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0. Introduction

In the previous paper (Hasegawa [7]), we have reformulated some aspects of Lévy's infinite dimensional potential theory (cf. Lévy [1]) in terms of our infinite dimensional Brownian motion $B=(\Omega, B(t), P)$ on an infinite dimensional sequence space $E$. There we have noticed that in the finite dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^n$ such objects as the Laplacian and the volume-element are determined in terms of the standard Riemannian metric $ds^2$, and called this fact the mutual compatibility in the finite dimensional potential theory. Then it induces various linkages among the objects in $\mathbb{R}^n$, e.g., Green-Stokes' formula describes the one among the Laplacian, normal derivatives, the volume-element and surface-elements.

We have constructed our infinite dimensional potential theory by a limiting procedure of the finite dimensional one. Then the above-mentioned mutual linkages should be inherited by the corresponding objects in our infinite dimensional potential theory. Further these objects and linkages should be described by the limiting procedure. In fact the semi-norm $|||\cdot|||_\infty$ on $E$, the standard Gaussian white noise $\mu$ on the unit sphere $S_\infty=\{x\in E; ||x||_\infty=1\}$ and the infinite dimensional Laplacian $\Delta_\infty$ have been constructed from the Riemannian metrics $ds^2$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, the uniform probability measures $\mu_n$ on the unit spheres $S_{n-1}=\{x=(x_1, \ldots, x_n)\in \mathbb{R}^n; x_1^2+\cdots+x_n^2=n\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the Laplacians $\Delta_n$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, respectively, by this procedure, (see I, §§1.1, §§1.2 and also Hida and Nomoto [8]). Moreover we have introduced the Dirichlet solution $f(x)$ on the unit ball $D_n=\{x\in E; ||x||_\infty<1\}$ for a boundary function $\psi(\xi)$ on the unit sphere $S_\infty$, and obtained the following linkage among the objects, $f(x)$, $\psi(\xi)$, $|||\cdot|||_\infty$, $\mu$, (see I, Th. 3.1):

$$f(x) = \int_{S_\infty} \psi(x+\sqrt{1-||x||_\infty^2} \cdot \xi) \mu(d\xi), \quad x\in D_n.$$  

The purpose of this paper is now to construct the Dirichlet solution $f(x)$.
together with the description of the linkage (0.1) by the limiting procedure. First, by dualizing a result of Hida and Nomoto [8], we shall obtain orthogonal projections $p_n; L^2(S, \mu) \rightarrow L^2(S, \mu_n)$. Second we shall define projections $\pi_n; E \rightarrow R^{n+1}$ as follows:

$$\pi_n x = \frac{||x||_n}{||x||_{n+1}} (x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) \in R^{n+1}$$

for $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) \in E$, $||x||_n > 0$, $||x||_{n+1} > 0$. Then, for each element $\psi \in L^2(S, \mu)$ we have

$$(0.2) \lim_{n \to \infty} (\rho_n \psi)(\pi_n \xi) = \psi(\xi) \text{ in } L^2(S, \mu),$$

(see Theorem 1.4). Next, for a tame boundary function $\psi \in L^2(S, \mu)$ we denote by $f_n(x)$ the Dirichlet solution on the ball $D_{n+1} = \{x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) \in R^{n+1}; x_1 + \cdots + x_{n+1} < n + 1\}$ for the boundary function $\rho_n \psi$ on $S$. Then we have the following

**Construction Theorem.**

$$(0.3) \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(\pi_n x) = f(x)$$

for $x \in D_\infty$ such that $\lim \|x\|_n = \|x\|_\infty > 0$, provided $\psi$ assumes some integrability condition, (see Theorem 2.8).

