



Title	Modules with many direct summands
Author(s)	Smith, Patrick F.
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1990, 27(2), p. 253-264
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/8417">https://doi.org/10.18910/8417</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

Smith, P.F.  
Osaka J. Math.  
27 (1990), 253-264

## MODULES WITH MANY DIRECT SUMMANDS

PATRICK F. SMITH

(Received May 30, 1989)

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $\mathcal{X}$  a class of right  $R$ -modules. Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module such that for every submodule  $N$  of  $M$  there exists a direct summand  $K$  of  $M$  such that  $N \subseteq K$  and  $K/N \in \mathcal{X}$ . The structure of  $M$  is investigated in the cases that  $\mathcal{X}$  consists of Noetherian right  $R$ -modules, right  $R$ -modules with Krull dimension and right  $R$ -modules with finite uniform dimension, respectively.

### 1. Classes of modules

Throughout this note, all rings considered have an identity and all modules are unital right modules. Let  $R$  be a ring. By a *class of  $R$ -modules* we mean a collection of  $R$ -modules containing a zero module such that if  $M \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $M' \cong M$  then  $M' \in \mathcal{X}$ . Any member of  $\mathcal{X}$  will be called an  *$\mathcal{X}$ -module*. Let

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules. A class  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $R$ -modules will be called

*S-closed* provided  $M' \in \mathcal{X}$  whenever  $M \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

*Q-closed* provided  $M'' \in \mathcal{X}$  whenever  $M \in \mathcal{X}$ , and

*P-closed* provided  $M \in \mathcal{X}$  whenever both  $M' \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $M'' \in \mathcal{X}$ .

Moreover,  $\mathcal{X}$  is called *{P, S}-closed* provided it is both *P*-closed and *S*-closed, and so on (this terminology is taken from [15]).

Let  $n$  be a positive integer and  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n$  classes of  $R$ -modules. Then  $\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y}$  is the class of  $R$ -modules  $M$  which contain a submodule  $N$  such that  $N \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $M/N \in \mathcal{Y}$ . In particular  $\mathcal{X}^2$  will denote  $\mathcal{X}\mathcal{X}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{X}$  is *P*-closed if and only if  $\mathcal{X}^2 = \mathcal{X}$ . Moreover  $\mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{X}_n$  is the class of  $R$ -modules consisting of all  $R$ -modules  $M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n$ , where  $M_i \in \mathcal{X}_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). In case  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) we shall denote  $\mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{X}_n$  by  $\mathcal{X}^{(n)}$ . It is clear that

$$\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y}, \quad (1)$$

for any classes  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  of  $R$ -modules.

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a class of  $R$ -modules. Then  $H\mathcal{X}$  is the class of  $R$ -modules  $M$  such that  $M/N \in \mathcal{X}$  for every submodule  $N$  of  $M$ . On the other hand,  $E\mathcal{X}$  is the class of  $R$ -modules  $M$  such that  $M/N \in \mathcal{X}$  for every essential submodule  $N$  of  $M$ . Moreover,  $D\mathcal{X}$  is the class of  $R$ -modules  $M$  such that for each submodule  $N$  of  $M$  there exists a direct summand  $K$  of  $M$  containing  $N$  such that  $K/N \in \mathcal{X}$ . It is clear that

$$H\mathcal{X} \subseteq D\mathcal{X} \subseteq E\mathcal{X}, \quad (2)$$

for any class  $\mathcal{X}$ . Moreover,

$$\mathcal{X} \cap E\mathcal{X} = H\mathcal{X}, \quad (3)$$

for any  $\{P, S\}$ -closed class  $\mathcal{X}$ . In order to establish (3) we first recall:

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $N$  any submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then there exists a submodule  $K$  of  $M$  such that  $N \cap K = 0$  and  $N \oplus K$  is an essential submodule of  $M$ .*

Proof. See [1, Proposition 5.21].

Consider (3). Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be any  $\{P, S\}$ -closed class of  $R$ -modules. Note first that, by (2),  $H\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{X} \cap E\mathcal{X}$ . Now let  $M \in \mathcal{X} \cap E\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $N$  be any submodule of  $M$ . By Lemma 1.1 there exists a submodule  $N'$  such that  $N \cap N' = 0$  and  $N \oplus N'$  is an essential submodule of  $M$ . Now  $N' \in \mathcal{X}$  (because  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $S$ -closed) and  $M/(N \oplus N') \in \mathcal{X}$  (because  $M \in E\mathcal{X}$ ). Thus  $M/N \in \mathcal{X}$ , because  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $P$ -closed. It follows that  $M \in H\mathcal{X}$ . This proves (3).

In this section we shall investigate further relationships between such classes. First of all we shall give examples to show that (3) fails if  $\mathcal{X}$  is not  $\{P, S\}$ -closed.

