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The Crystallization Kinetics of Glassy Fe\textsubscript{40} Ni\textsubscript{40} P\textsubscript{20} †

Masaaki NAKA* and Ikuo OKAMOTO**

Abstract

The crystallization kinetics of glassy Fe\textsubscript{40}Ni\textsubscript{40}P\textsubscript{20} have been determined by transmission electron microscopy and electrical resistivity measurements. The glassy alloy crystallizes isochronally by two stages at heating rate of 5 K/min. First, amorphous phase transforms to (Fe, Ni) austenite and (Fe, Ni)\textsubscript{3}P phases by a eutectic reaction at 623 K. Second, the eutectic phases recrystallize into the stable phase structure of the same phases at 873 K.

The data of isothermal anneals of the first process at the temperatures between 603 and 633 K are interpreted by use of the Johnson-Mehl-Avrami equation for the transformation theory. The time dependence in J-M-A equation is constant \( t^{1.5} \) between 603 and 618 K, but increases to \( t^{3.2} \) at 633 K. The activation energy for the first process is observed to be 85 kcal/mol, which is lower than that of Fe\textsubscript{40}Ni\textsubscript{40}P\textsubscript{14}B\textsubscript{6} glass.
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1. Introduction

The crystallization behavior of iron-base glasses containing about 20 at.% metalloid content has recently attracted considerable attention. Alloys such as Fe\textsubscript{40}Ni\textsubscript{40}P\textsubscript{14}B\textsubscript{6} \(^{1,4} \) and Fe\textsubscript{80}B\textsubscript{20} \(^{-6,7} \) are some of the more extensively studied in this area. Herold and Köster \(^{7} \) have shown that the crystallization of Fe\textsubscript{100-x} B\textsubscript{x} glasses is interpreted in terms of a diagram of the free energy for crystalline phase versus constituent concentration, and glassy alloys decompose into crystalline phases by three types: polymorphous, primary and eutectic crystallization. Therefore, the overlap of two or more crystallization processes often prevents the interpretation of crystallization kinetics of metallic glasses. On the other hand, Watanabe and Scott \(^{4} \) have reported that Fe\textsubscript{40}Ni\textsubscript{40}P\textsubscript{14}B\textsubscript{6} alloy crystallizes by a eutectic mechanism to form Fe-Ni austenite and a (Fe,Ni)\textsubscript{3} (P,B) body-centred tetragonal phase, and the crystallization kinetics can be analysed by the Johnson-Mehl equation with an exponent of 4.

This paper reports crystallization kinetics of eutectic reaction of Fe\textsubscript{40}Ni\textsubscript{40}P\textsubscript{20} alloy.

2. Experimental Procedures

The metallic glass samples were prepared as ribbons, about 2 mm wide and 20 \( \mu \)m thick, by rapid quenching from the melt using a melt spinning technique. Electrical resistivity was measured with an ordinary four terminal method. The isochronal and isothermal annealing were carried out in evacuated quartz tube or silicon oil bath, respectively. The structure of heat-treated specimens were examined by X-ray diffraction with Fe K\( \alpha \) radiation. The thin parts of splat-quenched sample were used for the transmission electron microscopy in a hot stage at heating rate of about 5 K/min.

![Fig. 1 Change in electrical resistivity \( \rho_T/\rho_{RT} \) of Fe\textsubscript{40}Ni\textsubscript{40}P\textsubscript{20} alloy during isochronal anneals.](image_url)
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Fig. 2 Transmission electron micrograph and diffraction pattern of sample heated under dynamic condition. (a) as received, (b) 653 K, (c) 673 K, (d) 973 K at heating rate of 5 K/min.
3. Results and Discussion

**Figure 1** shows the electrical resistivity of Fe₄₀Ni₄₀P₂₀ as a function of temperature with a heating or cooling rate of about 5 K/min. The alloy shows a relatively small but positive temperature coefficient of the resistivity in the amorphous region, followed by a sharp decrease as the crystallization process started. The one set of this decrease has been taken as the crystallization temperature Tₓ (= 623 K).

The change in microstructure of Fe₄₀Ni₄₀P₂₀ alloy with temperature were observed at a heating rate 5 K/min in the hot stage of transmission microscope as shown in Fig. 2. The alloy shows two crystallization processes at the isochronal annealing up to 1000 K. In the first process the specimens contain a uniform distribution of spherulitic crystals at 635 and 673 K as shown in Fig. 2(b,c). In order to identify the crystalline phase X-ray diffraction patterns of specimen annealed for 90 min at 613 K were obtained by Debye-Scherrer method in Table 1. Most of the X-ray reflections can be indexed on a bct phase having (Fe, Ni)₃P structure (a = 9.02, c = 4.44 Å). A careful comparison of the observed intensity with values of (Fe, Ni)₃P is ASTM card suggests there is also a fcc phase (a = 3.51 Å). Therefore, Fe₄₀Ni₄₀P₂₀ alloy transformed to (Fe, Ni) austenite and a (Fe, Ni)₃P phase with a lamellar structure, which is analagous to the crystalline structure of Fe₄₀Ni₄₀P₁₅B₁₆ alloy.

In the second process at 873 and 973 K in Fig. 2 (d, e) the lamellar structure changes to stable structure. From X-ray diffraction analysis the crystalline phase in the stage are also austenite and (Fe, Ni)₃P phase, and the second crystallization process is the recrystallization of the grain growth of lamellar structure of (Fe, Ni) austenite and (Fe, Ni)₃P phases.

