

Title	Overrings of Krull orders
Author(s)	Marubayashi, Hidetoshi; Nishida, Kenji
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1979, 16(3), p. 843-851
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/8408">https://doi.org/10.18910/8408</a>
rights	
Note	

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

## OVERRINGS OF KRULL ORDERS

HIDETOSHI MARUBAYASHI AND KENJI NISHIDA

(Received September 13, 1978)

**Introduction.** Recently, one of the authors introduced a Krull order  $R$  in a simple artinian ring  $Q$ [6], that is,  $R$  is called Krull if the following conditions hold:

(K1)  $R = \bigcap_{i \in I} R_i \cap S(R)$ , where  $R_i$  and  $S(R)$  are essential overrings of  $R$  (see [6] for the definition), and  $S(R)$  is the Asano overring of  $R$ ;

(K2) each  $R_i$  is a noetherian, local, Asano order in  $Q$ , and  $S(R)$  is a noetherian, simple ring;

(K3) if  $c$  is any regular element of  $R$ , then  $cR_i \neq R_i$  for only finitely many  $i$  in  $I$  and  $R_k c \neq R_k$  for only finitely many  $k$  in  $I$ .

The fundamental properties of Krull orders were studied in [6]. Let  $\mathbf{P}$  be the set of all prime  $v$ -ideals of  $R$  and  $\mathbf{P}_0$  any subset of  $\mathbf{P}$ . Then, in §1, we shall show that an order  $T = \bigcap_{P \in \mathbf{P}_0} R_P \cap S(R)$  is also Krull and, in particular,  $T$  is an  $RI$ -order in the sense of Cozzens and Sandomierski [1], if we take  $\mathbf{P}_0$  to be the set of all invertible prime ideals of  $R$ . In §2 we apply the results of §1 to the case where  $R$  is a  $D$ -order in a central simple algebra, where  $D$  is a unique factorization domain. §3 is devoted to state an example of a maximal order which has the noninvertible prime  $v$ -ideals.

**1. Overrings of Krull orders.** Let  $R$  be an order in a simple artinian ring  $Q$ . A right  $R$ -submodule  $X$  of  $Q$  is called a *right  $R$ -ideal*, if  $aR \supset X \supset bR$  for units  $a, b$  in  $Q$ . A *left  $R$ -ideal* and a *two-sided  $R$ -ideal* are defined by the similar way. An  $R$ -ideal in  $R$  is simply called an ideal. For a one-sided  $R$ -ideal  $X$  of  $R$ , put  $O_r(X) = \{x \in Q; Xx \subset X\}$ ,  $O_l(X) = \{x \in Q; xX \subset X\}$ ,  $X^{-1} = \{x \in Q; XxX \subset X\} = \{x \in Q; Xx \subset O_l(X)\} = \{x \in Q; xX \subset O_r(X)\}$ , and  $X^* = X^{-1-1}$ .  $X$  is called a  *$v$ -ideal (invertible ideal)*, if  $X = X^*(R = XX^{-1} = X^{-1}X)$ .

We state some results in [6] concerning Krull orders. Let  $R$  be a Krull order in a simple artinian ring  $Q$ .  $R$  is a maximal order [6, Proposition 2.1]. Let  $P'_i$  be a unique maximal ideal of  $R_i$ . Then  $P_i = P'_i \cap R$  is a prime  $v$ -ideal of  $R$  (cf. [4, Lemma 1.5]),  $P'_i = R_i P_i$  [3, Proposition 1.1], and  $R_i = R_{P_i}$ , where  $R_{P_i}$  is the localization of  $R$  at  $P_i$ , that is,  $R_{P_i} = \{xy^{-1} \in Q; x \in R, y \in C(P_i)\}$  with  $C(P_i) = \{y \in R; y + P_i \text{ is a regular element of } R/P_i\}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{P} = \{P_i; i \in I\}$  be the set of all prime  $v$ -ideals of  $R$  (cf. [4, Proposition

1.7]),  $P_0$  any subset of  $P$ , and  $T = \cap_{P \in P_0} R_P \cap S(R)$ . In order to show that  $T$  is Krull, we prepare some definitions and lemmas. We sometimes drop the index  $i$  of  $P_i \in P$  for abbreviation.

Throughout this section,  $R$  is a Krull order in a simple artinian ring  $Q$ .

Let  $M$  be a subset of  $Q$ . Then  $M$  is called a *right  $R$ -set*, if  $M$  is a right  $R$ -module and contains a regular element of  $Q$ . We put  $\bar{M}_r = \cup I^*$ , where  $I$  ranges over the set of all right  $R$ -ideals which are contained in  $M$ .  $M$  is called *closed* if  $\bar{M}_r = M$ . By the similar way we define a *left  $R$ -set* and a *closed left  $R$ -set*. Let  $A$  be a right  $v$ -ideal and  $B$  a left  $v$ -ideal. Then we define  $A \circ B = (AB)^*$  (cf. [5]).