Now we notice the mutual singularity of harmonic measures $\mathcal{P} = \{\mu_x; x \in D_\infty\}$, $\mu_x(dx) = \mu_x(\xi \in S; x + \sqrt{1 - ||x||_\infty^2} \xi \in dx)$, (see I, Th. 3.3). Hence, the above-mentioned Construction Theorem, which corresponds with the orthogonal projections $\{\rho_n; n \geq 1\}$ defined in association with only one harmonic measure $\mu_0 = \mu$, cannot be extended to general boundary functions $\psi(\xi)$. In this stage we therefore cannot help restricting the boundary functions $\psi$ to the tame ones. In spite of this restriction, peculiar phenomena can be seen in the Dirichlet solution $f(x)$. Actually, our infinite dimensional Laplacian $\Delta_\infty$ acts on $f(x)$ in the form:

$$(0.4) \Delta_\infty f = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} f + \sum_{k} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k^2} f, \quad r = ||x||_\infty,$$

which is of different feature from the finite dimensional Laplacians.

Our proof of this theorem will heavily owe to uniform asymptotic estimates of the Gegenbauer polynomials $C_k(x/\sqrt{2\nu})$ as $k, \nu \to \infty$, (see Propositions 3.1, 3.2).
1. Projectively consistent construction of the multiple Wiener integrals

1.1. Projectively consistent construction of the standard Gaussian white noise.

In this subsection we shall reformulate Hida and Nomoto’s results [8] in a slightly different manner from theirs.

First we introduce to the \((N+1)\)-dimensional Euclidean space \(R^{N+1}\) the norm

\[
r_{N+1}(x) = \left( \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} x_j^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]

for \(x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{N+1}) \in R^{N+1}\), and set

\[
S_N = \{x \in R^{N+1}; r_{N+1}(x) = 1\}.
\]

**DEFINITION 1.** An open subset \(S_N^*\)

\[
S_N^* = \{\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{N+1}) \in S_N; \xi_1 \neq 0 \text{ or } \xi_2 < 0\}
\]

of the sphere \(S_N\) is called the \(N\)-dimensional unit pre-sphere.

The polar expression of points \(\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{N+1}) \in S_N^*\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_1 &= \sqrt{N+1} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \sin \theta_i \\
\xi_k &= \sqrt{N+1} \cos \theta_{k-1} \prod_{i=k}^{N} \sin \theta_i, \quad (k=2, \ldots, N), \\
\xi_{N+1} &= \sqrt{N+1} \cos \theta_N
\end{align*}
\]

induces a homeomorphism of \(S_N^*\) onto a set \(\Pi_N\):

\[
\Pi_N = \{ (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_N); 0 < \theta_1 < 2\pi, 0 < \theta_i < \pi, i=2, \ldots, N \},
\]

and \(\{\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_N\}\) are called the Euler angles on \(S_N^*\). Then the restriction \(\mu_N\) of the rotation-invariant probability measure on \(S_N^*\) to the measurable space \((S_N^*, \sigma_{S_N^*})\) can be expressed as follows:

\[
\mu_N(d\theta_1, \ldots, d\theta_N) = \frac{\Gamma((N+1)/2)}{2\pi^{(N+1)/2}} \prod_{j=2}^{N} (\sin \theta_j)^{j-1} d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_N,
\]

where \(\sigma_{S_N^*}\) denotes the topological \(\sigma\)-algebra of \(S_N^*\). Then the family \(\{ (S_N^*, \sigma_{S_N^*}, \mu_N); N \geq 1 \}\) constitutes a topological stochastic family in the sense of S. Bochner [4] with the aid of projections \(\pi_{N,M}, (N > M)\) defined by:

\[
\pi_{N,M}; S_N \ni \xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{N+1}) \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{M+1}{\xi_1^2 + \cdots + \xi_{M+1}^2}} (\xi_1, \ldots, \tilde{\xi}_{M+1}) \in S_M^*,
\]

or, equivalently in terms of the Euler angles \((\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_N)\) of the point \(\xi\):
\[(1.8) \quad \pi_{N,M}; \Pi_N = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_N) \rightarrow (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_M) \in \Pi_M. \]

Now we set the following

**Definition 2.** A measurable subset \( \hat{S}_w \)

\[(1.9) \quad \hat{S}_w = \{ (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N, \ldots) \in E; \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \xi_n = 1, \xi_1 \neq 0 \text{ or } \xi_1 < 0 \} \]

of the infinite dimensional unit sphere \( S_w = \{ x \in E; ||x||_w = 1 \} \) is called the infinite dimensional unit pre-sphere.

