

Title	Isolation of Recombinants Doubly and Triply Drug-Resistant to Streptomycin, Tetracycline and Chloramphenicol by PEG-Induced Cell Fusion of Singly Resistant Staphylococcus aureus L-Forms
Author(s)	Hirachi, Yoshiyuki; Kato, Yoichi; Matsumoto, Takashi et al.
Citation	Biken journal : journal of Research Institute for Microbial Diseases. 1982, 25(3), p. 111–119
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/82479
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

ISOLATION OF RECOMBINANTS DOUBLY AND TRIPLY DRUG-RESISTANT TO STREPTOMYCIN, TETRACYCLINE AND CHLORAMPHENICOL BY PEG-INDUCED CELL FUSION OF SINGLY RESISTANT *STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS* L-FORMS

YOSHIYUKI HIRACHI, YOICHI KATO, TAKASHI MATSUMOTO, YOSHIYA UEYAMA, SUMIKO FURUYAMA, MASUMI KURONO, YOICHIRO TODA and SHOZO KOTANI

Department of Oral Microbiology and Microbiology, Osaka University Dental School, 4-3-48 Nakanoshima, Kita-ku, Osaka 530, Japan (Received May 20, 1982)

S^{UMMARY} Various combinations of four substrains of *Staphylococcus aureus* Lform (strain STA-EMT-1), each of which was resistant to one of the following four drugs, streptomycin (SM), tetracycline (TC), chloramphenicol (CP) and erythromycin (EM), were submitted to polyethylene glycol (PEG)-induced cell fusion. PEG-induced cell fusion followed by enrichment culture in the liquid basal medium supplemented with penicillin G resulted in development of recombinants that were doubly drug-resistant to SM and TC, SM and CP, and TC and CP, but no recombinant doubly resistant to EM and TC, was obtained by treatment of a EM-resistant and TC-resistant substrains with PEG.

No recombinants resistant to SM, CP and TC could be obtained by treatment of substrains resistant to SM, CP and TC, respectively, with PEG. But recombinants triply resistant to these three drugs were produced by two-step cell fusion; that is by fusion of a recombinant doubly resistant to two of the three drugs with a substrain resistant to the third drug.

INTRODUCTION

In a previous paper (Hirachi, Kurono and Kotani, 1979), we reported that treatment of a mixture of streptomycin (SM)-resistant and erythromycin (EM)-resistant substrains (SM-R and EM-R, respectively) of *S. aureus* Lform with polyethylene glycol (PEG) and subsequent enrichment culture of the mixture in the presence of penicillin G (PC-G) resulted in development of a product that grew in assay medium supplemented with SM and EM. We showed (Hirachi, Kurono and Kotani, 1980) that the development of this doubly drug-resistant recombinant (SmEm-R) was due to cell fusion induced by PEG, not to other genetic transfer mechanisms, such as conjugation, transformation or transduction.

In the present study, using substrains singly resistant to chloramphenicol (CP) and tetracycline (TC) (CP-R and TC-R, respectively) in addition to SM-R and EM-R strains, we have examined whether recombinants resistant to two or three of these drugs could be produced by PEG-induced cell fusion.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Organisms and growth media

SM-R (MIC>1,000 µg/ml) and EM-R (MIC> 10 µg/ml) substrains were obtained as described previously (Hirachi et al., 1979). The CP-R (MIC $>60 \ \mu g/ml$) and TC-R (MIC>5 $\mu g/ml$) substrains were isolated by serial subculture of the parent Lform strain (STA-EMT-1, generously given by Dr. Ichiro Tadokoro, Department of Bacteriology, Yokohama City University Medical School) in liquid or solid basal medium (Brain-Heart-Infusion Broth, Difco), supplemented with 4.5% NaCl and increasing concentrations of the respective drugs. The substrain (a recombinant) SmEm-R (MIC> 1,000 µg/ml of SM and MIC>10 µg/ml of EM) used in this study was isolated by PEG-induced cell fusion of SM-R and EM-R as described in a previous paper (Hirachi et al., 1980). Isolation of other doubly resistant recombinants is described under Results.

