



|              |   |
|--------------|---|
| Title        | On knots and periodic transformations                                     |
| Author(s)    | Kinoshita, Shin'ichi  |
| Citation     | Osaka Mathematical Journal. 1958, 10(1), p. 43-52                         |
| Version Type | VoR   |
| URL          | <a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/8092">https://doi.org/10.18910/8092</a> |
| rights       |   |
| Note         |   |

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

## *On Knots and Periodic Transformations*<sup>1)</sup>

By Shin'ichi KINOSHITA

### Introduction

Let  $T$  be a homeomorphism of the 2-sphere  $S^2$  onto itself. If  $T$  is regular<sup>2)</sup> except at a finite number of points, then it is proved by B. v. Kerékjártó [11] that  $T$  is topologically equivalent to a linear transformation of complex numbers. Now let  $T$  be a homeomorphism of the 3-sphere  $S^3$  onto itself. If  $T$  is regular except at a finite number of points, then it is known<sup>3)</sup> that the number of points at which  $T$  is not regular is at most two. Furthermore it is also known<sup>4)</sup> that if  $T$  is regular except at just two points, then  $T$  is topologically equivalent to the dilatation of  $S^3$ . Let  $T$  be sense preserving and regular except at just one point. Then whether or not  $T$  is equivalent to the translation of  $S^3$  is not proved yet<sup>5)</sup>. Now let  $T$  be regular at every point of  $S^3$ . In general, in this case,  $T$  can be more complicated<sup>6)</sup> and there remain difficult problems<sup>7)</sup>.

In this paper we shall be concerned with sense preserving periodic transformations of  $S^3$  onto itself, which is a special case of regular transformations of  $S^3$ . Furthermore suppose that  $T$  is different from the identity and has at least one fixed point. Then it has been shown by P. A. Smith [19] that the set  $F$  of all fixed points of  $T$  is a simple closed curve. It is proved by D. Montgomery and L. Zippin [13] that generally  $T$  is not equivalent to the rotation of  $S^3$  about  $F$ . It will naturally be conjectured<sup>8)</sup> that if  $T$  is semilinear, then  $T$  is equivalent to the rotation of  $S^3$ . In this case  $F$  is, of course, a polygonal simple

---

1) A part of this paper was published in [12]. See also the footnote 11).

2) A homeomorphism  $T$  of a metric space  $X$  onto itself is called regular at  $p \in X$ , if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $d(p, x) < \delta$ , then  $d(T^n(p), T^n(x)) < \varepsilon$  for every integer  $n$ .

3) See T. Homma and S. Kinoshita [9].

4) See T. Homma and S. Kinoshita [8] [9].

5) See also H. Terasaka [21].

6) See R. H. Bing [3] D. Montgomery and L. Zippin [13].

7) See, for instance, [4] Problem 40.

8) See D. Montgomery and H. Samelson [14].

closed curve in  $S^3$  and D. Montgomery and H. Samelson [14] has proved<sup>9)</sup> that if  $F$  is a parallel knot of the type  $(p, 2)$  then  $F$  is trivial in  $S^3$  provided the period of  $T$  is two.

Now let  $M$  be a closed 3-manifold without boundary and with trivial 1-dimensional homology group<sup>10, 11)</sup>. If  $k$  is a polygonal simple closed curve in  $M$ , then we can define the  $g$ -fold cyclic covering space  $M_g(k)$  of  $M$ , branched along  $k$ . Then in §1 it will be proved that the fundamental group of  $M$  is isomorphic to a factor group of that of  $M_g(k)$ . Furthermore a fundamental formula of the Alexander polynomial of  $k$  in  $M$  (see (6)), which is proved by R.H. Fox [6] for  $M=S^3$ , will be given.

