

Title	Stiffness of polysilylenes depending remarkably on a subtle difference in chiral side chain structure: Poly{n-hexyl-[(S)-2- methylbutyl]silylene)} and poly{n-hexyl-[(S)-3- methylpentyl]silylene}				
Author(s)	Terao, Ken; Terao, Yoshimi; Teramoto, Akio et al.				
Citation	Macromolecules. 2001, 34(8), p. 2682-2685				
Version Type	АМ				
URL	https://hdl.handle.net/11094/81834				
rights	This document is the Accepted Manuscript version of a Published Work that appeared in final form in Macromolecules, © American Chemical Society after peer review and technical editing by the publisher. To access the final edited and published work see https://doi.org/10.1021/ma002030s.				
Note					

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

Stiffness of Polysilylenes Depending Remarkably on a Subtle Difference in Chiral sideChainStructure:Poly{n-hexyl-[(S)-2-methylbutyl]silylene)}andPoly{n-hexyl-[(S)-3-methylpentyl] silylene}

Ken Terao, Yoshimi Terao, Akio Teramoto* and Naotake Nakamura

Research Organization of Science and Engineering, Ritsumeikan University and CREST of Japan Science and Technology 1-1-1 Nojihigashi, Kusatsu, Siga 525-8577, Japan

Ikuyo Terakawa and Takahiro Sato

Department of Macromolecular Science, Osaka University 1-1 Machikaneyama-cho, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

Michiya Fujiki

NTT Basic Research Laboratories 3-1 Wakamiya Morinosato, Atsugi, Kanagawa 243-0198, Japan

Heading: Stiffness of Poly(alkyl silylene)s

ABSTRACT: Light scattering and vicosity measurements were made on fractionated samples of poly{n-hexyl-{(S)-2-methylbutyl] silylene}} (PH2MBS,1) and poly{n-hexyl-[(S)-3-methylpentyl] silylene} (PH3MPS, 2) in isooctane to determine their stiffness, which are expressed in terms of the persistence length q of Kraty and Porod. The q of polymer 1 is as large as 85 nm and depends only slightly on temperature, indicating that its conformation is almost rodlike, whereas the q of polymer 2 is 6.2 nm, its global conformation being close to a random coil. It is concluded that the global conformation of polysilylene is determined by its side chain; polysilylene with a β -branch is much stiff compared those without it.

Introduction

Polysilylenes are unique for their main chain consisting of silicon-silicon single bonds, which, unlike carbon carbon single bonds, are easily deformed with concomitant changes in electronic structure. Indeed, it has been found that their UV spectra, optical activities, etc. change sensitively with the side chain structure and solvent conditions, which in turn determine the backbone conformation.¹⁻³ Recently Fujiki⁴⁻¹³ synthesized a variety of chiral polysilylenes exhibiting interesting chiro-optical properties and showed⁷ that their properties are closely correlated with their global conformations using the Mark-Houwink-Sakurada viscosity exponent, which changes from 0.51 to 1.35 depending on solvent condition and side chain structure. However it is known that the Mark-Houwink-Sakurada equation is not correct over a large molecular weight range; in other words, the exponent changes with molecular weight. $15 \cdot 17$ Therefore this exponent is not necessarily the most appropriate measure for the In this connection the global conformation of a stiff polymer is well stiffness. represented by the wormlike chain of Kratky and Porod¹⁸ with the persistence length qas a stiffness parameter. The persistence length is thus a better measure for stiffness, which may be correlated more directly with molecular models which may be used for

theoretical treatments of electro-optical properties. However there is no systematic, accurate determination of persistence length on chiral polysilanes. In the present we have chosen two chiral polysilylenes presented in Figure study. 1. poly{n-hexyl-[(S)-2-methylbutyl] silvlene} (PH2MBS.1) and poly{n-hexyl-[(S)-3-methylpentyl] silylene} (PH3MPS, 2). This is because they exhibit largely different electro-optical and global properties, namely, while the former shows almost no optical activity change with temperature, the latter shows a remarkable temperature dependence of optical activity; the global conformation of the former is suggested to be much closer to rodlike than the latter.⁷ which appears to be almost random coil as other polysilylene so far investigated.¹⁹⁻²¹ For a quantitative discussion, we need their stiffness, which are obtained by analyzing their global properties such as light scattering radii, intrinsic viscosities, etc. by the standard procedure.¹⁵⁻¹⁷

