Japanese) (to appear).
- [3] Y. Miyashita: *Finite outer Galois theory of non commutative rings*, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. (to appear).
- [4] T. Nagahara: *A note on Galois theory of commutative rings*, (to appear).
- [5] Y. Takeuchi: *On Galois extension over commutative rings*, Osaka J. Math. **2** (1965), 137-145.