Now let  $k_0$  be a polygonal simple closed curve in  $S^3$ , whose 2-fold cyclic covering space  $M_2(k_0)$  of  $S^3$ , branched along  $k_0$ , is homeomorphic to  $S^3$ . Then it will be proved in §2 that (i) the determinant of the knot  $k_0$  must be equal to the square of an odd number, (ii) the degree of the Alexander polynomial of  $k_0$  is not equal to two and that (iii) almost all knots of the Alexander-Briggs' table<sup>12)</sup> are not equivalent to  $k_0$ , where  $k_0$  is considered as a knot in  $M_2(k_0)$ . Similarly if  $k_1$  is a polygonal simple closed curve in  $S^3$ , whose 3-fold cyclic covering space  $M_3(k_1)$  of  $S^3$ , branched along  $k_1$ , is homeomorphic to  $S^3$ , then it will be proved that (i) the degree of the Alexander polynomial of  $k_1$  is not equal to two and that (ii) almost all knots of the Alexander-Briggs' table<sup>12)</sup> are not equivalent to  $k_1$ , where  $k_1$  is considered as a knot in  $M_3(k_1)$ .

If  $T$  is a periodic transformation of  $S^3$  described above, then the orbit space  $M$  is a simply connected 3-manifold. Furthermore  $S^3$  is the  $p$ -fold cyclic covering space of  $M$ , branched along  $F$ , where  $p$  is the period of  $T$ . Therefore, under the assumption that the well known Poincaré conjecture of 3-manifolds is true<sup>13)</sup>, the results of §2 can be naturally applied to the position of  $F$  in  $S^3$ . (See Theorem 5 and Theorem 6).

## § 1.

1. In this section  $M$  will denote a closed 3-manifold without boundary and with trivial 1-dimensional homology group. Let  $k$  be an

9) See also C. D. Papakyriakopoulos [15] T. Homma [10].

10) In this paper we shall use only the integral homology group.

11) In [12]  $M$  was supposed to be only a 3-manifold without boundary. Professor R. H. Fox kindly pointed out to me that "the linking number  $\text{Link}(k, x_i)$ " in [12] is not well-defined for an arbitrary 3-manifold  $M$ . Some propositions on knots in  $M$  turn out thereby to be erroneous, although it does not affect my main results in § 5 of [12].

12) See [1] [12].

13) Meanwhile, this conjecture turned out to be unnecessary. See R. H. Fox [7].

oriented polygonal simple closed curve in  $M$  and let  $V$  be a sufficiently small tubular neighbourhood of  $k$  in  $M$ . Then the boundary  $\dot{V}$  of  $V$  is a torus. A *meridian* of  $V$  is by definition a simple closed curve on  $\dot{V}$  which bounds a 2-cell in  $V$  but not on  $\dot{V}$ . Let  $x$  be an oriented meridian of  $V$ . For each simple closed curve  $y$  which does not intersect  $k$  we can define the *linking number*  $\text{Link}(k, y)$  of  $k$  and  $y$ <sup>14)</sup>. Then

$$\text{Link}(k, x) = \pm 1.$$

We may always suppose that  $x$  is so oriented that

$$\text{Link}(k, x) = 1.$$

It is easy to see that for each integer  $p(\neq 0)$   $x^p$  is not homotopic to 1.

We shall denote the fundamental group of  $M-k$  by  $F(M-k)$  or sometimes by  $F(k, M)$ . Now let  $\{x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  be a complete set of generators of  $F(M-k)$ , where  $x$  stands for the element of the fundamental group corresponding to the path  $x$ . Put

$$\text{Link}(k, X_i) = L(i) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

and

$$x_i = x^{-L(i)} X_i.$$

Then  $\{x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  forms again a complete set of generators of  $F(M-k)$ . For each  $i$

$$(1) \quad \text{Link}(k, x_i) = 0.$$

Let  $R_s = 1$  ( $s = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) be a complete system of defining relations of  $F(M-k)$  with respect to  $\{x, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Then the symbol

$$(2) \quad \{x, x_1, \dots, x_n : R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m\}$$

will be called a *presentation*<sup>15)</sup> of  $F(M-k)$ . It is easy to see that

$$\{x, x_1, \dots, x_n : x, R_1, \dots, R_m\}$$

is a presentation of  $F(M)$ .  $x$  being equal to unity, this presentation can be transformed to the following one:

$$(3) \quad \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n : \hat{R}_1, \hat{R}_2, \dots, \hat{R}_m\},$$

where  $\hat{R}_s$  is obtained by deleting  $x$  from  $R_s$ .