These substrains, singly resistant to one of the drugs or doubly resistant to SM and EM, were maintained by serial subculture in solid basal medium supplemented with 500 U/ml of PC-G (Meiji Seika Co., Tokyo) and the maximum tolerable concentration of the respective drug, SM (Meiji), 1,000 μ g/ml; EM (Difco), 10 μ g/ml; CP (Difco), 60 μ g/ml; TC (Difco), 5 μ g/ml, until they were used in PEG-induced cell fusion experiments.

2. Polyethylene glycol (PEG) treatment

PEG treatment was performed as described previously (Hirachi et al., 1979) with the following modification: Immediately after incubation of mixtures of L-form substrains with 50% PEG at 37 C for 5 min, 1 ml portions of the incubation mixture were mixed with 0.5 ml aliquots of fresh liquid basal medium to decrease the viscosity and specific gravity of the mixture. The diluted mixture was then carefully stirred in a Thermo-Mixer (Thermonics Co., Ltd. Tokyo). By this modification, PEG was more effectively removed from sedimented cells by centrifugation (at $8,000 \times g$, for 20 min). The pellet of cells, from which PEG had been drained off as completely as possible, was transferred to 10–14 ml of enrichment medium (liquid basal medium supplemented with 500 U/ml of PC-G).

3. Assay for formation of recombinants

Assays were made in assay medium consisting of basal medium supplemented with various combinations of SM (500 μ g/ml), EM (3 μ g/ml), CP (10 μ g/ ml) and TC (1 µg/ml), solidified with 0.8% agar (Wako Pure Chemical Ind., Osaka) as follows. PEG-treated mixtures of the indicated combinations of L-form substrains were incubated in the enrichment medium overnight at 37 C (unless otherwise stated, the incubation or cultivation was carried out at 37 C) and then were serially diluted 10-fold with the liquid basal medium. Portions of 1 ml of appropriate dilutions were cultured in triplicate in assay media by the pour-plate method. The number of colonies developed in the assay medium was counted after incubation for 1 week (or 2 weeks in some experiments).

4. Single colony analysis

Test recombinants (SmTc-R) showing resistance to SM and TC were cultured in liquid basal medium supplemented with PC-G for 1–2 days. The cells were appropriately diluted with liquid basal medium and smeared on solid basal medium. About 25 well-isolated single colonies of recombinants developed on solid basal medium were picked up at random with a sterile toothpick and inoculated in a grid arrangement (5×5) on solid basal medium. The colonies developed after 3–5 days cultivation were replicated onto assay media containing either SM and TC or TC and grown for 7 days.

RESULTS

1. Check of stability of the original drug resistance and the frequency of spontaneous development of drug-resistance of singly resistant substrains

Four substrains (SM-R, EM-R, CP-R and TC-R), all derived from the same parent strain (STA-EMT-1), were each grown in liquid basal medium with or without the respective drug for 1–2 days. They were then

TABLE 1. Frequency of spontaneous development of mutants of test L-form substrains resistant to drugs other than the drug to which they were originally resistant and stability of the original drug-resistance of test substrains

Substrain	$Drug^a$ added	No. of colonies (ratio ^{b}) developed in medium supplemented with ^{a}						
	ment culture	SM (500)	EM (3)	CP (10)	TC (1)	None		
SM-R	SM (1,000)	1.2×10^{8} (0.86)	0	0	0	1.4×10^{8}		
	0	5.0×10^{7} (1.0)	ND	ND	ND	5.0×107		
EM-R	EM (10)	0	8.5×10^{7} (1.4)	Not estimated ^c	0	6.2×107		
	0	ND	8.4×10 ⁶ (1.8)	ND	ND	4.8×10 ⁶		
CP-R	CP (60)	0	0	2.7×10^8 (1.0)	0	2.7×10 ⁸		
	0	ND	ND	2.3×10^{7} (1.6)	ND	1.4×10 ⁷		
TC-R	TC (1)	0	0	0	3.9×10 ⁷ (0.98)	4.0×107		
	0	ND	ND	ND	7.8×10 ⁶ (0.52)	1.5×10^{7}		

^a Figures in parentheses represent concentrations ($\mu g/ml$) of drugs added to the medium.