**Lemma 1.1.** *The following statements hold for right  $R$ -sets  $M, N$ .*

- (i)  $\bar{M}_r$  is a right  $R$ -set which contains  $M$ .
- (ii) If  $N \subset M$ , then  $\bar{N}_r \subset \bar{M}_r$ .
- (iii)  $(\bar{M}_r)_r = \bar{M}_r$ .
- (iv) If  $I$  is a right  $R$ -ideal, then  $\bar{I}_r = I^*$ .
- (v)  $(\bar{M}_r \cap \bar{N}_r)_r = \bar{M}_r \cap \bar{N}_r$ .
- (vi) If  $M$  is closed, then  $M = \cap_{P \in P} MR_P \cap MS(R)$ .

In the following, we assume  $M, N$  to be closed and  $A, B$   $v$ -ideals. Let  $M \circ A = \cup X \circ A$ , where  $X$  ranges over the set of all right  $v$ -ideals which are contained in  $M$ .

- (vii)  $M \circ A = (\bar{M}A)_r$ .
- (viii)  $(M \circ A) \circ B = M \circ (A \circ B)$ .
- (ix)  $M \circ (A \cap B) = M \circ A \cap M \circ B$ .

Proof. (i) and (ii) are easily proved. (iii); It holds that  $x \in (\bar{M}_r)_r$  if and only if there is a right  $R$ -ideal  $I \subset \bar{M}_r$  such that  $x \in I^*$ . Since we can take  $I$  to be finitely generated by [6, proposition 2.1],  $I = a_1R + \dots + a_kR$  with  $a_i \in \bar{M}_r$ . Thus, there are right  $R$ -ideals  $I_i \subset M$  such that  $a_i \in I_i^* (i=1, \dots, k)$ . We have  $(I_1 + \dots + I_k)^* = (I_1^* + \dots + I_k^*)^* \subset I^*$  by [5, Lemma 2] and  $I_1 + \dots + I_k \subset \bar{M}$ . Hence  $x \in \bar{M}_r$ , that is,  $(\bar{M}_r)_r = \bar{M}_r$ . (iv) is easily proved. (v); I. holds that  $(\bar{M}_r \cap \bar{N}_r)_r \ni x$  if and only if there is a right  $R$ -ideal  $I$  such that  $I \subset \bar{M}_r \cap \bar{N}_r$  and  $x \in I^*$ . We have  $I^* = \bar{I}_r \subset (\bar{M}_r)_r = \bar{M}_r$  and, similarly,  $I^* \subset \bar{N}_r$ . Hence  $x \in I^* \subset \bar{M}_r \cap \bar{N}_r$ . (vi); Let  $x \in \cap_{P \in P} MR_P \cap MS(R)$  be a regular element, Then we have  $xc_P \in M$  for  $c_P \in C(P)$  and  $xB \subset M$  for a nonzero ideal  $B$ . If we put  $X = \sum c_P R + B$ , then  $R = \cap XR_P \cap XS(R) \subset X^*$  by [6, Proposition 2.1 and 3, Corollary 4.2]. Thus  $R = X^*$  and  $x \in xX^* = (xX)^* = \overline{xX} \subset \bar{M}_r = M$ . Hence  $\cap MR_P \cap MS(R) = M$  by [3, Lemma 2.2]. (vii); Let  $X$  be a right  $v$ -ideal in  $M$ . Then  $X \circ A = (XA)^* = (\bar{X}A)_r \subset (\bar{M}A)_r$ . Conversely, if  $x \in (\bar{M}A)_r$ , then  $x \in I^*$  for a finitely generated right  $R$ -ideal  $I \subset MA$ . By the same way as (iii) we have  $I^* \subset X \circ A$  for a right  $v$ -ideal  $X$  in  $M$ . Hence  $x \in M \circ A$ . (viii); It holds that  $(X \circ A) \circ B = ((XA)B)^* = (X(AB))^* = X \circ (AB)^* = X \circ (A \circ B)$  for a right  $v$ -ideal  $X$ . Hence  $(M \circ A) \circ B$

$=M \circ (A \circ B)$ . (ix); By [5, Lemma 3] the lattice anti-isomorphism between the set of right  $v$ -ideals and one of left  $v$ -ideals yields the proof of (ix).

Let  $P_0$  be an arbitrary subset of  $P$ ,  $T = \bigcap_{P \in P_0} R_P \cap S(R)$ ,  $F = \{X \subset R; X \text{ is a right } R\text{-ideal such that } XR_P = R_P \text{ for every } P \in P_0 \text{ and } XS(R) = S(R)\}$ , and  $F_l = \{Y \subset R; Y \text{ is a left } R\text{-ideal such that } R_P Y = R_P \text{ for every } P \in P_0 \text{ and } S(R)Y = S(R)\}$ . In the following, the notation provided above will be preserved.

**Lemma 1.2.** *F is a right additive topology on R and  $R_F = T$ . Similarly,  $F_l$  is a left additive topology on R and  $T = R_{F_l}$ .*

Proof. To show that  $F$  is a right additive topology, we shall prove the followings [10]:

(i) If  $X \in F$  and  $r \in R$ , then  $r^{-1}X = \{x \in R; rx \in X\} \in F$ .

(ii) If  $Y$  is a right ideal and  $X \in F$  such that  $x^{-1}Y \in F$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $Y \in F$ .