14) See [17] § 77.

15) See R. H. Fox [6].

2. Let  $w \in F(k, M)$ . Then  $w$  is written as a word which consists of at most  $x, x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Let  $f(w)$  be an integer which is equal to the exponent sum of  $w$ , summed over the element  $x$ . By (1) it is easy to see that  $f$  is a homomorphism of  $F(k, M)$  onto the set of all integers. Now put

$$F_g(k, M) = \{w \in F(k, M) \mid f(w) = 0 \pmod{g}\},$$

where  $g$  is a positive integer. Then  $F_g(k, M)$  is a normal subgroup of  $F(k, M)$ . Therefore there exists uniquely the  $g$ -fold cyclic covering space  $\tilde{M}_g(k)$ <sup>16)</sup> of  $M-k$ , whose fundamental group is isomorphic to  $F_g(k, M)$ . Since  $x$  is a meridian of  $V$ , we can also define the  $g$ -fold cyclic covering space  $M_g(k)$  of  $M$ , branched along  $k$ <sup>17)</sup>. For each  $g$   $M_g(k)$  is a closed 3-manifold without boundary.

$F(\tilde{M}_g(k))$  and  $F(M_g(k))$  are calculated from  $F(k, M)$  as follows: Let (2) be a presentation of  $F(k, M)$ . Put

$$x_{ij} = x^j x_j x^{-j}. \quad \begin{pmatrix} i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ j = 0, 1, \dots, g-1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $f(R_s) = 0$  for every  $s$  ( $s = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ),  $x^t R_s x^{-t}$  ( $t = 0, 1, \dots, g-1$ ) is expressible by a word which consists of at most  $x_{ij}$  and  $x^g$ . We denote it by notations

$$x^t R_s x^{-t} = \tilde{R}_{st}.$$

Then

$$(4) \quad \{x^g, x_{ij} : \tilde{R}_{st}\}$$

is a presentation of  $F(\tilde{M}_g(k))$  and

$$(5) \quad \{x^g, x_{ij} : x^g, \tilde{R}_{st}\}$$

is one of  $F(M_g(k))$ .

**Theorem 1.**  $F(M)$  is isomorphic to a factor group of  $F(M_g(k))$ .

Proof. Let (3) and (5) be presentations of  $F(M)$  and  $F(M_g(k))$ , respectively. Let  $G$  be a group whose presentation is given by

$$\{y^g, y_i, y_{ij} : y^g, \tilde{R}_{st}(y^g, y_{ij}), y_{ij} y_i^{-1}\}.$$

This presentation can be transformed to the following one:

$$\{y_i : \hat{R}_s(y_i)\}.$$

16) See, for instance, [17].

17) See, for instance, H. Seifert [18].

Therefore  $F(M)$  is isomorphic to  $G$ . On the other hand it is easy to see that  $G$  is isomorphic to a factor group of  $F(M_g(k))$ . Thus  $F(M)$  is isomorphic to a factor group of  $F(M_g(k))$ , and our proof is complete.

3. Now let (2) be a presentation of  $F(M-k)$ . Replace the multiplication by the addition and put

$$jx \pm x_i - jx = \pm x^j x_i. \quad \begin{pmatrix} i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

Furthermore suppose that the addition is commutative. Then for each relation  $R_s=1$  ( $s=1, 2, \dots, m$ ) we have a relation  $\bar{R}_s=0$ , which is a linear equation of  $x_i$ . From these linear equations we can make the *Alexander matrix*, whose  $(s, i)$ -th term is the coefficient of  $x_i$  in  $\bar{R}_s=0$ . If we put  $x=1$  in the Alexander matrix, then we have a matrix which gives the 1-dimensional homology group  $H_1(M)$  of  $M$ . Since  $H_1(M)$  is trivial by our assumption  $m \geq n$ .