We denote by \( \hat{S}_w \) the restriction of the \( \sigma \)-algebra \( S_{\infty} \) (see I, Def. 4) on the unit sphere \( S_w \) to the unit pre-sphere \( \hat{S}_w \).

**Definition 3.** We define a measurable projection \( \pi_N, (N \geq 1) \) of the unit pre-sphere \( \hat{S}_w \) onto the \( N \)-dimensional unit pre-sphere \( \hat{S}_N \) as follows:

\[(1.10) \quad \pi_N \xi = \frac{1}{||\xi||_{N+1}} (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{N+1}) \quad \text{for} \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{N+1}, \ldots) \in \hat{S}_w, \]

(see I, (1.4) for \( ||\cdot||_{N+1} \)).

Since \( \pi_M \xi = \pi_{N,M}(\pi_N \xi) \) for \( \xi \in \hat{S}_w \) for a pair of integers \( N, M, (N > M \geq 1) \), we can define an Euler angle \( \theta_k(\xi), (k \geq 1) \) on \( \hat{S}_w \) as follows:

\[ \theta_k(\xi) = \theta_k(\pi_N \xi), \quad (k \leq N), \]

where \( \{ \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_N \} \) denote the Euler angles on \( \hat{S}_N \). Then the functions \( \{ \theta_k(\xi); k \geq 1 \} \) are \( \hat{S}_w \)-measurable. Conversely for a point \( \xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n, \ldots) \in \hat{S}_w \) with the Euler angles \( \{ \theta_n; n \geq 1 \} \), we have

\[ \begin{align*}
\xi_1 &= \lim_{k \to \infty} \sqrt{k+1} \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \cdots \sin \theta_k, \\
\xi_n &= \lim_{k \to \infty} \sqrt{k+1} \cos \theta_{n-1} \sin \theta_n \cdots \sin \theta_k, \quad (n \geq 2).
\end{align*} \]

Hence we have the following equality:

\[(1.12) \quad \hat{S}_w = \sigma(\theta_n; n \geq 1). \]

The standard Gaussian white noise \( \mu \) which is defined as the distribution on \( S_w \) of a sequence of mutually independent Gaussian random variables subject to \( N(0, 1) \), (see I, Def. 6) can be regarded as a probability measure on the measurable space \( (\hat{S}_w, \hat{S}_w) \).

Now we are in position to state the following

**Proposition 1.1** (cf. Hida and Nomoto [8]).

1) \( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_n^{-1}(\hat{S}_n) \) generates the \( \sigma \)-algebra \( \hat{S}_w \).
2) 
\[ \mu_n(A) = \mu(\pi_n^{-1}(A)) \quad \text{for elements } A \in \hat{S}_n. \]

3) 
\[ \mu_n(\pi_n^{-1}(A)) = \mu_m(A), \quad (n > m) \quad \text{for elements } A \in \hat{S}_m. \]

Proof. The first assertion is immediate by the equality (1.12), and the third one comes from the formulas (1.6), (1.8). Put \( \tilde{\mu}_n(A) = \mu(\pi_n^{-1}(A)) \) for measurable subsets \( A \) of \( S_n \). Then by (1.10) and the definition of \( \mu \), we can see the rotation-invariance of the probability measure \( \tilde{\mu}_n \) on \( S_n \). Hence we have the second assertion. (Q.E.D.)

1.2. Projectively consistent construction of the multiple Wiener integrals \( L^2(S_n, \mu) \).

In this subsection, we shall dualize Proposition 1.1. To begin with, for integers \( j, k, m \), \((j \geq 2, m \geq k \geq 0)\) we put

\[ D_{j, k, m}(\theta) = A_{j, k, m} C_n^\nu(\cos \theta) (\sin \theta)^{k}. \]

Here \( \nu = k + (j - 1)/2 \), \( n = m - k \), and \( C_n^\nu \) denotes the Gegenbauer polynomial and the positive constant \( A_{j, k, m} \) is chosen so as to hold

\[ \int_0^\pi D_{j, k, m}(\theta) (\sin \theta)^{-1} d\theta = \int_0^\pi (\sin \theta)^{-1} d\theta. \]