**EXAMPLE 1.** Let  $R$  be a right nonsingular ring which is not semiprime Artinian, and let  $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}'$  denote the classes of singular  $R$ -modules and nonsingular  $R$ -modules, respectively. Let  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{I}'$ . Then  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $S$ -closed but not  $P$ -closed because if  $M_1$  is a non-zero  $\mathcal{I}$ -module and  $M_2$  a non-zero  $\mathcal{I}'$ -module then  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $M'$  denote the  $R$ -module  $R \oplus R$ . Then  $M' \in \mathcal{X} \cap E\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $E$  be a proper essential right ideal of  $R$  and  $N$  the submodule  $E \oplus 0$  of  $M'$ . Then  $M'/N$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{X}$ . Thus  $M'$  does not belong to  $H\mathcal{X}$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.** Let  $R$  be any ring and  $\mathcal{X}$  the class of all  $R$ -modules of finite (composition) length  $n$ , where  $n$  is even. Then  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $P$ -closed but not  $S$ -closed. Let  $U$  be any simple  $R$ -module. Then  $M = U \oplus U \in \mathcal{X} \cap E\mathcal{X}$ , but  $M$  does not belong to  $H\mathcal{X}$ .

For any ring  $R$ , it will be convenient to denote the classes of zero  $R$ -modules,

semisimple  $R$ -modules, singular  $R$ -modules, nonsingular  $R$ -modules, Noetherian  $R$ -modules,  $R$ -modules with Krull dimension, and  $R$ -modules of finite uniform dimension by  $\mathcal{Z}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}'$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{K}$ , and  $\mathcal{U}$ , respectively. In addition  $\mathcal{J}$  will denote the class of all  $R$ -modules  $M$  such that every submodule is an essential submodule of a direct summand of  $M$ . The class  $\mathcal{J}$  has been studied by a number of authors ([3], [4], [6]–[13]). Note that, for any ring  $R$ ,

$$\mathcal{J} \subseteq D\mathcal{Q} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Q}' \cap D\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{J}. \quad (4)$$

The first statement is clear. For the second, let  $M \in \mathcal{Q}' \cap D\mathcal{Q}$ . Let  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$ . Then there exists a direct summand  $K$  of  $M$  containing  $N$  such that  $K/N \in \mathcal{Q}$ . If  $L$  is a submodule of  $K$  and  $N \cap L = 0$  then  $L$  embeds in  $K/N$ , so that  $L$  is singular and hence  $L = 0$ . Thus  $N$  is essential in  $K$ . It follows that  $M$  belongs to  $\mathcal{J}$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $\mathcal{X}$  any class of  $R$ -modules. Then*

- (i)  $\mathcal{J} \cap E\mathcal{X} \subseteq D\mathcal{X}$ , and
- (ii) if  $M \in D\mathcal{X}$  and  $M$  contains no non-zero submodule in  $\mathcal{X}$  then  $M \in \mathcal{J}$ .

Proof. (i) Let  $M \in \mathcal{J} \cap E\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $N$  be any submodule of  $M$ . Then there exist submodules  $K, K'$  of  $M$  such that  $M = K \oplus K'$  and  $N$  is an essential submodule of  $K$ . Then  $N \oplus K'$  is an essential submodule of  $M$  and hence  $K/N \cong M/(N \oplus K') \in \mathcal{X}$ . Thus  $M \in D\mathcal{X}$ . (ii) follows by the proof of (4).

For any  $R$ -module  $M$ , the socle of  $M$  will be denoted  $\text{soc } M$ . Next we note the following well known result.

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. Then*

- (a)  $\text{soc } M = \bigcap \{N : N \text{ is an essential submodule of } M\}$ .
- (b) The following statements are equivalent.
  - (i)  $M \in \mathcal{C}$  (i.e.  $M$  is semisimple).
  - (ii) Every submodule of  $M$  is a direct summand of  $M$ .
  - (iii)  $M$  is the only essential submodule of  $M$ .

Proof. By [1, Theorem 9.6 and Proposition 9.7].

Lemma 1.3 has the following immediate consequence.

**Corollary 1.4.** *For any ring  $R$  and class  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $R$ -modules,  $D\mathcal{Z} = E\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{C} \subseteq D\mathcal{X}$ .*

The next result generalises [8, Proposition 4.3] where it is proved that if  $R$  is a ring such that  $R_R \in DC$  (in particular, this implies that  $R$  is right Noetherian by [2, Theorem 3.1]) then any cyclic right  $R$ -module belongs to  $\mathcal{J}$ . (Note that  $DC$  is  $Q$ -closed.)

**Proposition 1.5.** *For any ring  $R$ ,  $DC \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ .*

Proof. Let  $M \in DC$ . Let  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$  and let  $K$  be a maximal essential extension of  $N$  in  $M$ . We shall show that  $K$  is a direct summand of  $M$ . Since  $M \in DC$  it follows that there exists a direct summand  $L$  of  $M$  such that  $K \subseteq L$  and  $L/K \in \mathcal{C}$ . There exist an index set  $\Lambda$  and submodules  $U_\lambda (\lambda \in \Lambda)$  of  $M$ , each containing  $K$ , such that  $U_\lambda/K$  is simple for each  $\lambda$  in  $\Lambda$  and  $L = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_\lambda$ . Note that, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $K$  is not essential in  $U_\lambda$  and hence there exists a simple submodule  $V_\lambda$  of  $M$  such that  $U_\lambda = K \oplus V_\lambda$ . Let  $V = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_\lambda$ . Then  $L = K + V$  and  $V$  is semisimple. By Lemma 1.3 there exists a submodule  $W$  of  $V$  such that  $V = (K \cap V) \oplus W$ , and hence  $L = K \oplus W$ . Thus  $K$  is a direct summand of  $M$ . It follows that  $M \in \mathcal{J}$ .

