

Title	On the existence of a reproducing kernel on harmonic spaces and its properties
Author(s)	Ogawa, Shirô; Murazawa, Tadashi
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1976, 13(3), p. 613-630
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/8124
rights	
Note	

### The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

# ON THE EXISTENCE OF A REPRODUCING KERNEL ON HARMONIC SPACES AND ITS PROPERTIES

#### SHIRO OGAWA AND TADASHI MURAZAWA

(Received September 10, 1975)

**Introduction.** Let B be a finite plane domain with the smooth boundary and  $\Lambda^2(B)$  the class of all solutions  $\varphi$  of the differential equation  $\Delta \varphi - p\varphi = 0$  such that

$$D[\varphi] = \iint_{B} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \right)^{2} + \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} \right)^{2} + p \varphi^{2} \right] dx dy < + \infty ,$$

where p=p(x, y) is a positive analytic function of real variables x and y in B. S. Bergman [6] proved the existence of a function K which has the characteristic reproducing property of a kernel function, with respect to the Dirichlet integral

$$D[\varphi,\psi] = \iint_{B} \left[ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} + p\varphi\psi \right] dxdy.$$

From the point of view of the axiomatic harmonic function theory, B is a space with the pre-sheaf:  $U \rightarrow \Lambda^2(U)$ , where U is any open subset of B.

The aim of this paper is to show that there exists a reproducing kernel of a space formed by harmonic functions on harmonic spaces in the sense of H. Bauer, to study some properties of the kernel function and to obtain the Cauchy-type representation of harmonic functions by an integral kernel obtained from the reproducing kernel. The results are immediately applicable to the classical harmonic functions on  $R^n$  and the family of all solutions of the heat equation on  $R^{n+1}$ , and moreover to that of all solutions of more general differential equations on Riemannian manifolds which satisfies Bauer's axioms.

In the paragraph 1, we construct a Hilbert space  $R^2(U)$ , formed by harmonic functions, with a certain scalar product, and in the paragraph 2, by applying the existence theorem of a kernel function, we discuss that there exists a reproducing kernel of  $R^2(U)$ . In the paragraph 3, we show the monotonicity of the kernel function with respect to the domain of its definition on harmonic spaces, which is an important property of a class of kernel functions. In the last paragraph, using an integral kernel obtained by the reproducing kernel we study an integral representation of harmonic functions in Cauchytype.

### 1. The spaces $L^2(\sigma)$ and $R^2(U)$

Lex X be a locally compact Hausdorff space with a countable base and suppose that X is a harmonic space relative to a sheaf  $\mathcal H$  of real valued continuous functions which satisfies the Bauer's four axioms and the following one more axiom: The constant 1 is superharmonic.  $\mu_x^U$  is the harmonic measure with respect to a relatively compact open subset U in X and a point x of U, that is, the balayaged measure of Dirac mass at x to the complementary set of U. Let v be a positive measure, defined on a dense subset U' in U, whose support Sv is the closure of U. In fact, as X is a locally compact space with a countable base, surely there exists such a measure v. Then by the superharmonicity of the constant 1 we can define a positive measure  $\sigma$  on  $\partial U$ , the boundary of U, by  $\sigma(e) = \int_U \mu_x^U(e) dv(x)$ , where e is any Borel set on  $\partial U$ . Denote by  $L^2(\sigma)$  the family of all real valued  $\sigma$ -measurable functions f on  $\partial U$  such that  $\int_{\partial U} f^2 d\sigma$  is finite. We define the bilinear functional  $(f,g)_{\sigma}$  and the non-negative functional  $||f||_{\sigma}$  on  $L^2(\sigma)$  as follows:

$$(f,g)_{\sigma} = \int_{\partial U} f g d\sigma$$
 for any  $f,g \in L^2(\sigma)$ ,  $||f||_{\sigma} = \left(\int_{\partial U} f^2 d\sigma\right)^{1/2}$  for any  $f \in L^2(\sigma)$ .

Then  $(f,g)_{\sigma}$  satisfies the condition of scalar product and, under the condition that f is equal to g (denoted by f=g) if and only if  $||f-g||_{\sigma}=0$ ,  $||f||_{\sigma}$  satisfies the condition of a norm. It is well known that  $L^{2}(\sigma)$  has the structure of a Hilbert space relative to the scalar product  $(f,g)_{\sigma}$  and the norm  $||f||_{\sigma}$ .

The following lemmas are very useful for coming arguments.

**Lemma 1.1** (H. Bauer [4]). Suppose that f is a real valued function, defined on  $\partial U$ , which is  $\mu_x^U$ -integrable for any point x in a dense subset of U. Then f is  $\mu_x^U$ -integrable for all points x of U and the function

$$x \to \int_{\mathfrak{d} U} f d\,\mu_x^U$$

is harmonic on U.

**Lemma 1.2.** For  $f, g \in L^2(\sigma)$ , f is equal to g if and only if  $f(\theta) = g(\theta) \mu_x^U$ -a.e. for all points x of U.