(i); Considering the fact  $XR_P = R_P \Leftrightarrow C(P) \cap X \neq \emptyset$  and  $XS(R) = S(R) \Leftrightarrow X$  contains a nonzero ideal we can show  $r^{-1}X \in F$  by the right Ore condition of  $C(P)$ . (ii); We have  $R_P \supset YR_P \supset \sum_{x \in X} x(x^{-1}Y)R_P = \sum_{x \in X} xR_P = XR_P = R_P$ , and then  $R_P = YR_P$ . Similarly,  $S(R) = YS(R)$  which implies  $Y \in F$ . If  $X \in F$ , then  $X^{-1} \subset X^{-1}T \subset \bigcap X^{-1}R_P \cap X^{-1}S(R) = \bigcap R_P \cap S(R) = T$ . Thus  $R_F \subset T$ . Conversely, if  $t \in T$ , then there are  $c_P \in C(P)$  and a nonzero ideal  $B$  such that  $tc_P \in R$  and  $tB \subset R$ . Putting  $X = \sum c_P R + B$  we have that  $X \in F$  and  $tX \subset R$ . Hence  $t \in R_F$ . This completes the proof.

**Lemma 1.3.** *We have  $\bar{T}_r = T = \bar{T}_l$ ,  $\overline{S(R)}_r = S(R) = \overline{S(R)}_l$ , and  $(\bar{R}_P)_r = R_P = (\bar{R}_P)_l$ .*

Proof. In general, if  $G$  is a right additive topology which consists of a family of essential right ideals, then  $(\bar{R}_G)_l = R_G$ . For, it holds that  $x \in (\bar{R}_G)_l$  if and only if  $x \in I^*$  for a finitely generated left  $R$ -ideal  $I \subset R_G$ . Then we can take  $X \in G$  such that  $I \subset X^{-1}$  by the same way as the proof of Lemma 1.1 (iii). Hence  $x \in I^* \subset (X^{-1})^* = X^{-1}$ . This completes the proof.

**Lemma 1.4.** *If  $X \in F$  and  $Y \in F_l$ , then  $Y^{-1} \circ X^{-1} \subset T$ .*

Proof. We can assume  $X$  to be a right  $v$ -ideal and  $Y$  a left  $v$ -ideal. Then  $Y^{-1} \circ X^{-1} = (X \circ Y)^{-1} = (XY)^{-1}$  by [5, Lemma 3]. If  $c \in (XY)^{-1}$ , then  $cX \subset Y^{-1} \subset T = R_F$ . Thus  $c \in \text{Hom}_R(X, R_F)$  which implies  $c \in R_F$  by [10, Proposition 7.8].

Let  $I_F = \bigcup I \circ X^{-1}$ , where  $X$  ranges over  $F$ , for a right  $v$ -ideal  $I$ .

**Lemma 1.5.** *The following statements hold for a right v-ideal I.*

- (i)  $I_F$  is a right  $T$ -ideal and a  $v$ -ideal as a right  $T$ -ideal.
- (ii) If  $I \subset R$ , then  $I_F = T$  if and only if  $I \in F$ .

(iii) If  $X \in F$ , then  $(X^{-1})_{F_i} = T$ .

Proof. (i); If  $X, Y \in F$ , then  $I \circ X^{-1} + I \circ Y^{-1} \subset (I \circ X^{-1} + I \circ Y^{-1})^* = I \circ (X^{-1} + Y^{-1})^* = I \circ (X \cap Y)^{-1}$  by [5, Lemma 3]. Thus  $I \circ X^{-1} + I \circ Y^{-1} \subset I_F$ . Let  $t \in T$ ,  $Y \in F$  such that  $t \in Y^{-1}$ ,  $x \in I \circ X^{-1}$  for  $X \in F$ , and  $Z = \{r \in R; tr \in X\} \in F$ . Then we have  $xX \subset I$  and  $xtZ \subset xX \subset I$ , which implies  $(xtS + I \circ Z^{-1})Z \subset I$  and  $xtS + I \circ Z^{-1}$  to be a right  $S$ -ideal with  $S = O_r(Z)$ . Since we can take  $X$  to be a right  $v$ -ideal,  $Z$  is also a right  $v$ -ideal by [5, Lemma 3]. Thus  $xt \in (xtS + I \circ Z^{-1}) \subset (xtS + I \circ Z^{-1})S \subset (xtS + I \circ Z^{-1})^* \circ Z \circ Z^{-1} = ((xtS + I \circ Z^{-1})Z)^* \circ Z^{-1} \subset I \circ Z^{-1} \subset I_F$ . Hence  $I_F$  is a right  $T$ -ideal. To prove that  $I_F$  is a  $v$ -ideal as a right  $T$ -ideal, it suffices to show  $(I_F)^{-1} = (I^{-1})_{F_i}$ . Then we have  $(I_F)^{-1-1} = (I_{F_i}^{-1})^{-1} = (I^{-1-1})_F = I_F$ . Now, let  $x$  be a regular element in  $(I_F)^{-1}$  and  $I = (a_1R + \dots + a_nR)^*$ . Then there exists  $Y \in F_i$  with  $xa_i \in Y^{-1}$ , since  $xI \subset T$ . We have  $xI = x(a_1R + \dots + a_nR)^* = (xa_1R + \dots + xa_nR)^* \subset (Y^{-1})^* = Y^{-1}$ , and then  $x \in x(I \circ I^{-1}) = (xI) \circ I^{-1} \subset Y^{-1} \circ I^{-1} \subset (I^{-1})_{F_i}$ . Hence  $(I_F)^{-1} \subset (I^{-1})_{F_i}$  by [3, Lemma 2.2]. Conversely, let  $y$  be a regular element in  $(I^{-1})_{F_i}$ . Then there exists  $Y \in F_i$  with  $y \in Y^{-1} \circ I^{-1}$ . For any  $X \in F$ , we have  $y(I \circ X^{-1}) = yI \circ X^{-1} \subset Y^{-1} \circ X^{-1} \subset T$  by Lemma 1.4. Thus  $yI_F \subset T$ , that is,  $y \in (I_F)^{-1}$ . Hence again by [3, Lemma 2.2]  $(I^{-1})_{F_i} \subset (I_F)^{-1}$ . This completes the proof. (ii); If  $I \subset R$ , then  $I \circ X^{-1} \subset R \circ X^{-1} = X^{-1} \subset T$  for any  $X \in F$ , that is,  $I_F$  is an integral right  $T$ -ideal. It holds that  $I_F = T \Leftrightarrow 1 \in I_F \Leftrightarrow 1 \in I \circ X^{-1}$  for some  $X \in F \Leftrightarrow X \subset I \Leftrightarrow I \in F$ . (iii); We have  $(X^{-1})_{F_i} = (X^{-1})_{F_i}^{-1-1} = (X^{-1-1})_F^{-1} = T$  by (i), (ii), and  $X^{-1-1} \in F$ .