Combining Lemma 1.2, Proposition 1.5 and (2) we conclude

$$DC = \mathcal{J} \cap EC,$$

for any ring  $R$ . We have already noted that  $DC$  is  $Q$ -closed. Now we prove:

**Proposition 1.6.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $\mathcal{X}$  a class of  $R$ -modules. Then*

- (i)  $H\mathcal{X}$ ,  $E\mathcal{X}$  and  $D\mathcal{X}$  are all  $Q$ -closed, and
- (ii)  $H\mathcal{X}$  and  $E\mathcal{X}$  are  $S$ -closed provided  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $S$ -closed.

Proof. (i) Let  $M \in E\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $N$  be any submodule of  $M$ . Let  $K$  be any essential submodule of  $M/N$ . Then  $K = L/N$  for some essential submodule  $L$  of  $M$  containing  $N$ . By hypothesis,  $M/L \in \mathcal{X}$ , and hence  $(M/N)/K \in \mathcal{X}$ . It follows that  $M/N \in E\mathcal{X}$ . Thus  $E\mathcal{X}$  is  $Q$ -closed. Similarly  $H\mathcal{X}$  and  $D\mathcal{X}$  are  $Q$ -closed.

(ii) Suppose that  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $S$ -closed. Let  $M \in H\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$ . Let  $K$  be any submodule of  $N$ . Then  $N/K$  is a submodule of  $M/K$  and  $M/K \in \mathcal{X}$ . Thus  $N/K \in \mathcal{X}$ . Thus  $N \in H\mathcal{X}$ .

Now suppose  $M \in E\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$ . Let  $K$  be any essential submodule of  $N$ . By Lemma 1.1 there exists a submodule  $L$  of  $M$  such that  $K \cap L = 0$  and  $K \oplus L$  is an essential submodule of  $M$ . Note that  $K$  essential in  $N$  implies  $N \cap L = 0$  and hence  $N/K \cong (N \oplus L)/(K \oplus L)$ . But  $M/(K \oplus L) \in \mathcal{X}$  and hence so too does  $(N \oplus L)/(K \oplus L)$ . Thus  $N/K \in \mathcal{X}$ . It follows that  $N \in E\mathcal{X}$ .

Next we give an example to show that  $D\mathcal{X}$  is not  $S$ -closed in general.

**EXAMPLE 3.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}$  consists of all torsion  $R$ -modules and  $\mathcal{I}$  is  $\{P, Q, S\}$ -closed. Let  $M = R_R$ . Then  $M \in \mathcal{J} \subseteq D\mathcal{I}$ , by (4), but  $M \oplus M \notin \mathcal{J}$  (see [4, Example 2.4]). Let  $E = E(M)$ , the injective hull of  $M$ . Then  $E \oplus E$  is injective and hence  $E \oplus E \in \mathcal{J} \subseteq D\mathcal{I}$ . Thus  $D\mathcal{I}$  is not  $S$ -closed and  $D\mathcal{I} \oplus D\mathcal{I} \neq D\mathcal{I}$ .

**Proposition 1.7.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $\mathcal{X}$  any class of  $R$ -modules. Then*

- (i)  $\mathcal{C} \oplus E\mathcal{X} = E\mathcal{X}$ , and

(ii)  $\mathcal{C} \oplus D\mathcal{X} = D\mathcal{X}$ .

Proof. (i) Let  $M \in \mathcal{C} \oplus E\mathcal{X}$ . Then there exist submodules  $M_1, M_2$  of  $M$  such that  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ ,  $M_1 \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $M_2 \in E\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $N$  be an essential submodule of  $M$ . Since  $M_1$  is semisimple, it follows that  $M_1 \subseteq N$  (Lemma 1.3). Thus  $N = M_1 \oplus (N \cap M_2)$ , and

$$M/N = (M_1 \oplus M_2)/[M_1 \oplus (N \cap M_2)] \cong M_2/(N \cap M_2).$$

But  $N \cap M_2$  is an essential submodule of  $M_2$  and  $M_2 \in E\mathcal{X}$ . Thus  $M/N \in \mathcal{X}$ . It follows that  $M \in E\mathcal{X}$ .

(ii) Let  $M \in \mathcal{C} \oplus D\mathcal{X}$ . Then there exist submodules  $M_1, M_2$  such that  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ ,  $M_1 \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $M_2 \in D\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $N$  be any submodule of  $M$ . Note that  $N + M_2 = [(N + M_2) \cap M_1] \oplus M_2$ . Because  $M_1$  is semisimple, it follows that

$$M_1 = [(N + M_2) \cap M_1] \oplus L,$$

for some submodule  $L$  of  $M_1$  (Lemma 1.3). Thus  $N + M_2$  is a direct summand of  $M$ .

Since  $M_2 \in D\mathcal{X}$  it follows that there exist submodules  $K, K'$  of  $M_2$  such that  $M_2 = K \oplus K'$ ,  $N \cap M_2 \subseteq K$  and  $K/(N \cap M_2) \in \mathcal{X}$ . Now  $(K + N)/N \cong K/(K \cap N)$ , and  $K \cap N = K \cap M_2 \cap N = N \cap M_2$ . Thus

$$(K + N)/N \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (5)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} K' \cap (K + N) &= K' \cap M_2 \cap (K + N) \\ &= K' \cap [K + (N \cap M_2)] = K' \cap K = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $M_2 + N = K' \oplus (K + N)$ , and hence  $K + N$  is a direct summand of  $M$ . By (5) it follows that  $M \in D\mathcal{X}$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{C} \oplus H\mathcal{X} = H\mathcal{X}$  implies  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq H\mathcal{X}$  and hence  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{C} \oplus H\mathcal{X} \neq H\mathcal{X}$  in general. On the other hand, by (2) and Proposition 1.7,

$$\mathcal{C} \oplus H\mathcal{X} \subseteq D\mathcal{X}, \quad (6)$$

for any class  $\mathcal{X}$ . We have already seen in Example 3 that  $D\mathcal{X} \oplus D\mathcal{X} \neq D\mathcal{X}$ , even when  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\{P, Q, S\}$ -closed.