Proof. By the definition, f=g signifies  $||f-g||_{\sigma}=0$ . On the other hand, we obtain following equalities:

$$||f-g||_{\sigma}^2 = \int_{\partial U} (f-g)^2 d\sigma$$

$$= \int_{U} \int_{\partial U} (f(\theta) - g(\theta))^{2} d\mu_{x}^{U}(\theta) d\nu(x)$$

$$= 0,$$

which implies that, for every point x of a dense subset U'' in U,

$$\int_{\partial U} (f(\theta) - g(\theta))^2 d\mu_x^U(\theta) = 0.$$

By Lemma 1.1, it follows that

$$\int_{\partial U} (f(\theta) - g(\theta))^2 d\mu_x^U(\theta) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in U,$$

which implies

$$f(\theta) = g(\theta)$$
  $\mu_x^U$ -a.e. for all  $x \in U$ .

The inverse is evident. This completes the proof.

Here consider the following spaces of real valued functions for a natural number p:

$$egin{align} L^{p}\!\left(\sigma
ight) &= \left\{f : \int_{\mathfrak{d}U} |f|^{p}\!d\sigma < + \infty
ight\}, \ L^{p}\!\left(\mu_{x}^{U}
ight) &= \left\{g : \int_{\mathfrak{d}U} |g|^{p}\!d\mu_{x}^{U} < + \infty
ight\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

**Lemma 1.3.** For any natural number p, there is the following relation between  $L^p(\sigma)$  and  $L^p(\mu_x^U)$ ,

$$L^p(\sigma) \subset \bigcap_{x \in U} L^p(\mu_x^U)$$
.

Proof. For any function  $f \in L^p(\sigma)$ , we have

$$\int_{\partial U} |f(\theta)|^p d\sigma(\theta) = \int_{U} \int_{\partial U} |f(\theta)|^p d\mu_x^U(\theta) d\nu(x) < +\infty ,$$

which implies that, in a dense subset U''' of U,

$$\int_{\partial U} |f(\theta)|^p d\mu_x^U(\theta) < +\infty.$$

By Lemma 1.1, we obtain, for any point x of U,

$$\int_{\partial U} |f(\theta)|^p d\mu_x^U(\theta) < +\infty.$$

Therefore we have that  $L^p(\sigma) \subset \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{U}} L^p(\mu_x^U)$ .

Let us denote by  $R^2(U)$  the family

$$\left\{H_f(x)\colon H_f(x)=\int_{\partial U}fd\,\mu_x^U \text{ for all } f\!\in\!L^p(\sigma)
ight\}.$$

Then there exists the following relation between  $L^2(\sigma)$  and  $R^2(U)$ .

**Lemma 1.4.**  $R^2(U)$  is a subspace of the space  $\mathcal{H}_U$  of all harmonic functions defined on U, and the correspondence

$$f \in L^2(\sigma) \to H_f \in R^2(U)$$

is isomorphic.

Proof. Since  $L^2(\sigma) \subset L^1(\sigma)$ , any function f of  $L^2(\sigma)$  is  $\sigma$ -integrable, which implies, by virtue of Lemma 1.3, that f is  $\mu_x^U$ -integrable for all x of U. By the resolutivity theorem [4],  $H_f(x) = \int_{\partial U} f d\mu_x^U$  is harmonic on U for all f of  $L^2(\sigma)$ . It is evident that  $R^2(U)$  is a vector space and it holds that, for any pair  $f, g \in L^2(\sigma)$  and real numbers a and b,

$$af+bg \rightarrow H_{a_f+bg} = aH_f+bH_g$$
.

Moreover Lemma 1.2 follows that, for  $f,g \in L^2(\sigma)$ , f is equal to g if and only if  $H_f(x) = \int_{\partial U} f d\mu_x^U$  is equal to  $H_g(x) = \int_{\partial U} g d\mu_x^U$  for all x of U. This fact implies that the correspondence between  $f \in L^2(\sigma)$  and  $H_f \in R^2(U)$  is one-to-one and it is evident that this mapping is onto. This completes the proof.

On  $R^2(U)$  we define the scalar product  $(H_f,\,H_g)$  and the norm  $||H_f||$  as follows;

$$(H_f, H_g) = (f, g)_\sigma \quad \text{for } H_f, H_g \in R^2(U),$$
  
 $||H_f|| = ||f||_\sigma \quad \text{for } H_f \in R^2(U).$ 

Then by Lemma 1.4 and the fact that  $L^2(\sigma)$  is a Hibert space with respect to the scalar product  $(f,g)_{\sigma}$  and the norm  $||f||_{\sigma}$ , we have immediately the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.5.**  $R^2(U)$  is a Hilbert space with respect to the scalar product  $(H_f, H_g)$  and the norm  $||H_f||$ .

# 2. Representation of a function of $R^2(U)$ by a reproducing kernel of $R^2(U)$

In this paragraph showing that there exists a non-negative reproducing kernel of  $R^2(U)$ , we are going to consider the representation of every function of  $R^2(U)$  by the reproducing kernel. In order to prove our theorem, the following theorem proved by H. Bauer [4] is very useful.