For a sequence \( K = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n) \) of integers, \((0 \leq |k_1| \leq k_2 \leq \cdots \leq k_n)\), we introduce a function \( \Xi_k(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) \) on \( \Pi_n \) as follows:

\[ \Xi_k(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) = e^{ik_1 \theta_1} \prod_{j=2}^n D_{j, i, k_{j-1}, k_j}(\theta_j), \]

which can be regarded as a function on the unit pre-sphere \( \hat{S}_n \) through the polar expression (1.4). Then we have a C.O.N.S. \( \{ \Xi_k; K \} \) in the complex Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_n = L^2(S_n, \mu_n) \), which is called the canonical basis in \( \mathcal{H}_n \), (cf. Vilenkin [12], p. 468).

Here we pause to prepare a representation-theoretic proposition concerning the rotation group \( SO(n+1) \). We denote by \( L_n^{n+1} \) the quasi-regular representation of \( SO(n+1) \) on \( \mathcal{H}_n \), and introduce the following subspaces \( \mathcal{H}_{n, p} \), \( \mathcal{H}_{n, p, q} \), \((p \geq q)\) of \( \mathcal{H}_n \):

\[ \mathcal{H}_{n, p} = [\Xi_k; K = (k_1, \ldots, k_n), \ 0 \leq |k_1| \leq \cdots \leq k_n = p], \quad (n \geq 2), \]

\[ \mathcal{H}_{n, p, q} = [\Xi_k; K = (k_1, \ldots, k_n), \ 0 \leq |k_1| \leq \cdots \leq k_{n-1} = q \leq k_n = p], \quad (n \geq 3).\]
Here the bracket \([\ ]\) denotes the closed linear hull of vectors in the bracket. In the usual manner we identify the elements of the group \(SO(n)\) with the ones of the subgroup \(H_n\) of \(SO(n+1)\):

\[
H_n = \{ h \in SO(n+1) ; \, \, he_{n+1} = e_{n+1} \},
\]

where \(e_{n+1} = (0, \ldots, 0, \sqrt{n+1}) \in S_n\). On the other hand, the subspaces \(\mathcal{H}_{n,p}\) are the eigenspaces of the spherical Laplacian \(\Delta_n\) on \(S_n\). Therefore it is reasonable to require the orthogonal projection \(\rho; \mathcal{H}_n \to \mathcal{H}_{n-1}, \, (n \geq 3)\) to satisfy the following conditions:

1. Eigenspaces-preserving property

\[
(\rho(\mathcal{H}_{n,p}) = \mathcal{H}_{n-1,p}, \, (p \geq 0)),
\]

2. Commutativity of the representations of the group \(SO(n)\)

\[
L^n(h)\rho = \rho L^{n+1}(h) \quad \text{for} \quad h \in H_n \subset SO(n+1),
\]

under the identification of \(SO(n)\) and \(H_n\).

Then we have the following

**Proposition 1.2.** The orthogonal projection \(\rho; \mathcal{H}_n \to \mathcal{H}_{n-1}, \, (n \geq 3)\) satisfying the conditions (C1), (C2) is identical with the following one, up to multiplication constant:

For an index \(K = (k_1, \ldots, k_n), \, (0 \leq k_1 \leq \cdots \leq k_n)\),

\[
\rho \Xi^K_k = \begin{cases} \Xi^K_{k-1} & \text{if} \quad k_{n-1} = k_n, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad k_{n-1} \neq k_n, \end{cases}
\]

where \(K_{n-1} = (k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1})\).

Proof. Because of the irreducibility of the representation \(L^{n+1}\) of \(SO(n+1)\) on each subspace \(\mathcal{H}_{n,p}, \, (p \geq 0)\) and the unitary equivalence of the two representations \(\{H_n, L^n, \mathcal{H}_{n,p,q}\}\) and \(\{SO(n), L^n, \mathcal{H}_{n-1,p,q}\}, \, (p \geq q)\), (see Vilenkin [12], p. 451), we obtain this proposition with the aid of Shur's lemma. (Q.E.D.)