**Proposition 1.8.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $\mathcal{X}$  a  $P$ -closed class of  $R$ -modules. Then*

- (i)  $(H\mathcal{X}) \oplus (H\mathcal{X}) = (H\mathcal{X})^2 = H\mathcal{X}$ ,
- (ii)  $(E\mathcal{X}) \oplus (E\mathcal{X}) = (E\mathcal{X})(H\mathcal{X}) = E\mathcal{X}$ , and
- (iii)  $(H\mathcal{X}) \oplus (D\mathcal{X}) = (D\mathcal{X})$ .

Proof. (i) By (1),  $(H\mathcal{X}) \oplus (H\mathcal{X}) \subseteq (H\mathcal{X})^2$ , and  $H\mathcal{X} \subseteq (H\mathcal{X}) \oplus (H\mathcal{X})$  is clear. Let  $M \in (H\mathcal{X})^2$ . Then there exists a submodule  $N$  of  $M$  such that  $N$

and  $M/N$  both belong to  $H\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $K$  be a submodule of  $M$ . Then  $(N+K)/K \cong N/(N \cap K) \in \mathcal{X}$ , and  $M/(N+K) \in \mathcal{X}$ . Thus  $M/K$  belongs to  $\mathcal{X}$ . Thus  $M \in H\mathcal{X}$ .

(ii) The proof of  $(E\mathcal{X})(H\mathcal{X}) = E\mathcal{X} \subseteq (E\mathcal{X}) \oplus (E\mathcal{X})$  is similar to (i). Let  $M \in (E\mathcal{X}) \oplus (E\mathcal{X})$ . Then there exist submodules  $M_1, M_2$  of  $M$  such that  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  and  $M_i \in E\mathcal{X}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Let  $N$  be an essential submodule of  $M$ . Then  $N \cap M_1$  is an essential submodule of  $M_1$  so that  $M_1/(N \cap M_1) \in \mathcal{X}$ . Thus  $(M_1+N)/N \in \mathcal{X}$ . But  $M_1+N = M_1 \oplus [M_1+N] \cap M_2$ , so that

$$M/(M_1+N) \cong M_2[(M_1+N) \cap M_2],$$

which belongs to  $\mathcal{X}$  since  $(M_1+N) \cap M_2$  is an essential submodule of  $M_2$ . Since  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $P$ -closed it follows that  $M/N \in \mathcal{X}$ . Thus  $M \in E\mathcal{X}$ .

(iii) Let  $M \in (H\mathcal{X}) \oplus (D\mathcal{X})$ . Then there exist submodules  $M_1, M_2$  of  $M$  such that  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ ,  $M_1 \in H\mathcal{X}$  and  $M_2 \in D\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $N$  be any submodule of  $M$ . Then  $(M_1+N)/N \cong M_1/(M_1 \cap N) \in \mathcal{X}$ . Moreover  $M_1+N = M_1 \oplus [(M_1+N) \cap M_2]$ . By hypothesis there exists a direct summand  $K$  of  $M_2$  such that  $(M_1+N) \cap M_2 \subseteq K$  and  $K/[(M_1+N) \cap M_2] \in \mathcal{X}$ . It follows that  $M_1 \oplus K$  is a direct summand of  $M$  and

$$(M_1 \oplus K)/(M_1+N) \cong K/[(M_1+N) \cap M_2] \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Thus  $(M_1 \oplus K)/N \in \mathcal{X}$ . It follows that  $M \in D\mathcal{X}$ .

**Corollary 1.9.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $\mathcal{X}$  a  $P$ -closed class of  $R$ -modules. Then  $E\mathcal{X} = [\mathcal{C} \oplus (E\mathcal{X})^{(n)}](H\mathcal{X})$ , for any positive integer  $n$ .*

**Proof.** By Propositions 1.7 and 1.8.

Note that

$$\mathcal{C}(H\mathcal{X}) \subseteq E\mathcal{X} \tag{7}$$

for any class  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $R$ -modules. For, let  $M \in \mathcal{C}(H\mathcal{X})$ . Then there exists a submodule  $N$  of  $M$  such that  $N \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $M/N \in H\mathcal{X}$ . If  $K$  is any essential submodule of  $M$  then  $N \subseteq K$  by Lemma 1.3 and hence  $M/K \in \mathcal{X}$ . It follows that  $M \in E\mathcal{X}$ . In general,  $(E\mathcal{X})^2 \neq E\mathcal{X}$  and  $(D\mathcal{X})^2 \neq D\mathcal{X}$ . For example,  $\mathcal{C} = E\mathcal{Z} = D\mathcal{Z}$  (Corollary 1.4), but  $\mathcal{C}^2 \neq \mathcal{C}$  in general. (Example 3 also shows  $(D\mathcal{X})^2 \neq D\mathcal{X}$ .)