If two oriented knots  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  in  $M$  are equivalent to each other, then  $F(k_1, M)$  and  $F(k_2, M)$  are *directly isomorphic*<sup>18)</sup>. It was proved by J. W. Alexander [2] that if two *indexed groups*<sup>18)</sup> are directly isomorphic to each other, then the elementary factors different from unity of the Alexander matrices and also their products  $\Delta(x, k_i, M)$  ( $i=1, 2$ ) are the same each other. Of course they are determined up to factors  $\pm x^p$ , where  $p$  is an integer.  $\Delta(x, k, M)$  will be called the *Alexander polynomial of  $k$  in  $M$* . Clearly  $\Delta(1, k, M) = \pm 1$ . It should be remarked that  $\Delta(x, k, M_g(k))$ <sup>19)</sup> is also defined from (4) replacing  $x^g$  by  $x$ .

It can be proved that

$$(6) \quad \Delta(x, k, M_g(k)) = \prod_{j=0}^{g-1} \Delta(x^g \sqrt{x} \omega_j, k, M),$$

where  $\omega_j = \cos \frac{2\pi j}{g} + i \sin \frac{2\pi j}{g}$ . This is known for the case  $M=S^3$ <sup>20)</sup>.

But as the proof of the latter depends essentially only on the following equation of determinants:

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_g \\ xa_2 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{g-1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ xa_g & xa_3 & \cdots & a_1 \end{vmatrix} = \prod_{j=0}^{g-1} f(x^g \sqrt{x} \omega_j),$$

18) See J. W. Alexander [2].

19) We use the same symbol to a knot  $k$  in  $M$  and the knot which is the set of all branch points of  $M_g(k)$ .  $\Delta(x, k, M_g(k))$  is the Alexander polynomial of  $k$  in  $M_g(k)$ , if  $\Delta(1, k, M_g(k)) = \pm 1$ . See also R. H. Fox [6].

20) See R. H. Fox [6].

where  $f(y) = a_1 + a_2 y + \dots + a_g y^{g-1}$ , the proof for the general case is the same as for the case  $M = S^3$  and is omitted.

As a special case of (6) we have

$$(7) \quad \Delta(1, k, M_g(k)) = \prod_{j=0}^{g-1} \Delta(\omega_j, k, M).$$

$\Delta(1, k, M_g(k)) \neq 0$  if and only if the 1-dimensional Betti number  $p_1(M_g(k)) = 0$ . If  $p_1(M_g(k)) = 0$ , then  $|\Delta(1, k, M_g(k))|$  is equal to the product of 1-dimensional torsion numbers. In this case if  $|\Delta(1, k, M_g(k))| = 1$ , then  $M_g(k)$  has no torsion number.

## § 2.

1. Let  $k_0$  be a simple closed curve in the 3-sphere  $S^3$  and  $M_2$  the 2-fold cyclic covering space of  $S^3$ , branched along  $k_0$ . In No. 1 and 2 we assume that  $M_2$  is homeomorphic to the 3-sphere and the position of  $k_0$  in  $M_2$  will be studied. These results will be used later in § 3. In No. 1 we prove only the following