According to Proposition 1.2 we have therefore the following

**Definition 4.** We define an orthogonal projection \(\rho_{n,m}, \, (n > m \geq 2)\) of the Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}_n\) onto the another space \(\mathcal{H}_m\) as follows: For an index \(K = (k_1, \ldots, k_n), \, (0 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \cdots \leq k_n)\),

\[
\rho_{n,m} \Xi^K_k = \begin{cases} \Xi^m_{k_m} & \text{if} \quad k_m = k_{m+1} = \cdots = k_n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}
\]

where \(K_m = (k_1, \ldots, k_m)\).

Thus the projective system \(\{\mathcal{H}_n, \rho_{n,m}\}\) has been defined. Now we shall
construct a $C.O.N.S.$ in the complex Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H} = L^2(S_\omega, \mu), \| \cdot \|)$ according to the canonical bases of the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_n$. First for an infinite sequence $K$ of integers

$$(1.24) \quad K = (k_1, \cdots, k_p, \cdots), \quad (0 \leq |k_1| \leq \cdots \leq k_p = k_{p+1} = \cdots, \text{with an integer } p),$$

we define a homogeneous harmonic polynomial $\Xi_K(x_1, \cdots, x_{p+1})$ of degree $|K|$ on $R^{p+1}$ as follows:

$$(1.25) \quad \Xi_K(x) = \Xi_{K_p}(\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_p) \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma((p+1)/2)2^{-|K|}}{\Gamma(|K|+(p+1)/2)}} \cdot r^{|K|}.$$ 

Here $K_p = (k_1, \cdots, k_p)$, $|K| = k_p$ for the integer $p$, $\{\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_p\}$ denote the Euler angles of the point $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_{p+1}) \in R^{p+1}$, and $r = x_{p+1}/\cos \theta_p = (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{p+1}^2)^{1/2}$. We denote by the same notation $\Xi_K$ the lift-up of the function $\Xi_K$ on $R^{p+1}$ to the space $E$:

$$(1.26) \quad \Xi_K(x) = \Xi_K(x_1, \cdots, x_{p+1}) \quad \text{for } x = (x_1, \cdots, x_{p+1}, \cdots) \in E.$$ 

**Remark.** The functions $\Xi_K(x)$ are harmonic on the space $E$ in our sense, (see I, Proposition 3.8).

**Proposition 1.3** (cf. Hida and Nomoto [8]). *The family $\{\Xi_K; K \in \mathcal{K}\}$ constitutes a $C.O.N.S.$ of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is the family of infinite sequences of the above-mentioned type.*

**Proof.** First we put

$$(1.27) \quad Z_{n,p}(\xi) = \sqrt{n+1} \sin \theta_{p+1} \cdots \sin \theta_n,$$

where $\{\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_n, \cdots\}$ are the Euler angles of $\xi = (\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n, \cdots) \in \mathcal{S}_\infty$. Then, by Proposition 1.1 and (1.6) we have

$$(1.28) \quad E[Z_{n,p}(\xi)] \to 2^{k_p} \frac{\Gamma((k+p+1)/2)}{\Gamma((p+1)/2)} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \quad (p \geq 1, k \geq 1),$$

and by (1.11) we have

$$(1.29) \quad Z_{n,p}(\xi) \to r = (\xi_1^2 + \cdots + \xi_{p+1}^2)^{1/2} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \quad a.s. (\mu).$$

Now we notice the following expression of $\Xi_K^{\pi_n}$ for the subindex $K_n = (k_1, \cdots, k_n)$ of $K$, (1.24), $(n > p)$:

$$(1.30) \quad \Xi_{K_n}(\pi_{n}^{\xi}) = \Xi_{K_p}(\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_p) \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma((n+1)/2)2^{-|K|}}{\Gamma(|K|+(n+1)/2)}} \cdot Z_{n,p}^{K_n}(\xi).$$

Consequently the family $\{\Xi_{K_n}(\pi_{n}^{\xi}) \cdot \Xi_{K'}(\pi_{n}^{\xi}); n\}$ for any pair of $K, K' \in \mathcal{K}$ is
uniformly integrable, (the bar denotes the complex conjugate). Therefore the system \( \{ \Xi_K; K \} \) is orthonormal in \( \mathcal{H} \). Since the family \( \{ \Xi_K; K \} \) constitutes a C.O.N.S. of the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_n \), we can see the completeness of the system \( \{ \Xi_K; K \in \mathcal{K} \} \) in the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \). (Q.E.D.)