The next two examples illustrate Proposition 1.8.

**EXAMPLE 4.** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $n$  any positive integer. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  denote the class of  $R$ -modules of finite length at most  $n$ . Then  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\{S, Q\}$ -closed but not  $P$ -closed. Thus  $H\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}$  and

$$\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{X}^2.$$

If  $R=\mathbf{Z}$  then  $\mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{X} \neq \mathcal{X}^2$ . Staying with  $R=\mathbf{Z}$ , note that for any prime  $p$ ,  $A=\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z}_{p^{n+1}} \in E\mathcal{X}$  so that  $A \oplus A \in E\mathcal{X} \oplus E\mathcal{X}$  but  $A \oplus A \notin E\mathcal{X}$ . Also  $B=\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z}_{p^{n+2}} \in (E\mathcal{X})\mathcal{X}$ , but  $B \notin E\mathcal{X}$ .

EXAMPLE 5. Consider the ring  $\mathbf{Z}$  of rational integers and let  $\mathcal{I}$  denote the class of torsion  $\mathbf{Z}$ -modules. Then  $H\mathcal{I}=\mathcal{I}$ , and

- (i)  $(D\mathcal{I})(H\mathcal{I})=(D\mathcal{I})\mathcal{I} \subseteq D\mathcal{I}$ , and
- (ii)  $E\mathcal{I} \subseteq (D\mathcal{I})(H\mathcal{I})=(D\mathcal{I})\mathcal{I}$ .

First consider (i). Let  $M$  be any  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module with finite rank. Then there exists a free submodule  $F$  of  $M$  of finite rank such that  $M/F \in \mathcal{I}$ . If  $N$  is a submodule of  $F$  and  $K/N$  is the torsion submodule of  $F/N$  then  $F/K$  is finitely generated torsion free, so free, and hence  $K$  is a direct summand of  $F$ . Thus  $F \in D\mathcal{I}$  and  $M \in (D\mathcal{I})\mathcal{I}$ . However, in general,  $M \notin D\mathcal{I}$ ; consider  $M$  in  $\mathcal{I}'$  and use (4) and [9, Theorem 14].

For (ii), let  $M$  be any free  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module of infinite rank. Then  $M \in E\mathcal{I}$ , because any  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module belongs to  $E\mathcal{I}$ , but  $M \notin (D\mathcal{I})\mathcal{I}$ , by Lemma 1.2 (ii) and [9, Theorem 5].

We complete this section by giving an example to show that  $C\mathcal{N} \notin D\mathcal{N}$ , in contrast to (7).

EXAMPLE 6. Let  $\mathbf{Q}$ ,  $\mathbf{R}$  denote the fields of rational and real numbers, respectively, and let  $R$  denote the subring of the ring of all  $2 \times 2$  real matrices consisting of all matrices of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $a \in \mathbf{Q}$ ,  $b \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then  $R_R \in C\mathcal{N}$ . However, it can easily be checked that the only idempotents of  $R$  are 0, 1, and hence  $R_R \notin D\mathcal{N}$ .

## 2. Modules with finite uniform dimension

Let  $R$  be a ring. An  $R$ -module  $M$  has *finite uniform (Goldie) dimension* provided  $M$  does not contain an infinite direct sum of non-zero submodules. The class of all such modules will be denoted  $\mathcal{U}$ . It is well known that a module  $M$  is a  $\mathcal{U}$ -module if and only if there exist a positive integer  $n$  and uniform submodules  $U_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) of  $M$  such that  $U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_n$  is an essential submodule of  $M$ , and in this case  $n$  is an invariant of the module called the *uniform dimension* of  $M$  (see, for example, [1, p. 294 ex. 2]). Therefore  $\mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}$ , for any ring  $R$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $S$ -closed. Moreover,  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $P$ -closed. For, let  $M \in \mathcal{U}^2$ . Then there exists a submodule  $N$  of  $M$  such that both  $N$  and  $M/N$  belong to  $\mathcal{U}$ . By Lemma 1.1, there exists a submodule  $K$  of  $M$  such that  $K \cap N = 0$  and  $N \oplus K$  is an essential submodule of  $M$ . Since  $K$  is isomorphic

to a submodule of  $M/N$  it follows that  $K \in \mathcal{U}$ . Thus  $N \oplus K \in \mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}$ . It follows that  $M \in \mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $P$ -closed.

**Theorem 2.1.** *For any ring  $R$ ,  $E\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{C}(H\mathcal{U})$ .*

Proof. By (7),  $\mathcal{C}(H\mathcal{U}) \subseteq E\mathcal{U}$ . Conversely, suppose that  $M \in E\mathcal{U}$ . Let  $N$  denote the socle of  $M$ . Let  $K$  be any submodule of  $M$  containing  $N$ . By Lemma 1.1 there exists a submodule  $K'$  of  $M$  such that  $K \cap K' = 0$  and  $K \oplus K'$  is an essential submodule of  $M$ . Thus

$$M/(K \oplus K') \in \mathcal{U}, \quad (8)$$

by hypothesis. Let  $L = L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus L_3 \oplus \dots$  be a direct sum of non-zero submodules of  $K'$ . Since  $N \cap K' = 0$  it follows that, for each  $i \geq 1$ ,  $L_i$  is not semi-simple and hence contains a proper essential submodule  $H_i$  (Lemma 1.3). Let  $H = H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus H_3 \oplus \dots$ . Then  $H$  is an essential submodule of  $L$  and