**Lemma 1.6.** If  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $A$  is a nonzero ideal of  $R$ , then  $AR_P = (\overline{AR_P})_i = (\overline{R_P A})_r = R_P A$ .

Proof. If  $AR_P = R_P$ , then  $A \cap C(P) \neq \phi$  which implies  $R_P A = R_P = AR_P$ . Thus we assume  $R_P A \neq R_P$ , that is,  $A \subset P$ . If  $AP^{-m}R_P \neq R_P$  for every integer  $m \geq 1$ , then  $AP^{-m} \subset P$ . For  $AP^{-k} \subset P$  implies,  $AP^{-(k+1)} \subset PP^{-1} \subset R$ . If  $AP^{-(k+1)} \not\subset P$ , then  $AP^{-(k+1)} \cap C(P) \neq \phi$ , that is,  $AP^{-(k+1)}R_P = R_P$  which is a contradiction. Thus  $AP^{-(k+1)} \subset P$  and the assertion holds by induction. There is an increasing chain of proper right ideals of  $R_P$ ;

$$AR_P \subset AP^{-1}R_P \subset AP^{-2}R_P \subset \dots \subset AP^{-m}R_P \subset \dots$$

which must stabilize since  $R_P$  is noetherian. Therefore there is an integer  $n$  such that  $AR_P P^{-n} = AR_P P^{-(n+1)}$ . We have  $R_P AR_P = R_P AR_P P^{-1}$  and  $R_P P^{-1} \subset O_r(R_P AR_P) = R_P$  which is a contradiction. Thus  $AP^{-m}R_P = R_P$  for some integer  $m \geq 1$ . Let  $m$  be the smallest such integer. We conclude that  $AP^{-(m-1)} \subset P$  and then  $AP^{-m} \subset R$  which implies  $AP^{-m} \cap C(P) \neq \phi$ . Thus  $AP^{-m}R_P = R_P = R_P AP^{-m}$ , and then  $R_P A \supset R_P AP^{-m}P^m = AP^{-m}R_P P^m = AR_P$ . By the similar way we have  $AR_P \supset R_P A$ . Hence  $AR_P = R_P A$ . Now, it suffices to show  $AR_P = (\overline{AR_P})_i$ . Let  $a$  be a regular element in  $A$  such that  $AR_P = aR_P = R_P a$  and  $x \in (\overline{AR_P})_i$ . Then

there exists  $J=Ra_1+\dots+Ra_n\subset AR_P$  with  $x\in J^*$ , Put  $a_i=r_i c^{-1}a$  for  $c\in C(P)$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ). We have  $x\in J^*=(\sum_{i=1}^n Rr_i c^{-1}a)^*=(\sum Rr_i)^*c^{-1}a\subset Rc^{-1}a\subset R_P a=AR_P$ . Hence  $(\overline{AR_P})_I\subset AR_P$ . This completes the proof.

**Lemma 1.7.** *Let  $A$  be an  $R$ -ideal in  $R$ . Then  $A^*=\bigcap_{P\in P}AR_P\cap S(R)$ .*

Proof. Since  $(A^{-1}A)^*=R$ , we have  $A^*A^{-1}\not\subset P$  and  $A^{-1}A\not\subset P$ , that is,  $R_P=R_P A^*A^{-1}=A^{-1}AR_P$  for all  $P\in P$ . Thus  $A^*R_P=A^*(A^{-1}A)R_P=R_P(A^*A^{-1})A=R_P A=AR_P$  by Lemma 1.6 and  $A^*S(R)=AS(R)=S(R)$ . Hence we have  $A^*=\bigcap_{P\in P}A^*R_P\cap A^*S(R)=\bigcap_{P\in P}AR_P\cap AS(R)$  by [3, Corollary 4.2].