**Definition 5.** The restriction of the function \( \Xi_K \) to the unit sphere \( S^o \) is called the infinite dimensional spherical harmonics of degree \( |K| \) on the unit sphere \( S^o \).

Now we are in position to obtain the following

**Definition 6.** We denote by \( \rho_n \), \( n \geq 2 \) the following orthogonal projection of the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}=L^2(S^o, \mu) \) onto the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_n=L^2(S_n, \mu_n) \):

\[
(1.31) \quad \rho_n \Xi_K = \begin{cases} \Xi_n & \text{if } k_n = k_{n+1} = k_{n+2} = \cdots, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}
\]

where \( K = (k_1, \ldots, k_n, \ldots) \), \( (0 \leq |k_1| \leq \cdots \leq k_n \leq \cdots) \), and \( K_n = (k_1, \ldots, k_n) \).

Then, dualizing Proposition 1.1, we have the following

**Theorem 1.4.**

1) For an element \( f \in \mathcal{H} \), it holds that

\[
(1.32) \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} (\rho_n f)(\pi \xi) = f(\xi) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}.
\]

2) Let \( \{ f_n \in \mathcal{H}_n; n \geq 2 \} \) be a projectively consistent sequence, that is, \( \rho_n f_n = f_m \), \( (n \geq m) \). Then there exists a unique function \( f \in \mathcal{H} \) such that \( \rho_n f = f_n \), \( (n \geq 2) \), if and only if \( \{ ||f_n||_n; n \geq 2 \} \) is bounded, where \( || \cdot ||_n \) denotes the norm of \( \mathcal{H}_n \).

Proof. The first assertion can be obtained in a quite similar manner to the proof of Proposition 1.3. To see the second assertion, we put

\[
(1.33) \quad \hat{\mathcal{H}}_p = [\Xi_K; K \in \mathcal{K}],
\]

(\( \hat{\mathcal{H}}_p \) is the closed linear hull), where \( \hat{\mathcal{H}}_p = \{ K = (k_1, \ldots, k_p, \ldots) \in \mathcal{K}; 0 \leq |k_1| \leq \cdots \leq k_{p-1} < k_p = k_{p+1} = \cdots \} \). Moreover we define an isometric inclusion \( \rho_n \) of \( \mathcal{H}_n \) into \( \mathcal{H} \) as follows: \( \rho_n \Xi_K = \Xi_{K'} \), where we put \( K' = (k_1, \ldots, k_n, k_n, \ldots) \) for \( K = (k_1, \ldots, k_n) \), \( (0 \leq |k_1| \leq k_2 \leq \cdots \leq k_n) \). Now we assume the boundedness of the set \( \{ ||f_n||_n; n \geq 2 \} \) for the projectively consistent sequence \( \{ f_n; n \geq 2 \} \) and set \( \rho_n f_n = g_n \in \mathcal{H} \).

Then, from the projective consistency of the sequence \( \{ f_n; n \geq 2 \} \) we obtain \( h_n = g_n - g_{n-1} \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}_n \), \( (n \geq 3) \) and the boundedness of the set \( \{ g_n; n \geq 2 \} \). Since \( \{ \hat{\mathcal{H}}_p \} \) are mutually orthogonal subspaces, we have an element \( g_\infty = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n \) in \( \mathcal{H} \), and \( \rho_n g_\infty = f_n \), for \( \rho_n g_\infty = f_n \), \( (n \leq m) \). (Q.E.D.)