The data for the first annealing process will be interpreted in terms of the generalized transformation theory.

\[ X = \frac{R_0 - R_t}{R_0 - R_\infty} = 1 - \exp\left(-kt^\beta\right) \tag{1} \]

Where X is the volume fraction transformed, k a kinetic constant, t the time and t = 0 is taken as the end of the incubation period, R₀, R₁, R₂, electrical resistance at time 0, t and \( t \), respectively. The transformation fraction X at the temperature between 603 and 633 K is given in Fig. 3. The parameters n and k in eq. (1) are estimated by plotting ln ln (1/1-X) versus ln t. As shown in Fig. 4 the linear relations exist between them for X = 0.05 ~ 0.08.

The J-M-A exponent n in Fig. 5 was within experimental uncertainty constant at about 1.5 between 603

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>number</th>
<th>( d_{\text{obs}} ) (Å)</th>
<th>Intensity</th>
<th>(Fe, Ni)₃P in ASTM card</th>
<th>(Fe, Ni) austenite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d (Å)</td>
<td>(hkl)</td>
<td>I/I₁</td>
<td>d (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.494</td>
<td>W.</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>(301)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.180</td>
<td>V. S.</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>(321)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.100</td>
<td>V. S.</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>(112)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.009</td>
<td>V. S.</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>(420)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.970</td>
<td>V. S.</td>
<td>1.972</td>
<td>(411)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.822</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>1.833</td>
<td>(222)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.749</td>
<td>S.</td>
<td>1.778</td>
<td>(510)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.270</td>
<td>S.</td>
<td>1.274</td>
<td>(710)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.223</td>
<td>V. W.</td>
<td>1.234</td>
<td>(413)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.197</td>
<td>V. W.</td>
<td>1.205</td>
<td>(622)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>W.</td>
<td>1.097</td>
<td>(820)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.088</td>
<td>W.</td>
<td>1.075</td>
<td>(660)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and 618 K, but increased linearly to 3.2 between 623 and 633 K. According to the theory of phase transformation\(^9\), the crystallization process of Fe\(_{40}\)Ni\(_{40}\)P\(_{20}\) alloy changes from a diffusion controlled growth with constant nucleation sites \((n = 1.5)\) at lower temperatures to a interface diffusion controlled growth with constant nucleation sites \((n = 3)\) at higher temperatures. The value \((n = 4)\) of Fe\(_{40}\)Ni\(_{40}\)P\(_{14}\)B\(_6\) alloy reported by Watanabe and Scott\(^4\) is also included in Fig. 5.

The activation energy \(E\) for the crystallization process can be obtained from the temperature dependence of \(k\) and Arrhenius relation\(^9\).

\[
k = k_0 \exp\left(-\frac{E}{k_B T}\right)
\]

where \(k\) is a constant and \(k_B\) is Boltzmann constant. From the results shown in Fig. 6, the activation energy for the crystallization was \((85 \pm 1)\) kcal/mol. Watanabe and Scott\(^4\) have indicated that Fe\(_{40}\)Ni\(_{40}\)P\(_{14}\)B\(_6\) alloy also
decomposes into fcc phase and (Fe, Ni)₃ (P, B) phase by a eutectic reaction which possesses 102 kcal/mol of the activation energy for the crystallization. The replacement of P with B increases the activation energy of crystallization and stabilizes Fe₄₀Ni₄₀P₂₀ alloy.

Activation energy for the crystallization may be alternatively obtained from the time $t_X$ to a fraction crystallized X at a temperature $T$:

$$t_X \propto k^{-1} \exp \left( \frac{E}{k_B T} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

In this way an activation energy can be obtained from the slope $E/k_B$ of plots of $\ln t$ or $\ln (t-t_i)$ against $1/T$ as shown in Fig. 7, where $t_i$ is the crystallization start time. The activation energies from the beginning to the end of the crystallization are approximately constant (85 ± 3) kcal/mol, and agree well with the values obtained in equation (2). $t_i$ of Fe₄₀Ni₄₀P₁₄B₆ alloy is also included in the figure.

Second, the energy for the crystallization can be determined from the rapid change in temperature from $T_1$ to $T_2$ during annealing at $T_1$. On the assumption⁹ that a fraction crystallized X is constant at both $T_1$ and $T_2$ at the rapid change of the temperature.

$$\ln \left( \frac{dX}{dt} \right)_{T_2} - \ln \left( \frac{dX}{dt} \right)_{T_1} = \frac{E}{k_B} \left( \frac{1}{T_1} - \frac{1}{T_2} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

As shown in Fig. 8 the annealing temperature changed abruptly from 603 to 613 K. The activation energies obtained from two fractions of X are 62 and 70 kcal/mol which are lower than obtained from equation (2). This difference may arise from that the nucleation sites of crystalline phases change by the abrupt heating.

4. Conclusions

The isothermal crystallization kinetics of Fe₄₀Ni₄₀P₂₀ glass has been investigated at temperatures between 603 and 633 K. Fe₄₀Ni₄₀P₂₀ glass transforms to (Fe, Ni)
austenite and (Fe, Ni)₃P phase by a eutectic mechanism. The kinetics are found to obey the Johnson-Mehel-Avrami relationship, \( X = 1 - \exp\left(-kt^n\right) \), where \( X \) is the volume fraction crystallized, \( n \) is a coefficient and \( k \) is a kinetic constant that obeys the Arrhenius relationship. \( n \) is about 1.5 between 603 and 618 K, but increases to 3.2 at 633 K. The activation energy for the crystallization is 85 kcal/mol.
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