^b Ratio of the number of colonies developed in the respective assay medium to that in the control medium containing no drugs.

^c When undiluted or 1:10 diluted inoculum was cultured, numerous colonies developed, but when an inoculum diluted more than 1:100 was used, no colonies grew.

^d ND: Not determined.

submitted to colony counting by the pourplate method, using a series of solid assay media each supplemented with one of the drugs, to check the stability of the original drug resistance and spontaneous development of resistance to other drugs. As summarized in Table 1, the rate of spontaneous development of new resistance by mutation was calculated to be less than 7.1×10^{-9} , 1.6×10^{-8} , 3.7×10-9, and 2.5×10-8 with SM-R, EM-R, CP-R and TC-R, respectively. EM-R cells, on the other hand, developed a large number of colonies on medium supplemented with a high concentration (up to 30 µg/ml) of CP, though the exact number of colonies developed could not be determined for the reason described in the legends to the table. This fact made it impracticable to pursue studies on recombinants between EM-R and CP-R.

The stability of the drug resistance of each singly drug-resistant substrain was examined by serial subculture in liquid basal medium containing no drugs. Each of the substrains obtained on the third subculture was pourplated into assay medium supplemented with the respective drug and control medium. All test substrains gave similar numbers of colonies in the respective assay media to those in the control, indicating the stability of the drug resistance of the test substrains.

2. Development of doubly drug-resistant recombinants by PEG-treatment of two or three substrains singly resistant to SM, CP and TC

Table 2 a-c shows the development of various recombinants, namely the products doubly drug-resistant to two of the three drugs, SM, CP and TC, by PEG-induced fusion of

Expt. No.	PEG	Medium ^a wand C	No. of colonies developed in medium ^a with			
		No. of colonies	Frequency	SM (500)	CP (10)	None
1	+	1.7×10^{2}	2.1×10 ⁻³	ND	ND	8.0×10 ⁴
		0	$< 1.9 \times 10^{-6}$	ND	ND	5.4×10^{5}
2	+	2.1×10^{2}	1.1×10^{-5}	ND	ND	1.9×107
		0.7	5.0×10^{-8}	ND	ND	1.4×10^{7}
3	+	1.0×10	1.1×10 ⁻⁶	6.2×10 ⁶	7.3×10^{7}	8.8×10^{6}
		0	$< 2.3 \times 10^{-8}$	1.6×107	6.2×10 ⁷	4.4×10 ⁷

TABLE 2 a. Development of doubly drug-resistant recombinants between SM-R and CP-R substrains

Legends are the same as for Table 1.

TABLE 2 b. Development of doubly drug-resistant recombinants between SM-R and TC-R substrains

Expt. No.	PEG	Medium ^a w and 7	ith SM (500) FC (1)	No. o	f colonies develo medium ^a with	ped in
	120	No. of colonies	Frequency	SM (500)	TC (1)	None
1	$+ 3.0 \times 10^{2}$		2.5×10^{-4}	ND	ND	1.2×10 ⁶
	_	0	$< 3.0 \times 10^{-6}$	ND	ND	3.3×10^{5}
2	+	3.3×10^{3}	6.7×10^{-6}	ND	ND	4.9×10 ⁸
		0	$< 1.7 \times 10^{-8}$	ND	ND	5.8×107
3	+	1.7×10	3.3×10^{-7}	4.2×10^{7}	1.9×10^{5}	5.1×10^{7}
		0	$< 3.6 \times 10^{-8}$	2.2×10^{7}	5.6×10^{5}	2.8×10^{7}

Legends are the same as for Table 1.