**Theorem 2.1** (H. Bauer). Suppose that U is an open subset in X,  $\mu$  a positive measure in U and F any compact subset in  $\mathring{A}_{S_{\mu}} \cap U$ , where  $\mathring{A}_{S_{\mu}}$  is the interior of the smallest absorption set containing  $S_{\mu}$ , the support of  $\mu$ . Then there exists a non-negative constant  $\alpha$  depending upon F and  $\mu$  such that, for all non-negative harmonic function u defined on U,

$$\sup u(F) \leq \alpha \int u d\mu .$$

We can obtain the following analoguous theorem concerning  $R^2(U)$  to Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let U be a relatively compact open subset in X,  $\nu$  and  $\sigma$  the positive measures mentioned in the paragraph 1 and F any compact subset in U. Then there exists a non-negative constant  $\gamma$  depending on F and  $\sigma$  such that

$$\sup |u(F)| \leq \gamma ||u|| \quad \text{for all } u \in R^2(U).$$

Proof. By the hypothesis of  $\nu$ ,  $\mathring{A}_{S\nu}$  is equal to U and thus  $\mathring{A}_{S\nu} \cap U = U$ . By Theorem 2.1 it holds that, for any compact subset F in U, there exists a non-negative constant  $\alpha$  depending on F and  $\nu$  such that, for all non-negative harmonic function h in  $R^2(U)$ ,

$$(2.1) \sup h(F) \leq \alpha \int h d\nu.$$

On the other hand, by virtue of Lemma 1.4, there exists for each function u of  $R^2(U)$  a unique function f in  $L^2(\sigma)$  such that  $u=H_f$ . Thus we have, for any point x of F,

$$(2.2) |u(x)| = |H_f(x)| = \left| \int f d\mu_x^U \right| \le \int |f| d\mu_x^U = H_{|f|}(x).$$

Noting that  $f \in L^2(\sigma)$  implies  $|f| \in L^2(\sigma)$  and applying (2.1) to  $h=H_{|f|}$ , it holds that

$$(2.3) H_{|f|}(x) \leq \sup H_{|f|}(F) \leq \alpha \int H_{|f|} d\nu.$$

Taking account of the fact that

$$\int H_{|f|} d\nu = \int |f| d\sigma \leq \left(\int d\sigma\right)^{1/2} ||f||_{\sigma}$$

and

$$||f||_{\sigma} = ||H_f|| = ||u||,$$

we have, by (2.2) and (2.3), the following results,

$$(2.4) |u(x)| \leq \gamma ||u||,$$

$$(2.5) \sup |u(F)| \leq \gamma ||u||,$$

where we denote by  $\gamma$  the constant  $\alpha \left(\int d\sigma\right)^{1/2}$ . We complete the proof.

Here let us recall into our mind something about the reproducing kernel of a Hilbert space.

Let M be an abstract set and let a system  $\mathcal F$  of complex valued functions defined on M constitute a Hilbert space by the scalar product

$$(f,g)=(f(x),g(x))_x,$$

and the norm

$$||f|| = ((f, f))^{1/2}$$
.

A complex valued function  $K_0(x, y)$  defined on  $M \times M$  is called a reproducing kernel of  $\mathcal{F}$  if it satisfies the condition: for any fixed point y of M,  $K_0(x, y) \in \mathcal{F}$  as a function of x,

$$f(y) = (f(x), K_0(x, y))_x$$

and

$$\overline{f(y)} = (K_0(x, y), f(x))_x.$$

As for the existence of reproducing kernels, we have

**Theorem 2.3** (N. Aronszajn [1], S. Bergman [6]).  $\mathcal{F}$  has a reproducing kernel if and only if there exists, for any x of M, a non-negative constant  $C_x$ , deending on x, such that

$$|f(x)| \leq C_x ||f||$$
 for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Let us go back to our argument and show that there exists a reproducing kernel of  $R^2(U)$ . Then we have the following theorems.

**Theorem 2.4.** There exist a reproducing kernel K(x, y) of  $R^2(U)$  with the relation

(a) 
$$u(y) = (u(x), K(x, y))$$
 for all  $u \in R^2(U)$ ,

and a complete orthonormal countable base  $\{u_n\}$  of  $R^2(U)$  such that

(b) 
$$K(x, y) = \sum u_n(x)u_n(y),$$

which implies the symmetricity of K(x, y), K(x, y)=K(y, x).

Proof. From Theorem 1.5, 2.2 and 2.3 immediately follow the existence of a reproducing kernel K(x, y) of  $R^2(U)$  with the relation (a). Since the basic space X is separable, there exists, in  $R^2(U)$ , a complete orthonormal countable

base  $\{u_n\}$  with the property (b), applying theorem 1 in O. Lehto [10] or Satz, III, in H. Meschkowski [11].

**Theorem 2.5.** The reproducing kernel K(x, y) of  $R^2(U)$  is non-negative.

**Proof.** For any function u of  $R^2(U)$ , there exists a unique function f of  $L^2(\sigma)$  such that

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial U} f(\theta) d\mu_x^U(\theta)$$

and we define  $\tilde{u}$  by

$$\tilde{u}(x) = \int_{\partial U} |f(\theta)| d\mu_x^U(\theta).$$

Then the function  $\tilde{u}$  belongs to  $R^2(U)$  and we have the relations

$$u(x) \leq \tilde{u}(x)$$
 for all  $x \in U$ 

and

$$||u|| = ||\tilde{u}|| = ||f||_{\sigma}$$
.