$$L/H \cong (L_1/H_1) \oplus (L_2/H_2) \oplus (L_3/H_3) \oplus \dots$$

is an infinite direct sum of non-zero submodules. But the submodule  $L$  of  $M$  belongs to  $E\mathcal{U}$ , by Proposition 1.6, a contradiction. Thus  $K' \in \mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $P$ -closed it follows, by (8), that  $M/K \in \mathcal{U}$ . Thus  $M/N$  belongs to  $H\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $M \in \mathcal{C}(H\mathcal{U})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a class of  $R$ -modules such that  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . Then  $F\mathcal{X}$  will denote the class consisting of all  $\mathcal{Z}$ -modules together with all  $R$ -modules  $M$  such that there exist a positive integer  $n$  and uniform submodules  $U_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) of  $M$  with  $M = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_n$  and  $U_i \in E\mathcal{X}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Note that a uniform module  $U \in E\mathcal{X}$  if and only if  $U/V \in \mathcal{X}$  for all non-zero submodules  $V$  of  $U$ . Note that

$$F\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N} \quad \text{and} \quad F\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}, \quad (9)$$

for any ring  $R$ . For any ordinal  $\alpha \geq 0$ , let  $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$  denote the class of all  $R$ -modules with Krull dimension at most  $\alpha$ . Then  $F\mathcal{K}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{K}_{\alpha+1}$ , and a module  $M \in F\mathcal{K}_\alpha$  if and only if  $M$  is a direct sum of  $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ -submodules and  $(\alpha+1)$ -critical submodules (see [5]). Note that if  $\mathcal{X}$  is a  $P$ -closed class of  $R$ -modules then

$$(\mathcal{C} \oplus F\mathcal{X})(H\mathcal{X}) \subseteq E\mathcal{X}, \quad (10)$$

by Corollary 1.9.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $\mathcal{X}$  an  $S$ -closed class of  $R$ -modules such that  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . Then  $E\mathcal{X} \subseteq [\mathcal{C} \oplus F\mathcal{X}](H\mathcal{X})$ .*

Proof. Let  $M \in E\mathcal{X}$ . Then  $M \in E\mathcal{U}$ . By the theorem there exists a submodule  $N$  of  $M$  such that  $N \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $M/N \in \mathcal{U}$ . By Lemma 1.1 there exists a submodule  $K$  of  $M$  such that  $N \cap K = 0$  and  $N \oplus K$  is an essential submodule

of  $M$ . By [1, p. 294 ex. 2], there exist a positive integer  $n$  and uniform submodules  $U_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) of  $K$  such that  $U = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_n$  is an essential submodule of  $K$ . By Proposition 1.6,  $U_i \in E\mathcal{X}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and hence  $U \in F\mathcal{X}$ . Finally  $N \oplus U$  is an essential submodule of  $M$  and hence  $M/(N \oplus U) \in H\mathcal{X}$ .

Note that if  $\mathcal{X}$  is a  $\{P, S\}$ -closed class of  $R$ -modules, such that  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ , then

$$E\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{C} \oplus F\mathcal{X})(H\mathcal{X}) \quad (11)$$

by (10) and Corollary 2.2. Now suppose further that  $F\mathcal{X} \subseteq H\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}$  (for example this happens when  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{N}$  or  $\mathcal{K}$ ). Then

$$\mathcal{C}\mathcal{X} \subseteq (\mathcal{C} \oplus F\mathcal{X})(H\mathcal{X}) \subseteq (\mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{X})\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{C}\mathcal{X}^2 = \mathcal{C}\mathcal{X},$$

and hence  $E\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C}\mathcal{X}$ .

**Corollary 2.3.** *For any ring  $R$  and ordinal  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,*

$$E\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{C}\mathcal{N}, \quad E\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{C}\mathcal{K} \quad \text{and} \quad E\mathcal{K}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{C}\mathcal{K}_{\alpha+1}.$$

Proof.  $E\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{C}\mathcal{N}$  and  $E\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{C}\mathcal{K}$  by the above argument. Moreover, by (11),

$$\begin{aligned} E\mathcal{K}_\alpha &= (\mathcal{C} \oplus F\mathcal{K}_\alpha)(H\mathcal{K}_\alpha) = (\mathcal{C} \oplus F\mathcal{K}_\alpha)\mathcal{K}_\alpha \\ &\subseteq (\mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\alpha+1})\mathcal{K}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}_{\alpha+1})^2 = \mathcal{C}\mathcal{K}_{\alpha+1}. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. $D\mathcal{U}$ -modules

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *For any ring  $R$ ,  $D\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{C} \oplus H\mathcal{U}$ .*

In order to prove this result we first establish:

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $M \in D\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $M \in \mathcal{U}$  if and only if the socle of  $M$  is contained in a finitely generated submodule of  $M$ .*