**Remark.** \( \rho_n f \), \( (n \geq 2) \) is real for a real function \( f \in \mathcal{H} \).
Finally we have the following

**Proposition 1.5.**

\[
(1.34) \quad [\Xi_K; |K| = k] = [H_K; |K| = k], \quad k \geq 0,
\]

where $H_K$ denote Fourier-Hermite polynomials, (see I, Def. 7).

Proof. It is easy to see that the function $\Xi_K$, (1.26) is included in the domain of the infinitesimal generator $A$ of the contraction semi-group $\{T_t; t \geq 0\}$, (see I, (2.22)) and

\[
(A\Xi_K)(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{s+1} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_s^2} - \xi_s \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_s} \right) \Xi_K(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{s+1})
\]

\[= -\frac{1}{2} r \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \Xi_K(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{s+1}) = -\frac{|K|}{2} \Xi_K(\xi).
\]

Hence $\{\Xi_K; |K| = k\}$ are eigenfunctions of the eigenvalue $-k/2$. Therefore the uniqueness of eigenspaces of a self-adjoint operator gives the assertion (1.34) with the aid of Proposition 1.3 and Proposition 2.4 in I. (Q.E.D.)

2. Finite dimensional construction of the Dirichlet solutions on the infinite dimensional unit ball

2.1. Finite dimensional construction of the Dirichlet solutions for the boundary functions $S_k$.

In this subsection we shall construct the Dirichlet solution on the unit ball $D_\infty = \{x \in E; ||x||_\infty < 1\}$ for the boundary function $\Xi_K$ according to "la méthode du passage du fini a l'infini".

In §§ 1.2 we have introduced the condition (C1: Eigenspaces-preserving property) concerning the orthogonal projection $\rho; \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{n-1}$. Here we shall give a condition connected with the Dirichlet problems, which induces the condition (C1). We denote by $\mathcal{P}_n \psi$ the Dirichlet solution on an $(n+1)$-dimensional unit ball $D_{n+1} = \{x \in R^{n+1}; r_{n+1}(x) < 1\}$ for a boundary function $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_n = L^2(S_n, \mu_n)$.

We are now ready to set the following

**Definition 7.** An orthogonal projection $\rho; \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{n-1}, (n \geq 3)$ is said to be Poisson kernel-preserving, if the following holds:

\[
(2.1) \quad \rho((\mathcal{P}_n \psi)_r) = (\mathcal{P}_{n-1} (\rho \psi))_r \quad \text{for} \quad r \in [0, 1), \quad \psi \in \mathcal{H}_n,
\]

where \( (\mathcal{P}_n \varphi)_r(\xi) = (\mathcal{P}_n \varphi)(r_\xi) \) for $\xi \in S_\delta, \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_k$.

Then we have the following
Proposition 2.1. If the orthogonal projection \( \rho: \mathcal{H}_n \to \mathcal{H}_{n-1} \), \((n \geq 3)\) is surjective and Poisson kernel-preserving, it holds that

\[
(C1) \quad \rho(\mathcal{H}_{n,p}) = \mathcal{H}_{n-1,p} \quad \text{for} \quad p = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

Now, we notice that the Dirichlet solution on the unit ball \( D_n \) for the boundary function \( \Xi_K \) is just identical with \( \Xi_K(x) \) on \( D_n \). We shall construct this solution \( \Xi_K \) by the finite dimensional Dirichlet solutions. First we modify the projections \( \pi_n; S_n \to S_n \).

Definition 8.

\[
(2.2) \quad \pi_n x = \frac{\|x\|}{\|x\|_{n+1}} (x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}
\]

for \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) \in E \) such that \( \|x\|_{n+1} > 0 \), \( \|x\|_n > 0 \).

Then we notice \( r_{n+1}(\pi_n x) = \|x\|_n \) and the Euler angles of \( \pi_n x \) and the ones of \( x \) agree with each other. We are now ready to state the finite dimensional construction theorem in the case of the spherical harmonics \( \Xi_K \).