**Theorem 2.** *The determinant of  $k_0$  in  $M_2$  must be equal to the square of an odd number.*

Proof. Let  $\Delta(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{2n} a_r x^r$  be the Alexander polynomial of  $k_0$  in  $S^3$ . Since the determinant  $d_0$  of  $k_0$  in  $M_2$  is the product of torsion numbers of the 1-dimensional homology group of the 2-fold cyclic covering space of  $M_2$ , branched along  $k_0$ , it follows from (7) that

$$d_0 = |\Delta(1) \Delta(-1) \Delta(i) \Delta(-i)|.$$

By our assumptions  $|\Delta(1)| = 1$  and  $|\Delta(-1)| = 1$ . Put

$$\begin{aligned} a &= a_0 - a_2 + a_4 - \dots + (-1)^n a_{2n}, \\ b &= a_1 - a_3 + a_5 - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} a_{2n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose first that  $n$  is even. Then

$$\Delta(i) = a_0 + a_1 i - a_2 - a_3 i + \dots - a_{2n-2} - a_{2n-1} i + a_{2n}.$$

Since  $a_r = a_{2n-r}$ ,  $\Delta(i) = a$ . Therefore  $\Delta(-i) = a$ . Then we have  $d_0 = a^2$ .

Now suppose that  $n$  is odd. Then

$$\Delta(i) = a_0 + a_1 i - a_2 - a_3 i + \dots + a_{2n-2} + a_{2n-1} i - a_{2n}.$$

Since  $a_r = a_{2n-r}$ , we have

$$\Delta(i) = bi + a_n(i)^n.$$

Therefore

$$\Delta(-i) = b(-i) + a_n(-i)^n = -(bi + a_n(i)^n).$$

Thus we have

$$d_0 = -(bi + a_n(i)^n)^2 = (b \pm a_n)^2.$$

Since the determinant of a knot is always an odd number, our proof is complete.

2. Now let  $\Delta(x)$  be the Alexander polynomial of  $k_0$  in  $S^3$  and  $\Delta_2(x)$  that of  $k_0$  in  $M_2$ . Then by (6)

$$(8) \quad \Delta_2(x) = \Delta(\sqrt{x}) \Delta(-\sqrt{x}).$$

Therefore the degree of  $\Delta(x)$  is equal to that of  $\Delta_2(x)$ .

Suppose first that the degree of  $\Delta(x)$  is 2. Put

$$\Delta(x) = ax^2 + bx + a,$$

where  $a \neq 0$  and we may assume that  $2a + b = 1$ . Then by (8)

$$\Delta_2(x) = a^2x^2 + (2a^2 - b^2)x + a^2.$$

Furthermore  $4a^2 - b^2 = \pm 1$ , which means that  $2a - b = \pm 1$ . From this it follows that  $2a = 1$  or  $2a = 0$ . Since  $a \neq 0$  and  $a$  is an integer, this is a contradiction. Thus we have proved that *the degree of  $\Delta_2(x)$  is not equal to 2*.

Now suppose that the degree of  $\Delta_2(x)$  is 4. Put

$$\Delta(x) = ax^4 + bx^3 + cx^2 + bx + a,$$

where  $a \neq 0$  and we may assume that  $2a + 2b + c = 1$ . Then by (8)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2(x) = & a^2x^4 + (2ac - b^2)x^3 + (2a^2 - 2b^2 + c^2)x^2 \\ & + (2ac - b^2)x + a^2. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore  $4a^2 + 4ac + c^2 - 4b^2 = \pm 1$ , which means that  $2a - 2b + c = \pm 1$ . From this it follows that  $4b = 2$  or  $4b = 0$ . Since  $b$  is an integer,  $4b = 2$  is a contradiction. Therefore  $b = 0$  and  $c = 1 - 2a$ . Thus we have proved that if the degree of  $\Delta_2(x)$  is 4, then  $\Delta_2(x)$  must be limited to the following form:

$$a^2x^4 - 2a(2a - 1)x^3 + (6a^2 - 4a + 1)x^2 - \dots.$$

By the same way it can be seen easily that if the degrees of  $\Delta_2(x)$



are 6 and 8, then  $\Delta_2(x)$  must be limited to the following forms, respectively :

$$\begin{aligned} & a^2x^6 - (b^2 + 2a^2)x^5 + (4b^2 - a^2 - 2b)x^4 \\ & \quad - (6b^2 - 4a^2 - 4b + 1)x^3 + \dots, \\ & a^2x^8 - (b^2 - 2ac)x^7 + (c^2 + 2b^2 - 4a^2 - 4ac + 2a)x^6 \\ & \quad - (4c^2 - b^2 + 2ac - 2c)x^5 \\ & \quad + (6c^2 - 4b^2 + 6a^2 + 8ac - 4c - 4a + 1)x^4 - \dots. \end{aligned}$$