Proof. Let  $S = \text{soc } M$ , the socle of  $M$ . If  $M \in \mathcal{U}$  then  $S$  is itself finitely generated. Conversely, suppose  $S$  is contained in a finitely generated submodule  $N$  of  $M$ . By (2) and the proof of Theorem 2.1,  $M/S \in \mathcal{U}$ . We shall prove that  $M \in \mathcal{U}$  by induction on the uniform dimension  $n$  of  $M/S$ . If  $n=0$  then  $M=S$  and  $M$  is finitely generated, so that  $M \in \mathcal{U}$ . Suppose  $n>0$ . Suppose  $M$  is not a  $\mathcal{U}$ -module. Then  $S$  is not finitely generated. There exist non-finitely generated submodules  $S_1, S_2$  of  $S$  such that  $S = S_1 \oplus S_2$ . Since  $M$  is a  $D\mathcal{U}$ -module it follows that there exist submodules  $M_1, M_2$  of  $M$  such that  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ ,  $S_1 \subseteq M_1$  and  $M_1/S_1$  belongs to  $\mathcal{U}$ . Note that  $\text{soc } M_1 = S_1 \oplus S'$  for some submodule  $S'$  of  $M_1$ . Since  $S'$  can be embedded in  $M_1/S_1$  it follows that

$S' \in \mathcal{U}$  and hence  $S'$  is finitely generated. Now

$$S_1 \oplus S_2 = \text{soc } M = \text{soc } M_1 \oplus \text{soc } M_2 = S_1 \oplus S' \oplus \text{soc } M_2,$$

and this implies  $S_2 \cong S' \oplus \text{soc } M_2$ . Thus  $S' \oplus \text{soc } M_2$ , and hence  $\text{soc } M_2$ , is not finitely generated.

Thus  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  and  $\text{soc } M_i$  is not finitely generated for  $i=1, 2$ . Note that

$$M/S \cong [M_1/(\text{soc } M_1)] \oplus [M_2/(\text{soc } M_2)].$$

If  $M_1 = \text{soc } M_1$  then  $M_1 \subseteq N$  and hence  $N = M_1 \oplus (N \cap M_2)$ . It follows that  $M_1$ , and hence  $\text{soc } M_1$ , is finitely generated. Thus  $M_1 \neq \text{soc } M_1$ , and similarly  $M_2 \neq \text{soc } M_2$ . Therefore the modules  $M_1/(\text{soc } M_1)$  and  $M_2/(\text{soc } M_2)$  have smaller uniform dimensions than  $M/S$ . By induction on the uniform dimension of  $M/S$  it follows that  $M_1 \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $M_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ . Thus  $M \in \mathcal{U}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $M \in \mathcal{U}$ , as required.

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* By (6),  $\mathcal{C} \oplus H\mathcal{U} \subseteq D\mathcal{U}$ . Conversely, suppose that  $M \in D\mathcal{U}$ . By (2) and the proof of Theorem 2.1,  $M/S \in \mathcal{U}$ , where  $S = \text{soc } M$ . We shall prove that  $M$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C} \oplus H\mathcal{U}$  by induction on the uniform dimension  $n$  of  $M/S$ . If  $n=0$  then  $M=S \in \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C} \oplus H\mathcal{U}$ . Suppose  $n>0$ . Suppose  $M$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{C} \oplus H\mathcal{U}$ .

Suppose  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  for some submodules  $M_1, M_2$  of  $M$ . Then  $S = (\text{soc } M_1) \oplus (\text{soc } M_2)$ , so that

$$M/S \cong [M_1/(\text{soc } M_1)] \oplus [M_2/(\text{soc } M_2)].$$

If  $M_1 \neq \text{soc } M_1$  and  $M_2 \neq \text{soc } M_2$  then both  $M_1/(\text{soc } M_1)$  and  $M_2/(\text{soc } M_2)$  have smaller uniform dimensions than  $M/S$ , so that both  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  belong to  $\mathcal{C} \oplus H\mathcal{U}$ , and in this case  $M \in \mathcal{C} \oplus H\mathcal{U}$ . Thus  $M_1 = \text{soc } M_1 \in \mathcal{C}$  or  $M_2 = \text{soc } M_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Because  $M \neq S$  there exists  $m \in M, m \notin S$ . By hypothesis, there exist submodules  $M_1, M_2$  of  $M$  such that  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ ,  $mR \subseteq M_1$  and  $M_1/mR \in \mathcal{U}$ . By the argument in the previous paragraph it follows that  $M_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ . Let  $S_1 = \text{soc } M_1$ . Then  $S_1 = (S_1 \cap mR) \oplus S'$  for some submodule  $S'$  of  $M_1$ . Now  $S' \cong (S_1 + mR)/mR$ , a submodule of  $M_1/mR$ , so that  $S' \in \mathcal{U}$  and hence  $S'$  is finitely generated. Thus  $S_1 \subseteq mR + S'$ , a finitely generated submodule of  $M_1$ . By Proposition 1.6 and Lemma 3.2 it follows that  $M_1 \in \mathcal{U}$ . Now  $M_1 \in \mathcal{U} \cap E\mathcal{U} = H\mathcal{U}$  by (3). Hence  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2 \in \mathcal{C} \oplus H\mathcal{U}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $M \in \mathcal{C} \oplus H\mathcal{U}$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $\mathcal{X}$  a  $\{P, S\}$ -closed class of  $R$ -modules contained in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $D\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C} \oplus (H\mathcal{X}) \oplus (\mathcal{J} \cap E\mathcal{X})$ .*