Experimental Section

For this purpose, a number of well fractionated samples covering wide molecular weight ranges were prepared for PH2MBS by repeated fractional precipitation and for PH3MPS by gel permeation chromatography. Their molecular weights were determined by light scattering and/or sedimentation equilibrium according to the established procedures and their intrinsic viscosities were determined. The partial specific volume and specific refractive index increment were measured for both polymers under necessary solvent conditions, with the results presented in Table 1. All the manipulations were done avoiding degradation by room light. The experimental details will be presented in a forthcoming publication²² along with the temperature dependence of the global properties and chiro-optical properties.

Results and Discussion

Figure 2 shows double-logarithmic plots of intrinsic viscosity [η] vs $N_{\rm w}$ for PH2MBS at 20°C and PH3MPS in isooctane at 25°C; In order to compare the two polysilylenes on the same basis, the molecular weight $M_{\rm w}$ is converted to the number N wof Si atoms per molecule by $N_w=M_w/M_0$, where M_0 is the molar mass per Si atom and $[\eta]$ is referred to zero shear rate. It is seen that the value of $[\eta]$ at each fixed $N_{\rm w}$ is larger for PH2MBS than for PH3MPS and the slope of the plot is about 1.3 for PH2MBS, whereas that for PH3MPS is about 0.8. These slope values are compared favorably with the preliminary values reported by Fujiki,⁷ confirming his conclusion that PH2MBS is much stiffer than PH3MPS. Figure 3 shows the light scattering radii $\langle S^2 \rangle_{\rm Z}^{1/2}$ of these polysilylenes in the same solvent conditions; the slopes of the plots are 0.83 and 0.56, respectively for PH2MBS and PH3MPS. Thus these data are consistent with the viscosity data. However, when examined more precisely, the data points in Figure 2 do no follow exactly a straight line over the entire $N_{\rm w}$ range examined; the slope for PH2MBS is as large as 1.5 at the lowest $N_{\rm w}$ but tends to decrease below 1.3 at large $N_{\rm w}$, but that for PH3MPS changes from 0.83 to 0.65. It is noted however that even the slope value for PH3MPS are nearly the same or larger than those reported for achiral polysilylenes with linear alkyl side chains.¹⁹⁻²¹ Thus there is no doubt that the chiral β-branched pendant groups make the polysilylene chains stiffer.

Table 2 summarizes the data for PH2MBS.²³ In this connection Miller and Michl² noted that the reported molecular weights mostly obtained by GPC based on polystyrene standard would be largely in error and must be checked by absolute molecular weight determination supplemented with viscosity. The situation is the more or less the same and their notion is justified by the present data, which reveal the

chain dimension of polysilylene depends remarkably on polymer, so does the GPC calibration curve as well. In addition, Table 2 shows that the four lower molecular weight fractions were studied by both light scattering and sedimentation equilibrium, giving essentially the same molecular weights within experimental errors. This guarantees the validity of our procedure, providing an absolute molecular weight standard. It is seen that A_2 is rather large, indicating that isooctane is a good solvent for this polymer, which permits this rodlike polymer dissolving in it. It was found that the molecular weight distribution is reasonably narrow from the M_z/M_w values from sedimentation equilibrium ranging between 1.13 and 1.33. For samples 2 and 3, the light scattering data were corrected for optical anisotropy according to the standard procedure,¹⁷ yielding the optical anisotropy factor δ of 0.0068 and 0.0121. No such correction was necessary for PH3MPS as will be shown in the forthcoming article.