From these we have the following

**Theorem 3.** *All knots of the Alexander-Briggs' table, except for the cases  $8_9$  and  $8_{20}$ , are not equivalent to  $k_0$  in  $M_2$ .*

3. Now let  $k_1$  be a simple closed curve in  $S^3$  and  $M_3$  the 3-fold cyclic covering space of  $S^3$ , branched along  $k_1$ . In No. 3 we assume that  $M_3$  is homeomorphic to the 3-sphere and the position of  $k_1$  in  $M_3$  will be studied.

Let  $\Delta(x)$  be the Alexander polynomial of  $k_1$  in  $S^3$  and  $\Delta_3(x)$  that of  $k_1$  in  $M_3$ . Then by (6)

$$(9) \quad \Delta_3(x) = \Delta(\sqrt[3]{x}) \Delta(\omega_1 \sqrt[3]{x}) \Delta(\omega_2 \sqrt[3]{x}),$$

where  $\omega_1 = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{3}i}{2}$  and  $\omega_2 = \frac{-1 - \sqrt{3}i}{2}$ .

Suppose first that the degree of  $\Delta(x)$  is 2. Put

$$\Delta(x) = ax^2 + bx + a,$$

where  $a \neq 0$  and we may assume that  $2a + b = 1$ . Then by (9)

$$\Delta_3(x) = a^3x^2 + (b^3 - 3a^2b)x + a^3.$$

Furthermore  $2a^3 - 3a^2b + b^3 = \pm 1$ , which means that  $a - b = \pm 1$ . From this it follows that  $3a = 2$  or  $3a = 0$ . Since  $a \neq 0$  and  $a$  is an integer, this is a contradiction. Thus we have proved that *the degree of  $\Delta_3(x)$  is not equal to 2*.

By the same way as that of No. 2 we have the following.

**Theorem 4.** *All knots of the Alexander-Briggs' table, except for the cases  $5_1$ ,  $7_1$ ,  $8_{10}$  and  $9_{47}$ , are not equivalent to  $k_1$  in  $M_3$ .*

### § 3.

Now let  $T$  be a sense preserving (of course semilinear) periodic transformation of  $S^3$  onto itself. Furthermore let  $T$  be different from the

identity and have at least one fixed point. Then the set  $F$  of all fixed points of  $T$  is a simple closed curve<sup>21)</sup>. Suppose that  $p$  is the minimal number of the set of all positive period of  $T$ . It is easy to see that  $T$  is primitive<sup>22)</sup>.  $T$  acts locally as a rotation about  $F$ <sup>23)</sup>. Then, if we identify the points

$$x, T(x), \dots, T^{p-1}(x)$$

in  $S^3$ , we have an orientable 3-manifold  $M$ . It is easy to see that  $M$  is simply connected. Since  $T$  acts locally as a rotation about  $F$  in  $S^3$ , we can see that  $S^3$  is the  $p$ -fold cyclic covering space of  $M$ , branched along  $F$ .

Now we assume that the Poincaré conjecture is true<sup>13)</sup>. Then  $M$  is a 3-sphere.