TABLE 2 c. Development of doubly drug-resistant recombinants between CP-R and TC-R substrains

Expt. No.	PEG	Medium ^a w and 7	vith CP (10) FC (1)	No. of colonies developed in medium ^a with				
	120	No. of colonies	Frequency	CP (10)	TC (1)	None		
1	+	9.7×10	7.5×10-4	ND	ND	1.3×10 ⁵		
	_	0	<1.9×10-6	ND	ND	5.4×10^{5}		
2	+	2.6×10^{2}	6.3×10^{-7}	ND	ND	4.1×10 ⁸		
	_	1	4.3×10 ⁻⁸	ND	ND	2.3×10^{7}		
3	+	7.5×10^{2}	2.1×10^{-5}	1.0×10^{8}	9.0×10 ⁶	3.6×10 ⁷		
	_	0	$< 8.3 \times 10^{-8}$	8.5×10^{7}	2.0×10 ⁶	1.2×10 ⁷		

Legends are the same as for Table 1.

		No. of colonies developed in medium ^{a} with										
PEG	S C T	SM CP CC	SM CP —	SM TC	CP TC	None						
	1 w	2 w ^b										
+	0	0	7.5×10^{2}	1.9×10^{2}	2.0×10^{2}	2.2×10 ⁸						
-	0	0	0	0	0	7.0×10^{7}						

TABLE 3. Attempt to obtain triply drug-resistant recombinants by simultaneous PEG-induced cell fusion of SM-R, CP-R and TC-R substrains

 a SM 500 $\mu/ml,$ CP 10 $\mu g/ml$ and TC 1 $\mu g/ml.$

^b Period of cultivation.

TABLE 4. Stability of double drug-resistance of recombinants (SmTc-R, SmCp-R and CpTc-R) obtained by PEG-induced cell fusion

Substrain ^a	Number of colonies $(ratiob)$ developed in medium ^c with									
	Appropriate tion of	e combina- drugs	SM (500)	CP (10)	TC (1)	None				
SmTc-R	SM (500) + TC (1)	Not estimated ^e	1.6×10^{8} (0.89)	ND^d	Not estimated	1.8×10^{8}				
SmCp-R	SM (500) +CP (10)	5.5×10^{6} (1.1)	6.4×10^{6} (1.3)	8.3×10^{6} (1.7)	ND	4.9×10 ⁶				
CpTc-R	CP (10) + TC (1)	5.3×10^{6} (0.41)	ND	1.2×10^{7} (0.92)	7.8×10^{6} (0.60)	1.3×10^{7}				

^{*a*} SmTc-R: Resistant to SM (1,000 μ g/ml) and TC (5 μ g/ml). SmCp-R: Resistant to SM (1,000 μ gml) and CP (60 μ g/ml). CpTc-R: Resistant to CP (60 μ g/ml) and TC (5 μ g/ml).

^b Ratio of the number of colonies developed in the respective assay medium to that in the control medium containing no drugs.

^c Figures in parentheses are concentrations ($\mu g/ml$) of drugs added to the medium.

^d ND: Not determined.

^e When undiluted or 1:10 diluted inoculum was cultured, many colonies developed, but when an inoculum diluted more than 1:100 was used, no colonies grew.

substrains singly resistant to each drug. On combinations of SM-R×CP-R (Table 2a), SM-R×TC-R (Table 2b) and CP-R×TC-R (Table 2c), recombinants doubly resistant to SM and CP, SM and TC, and CP and TC developed at frequencies of 10^{-3} – 10^{-6} , 10^{-4} – 10^{-7} , and 10^{-4} – 10^{-7} , respectively. The frequency of induction of doubly resistant recombinants by PEG treatment varied considerably from one experiment to another, but was always significantly higher than that observed in the control experiment without PEG treatment.