Let us put

$$u^+(x)=\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{u}+u)$$

and

$$u^{-}(x)=\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{u}-u).$$

Then  $u^+(x)$  and  $u^-(x)$  are obviously non-negative functions of  $R^2(U)$  with the properties

$$u = u^{+} - u^{-}$$
  
 $(u^{+}, u^{-}) = 0$ 

and therefore it holds that, for any u of  $R^2(U)$ ,

(2.6) 
$$(u^{-}, u) = (u^{-}, u^{+}) - (u^{-}, u^{-})$$

$$= -(u^{-}, u^{-})$$

$$= -||u^{-}||^{2} \le 0 .$$

As, for every  $y \in U$ ,  $K_y(x) = K(x, y)$  is a function of  $R^2(U)$ ,  $K_y(x)$  satisfies the above relation (2.6), that is,

$$0 \le K_y^-(y) = (K_y^-, K_y) = -||K_y^-||^2$$
,

which implies that  $K_y^-=0$  and so  $K_y=K_y^+\geq 0$ . This completes the proof.

# 3. Monotonicity of the reproducing kernel with respect to the domain of its definition on harmonic spaces

S. Bergman [6] proved the following relation related to the monotonicity of a kernel function with respect to the domain of its definition on the complex plane: Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be respectively finite domains with the smooth boundaries in the complex plane. If the domain  $B_2$  is included in  $B_1$ , then

$$K_{B_1}(z,z) \leq K_{B_2}(z,z)$$

at any point (z, z) in  $B_2 \times B_2$ , where  $K_{B_1}(z, z')$  and  $K_{B_2}(z, z')$  denote respectively reproducing kernels of  $\mathcal{L}^2(B_1)$  and  $\mathcal{L}^2(B_2)$ , where  $\mathcal{L}^2(B)$  denotes the class of all functions f(z) which are regular and single valued in B and

$$\int_{B} |f(z)|^2 dx dy < \infty.$$

In the previous paragraph, we have proved the existence of a non-negative reproducing kernel K(x, y) of  $R^2(U)$  in a harmonic space. The purpose of this paragraph is to prove the above Bergman's Theorem for our reproducing kernel of  $R^2(U)$ . To do so, it is necessary to prepare some lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let U be a relatively compact open subset of X. Denote by  $(u, v)_U$  and  $||u||_U = \sqrt{(u, u)_U}$  respectively the inner product and the norm of  $R^2(U)$  defined in the paragraph 1. Suppose that x is a point in U. Then there exists a function  $u_0$  of  $R^2(U)$  such that

$$||u_0||_U = \min\{||u||_U : u \in R^2(U), u(x) = 1\}$$
  
=  $1/\sqrt{K_U(x, x)}$ ,

where  $K_U(x, y)$  is the reproducing kernel of  $R^2(U)$ .

Proof. In order to prove this lemma, it is sufficient to apply to  $R^2(U)$  the procedure of the minimizing problem to  $\mathcal{L}^2(B)$  which S. Bergman [6] discussed. In fact, by Theorem 2.4, there exists a complete orthonormal countable base  $\{u_n\}$  with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |u_n(y)|^2 < \infty$  in U. Hence, for any u of  $R^2(U)$ , we have the representation

$$u(y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n u_n(y)$$
 in  $U$ ,

where  $a_n = (u, u_n)_U$ . Then, by following the same method as that of p. 21 in [6], we can prove that there exists the minimum function  $u_0(y)$ , belonging to  $R^2(U)$  with  $u_0(x) = 1$ , such that the norm  $||u||_U$  is minimum, that is,

$$||u_0||_U = \min\{||u||_U : u \in R^2(U), u(x) = 1\}$$
,

and that

$$u_0(y) = rac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(y) u_n(x)}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |u_n(x)|^2}$$
 in  $U$ .

On the other hand, as

$$K_U(y, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(y) u_n(x) ,$$

 $u_0$  can be denoted by

$$u_0(y) = \frac{K_U(y, x)}{K_U(x, x)} \quad \text{in } U$$

and it holds that

$$||u_0||_U^2 = \left(\frac{K_U(y,x)}{K_U(x,x)}, \frac{K_U(y,x)}{K_U(x,x)}\right)_U$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{K_U(x,x)}$ .

Therefore we obtain the minimum value  $||u_0||_U = 1/\sqrt{K_U(x,x)}$ .

From now on in this paragraph we suppose that  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are relatively compact open subsets in X such that  $U_1$  includes  $U_2$  and  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are the positive massures defined by

$$\sigma_i(e) = \int_{U_i} \mu_x^{U_i}(e) d\nu_i(x) \qquad (i = 1, 2)$$

where  $\nu_i(i=1,2)$  is a positive measure defined on a dense subset  $U_i'$  of  $U_i$ , whose support is the closure of  $U_i$ , and  $\nu_2$  is the restriction of  $\nu_1$  on  $U_2$ .