Let  $P\in P_0$ ,  $P'$  the corresponding unique maximal ideal of  $R_P$ , and  $P''=P'\cap T$  the minimal prime  $v$ -ideal of  $T$ . Then  $P''R_P=P'=PR_P$ , since  $T$  is Krull in the sense of [4]. It is noted that the same proof as Lemma 1.7 yields  $B^*=\bigcap_{P\in P_0}BR_P\cap S(R)$  for every ideal  $B$  of  $T$ . We shall write  $I_F=I\circ R_F=I\circ T$  for a right  $v$ -ideal  $I$ .

**Lemma 1.8.** *We have  $(P^n)^*\circ T=T\circ(P^n)^*=(P''^n)^*=P^nR_P\cap T$  for every  $P\in P_0$  and every natural number  $n$ .*

Proof. Since  $(P^n)^*\circ T$  is a right  $v$ -ideal by Lemma 1.5, we have  $(P^n)^*\circ T=\bigcap_{P_i\in P_0}((P^n)^*\circ T)R_{P_i}\cap S(R)\supset\bigcap_{P_i\in P_0}P^nR_{P_i}\cap S(R)=P^nR_P\cap T=P''^nR_P\cap T=(P''^n)^*$ . On the other hand,  $(P^n)^*\circ T=((P^n)^*T)_I\subset(P^n)^*R_P\cap T=P^nR_P\cap T$  by Lemmas 1.1(ii), 1.6, and 1.7. Hence  $(P^n)^*\circ T=P^nR_P\cap T=(P''^n)^*$ . By the similar way  $T\cap P^nR_P=T\circ(P^n)^*$ . This completes the proof.

**Lemma 1.9.** (i) *If  $A$  is a  $v$ -ideal of  $R$ , then  $A\circ T=T\circ A$  is a  $v$ -ideal of  $T$ . (ii) *If  $A''$  is a  $v$ -ideal of  $T$ , then  $A=A''\cap R$  is a  $v$ -ideal of  $R$  and  $A''=A\circ T$ .**

Proof. (i); Let  $A=(P_1^{n_1}\dots P_k^{n_k})^*$  with  $P_i\in P_0(i=1, \dots, l)$  and  $P_j\in P-P_0(j=l+1, \dots, k)$ . Since  $A\circ T$  is a right  $v$ -ideal,  $A\circ T=\bigcap_{P_j\in P_0}(A\circ T)R_{P_j}\cap S(R)\supset\bigcap_{P_j\in P_0}AR_{P_j}\cap S(R)=\bigcap_{i=1}^l P_i^{n_i}R_{P_i}\cap T=(P_1^{n_1})^*\cap\dots\cap(P_l^{n_l})^*$ . On the other hand,  $A\circ T=(\overline{AT})_I\subset\bigcap_{P\in P_0}AR_P\cap S(R)=\bigcap_{i=1}^l P_i^{n_i}R_{P_i}\cap T=(P_1^{n_1})^*\cap\dots\cap(P_l^{n_l})^*$ . Thus  $A\circ T=(P_1^{n_1})^*\cap\dots\cap(P_l^{n_l})^*=T\circ A$  by the similar way. (ii); It holds that  $\{P''; P\in P_0\}$  is the set of all prime  $v$ -ideals of  $T$ (cf. [4, Proposition 1.7]). Thus we have  $A''=(P_1^{n_1})^*\cap\dots\cap(P_k^{n_k})^*=P_1^{n_1}R_{P_1}\cap T\cap\dots\cap P_k^{n_k}R_{P_k}\cap T$  by Lemma 1.8. Hence  $A=(P_1^{n_1}R_{P_1}\cap R)\cap\dots\cap(P_k^{n_k}R_{P_k}\cap R)=(P_1^{n_1})^*\cap\dots\cap(P_k^{n_k})^*$  is a  $v$ -ideal of  $R$ . Moreover, we have  $A\circ T=((P_1^{n_1})^*\cap\dots\cap(P_k^{n_k})^*)\circ T=(P_1^{n_1})^*\circ T\cap\dots\cap(P_k^{n_k})^*\circ T=(P_1^{n_1})^*\cap\dots\cap(P_k^{n_k})^*=A''$  by Lemmas 1.1 (ix) and 1.8. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 1.10.**  *$T$  is a Krull order in  $Q$ .*

Proof. In order to prove  $T$  to be Krull, it suffices to show  $S(R)=S(T)$ , because  $T$  is Krull in the sense of [4] (cf. [4, Proposition 1.2]). Let  $A$  be a

$v$ -ideal of  $R$ . Then  $A'' = A \circ T$  is also a  $v$ -ideal of  $T$  and  $A^{-1} \subset T \circ A^{-1} = (A \circ T)^{-1} \subset S(T)$  by the proof of Lemma 1.5 (i). Thus  $S(R) \subset S(T)$ . Conversely, let  $A''$  be a  $v$ -ideal of  $T$  and  $A = A'' \cap R$  a  $v$ -ideal of  $R$ . Then  $(A'')^{-1} = T \circ A^{-1} = (\overline{TA^{-1}})_r \subset (\overline{S(R)})_r = S(R)$ . Hence  $S(R) = S(T)$  and thus  $T$  is Krull.