Table 3 shows that simultaneous treatment of SM-R, CP-R and TC-R with PEG did not produce recombinants triply drug-resistant to the three drugs, even on prolonged incubation for two weeks under experimental conditions where recombinants doubly resistant to SM and CP, SM and TC, or CP and TC, developed at a fairly high rate.

A randomly selected doubly drug-resistant colony developed by PEG-induced cell fusion was cultivated in liquid basal medium sup-

TABLE 5. Attempts to obtain recombinants showing triple drug-resistance to SM, CP and TC by PEG-induced cell fusion between a doubly drug-resistant recombinant and a substrain resistant to a third drug

		No. of colonies developed in medium ^{a} with										
Cross by fusion	PEG	SM CP TC		SM CP —	SM TC	CP TC	SM 	ĊP	TC	None		
		1 w	2 w^b									
${ m SmTc-R} \times { m CP-R}$	-	1.3	2.3×10	1.2×10 ³	Not estimated ^c	4.0×10^{2}	5.3×10 ⁷	3.0×10 ⁷	Not estimated	4.5×107		
	_	0	0	0	Not estimated	0	6.0×10 ⁷	1.5×10^{7}	Not estimated	4.7×10 ⁷		
CpTc-R	+	4.5×10	2.2×10^{2}	4.5×10^{2}	5.2×10^{2}	3.2×10^{6}	5.4×10^{7}	2.6×10^{7}	4.0×10^{6}	$5.3 imes 10^7$		
\times SM-R		0	0	0	0	3.4×10 ⁶	4.4×10^{7}	1.1×10^{7}	3.5×10^{6}	1.9×10^{7}		
${ m SmCp-R} \times { m TC-R}$	+	2.7	4.7	1.4×107	4.0×10^{2}	7.7×10	3.0×107	1.7×10^{7}	2.4×10^{6}	2.7×10^7		
		0	0	4.1×10 ⁶	0.3	0	1.7×10^{7}	1.9×10^{7}	1.9×10^{6}	2.3×10^{7}		

^{*a*} SM 500 μ g/ml, CP 10 μ g/ml and TC 1 μ g/ml.

^b Period of cultivation.

^e When undiluted or 1:10 diluted inoculum was cultured, numerous colonies developed, but when an inoculum diluted more than 1:100 was used, no colonies grew.

plemented with PC-G but not with other drugs. The liquid culture was then transferred to solid assay medium supplemented with the two respective drugs (SM 1,000 μ g/ ml, CP 60 μ g/ml and TC 5 μ g/ml). A pure culture of each doubly resistant recombinant was then obtained by isolating a colony and was serially subcultured. These pure culture will hereafter be referred to as SmCp-R, SmTc-R and CpTc-R.

Of these three recombinants SmCp-R and CpTc-R remained doubly resistance on repeated (at least three) subcultures in liquid basal medium containing no drugs (Table 4). SmCp-R developed similar numbers of colonies in assay media supplemented with SM and CP, SM alone or CP alone, and CpTc-R produced similar numbers of colonies in media supplemented with CP and TC, CP alone or TC alone. Assay on the stability of the double drug-resistance of SmTc-R, however, was technically difficult due to the dilution effect of the inoculum, similar to that encounwith EM-R substrains (described tered above). It was thus difficult to estimate the number of colonies produced by SmTc-R on assay medium supplemented with SM and TC, or TC alone. Therefore, the homogeneity of SmTc-R recombinants was checked by the replica method: 25 colonies developed on the solid basal medium were stamped in quadriplicate on control basal medium with and without supplementation with both SM and TC, or TC only. The results indicated that all the test colonies were doubly resistance to SM and TC, suggesting that SmTc-R was homogeneous (data not shown).