Let us denote by  $H_f^U$  the general solution of the Dirichlet problem with respect to an open subset U of X and a resolutive function f on  $\partial U$ . Then we have the following:

**Lemma 3.2.** If g and h are the following boundary functions on  $\partial U_2$  concerning every function f of  $L^2(\sigma_1)$ :

$$g( heta) = egin{cases} (H_f^{U_1}( heta))^2 & & on \ \partial U_2 \cap U_1 \ (f( heta))^2 & & on \ \partial U_2 \cap \partial U_1 \end{cases}$$
  $h( heta) = egin{cases} H_{f^2}^{U_1}( heta) & & on \ \partial U_2 \cap U_1 \ (f( heta))^2 & & on \ \partial U_2 \cap \partial U_1 \end{cases}$  ,

then we obtain that

$$H_{g}^{U_{2}}(y) \leq H_{h}^{U_{2}}(y) = H_{f_{2}}^{U_{1}}(y)$$
 in  $U_{2}$ .

Proof. Since f belongs to  $L^2(\sigma_1)$  and necessarily to  $L^1(\sigma_1)$ , by applying

Lemma 1.3, the following function

$$u(\theta) = \int_{\partial U_1} f(\eta) d\mu_{\theta}^{U_1}(\eta)$$

is well defined in  $U_1$  and we can write by

$$u(\theta) = H_f^{U_1}(\theta)$$
 in  $U_1$ .

Then we have, by Schwarz's inequality and the superharmonicity of constants, that

(3.1) 
$$(H_f^{U_1}(\theta))^2 \leq \int_{\partial U_1} (f(\eta))^2 d\mu_{\theta}^{U_1}(\eta) \quad \text{in } U_1,$$

where, by virtue of Lemma 1.3, the function of the right hand is well defined and harmonic in  $U_1$  and the following representation is possible:

(3.2) 
$$H_{f2}^{U_1}(\theta) = \int_{\partial U_1} (f(\eta))^2 d\mu_{\theta}^{U_1}(\eta) \quad \text{in } U_1.$$

It is well known that, using Corollary 4.2.5 of Bauer's book [4],

(3.3) 
$$H_{f2}^{U_1}(y) = \int_{\partial U_2} h(\theta) d\mu_y^{U_2}(\theta) = H_h^{U_2}(y) \quad \text{in } U_2,$$

which implies that h is  $\mu_y^U$ -integrable for all y of  $U_z$ . On the other hand, by (3.1) and (3.2), it holds that, in  $U_z$ 

(3.4) 
$$\int_{\partial U_2} g(\theta) d\mu_y^{U_2}(\theta) \leq \int_{\partial U_2} h(\theta) d\mu_y^{U_2}(\theta) = H_h^{U_2}(y) .$$

This means the fact that g is also  $\mu_y^U$ -integrable for all y of  $U_2$  and so we can denote as follows:

(3.5) 
$$H_{g^2}^{U_2}(y) = \int_{\partial U_2} g(\theta) d\mu_y^{U_2}(\theta) \quad \text{in } U_2.$$

It follows from (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$H_{g^2}^{U_2}(y) \leq H_h^{U_2}(y) = H_{f^2}^{U_1}(y)$$
 in  $U_2$ ,

which completes the proof.

**Lemma 3.3.** For any u of  $R^2(U_1)$ , the restriction of u on  $U_2$ , denoted by  $u \mid U_2$ , belongs to  $R^2(U_2)$ .

Proof. In the first place, consider the case that  $U_1 \supset \bar{U}_2 \supset U_2$ . Since the restriction of u on  $\partial U_2$ ,  $u \mid \partial U_2$ , is continuous on  $\partial U_2$  and hence belongs to  $L^2(\sigma_2)$ , we have the following representation:

$$u(y) = \int_{\partial U_2} u \, |\, \partial U_2(\theta) d\mu_y^U(\theta) \qquad \text{in } U_2 \, .$$

Thus we obtain that the restriction of u on  $U_2$ ,  $u \mid U_2$ , belongs to  $R^2(U_2)$ . In the case that  $U_1 \supset U_2$  and  $\partial U_1 \cap \partial U_2$  is not null, we consider the boundary function f on  $\partial U_2$ ,

$$ilde{f}( heta) = egin{cases} H_f^{U_1}( heta) & ext{on } \partial U_2 \cap U_1 \ f( heta) & ext{on } \partial U_2 \cap \partial U_1 \end{cases},$$

where f is the function of  $L^2(\sigma_1)$  in Lemma 1.4 such that

$$u(y) = \int_{\partial U_1} f(\theta) d\mu_y^{U_1}(\theta) .$$

Then it is well known that  $\tilde{f}$  is a resolutive function on  $\partial U_z$  and

$$u(y) = \int_{\partial U_2} \tilde{f}(\theta) d\mu_y^{U_2}(\theta)$$
 in  $U_2$ .