**Corollary 1.11.** *If we choose  $P_0$  such that  $P - P_0$  is a finite set, then  $R = T \cap T'$ , where  $T = \bigcap_{P \in P_0} R_P \cap S(R)$  is a Krull order and  $T' = \bigcap_{P \in P - P_0} R_P$  is a bounded Dedekind prime ring, and is a right and left principal ideal ring.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 1.10 and [3, Lemma 3.3].

Now, we specially choose  $P_0$  to be the set of all invertible prime ideals. Then  $T$  is an  $RI$ -order in the sense of Cozzens and Sandomierski [1], here an order in a simple artinian ring is said to be an  $RI$ -order, its two-sided  $v$ -ideals form a group under the ordinary multiplication.

**Theorem 1.12.** *If  $P_0$  is the set of all invertible prime ideals of  $R$ , then  $T = \bigcap_{P \in P_0} R_P \cap S(R)$  is an  $RI$ -order.*

Proof. It holds that  $\{P''; P'' = P' \cap T \text{ and } P' \text{ is a unique maximal ideal of } R_P, P \in P_0\}$  is the set of all minimal prime ideals of  $T$ . Thus by [1, Proposition 2.4] we only show that each  $P''$  is invertible. Let  $x \in P''$  and  $X \in F$  with  $x \in X^{-1}$ . Then  $xX \subset R \cap P' = P$  and  $xR_P = xXR_P \subset PR_P$ . We have  $x \in PR_P \cap \bigcap_{P_i \in P_0} R_{P_i} \cap S(R) = \bigcap_{P_i \in P_0} PR_{P_i} \cap S(R) = PT$  by the invertibility of  $P$  and [6, Proposition 2.1]. Thus  $P'' \subset PT$ . The converse inclusion is clear, so that  $P'' = PT$ . By the same way we have  $P'' = TP$ . Hence  $P''$  is invertible.

We shall give the structure of the integral  $v$ -ideals of  $R$ .

**Theorem 1.13.** *Let  $R$  be a Krull order in  $Q$  and  $A$  a  $v$ -ideal of  $R$ . Then  $A = P_1^{n_1} \cdots P_k^{n_k} B = BP_1^{n_1} \cdots P_k^{n_k}$ , where each  $P_i$  is invertible ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ) and  $B$  is a  $v$ -ideal such that  $B \not\subset P$  for every invertible prime ideal  $P$ .*

Proof. Let  $A = (P_1^{n_1} \cdots P_k^{n_k} P_{k+1}^{n_{k+1}} \cdots P_l^{n_l})^*$ , where each  $P_i$  is an invertible prime ideal ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ) and each  $P_j$  is a noninvertible prime  $v$ -ideal ( $j = k+1, \dots, l$ ). Then by [1, Lemma 2.1] we have that  $(P_1^{n_1} \cdots P_k^{n_k})^{-1} A = ((P_1^{n_1} \cdots P_k^{n_k})^{-1} A)^* = ((P_1^{n_1} \cdots P_k^{n_k})^{-1} (P_1^{n_1} \cdots P_l^{n_l}))^* = (P_{k+1}^{n_{k+1}} \cdots P_l^{n_l})^* = B$  is a  $v$ -ideal. It is clear that  $B$  satisfies the condition of the theorem. Hence  $A = P_1^{n_1} \cdots P_k^{n_k} B$ . Starting with  $A = (P_{k+1}^{n_{k+1}} \cdots P_l^{n_l} P_1^{n_1} \cdots P_k^{n_k})^*$  we get  $A = BP_1^{n_1} \cdots P_k^{n_k}$ . This completes the proof.

## 2. Maximal orders over unique factorization domains

Throughout this section, let  $R$  be a unique factorization domain and  $\Lambda$  a maximal  $R$ -order in the sense of Fossum [2], that is,  $\Lambda$  satisfies the following

conditions;

(i)  $R \subset \Lambda$ .

(ii)  $K\Lambda = \Sigma$ , where  $K$  is the quotient field of  $R$  and  $\Sigma$  is a central simple  $K$ -algebra.

(iii) Each element of  $\Lambda$  is integral over  $R$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the set of all minimal prime ideals of  $R$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_1 = \{p \in \mathcal{P}; \text{ the minimal prime ideal } P \text{ of } \Lambda \text{ with } P \cap R = p \text{ is invertible in } \Lambda\}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_2 = \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}_1$ ,  $\Lambda_1 = \bigcap_{p \in \mathcal{P}_1} \Lambda_p$ , and  $\Lambda_2 = \bigcap_{q \in \mathcal{P}_2} \Lambda_q$ . It is well-known that there is a unique minimal prime ideal  $P$  of  $\Lambda$  with  $p = P \cap R$  for every  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ . Let  $\delta$  be the different of  $\Lambda$ , that is,  $\delta = C(\Lambda)^{-1}$ , where  $C(\Lambda)$  is the complementary ideal,  $C(\Lambda) = \{x \in \Sigma; tr(x\Lambda) \subset R\}$ , in which  $tr$  denotes the usual trace function in a simple algebra [8]. Let  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  be all minimal primes of  $\Lambda$  which contain  $\delta$ . We shall classify the minimal primes of  $\Lambda$  with respect to the invertibility.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $P$  is a minimal prime of  $\Lambda$  with  $P \neq P_i (i=1, \dots, n)$ , then  $p\Lambda (p=P \cap R)$  is invertible. If  $P$  is one of  $P_i$ 's, then;*