3. Development of PEG-induced recombinants showing triple drug-resistance by cross of a doubly drug-resistant recombinant with a substrain singly resistance to the third drug

Table 5 shows that all three combinations $(SmTc-R \times CP-R, CpTc-R \times SM-R)$ and $SmCp-R \times TC-R$ of PEG-induced cell fusion produced recombinants triply resistant to SM, CP and TC. In addition to the triply drug resistant recombinant, the above combinations gave recombinants that showed the newly developed doubly drug-resistance, and

PEG	Mediu	m^a with EN	I (3) and TC (1)	No. of colonies developed in medium ^a with			
	No. of	colonies					
	1 w	2 w ^b	Frequency	EM (3)	TC (1)	None	
+	0	0	<2.8×10-7	1.3×107	5.5×10^{6}	3.6×10 ⁶	
+	0	0	$< 3.0 \times 10^{-7}$	3.8×10 ⁶	4.7×10^{5}	3.3×10^{6}	
+	0	0	<1.9×10 ⁻⁷	$1.7 imes 10^{7}$	7.2×10^{5}	5.3×10^{6}	
	0	0	$< 5.9 \times 10^{-8}$	$8.1 imes 10^{6}$	1.2×10 ⁶	$1.7 imes 10^{7}$	
	0	0	$< 6.3 \times 10^{-8}$	2.1×10^{7}	4.7×10^{5}	1.6×10^{7}	
	0	0	$< 1.3 \times 10^{-7}$	2.0×10^{7}	$1.5 imes 10^{5}$	7.5×10^{6}	

TABLE 6. Failure to obtain recombinants doubly resistant to EM and TC by PEG-induced cell fusion between EM-R and TC-R

 a Figures in parentheses represent concentrations ($\mu \rm{g/ml})$ of drugs added to the medium. b Period of cultivation.

TABLE 7. Failure to obtain recombinants doubly resistant to EM and TC by PEG-induced cell fusion between SmEm-R and TC-R or SmTc-R and EM-R

				No.	o. of colonies developed in medium ^a with					
Cross by fusion	PEG	Р Л	EM FC	EM SM	TC SM	EM	TC	SM	None	
		1 w	2 w^b							
EM-R	+	0.3	0.3	0	0	2.5×107	4.2×10 ⁶	0	3.4×10 ⁷	
×TC-R		0	0	0	0	9.8×10 ⁶	4.3×10^{6}	0	2.5×10^{7}	
SmEm-R	+	0	0	1.4×10^{5}	3.7×10^{2}	5.3×10^{5}	6.6×107	4.7×10^{5}	2.7×10^{7}	
$\times TC-R$		0	0	1.4×10^{5}	0	1.2×10^{6}	1.1×10^{7}	6.0×10^{5}	4.4×10^{7}	
${ m SmTc-R} \times { m EM-R}$	+	0	0	1.2×10 ³	Not estimated ^e	1.2×10^{7}	Not estimated	4.0×10 ⁶	1.4×10 ⁷	
	_	0	0	0	Not estimated	1.2×10 ⁶	Not estimated	1.5×10 ⁶	5.4×10 ⁶	

The legends are the same as those for Table 5 expect that the concentrations of drugs added to the medium were as follows: SM 500 μ g/ml, EM 3 μ g/ml, and TC 1 μ g/ml.

grew on the assay media supplemented with SM+CP and CP+TC, SM+CP and SM+TC, and SM+TC and CP+TC, respectively.

The frequency of occurrence of newly developed doubly resistant recombinants can consistently be explained by assuming that the chromosomal genes of SM, CP and TC are lined up in this order and that the relative distances between SM- and CP-genes, CPand TC-genes, and SM- and TC-genes are 3-6:1:4-7, respectively. Thus, the observed frequency of development of triply drug-resistant recombinants was low, but this low frequency seemed to be consistent with the relative distances of the SM, CP and TC genes described above.