We are going to prove that  $\tilde{f}(\theta)$  is a function of  $L^2(\sigma_2)$ . In fact, it is evident that

$$(\tilde{f}(\theta))^2 = g(\theta)$$
 on  $\partial U_2$ ,

where  $g(\theta)$  is the function in Lemma 3.2 and then by Lemma 3.2 it holds that

$$H_{7^2}^{U_2}(y) \leq H_{f^2}^{U_1}(y)$$
 in  $U_2$ 

and integrating by the measure  $\nu_2$  the above inequality, we have

$$\int_{\partial U_2} (\tilde{f}(\theta))^2 d\sigma_2(\theta) \leq \int_{U_2} H_{f^2}^{U_1}(y) d\nu_2(y) \leq \int_{\partial U_1} (f(\theta))^2 d\sigma_1(\theta),$$

which implies  $\tilde{f}$  is a function of  $L^2(\sigma_2)$ .

We obtain immediately the following corollary of this lemma.

Corollary. For a fixed point x in U2, it holds that

$$\frac{K_{U_1}(y,x) \mid U_2}{K_{U_1}(x,x)} \in \{u \in R^2(U_2) : u(x) = 1\}$$

and

$$||u_0||_{U_2}^2 \le \left(\frac{K_{U_1}(y,x)|U_2}{K_{U_1}(x,x)}, \frac{K_{U_1}(y,x)|U_2}{K_{U_1}(x,x)}\right)_{U_2},$$

where  $u_0$  is the minimum function in Lemma 3.1 to  $R^2(U_2)$ .

We now prove the following lemma which plays the essentially important role in studing our purpose of this paragraph.

**Lemma 3.4.** It holds that, for every u of  $R^2(U_1)$ ,

$$||u||U_2||_{U_2} \leq ||u||_{U_1}$$
.

Proof. For every u of  $R^2(U_1)$ , there exists a unique function f of  $L^2(\sigma_1)$  such that

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial U_1} f(\eta) d\mu_x^U(\eta)$$
 in  $U_1$ .

Denoting by  $\tilde{f}(\theta)$  the same function used in the proof of Lemma 3.3, it holds that, by Lemma 3.3,  $\tilde{f}$  belongs to  $L^2(\sigma_2)$  and  $u \mid U_2$  does to  $R^2(U_2)$  and

$$u(y) = \int_{\partial U_2} \tilde{f}(\theta) d\mu_y^{U_2}(\theta)$$
 in  $U_2$ .

Then by applying Lemma 3.2, we have the following:

$$egin{aligned} ||u| \ U_{2}||_{U_{2}}^{2} &= \int_{\partial U_{2}} (\widetilde{f}( heta))^{2} d\sigma_{2}( heta) \ &= \int_{U_{2}} \int_{\partial U_{2}} g( heta) d\mu_{y^{2}}^{U_{2}}( heta) d\nu_{2}(y) \ &= \int_{U_{2}} H_{\mathscr{E}^{2}}^{U_{2}}(y) d\nu_{2}(y) \ &\leq \int_{U_{2}} H_{f^{2}}^{U_{1}}(y) d\nu_{2}(y) \ &\leq \int_{U_{1}} H_{f^{2}}^{U_{1}}(y) d\nu_{1}(y) \ &= \int_{\partial U_{1}} (f(\eta))^{2} d\sigma_{1}(\eta) \ &= ||u||_{U_{1}}^{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $g(\theta)$  means the same function as that of Lemma 3.2. This completes the proof.

We have immediately the following corollary of this Lemma 3.4.

Corollary. We obtain that

$$\frac{||K_{U_1}(y,x)||U_2||_{U_2}^2}{(K_{U_1}(x,x))^2} \leq \frac{||K_{U_1}(y,x)||_{U_1}^2}{(K_{U_1}(x,x))^2},$$

where x is a fixed point in  $U_{2}$ .

Now we are going to prove our main theorem in this paragraph.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  be relatively compact open subsets such that  $U_1$  includes  $U_2$ . Then the following relation between the reproducing kernels  $K_{U_1}(y, x)$  and  $K_{U_2}(y, x)$  is held in  $U_2 \times U_2$ :

$$K_{U_1}(x, x) \leq K_{U_2}(x, x)$$
.

Proof. By Corollary of Lemma 3.3, for a fixed point x of  $U_2$ , we obtain

that

$$\frac{K_{U_1}(y,x)|U_2}{K_{U_1}(x,x)} \in \{u \in R^2(U_2): u(x) = 1\}.$$

We have, by the minimum property and Corollary of Lemma 3.4, that

$$\begin{split} ||u_0||_{U_2}^2 &\leq \frac{||K_{U_1}(y,x)| |U_2||_{U_2}^2}{(K_{U_1}(x,x))^2} \\ &\leq \frac{||K_{U_1}(y,x)||_{U_1}^2}{(K_{U_1}(x,x))^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{K_{U_1}(x,x)} \, . \end{split}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.1, we obtain the minimum value

$$||u_0||_{U_2}^2 = \frac{1}{K_{U_2}(x,x)}.$$

Hence it holds that, in  $U_2 \times U_2$ ,

$$K_{U_1}(x,x) \leq K_{U_2}(x,x) .$$

This completes the proof of this theorem.