- (i) *There is an integer  $t > 1$  such that  $P^t = p\Lambda (p=P \cap R) \Leftrightarrow P$  is invertible.*
- (ii)  *$P^t \neq p\Lambda (p=P \cap R)$  for any integer  $t \Leftrightarrow P$  is not invertible.*

Proof. By [9, §5] we only prove the second statement. (i);  $\Rightarrow$ : Since  $p\Lambda$  is invertible by hypothesis,  $P$  is, too.  $\Leftarrow$ : There is an integer  $t > 1$  such that  $P^t = p\Lambda_p$ . If  $q \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $q \neq p$ , then  $P^t = p\Lambda_q = \Lambda_q$ . Thus  $P^t = \bigcap_{q \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{P}_q^t \cap q \in \mathcal{P} p\Lambda_q = p\Lambda$ , since  $P^t$  and  $p\Lambda$  are  $v$ -ideals. Now, (ii) holds at once.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\Lambda, \Lambda_1$ , and  $\Lambda_2$  are the same as in the first paragraph of this section. Then  $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$ , where  $\Lambda_1$  is an RI-order and  $\Lambda_2$  is a bounded Dedekind prime ring, and is a right and left principal ideal ring.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.1, Corollary 1.11, and Theorem 1.12.

Applying Theorem 1.13 to a  $v$ -ideal of  $\Lambda$  we get the following.

**Proposition 2.3.** *If  $A$  is a  $v$ -dideal of  $\Lambda$ , then  $A\Lambda_1$  is also a  $v$ -ideal of  $\Lambda_1$ .*

Proof. Let  $A = P_1^{n_1} \dots P_r^{n_r} B$ , where  $P_i$ 's are minimal primes with  $P_i \cap R \in \mathcal{P}_1$  and  $B$  is a  $v$ -ideal of  $\Lambda$  such that  $B \not\subset P$  for every minimal prime  $P$  with  $P \cap R \in \mathcal{P}_1$ . Let  $Q$  be a minimal prime ideal of  $\Lambda$  with  $q = Q \cap R \in \mathcal{P}_2$  and  $R_1 = \bigcap_{p \in \mathcal{P}_1} R_p$ . Then  $q = zR$  for  $z \in R$ , since  $R$  is a unique factorization domain. We have  $qR_1 = zR_1 = \bigcap_{p \in \mathcal{P}_1} zR_p = R_1$ , and then  $q\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_1$  which implies  $Q\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_1$ . Therefore,  $B\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_1$ . Hence  $A\Lambda_1 = P_1^{n_1} \dots P_r^{n_r} \Lambda_1$  is a  $v$ -ideal of  $\Lambda_1$  (in fact, an invertible ideal).

**3. Example.** In this section, we study an example of a maximal  $R$ -order which has a noninvertible prime  $v$ -ideal.

REMARK. It was shown in [1, §2] that an arbitrary maximal  $R$ -order,



where  $R$  is a noetherian integrally closed domain, is an  $RI$ -order. However, the following example turns out to be the counter example of this statement.

Now, our example is originated by Ramras [7]. Let  $k$  be a perfect field of characteristic  $\neq 2$ ,  $R=k[[X, Y]]$  with  $X$  and  $Y$  transcendental over  $k$ , and  $K=k((X, Y))$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the quaternion algebra  $K[1, \alpha, \beta, \alpha\beta]$  with  $\alpha^2=X, \beta^2=Y(Y-X)(Y+X)$ , and  $\beta\alpha=-\alpha\beta$ . Then the  $R$ -free order  $R[1, \alpha, \beta, \alpha\beta]=\Lambda$  is a maximal  $R$ -order in  $\Sigma$  by [7]. We shall compute the different  $\delta$  of  $\Lambda$ , and for the reader's convenience we state the process of it. Let  $L=K(\beta)$  be the cyclic extension of  $K$  and  $\Sigma=L\oplus L\alpha$ . We put  $\bar{a}=k-\beta l$  for any  $a=k+\beta l \in L(k, l \in K)$ .  $L$  is the splitting field of  $\Sigma$  and the ring isomorphism  $L \otimes_K \Sigma \simeq M_2(L)$  is given by  $1 \otimes a \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}$  and  $1 \otimes \alpha b \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X\bar{b} \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  for  $a, b \in L$  (cf. [8, Example 9.4]). Thus  $tr(x)=a+\bar{a}$  for  $x=a+\alpha b \in \Sigma$ . Let  $x, y \in \Sigma$  and  $x=k_1+\beta l_1+\alpha(k_2+\beta l_2), y=m_1+\beta n_1+\alpha(m_2+\beta n_2)$  ( $k_i, l_i, m_i, n_i \in K$ ). Then:

$$xy = k_1m_1 + Xk_2m_2 + \psi l_1n_1 - X\psi l_2n_2 + \beta(k_1n_1 + Xk_2n_2 + l_1m_1 - Xl_2m_2) + \alpha(k_1m_2 + k_2m_1 - \psi l_1n_2 + \psi l_2n_1 + \beta(k_1n_2 - l_1m_3 + k_2n_1 + l_2m_1)),$$