4. Difficulty in obtaining recombinants doubly resistant to TC and EM

As shown in Table 6, repeated attempts have failed to produce a recombinant doubly resistant to EM and TC by cell fusion of EM-R and TC-R, unlike other combinations of two singly resistant substrains. So, we attempted to produce a strain doubly resistant to EM and TC by PEG-induced cell fusion between either SmEm-R and TC-R or SmTc-R and EM-R (Table 7). No colonies developed in assay medium supplemented with TC and EM, even during prolonged incubation for two weeks, although new doubly drug-resistant recombinants, namely the products which grew well on assay medium supplemented with either SM and TC or SM and EM were obtained by cell fusion at a fairly high frequency.

DISCUSSION

It has been demonstrated in the present and previous studies that among six possible combinations of two singly drug-resistant substrains (SM-R, EM-R, CP-R and TC-R) derived from one strain of S. aureus L-form, four combinations (SM-R×CP-R, SM-R× TC-R, and CP-R×TC-R in this study, and SM-R×EM-R in the previous study) produced recombinants showing the expected double drug-resistance at a high frequency on PEG-induced cell fusion. Of the remaining two combinations, the cell fusion of $EM-R \times$ TC-R did not produce a recombinant doubly resistant to EM and TC at a significant frequency, and an attempt to study the combination of EM-R×CP-R was impracticable since the EM-R substrain showed high resistance to CP.

The observation that the combination of either SmEm-R \times TC-R or SmTc-R \times EM-R resulted in recombinants exhibiting at considerable frequency the double drug-resistance which was not shown by the parents suggests that failure to obtain recombinants (TcEm-R) resistant to TC and EM was not due to unsuccessful cell fusion.

The reason why recombinants showing double drug-resistance to EM and TC cannot

be obtained by cross of EM-R with TC-R is not known, because the mechanism whereby the drug-resistance to TC emerges is unclear. There have so far been no reports on successful isolation of bacteria showing TC resistance of chromosomal nature.

An attempt to study PEG-induced cell fusion between EM-R and CP-R in terms of development of double drug-resistance to these drugs was not successful, since the EM-R substrain showed resistance to CP. A plausible explanation for this is that EM and CP show similar modes of antibacterial action, and share a common or similar attack point on ribosomes (Muñoz et al, 1971; Vazquez, 1974). Further work is required to explain fully the observed cross of resistance between EM-R and CP-R.

Electron microscopic studies by Frehel et al. (1979) showed multiple protoplast fusion of *Bacillus subtillis*. Thus, similar multiple fusion of *S. aureus* L-forms may have occurred in our cell fusion experiments.

However, we did not succeed in isolating a recombinant triply resistant to SM, CP and TC by simultaneous PEG-treatment of any combinations of three substrains, each of which was resistant to a single drug. However, a recombinant having triple drug-resistance was obtained by PEG-induced fusion of recombinants doubly drug-resistant to two of the three drugs with a substrain singly resistant to a third drug.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Prof. A. Matsushiro, Division of Microbial Genetics, Research Institute for Microbial Diseases, Osaka University, for continued interest, and valuable advice and discussion throughout this work. This investigation was partly supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 56570175) from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan.

REFERENCES

- Frehel, C., Lheritier, A-M., S-Rivas, C., Schaeffer, P. 1979. Electron microscopic study of *Bacillus* subtillis protoplast fusion. J. Bacteriol. 137: 1354–1361.
- Hirachi, Y., Kurono, M., Kotani, S. 1979. Polyethylene glycol-induced fusion of L-forms of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Biken J. 22: 25–29.
- Hirachi, Y., Kurono, M., Kotani, S. 1980. Further evidence of polyethylene glycol-induced cell fusion of *Staphylococcus aureus* L-form. Biken J.

23:43-48.

- Muñoz, R. F., Monro, R. E., T-Pinedo, R., Vazquez, D. 1971. Substrate- and antibiotic-binding sites at the peptidyl-transferase centre of *Escherichia coli* ribosomes. Studies on the chloramphenicol, lincomycin and erythromycin sites. Eur. J. Biochem. 23: 185–193.
- Vazquez, D. 1974. Inhibitors of protein synthesis. FEBS Letters Vol. 40, Suppl. S63-S84.