In order to discuss the stiffness in a quantitative terms, we use the wormlike cylinder model, which is characterized by the contour length L, diameter d, and persistence length q; where L is related to the molecular weight M by $L = M/M_L$, with $M_{\rm L}$ being the mass per unit length. For [η] all these parameters are important, but d is of negligible importance for $\langle S^2 \rangle_Z$. In principle, these parameters are determined by fitting data for $[\eta]$ and $<S^{2}>$ as functions of M to the respective theoretical equations. However, in practice, this is impossible unless data covering a wide Nrange down to oligomers are available. For poly(dihexyl silylene) is proposed two models, all trans-planar and 7₃ helix model.^{2,3,24,25} Since the all trans-planar model is stabilized only in the solid state, the 73 helix is more probable in solution. Therefore we estimate $M_{\rm L}$ assuming the 7₃ helix; $M_{\rm L}$ is 935 nm⁻¹ for PH2MBS and 1005 nm⁻¹ for PH3MPS. It has been shown that this is a rather good approximation to a variety of stiff polymers.¹⁷ The solid curves in Figure 2 represent the theoretical values of $\lfloor \eta \rfloor$, which fit best the data, where a small excluded-volume correction¹⁷ is applied to the PH3MBS curve; the dashed curve is for the unperturbed chain with q of 6.2 nm. The persistence length q is 85 and 6.2 nm for PH2MBS and PH3MPS, respectively, with dbeing about 2.0 nm for both polymers. It is surprising that the q of these polymers differ by a factor of 14 in spite of the location of the methyl group on the side chain differing only one carbon atom, i.e., β -carbon and γ -carbon. This is because the methyl groups on the β -carbon atom interact more strongly with the neighboring side chains and the main chain, thus giving rise to the internal rotation about the Si-Si bonds to a helical conformation of a given screw sense. On the other hand, in PH3MPS, such interactions are weaker than in PH2BPS because the methyl groups are removed by one carbon atom more from the main chain and easy to avoid such interactions. In passing it is noted that PH2MBS is the stiffest single-chain polymer except for polypeptides in the α -helical conformation.¹⁷ In Figure 3, solid curves represent the theoretical values for $<S^{2}$ calculated using the same wormlike chain For both polymers, the data points appear closely to the theoretical parameters. curves; the polydispersity of the samples used has no serious effect on $\langle S \rangle_{z}$.

Similar steric effects are also found in chiral poly(alkyl isocyanate)s; poly[(R)-2,6-dimethylheptyl isocyanate] is almost entirely left-handed and nearly rodlike (q=76 nm),²⁶ but stereo-specifically deuterated poly(hexyl isocyanate)s, at the α - and β -carbons, are partially right-handed and left-handed, respectively,²⁶⁻³⁰ and moderately stiff (q=40 nm). Thus in both stiffness and optical activity, polyisocyanates²⁶⁻³⁰ are similar to polysilylenes, but the latter are unique in that their electronic structures are correlated closely with the stiffness. In fact, PH3MPS reacts more sensitively toward external stimuli in that both q and Kuhn's dissymmetry ratio g_{abs} show remarkable temperature changes.^{13,22} It is shown that the g_{abs} value of PH3MPS depends remarkably on molecular weight, which will be analyzed to provide basic molecular parameters.²² Statistical mechanical theories based on the linear Ising model,^{26,26,31-33} when modified to individual polymers, may be used for this purpose. Results from such analyses are expected to help develop a theory on polysilylenes based on a more general model taking into account their structural feature, which explains both aspects , thus exploiting the atomic-molecular level mechanism behind.

Acknowledgements. This study was in part supported by the CREST of Japan Science and Technology. A.T. acknowledges with thanks partial financial support to him from the Yamashita Construction Design Fund at Ritsumeikan University. He thanks Professor Mark M. Green of Polytechnic University, who drew his attention to polysilylenes.