where  $\psi=Y(Y-X)(Y+X)$ . Now, we get  $tr(xy)=2(k_1m_1+Xk_2m_2+\psi l_1n_1-X\psi l_2n_2)$ ,  $C(\Lambda)=\{x=k_1+\beta l_1+\alpha(k_2+\beta l_2) \in \Sigma; k_1 \in R, k_2 \in (1/X)R, l_1 \in (1/\psi)R, l_2 \in (1/X\psi)R\}$ , and then  $\delta=C(\Lambda)^{-1}=\{y=m_1+\beta n_1+\alpha(m_2+\beta n_2) \in \Lambda; m_1 \in (\psi X), m_2 \in (\psi), n_1 \in (X), n_2 \in R\}$ . Let  $P_0=\{y=m_1+\beta n_1+\alpha(m_2+\beta n_2) \in \Lambda; m_1, n_1 \in (X), m_2, n_2 \in R\}=\alpha\Lambda$ ,  $P_i=\{y=m_1+\beta n_1+\alpha(m_2+\beta n_2) \in \Lambda; m_1, m_2 \in (\psi_i), n_1, n_2 \in R\}$ , where  $\psi_1=Y, \psi_2=Y-X, \psi_3=Y+X$  ( $i=1, 2, 3$ ). Thus  $P_i \supset \delta$  ( $i=0, 1, 2, 3$ ),  $P_0$  is invertible, each  $P_i$  is a  $v$ -ideal, since  $P_i^{-1}=\{x=k_1+\beta l_1+\alpha(k_2+\beta l_2) \in \Sigma; l_1, l_2 \in (1/\psi_i)R, k_1, k_2 \in R\}$  ( $i=1, 2, 3$ ). Since  $\alpha\Lambda_{(X)}=\text{Rad } \Lambda_{(X)}$  and  $\beta\Lambda_{(\psi_i)}=\text{Rad } \Lambda_{(\psi_i)}$ , each  $P_i$  ( $i=0, 1, 2, 3$ ) is a prime ideal by the equations  $\alpha\Lambda_{(X)} \cap \Lambda=P_0, \beta\Lambda_{(\psi_i)} \cap \Lambda=P_i$  ( $i=1, 2, 3$ ). If  $P_i$  ( $i=1, 2, 3$ ) is invertible, then there exist  $k_{sj}, l_{sj}, m_{sj}, n_{sj} \in R$  ( $s=1, 2; j=1, \dots, t$ ) such that  $\psi_i \sum_j k_{1j} m_{1j} + X \psi_i \sum_j k_{2j} n_{2j} + (\psi/\psi_i) \sum_j l_{1j} n_{1j} - X(\psi/\psi_i) \sum_j l_{2j} n_{2j} = 1$ . However, the left hand side of this equation is contained in  $(X, Y)$  which is a contradiction. Thus each  $P_i$  is not invertible ( $i=1, 2, 3$ ). It holds that  $P_0^2=X\Lambda, P_i=\beta\Lambda+\psi_i\Lambda$ , and no power of  $P_i$  equals  $\psi_i\Lambda$  ( $i=1, 2, 3$ ). If  $P$  is a minimal prime ideal which contains  $\delta$ , then  $P \cap R \supset (X\psi)$ . Thus  $P \cap R=(X)$  or  $(\psi_i)$  which implies that  $P$  equals one of  $P_i$ 's ( $i=0, 1, 2, 3$ ).

Summarizing the above results we get the followings.  $\Lambda$  is a maximal  $R$ -order which has the prime  $v$ -ideals  $P_i$  ( $i=0, 1, 2, 3$ ).  $P_0$  is invertible,  $P_0 \not\subseteq X\Lambda$ , and  $P_0^2=X\Lambda$  and  $P_i$  is noninvertible,  $P_i^t \neq \psi_i\Lambda$  for any positive integer  $t$ , and  $P_i=\beta\Lambda+\psi_i\Lambda$  ( $i=1, 2, 3$ ). Every prime  $v$ -ideal  $P \neq P_i$  ( $i=0, 1, 2, 3$ ) is invertible and  $P=p\Lambda$  ( $p=P \cap R$ ).

OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

## References

- [1] J.H. Cozzens and F.L. Sandomierski: *Maximal orders and localization. I*, J. Algebra **44** (1977), 319–338.
- [2] R.M. Fossum: *Maximal orders over Krull domains*, J. Algebra **10**, (1968), 321–332.
- [3] H. Marubayashi: *Non commutative Krull rings*, Osaka J. Math. **12** (1975), 703–714.
- [4] H. Marubayashi: *On bounded Krull prime rings*, Osaka J. Math. **13** (1976), 491–501.
- [5] H. Marubayashi: *A characterization of bounded Krull prime rings*, Osaka J. Math. **15** (1978), 13–20.
- [6] H. Marubayashi: *Polynomial rings over Krull orders in simple artinian rings*, to appear.
- [7] M. Ramras: *Maximal orders over regular local rings of dimension 2*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (1969), 457–479.
- [8] I. Reiner: *Maximal orders*, Academic Press, London, 1975.
- [9] J.A. Riley: *Reflexive ideals in maximal orders*, J. Algebra **2** (1965), 451–465.
- [10] Bo. Stenström: *Rings and modules of quotients*, Lecture Notes in Math. 237, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1971.