### 4. Integral representation of harmonic functions in Cauchy-type

In this paragraph it is very useful to recall into our mind Lemma 1.4: The correspondence

$$f \in L^2(\sigma) \to H_f \in R^2(U)$$

is isomorphic. For every y of U, the reproducing kernel K(x, y) of  $R^2(U)$  belonging to  $R^2(U)$  as a function of x, there exists uniquely the function  $k(\theta, y)$  of  $L^2(\sigma)$  such that

$$K(x,y) = \int_{\partial U} k(\theta,y) d\mu_x^U(\theta).$$

Then we have the following Cauchy-type integral representation, for every function u of  $R^2(U)$ , with respect to the integral kernel  $k(\theta, y)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Let U be a relatively compact open subset of X and  $\sigma$  the positive measure mentioned in the paragraph 1. Then for any function u of  $R^2(U)$ , there exists a unique function f of  $L^2(\sigma)$  and u can be represented in the following manner — so called, in the Cauchy-type integral representation:

$$u(y) = \int_{\partial U} k(\theta, y) f(\theta) d\sigma(\theta)$$
.

Conversely, for any function f of  $L^2(\sigma)$ , the function of y

$$\int_{\partial U} k(\theta, y) f(\theta) d\sigma(\theta)$$

belongs to  $R^2(U)$ .

Proof. For any function u of  $R^2(U)$ , by Lemma 1.4, there exists uniquely the function of  $L^2(\sigma)$  such that

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial U} f(\theta) d\mu_x^U(\theta)$$
 in  $U$ .

Taking account of the relation between the inner product of  $L^2(\theta)$  and that of  $R^2(U)$  and the isomorphism between  $L^2(\theta)$  and  $R^2(U)$ , we have immediately that

$$u(y) = (K(x, y), u(x))$$

$$= (k(\theta, y), f(\theta))_{\sigma}$$

$$= \int_{\partial U} k(\theta, y) f(\theta) d\sigma(\theta).$$

Conversely, for any function f of  $L^2(\sigma)$ , consider the function of y

$$\int_{\partial U} k(\theta, y) f(\theta) d\sigma(\theta)$$

and denote this by u(y). It is sure that this function u(y) is well defined, since  $k(\theta, y)$  and  $f(\theta)$  belong to  $L^2(\sigma)$ . On the other hand, we consider the following function  $u_0(y)$  of  $R^2(U)$  associated with this given function f of  $L^2(\sigma)$ ,

$$u_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(y) = \int_{\partial U} f(\theta) d\mu_y^U(\theta) .$$

We are going to prove that u(y) is equal to  $u_0(y)$ . By Lemma 1.4 and the reproducing property of K(x, y) in the space  $R^2(U)$ , we have the followings:

$$u(y) = \int_{\partial U} k(\theta, y) f(\theta) d\sigma(\theta)$$

$$= (k(\theta, y), f(\theta))_{\sigma}$$

$$= (K(x, y), u_0(x))$$

$$= u_0(y).$$

We now define the spaces

$$\begin{split} L(U) &= \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{U}} L^1(\mu_x^U) \\ R(U) &= \left\{ H_f \colon H_f(x) = \int_{\partial U} f(\theta) d\, \mu_x^U(\theta) \text{ for all } f \in L(U) \text{ and for all } x \in U \right\}. \end{split}$$

By the Brelot's resolutivity theorem, L(U) is constructed by all resolutive func-

tions relative to Dirichlet problem for U and R(U) is the set of all general solutions to all resolutive functions. Lemma 1.3 follows that  $L(U) \supset L^2(\sigma)$  and so  $R(U) \supset R^2(U)$ . We are going to discuss the Cauchy-type integral representation of every function u of R(U) concerning a non-negative integral kernel  $\tilde{k}(\theta, y)$ . To do so we must prepare some lemmas.

**Lemma 4.2.** For any Borel subset e of  $\partial U$  and any point x of U, we have that

$$\mu_x^U(e) = \int_e k(\theta, x) d\sigma(\theta)$$
,

where  $k(\theta, x)$  is the same function that appeared in Theorem 4.1.

Proof. In the procedure of the proof of Theorem 4.1, we have that

$$\int_{\partial U} \! f(\theta) d\mu_x^U\!(\theta) = \! \int_{\partial U} \! f(\theta) k(\theta,x) d\sigma(\theta) \qquad \text{for any } \! f \! \in \! L^{\!\scriptscriptstyle 2}\!(\sigma) \; .$$

And hence it is evident that the above relation holds for all continuous functions f on  $\partial U$ . This fact implies immediately the result of this lemma.

Furthermore we can improve slightly Lemma 4.2 as follows:

**Lemma 4.3.** For any Borel subset e of  $\partial U$  and any point x of U, there exists a non-negative function  $\tilde{k}(\theta, x)$  such that

$$\mu_x^U(e) = \int_e \tilde{k}(\theta, x) d\sigma(\theta)$$

and

$$k(\theta, x) = \tilde{k}(\theta, x)$$
 in  $L^2(\sigma)$ .