References and Notes

- (1) West, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 300, 327.
- (2) Miller, R. D.; Michl, J. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 1359.
- (3) Michl, J.; West, R. "Electronic Structure and Spectroscopy of Polusilylens", in "Silicon-based polymers"(J. Chojnowski, R. G. Jones, W. Ando, Eds.) Kluwer, NL (in press). Summaries of earlier work are available also in the following review articles: Sakurai, H. *J. Inorganomet. Chem.* **1980**, *200*, 261; West, R. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1982**, *54*, 1041; Raabe, G.; Michl, J. *Chem. Rev.* **1985**, 419.
- (4) Fujiki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 6017.
- (5) Fujiki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 11976.
- (6) Fujiki, M. Appl. Phys. Lett. **1994**, 65, 3251.
- (7) Fujiki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 7424.
- (8) Ebihara, K.; Koshihara, S.; Yoshimoto, M.; Maeda, T.; Ohnishi, T.; Koinuma, H.; Fujiki, M. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **1997**, *36*, L1211.
- (9) Fujiki, M.; Toyoda, S.; Yuan, C.-H.; Takigawa, H. *Chirality* **1998**, *10*, 667.
- (10) Ichikawa, T.; Yamada, Y.; Kumagai, J.; Fujiki, M. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1999**, *306*, 275.
- (11) Koe, J.; Fujiki, M.; Motonaga, M.; Nakashima, H. Chem. Commun. 2000, 389.
- (12) Koe, J.; Fujiki, M.; Nakashima, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 9734.
- (13) Fujiki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 1222.
- (14) Fujiki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2000**, 122, 3336.
- (15) (a) Yamakawa, H.; Fujii, M. *Macromolecules* 1974, 7, 128. (b) Yamakawa, H.;
 Yoshizaki, T. *Macromolecules* 1980, 13, 633. (c) Yoshizaki, T.; Nitta, I.; Yamakawa, H. *Macromolecules* 1988, 21, 165. (d) Yamakawa, H. Helical Wormlike Chains in Polymer Solutions; Springer-Verlag: Berlin & Heidelberg, 1997

(16) (a) Murakami, H.; Norisuye, T.; Fujita, H. *Macromolecules* **1980**, *13*, 345. (b) Kuwata, M.; Murakami, H.; Norisuye, T.; Fujita, H. *Macromolecules* **1984**, *17*, 2731. (c) Kasabo, K.; Kanematsu, T.; Nakagawa, T.; Sato, T.; Teramoto, A. *Macromolecules* **2000**, *33*, 2748.

- (17) Norisuye, T. Prog. Polym. Sci. 1993, 18, 543.
- (18) Kratky, O.; Porod, G. Recl. Trav. Chim. 1949, 68, 1106.
- (19) Cotts, P. M., Miller, R. D.; Trefonas, III, P. T.; West, R.; Ficks, G. N. Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 1985, 30, 431.