Proof. Let  $M \in D\mathcal{X}$ . In particular, this means that  $M \in D\mathcal{U}$ , so that  $M \in \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{U}$ , by Theorem 3.1. Thus we can suppose, without loss of generality,

that  $M \in \mathcal{U}$ . We claim that

$$M \in (H\mathcal{X}) \oplus (\mathcal{J} \cap E\mathcal{X}). \quad (12)$$

We shall prove (12) by induction on the uniform dimension of  $M$ . Suppose first that there exists a non-zero submodule  $N$  of  $M$  such that  $N \in \mathcal{X}$ . By hypothesis, there exist submodules  $K, K'$  of  $M$  such that  $M = K \oplus K', N \subseteq K$  and  $K/N \in \mathcal{X}$ . Since  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $P$ -closed it follows that  $K \in \mathcal{X}$ . By Proposition 1.6,  $K$  and  $K'$  both belong to  $D\mathcal{X}$ . By (2) and (3),  $K \in H\mathcal{X}$ . Moreover,  $K'$  has smaller uniform dimension than  $M$  so that, by induction,  $K' \in (H\mathcal{X}) \oplus (\mathcal{J} \cap E\mathcal{X})$ . It follows that  $M \in (H\mathcal{X}) \oplus (H\mathcal{X}) \oplus (\mathcal{J} \cap E\mathcal{X}) = (H\mathcal{X}) \oplus (\mathcal{J} \cap E\mathcal{X})$ , by Proposition 1.8. Now suppose that  $M$  does not contain any non-zero submodule in  $\mathcal{X}$ . By (2) and Lemma 1.2,  $M \in \mathcal{J} \cap E\mathcal{X}$ . This proves (12).

Conversely, note that  $\mathcal{J} \cap E\mathcal{X} \subseteq D\mathcal{X}$ , by Lemma 1.2, and hence

$$\mathcal{C} \oplus (H\mathcal{X}) \oplus (\mathcal{J} \cap E\mathcal{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{C} \oplus (H\mathcal{X}) \oplus (D\mathcal{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{C} \oplus (D\mathcal{X}) \subseteq D\mathcal{X},$$

by Propositions 1.7 and 1.8.

Note that, in fact, the proof of Corollary 3.3, gives:

$$D\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C} \oplus (\mathcal{U} \cap H\mathcal{X}) \oplus (\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{J} \cap E\mathcal{X}), \quad (13)$$

for any  $\{P, S\}$ -closed class  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $R$ -modules such that  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . Let  $M \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{J}$ . Let  $V$  be any uniform submodule of  $M$ . Because  $M \in \mathcal{J}$ , there exists a direct summand  $K$  of  $M$  such that  $V$  is an essential submodule of  $K$ . It follows that  $K$  is uniform. Thus, by induction on the uniform dimension of  $M$ ,  $M$  is a finite direct sum of uniform submodules. Thus, (13) gives

$$D\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{C} \oplus (\mathcal{U} \cap H\mathcal{X}) \oplus (F\mathcal{X}), \quad (14)$$

for any  $\{P, S\}$ -closed class  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $R$ -modules such that  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ , by Proposition 1.6.

Combining (9), (13), and (14), the above discussion gives, at once, the following theorem which extends [2, Theorems 3.1 and 4.1] and [15, Corollary 2.8].

**Theorem 3.4.** *For any ring  $R$  and ordinal  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,*

$$D\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{N}, \quad D\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \quad \text{and} \quad D\mathcal{K}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\alpha+1}.$$

---

#### References

[1] F.W. Anderson and K.R. Fuller: Rings and categories of modules, Springer-Verlag, 1974.

- [2] A.W. Chatters: *A characterization of right Noetherian rings*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **33** (1982), 65–69.
- [3] A.W. Chatters and C.R. Hajarnavis: *Rings in which every complement right ideal is a direct summand*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **28** (1977), 61–80.
- [4] A.W. Chatters and S.M. Khuri: *Endomorphism rings of modules over non-singular CS rings*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **21** (1980), 434–444.
- [5] R. Gordon and J.C. Robson: Krull dimension, Amer. Math. Soc. Memoirs 133 (1973).
- [6] M. Harada: *On modules with extending properties*, Osaka J. Math. **19** (1982), 203–215.
- [7] M. Harada and K. Oshiro: *Extending property on direct sum of uniform modules*, Osaka J. Math. **18** (1981), 767–785.
- [8] D. van Huynh and P. Dan: *On rings with restricted minimum condition*, to appear in Archiv der Math.
- [9] M.A. Kamal and B.J. Muller: *Extending modules over commutative domains*, Osaka J. Math. **25** (1988), 531–538.
- [10] M.A. Kamal and B.J. Muller: *The structure of extending modules over Noetherian rings*, Osaka J. Math. **25** (1988), 539–551.
- [11] M.A. Kamal and B.J. Muller: *Torsionfree extending modules*, Osaka J. Math. **25** (1988), 825–832.
- [12] M. Okeda: *On the decomposition of extending modules*, Math. Japonica **29** (1984), 939–941.
- [13] K. Oshiro: *Lifting modules, extending modules and their applications to QF-rings*, Hokkaido Math. J. **13** (1984), 310–338.
- [14] P.F. Smith: *Some rings which are characterised by their finitely generated modules*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **29** (1978), 101–109.
- [15] P.F. Smith, D. van Huynh and N.V. Dung: *A characterisation of Noetherian modules*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **41** (1990), 225–235.

Department of Mathematics  
 University of Glasgow  
 Glasgow G12 8QW  
 Scotland UK