Proof. If we note that the measures  $\mu_x^U$  and  $\sigma$  are positive measures, from Lemma 4.2 immediately it follows that for any x of U

$$k(\theta, x) \ge 0$$
  $\sigma$ -a.e. on  $\partial U$ .

We define the non-negative function  $\tilde{k}(\theta, x)$  by

$$\tilde{k}(\theta,x) = egin{cases} k(\theta,x) & & ext{on } \partial U - E_x \ 0 & & ext{on } E_x \end{cases}$$
 ,

where we put for each x of U

$$E_x = \{\theta \in \partial U : k(\theta, x) < 0\}$$
.

Then we have immediately

$$\tilde{k}(\theta, x) \in L^2(\sigma)$$
 for all  $x \in U$ ,

$$\mu_x^U(e) = \int_e \tilde{k}(\theta, x) d\sigma(\theta)$$

and

$$egin{aligned} K(x,y) &= \int_{\partial U} k( heta,y) d\mu_x^U( heta) \ &= \int_{\partial U} k( heta,y) ilde{k}( heta,x) d\sigma( heta) \ &= \int_{\partial U} ilde{k}( heta,x) k( heta,y) d\sigma( heta) \ &= \int_{\partial U} ilde{k}( heta,x) d\mu_y^U \ &= K(y,x) \,, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that, by Lemma 1.4,

$$k(\theta, x) = \tilde{k}(\theta, x)$$
 in  $L^2(\sigma)$ .

This lemma means that the measure  $\mu_x^U$  has the density function  $\tilde{k}(\theta, x)$  with respect to the measure  $\sigma$ .

Thus we obtain the following extension of Theorem 4.1.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let U be a relatively compact open subset of X and  $\sigma$  the positive measure mentioned in the paragraph 1. Then any function u of R(U) is represented in the Cauchy-type integral representation with respect to the integral kernel  $\tilde{k}(\theta, x)$ , a function f of L(U) and the measure  $\sigma$ , that is,

$$u(y) = \int_{\partial U} \tilde{k}(\theta, y) f(\theta) d\sigma(\theta)$$
.

Conversely, for each function f of L(U), the function of y in U,

$$\int_{\partial U} \tilde{k}(\theta, y) f(\theta) d\sigma(\theta)$$

belongs to R(U).

Proof. For any function u of R(U), there exists, by the definition, a function f of L(U) such that

$$u(y) = \int_{\mathfrak{d}U} f(\theta) d\mu_y^U(\theta) .$$

By virtue of Lemma 4.3, we have the following expression,

$$u(y) = \int_{\partial U} \tilde{k}(\theta, y) f(\theta) d\sigma(\theta)$$
.

Conversely, for any function f of L(U), by the resolutivity theorem, we can define the following function u of R(U)

$$u(y) = \int_{\mathfrak{d}U} f(\theta) d\mu_y^U(\theta) .$$

Using again Lemma 4.3, this function u(y) is equal to the function of y in U,

$$\int_{\partial U} \tilde{k}(\theta, y) f(\theta) d\sigma(\theta) .$$

This completes the proof of this theorem.

In the last place, let us note that we obtain as a special case of Theorem 4.4 the following result in the investigation by H.S. Bear and A.M. Gleason [5].

**Theorem 4.5.** Let U be a relatively compact open subset in X,  $\Gamma$  the topological boundary of U and H(U) the set of all harmonic functions on U such that there exist their continuous extensions over the closure of U, denoted by  $\overline{U}$ . Then, for any u of H(U) there exist a Borel probability measure  $\lambda$  on  $\Gamma$  and a nonnegative measurable function  $q(\theta, y)$  on  $\Gamma \times U$  such that in U

$$u(y) = \int_{\Gamma} q(\theta, y) f(\theta) d\lambda(\theta),$$

where f denotes the restriction of the continuous extension of u over  $\bar{U}$  on the boundary.

KOBE UNIVERSITY
KYOTO PREFECTURAL UNIVERSITY

#### References

- [1] N. Aronszajn: Theory of reproducing kernel, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 68 (1950), 337-404.
- [2] N. Aronszajn and K.H. Smith: Functional spaces and functional completion, Ann. Inst. Fourier 6 (1955-56), 125-185.
- [4] H. Bauer: Harmonische Räume und ihre Potentialtheorie, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1966.
- [5] H.S. Bear and A.M. Gleason: A global integral representation for abstract harmonic function, J. Math. and Mech. 16 (1967), 639-654.
- [6] S. Bergman: The kernel function and conformal mapping, Amer. Math. Soc.,
- [7] N. Bourbaki: Integration, Hermann, Paris, 1965.
- [8] M. Brelot: Lectures on potential theory, Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, Bombay, 1960.
- [9] P.R. Halmos: Measure theory, Van Nostrand, New York, 1950.

- [10] O. Lehto: On Hilbert spaces with a kernel function, Ann. Acad. Fenn. Ser A 1, 74 (1950).
- [11] H. Meschkowski: Hilbertsche Räume mit Kernfunktion, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1962.
- [12] K. Yoshida: Functional analysis, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1967.