- (20) Shukla, P.; Cotts, P. M.; Miller, R. D.; Russell, T. M.; Smith, B. A.; Wallraff, G. M.; Baier, M.; Thiyagarajan, P. *Macromolecules* **1991**, *24*, 5604.
- (21) Cotts, P. M.; Ferline, S.; Dagli, G.; Pearson, D. S. *Macromolecules* 1991, 24, 6730.
- (22) Terao, K.; Terao, Yoshimi-Iwamoto, Teramoto, A.; Nakamura, N.; Sato, T.; Fujiki, M. To be submitted to Macromolecules (2000).
- (23) PH3MPS was studied in a wide temperature range and detailed account of the results and analysis will be presented in a forthcoming publication.²² Part of the data are given as a Supplementary Material.
- (24) Schilling, F. C.; Lovinger, F. L.; Zeigler, David, D. D.; J. M.; Bovey, F. A. Macromolecules 1989, 22, 3055.
- (25) Furukawa, Takeuchi, K.; S.; Shimana, K. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 6, 11007.
- (26) (a) Gu, H.; Nakamura, Y.; Sato, T.; Teramoto, A.; Green, M. M.; Andreola, C. Polymer, 1999, 40, 849-856. (b) Gu, H. Thesis, Osaka University, 1997.
- (27) Lifson, S.; Andreola, C.; Peterson, N. C.; Green, M. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8850.
- (28) Gu, H.; Nakamura, Y.; Sato, T.; Teramoto, A.; Green, M. M.; Andreola, C.; Lifson Macromolecules, 1995, 28, 1016.
- (29) Okamoto, N.; Mukaida, F.; Gu, H.; Nakamura, Y.; Sato, T.; Teramoto, A.; Green, M. M.; Andreola, C.; Lifson *Macromolecules*, **1996**, *29*, 2878.
- (30) (a) Gu, H.; Sato, T.; Teramoto, A.; Varichon, L.; Green, M. M. Polym. J. 1997, 29, 77. (b) Teramoto, A. Progr. Polym. Sci. in press.
- (31) Flory, P. J. *Statistical Mechanics of Chain Molecules* **1969**, Interscience Publishers, New York.
- (32) Teramoto, A.; Fujita, H. Adv. Polym. Sci. 1975, 18, 65.
- (33) Teramoto, A.; Fujita, H. J. Macromol. Sci.; Rev. Macromol. Chem. 1976, C15, 165.

Table 1. Partial specific volumes and specific refractive index increments for poly{n-hexyl-(2-methylbultyl)silylene} (PH2MBS) and poly{n-hexyl-(3-methylpentyl) silylene} (PH3MPS) in isooctane.

Polymer Temp./ºC		d <i>n</i> /d <i>c</i> /cm ³ g ⁻¹ (λ/nm)	v/cm ³ g ⁻¹		
PH2MBS	20	0.197 (546)			
		0.181 ^a (546)	1.049		
PH3MPS	25	0.172 (546)	1.045		
	25	0.162 (633)			
^a The value for fraction 3.		λ : wavelength of incident light.			

Table 2. Molecular characteristics of poly{n-hexyl-[(S)-2-methylbultyl)] silylene}} (PH2MBS)

Sample	$M_{ m w}$ /	$M_{ m w}/10^3$		2^{a}		
	LS	SED	LS	SED		$[\eta]/10^2 cm^3 g^{-1}$
1-1	456		7.0		94.2	22.8
1-2	368		5.0		76.4	13.4
1-3	89	$88.5(1.21)^{b}$	6.2	6.4	27.6	2.51
1-4	48.9	46.3(1.21)	5.5	6.3	16.4	1.00
2	20.4	21.4(1.21)	6.7	6.8		0.350
3	9.25	8.94(1.21)			9.0	

0.101

^a In units of 10^{-4} mol g⁻² cm³.

^b Values in the parentheses: M_z/M_w .

LS: light scattering; SED: sedimentation equilibrium.

Figure captions

Figure 1. Polysilylelenes investigated.

Figure 2. Double-logarithmic plots of $[\eta]$ against N_w for

poly{n-hexyl-[(S)-2-methylbutyl] silylene} (PH2MBS,1) at 20°C and for

poly{n-hexyl-[(S)-3-methylpentyl] silylene} (PH3MPS, **2**) at 25°C in isooctane. Solid curves, theoretical values¹⁵ for the wormlike cylinder model with q=85 and 6.2 nm and d=2.0 nm for the two polymers; the dashed curve, for the unperturbed wormlike cylinder.

Figure 3. Molecular weight dependence of light scattering radius $\langle S^2 \rangle_{Z^{1/2}}$ for for poly{n-hexyl-[(S)-2-methylbutyl] silylene} at 20°C and for poly{n-hexyl-[(S)-2-methylpentyl] silylene} at 25°C in isooctane. Solid curves, theoretical values¹⁵ calculating the same parameters as those used in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Terao et al.



Figure 3. Terao et al.