First we consider the case  $p=2$ . Since  $S^3$  is the 2-fold cyclic covering space of  $M$ , branched along  $F$ , we can apply the results of §2 to the position of  $F$  in  $S^3$ . Therefore we have the following

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $T$  be a periodic transformation described above. Furthermore suppose that the period of  $T$  is 2. Then, under the assumption that the Poincaré conjecture is true<sup>13)</sup>, we have that*

- (i) *the determinant of  $F$  must be equal to the square of an odd number,*
- (ii) *the degree of the Alexander polynomial of  $F$  is not equal to 2 and that*
- (iii) *all knots of the Alexander-Briggs' table, except for the cases  $8_8$  and  $8_{20}$ , are not equivalent to  $F$ .*

Now we consider the case  $p=3$ . Since  $S^3$  is the 3-fold cyclic covering space of  $M$ , branched along  $F$ , we have the following

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $T$  be a periodic transformation described above. Furthermore suppose that the period of  $T$  is 3. Then, under the assumption that the Poincaré conjecture is true<sup>13)</sup>, we have that*

- (i) *the degree of the Alexander polynomial of  $F$  is not equal to 2 and that*
- (ii) *all knots of the Alexander-Briggs' table, except for the cases  $5_1$ ,  $7_1$ ,  $8_{10}$  and  $9_{47}$ , are not equivalent to  $F$ .*

(Received February 19, 1958)

21) See P. A. Smith [17].

22) See P. A. Smith [18].

23) See D. Montgomery and H. Samelson [12].

## References

- [1] J. W. Alexander and G. B. Briggs: On types of knotted curve, *Ann. Math.* 28 (1927), 562–586.
- [2] J. W. Alexander: Topological invariants of knots and links, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 30 (1928), 275–306.
- [3] R. H. Bing: A homeomorphism between the 3-sphere and the sum of two horned sphere, *Ann. Math.* 56 (1952), 354–362.
- [4] S. Eilenberg: On the problems of topology, *Ann. Math.* 50 (1949), 247–260.
- [5] R. H. Fox: Free differential calculus II, *Ann. Math.* 59 (1954), 196–210.
- [6] R. H. Fox: Free differential calculus III, *Ann. Math.* 64 (1956), 407–419.
- [7] R. H. Fox: On knots whose points are fixed under a periodic transformation of the 3-sphere, *Osaka Math. J.* 10 (1958).
- [8] T. Homma and S. Kinoshita: On a topological characterization of the dilatation in  $E^3$ , *Osaka Math. J.* 6 (1954), 135–144.
- [9] T. Homma and S. Kinoshita: On homeomorphisms which are regular except for a finite number of points, *Osaka Math. J.* 7 (1955), 29–38.
- [10] T. Homma: On Dehn's lemma for  $S^3$ , *Yokohama Math. J.* 5 (1957), 223–244.
- [11] B. v. Kerékjártó: Topologische Charakterisierung der linearen Abbildungen, *Acta Litt. ac. Sci. Szeged* 6 (1934), 235–262.
- [12] S. Kinoshita: Notes on knots and periodic transformations, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 33 (1957), 358–361.
- [13] D. Montgomery and L. Zippin: Examples of transformation groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 5 (1954), 460–465.
- [14] D. Montgomery and H. Samelson: A theorem on fixed points of involutions in  $S^3$ , *Can. J. Math.* 7 (1955), 208–220.
- [15] C. D. Papakyriakopoulos: On Dehn's lemma and the asphericity of knots, *Ann. Math.* 66 (1957), 1–26.
- [16] K. Reidemeister: *Knotentheorie*, Berlin (1932).
- [17] H. Seifert and W. Threlfall: *Lehrbuch der Topologie*, Leipzig (1935).
- [18] H. Seifert: Ueber das Geschlecht von Knoten, *Math. Ann.* 110 (1935), 571–592.
- [19] P. A. Smith: Transformations of finite period II, *Ann. Math.* 40 (1939), 497–514.
- [20] P. A. Smith: Fixed points of periodic transformations, Appendix B in Lefschetz, *Algebraic topology* (1942).
- [21] H. Terasaka: On quasi-translations in  $E^n$ , *Proc. Japan Acad.* 30 (1954), 80–84.