Theorem 2.2. Let \( f_n(x) \) be the Dirichlet solution on the \((n+1)\)-dimensional unit ball \( D_{n+1} \) for the boundary function \( \rho_n \Xi_K \), \((n \geq 2)\). Then it holds that

\[
(2.3) \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(\pi_n x) = \Xi_K(x)
\]

at points \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{p+1}, \ldots) \in D_n: \)

\[
(2.4) \quad D_n = \{x \in D_n; \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x\|_n = \|x\|_{n+1} > 0\}.
\]

Proof. Noticing \((1.4), (1.30), (2.2)\), we have the following formula for sufficiently large \( n \):

\[
f_n(\pi_n x) = \Xi_K^p(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_p) \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma((p+1)/2)2^{-1|k|}}{\Gamma(|K|+(p+1)/2)}} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(|K|+(n+1)/2)}{\Gamma((n+1)/2)((n+1)/2)^{|k|}}}
\]

\[
\times \left( \frac{\|x\|_n \cdot x_{p+1}}{\|x\|_{n+1} \cos \theta_p} \right)^{|k|}.
\]

Hence by Stirling's formula we have the assertion \((2.3)\). (Q.F.D.)

Consequently we have the following

Corollary 2.3. For a polynomial \( \tilde{\psi}(x_1, \ldots, x_p) \) on \( R^p \), we set

\[
(2.5) \quad \psi(\xi) = \tilde{\psi}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_p) \quad \text{for} \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_p, \ldots) \in S_w.
\]

Then it holds that

\[
(2.6) \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(\pi_n x) = f(x) \quad \text{for} \quad x = (x_1, \ldots, x_p, \ldots) \in D_n,
\]
where $f_n$ and $f$ denote the Dirichlet solution on $D_{n+1}$ for the boundary function $\rho_n\psi$, $(n \geq 2)$, and the one on $D_n$ for the boundary function $\psi$, respectively.

2.2. Finite dimensional construction of the Dirichlet solutions for general tame boundary functions.

In this subsection, we shall extend Theorem 2.2 to the case of more general tame boundary functions.

Let $(L^2, || \cdot ||)$ be the Hilbert space consisting of functions $\bar{\psi}(u), u=(u_1, \cdots, u_p)$, $(p \geq 1)$ on $R^p$ such that

$$<\bar{\psi}, \bar{\psi}> = \int_{R^p} |\bar{\psi}(u)|^2 \exp(-u^2/2)(2\pi)^{p/2} du < \infty.$$ 

Here we set $u^2 = u_1^2 + \cdots + u_p^2$, $du = du_1 \cdots du_p$ for $u=(u_1, \cdots, u_p) \in R^p$, and in the sequel we shall use these abbreviations without confusion. Take a real function $\bar{\psi}(u_1, \cdots, u_p) \in L^2$ and denote by $\psi(\xi)$ the lift-up of $\bar{\psi}$ to the unit sphere $S_n$:

$$\psi(\xi) = \bar{\psi}(u_1, \cdots, u_p) \quad \text{for} \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_p, \cdots) \in S_n.$$ 

Now we shall show that $\rho_n\psi \in \mathcal{H}_n$ has a continuous version on $S_n$, $(n \geq 2)$. First we have to show the following addition formula.

**Lemma 2.4.** For vectors $\xi = (\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_{n+1}), \xi = (\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_{n+1}) \in S_n$, $(n \geq 2)$, it holds that

$$\sum_{|K| = k} \Xi_K(\xi) \cdot \Xi_K(\xi) = \frac{2k+n-1}{n-1} C_n^n(\xi \cdot \xi).$$

Here $\xi \cdot \xi = \xi_1^2 + \cdots + \xi_{n+1}^2, \nu = (n-1)/2$ and $|K| = k_n$ for $K = (k_1, \cdots, k_n)$, $(0 \leq |k_i| \leq \cdots \leq k_n)$.

Proof. Since \{\Xi_K; K\} constitutes a C.O.N.S. in $\mathcal{H}_n$, and the Dirichlet solutions on $D_{n+1}$ for the boundary functions $\Xi_K(\xi)$ are given by $r^{|K|} \cdot \Xi_K(\xi)$, $r \in [0, 1), \xi \in S_n$, we have the following equality:

$$\sum_{|K| = k} r^{|K|} \cdot \Xi_K(\xi) \cdot \Xi_K(\xi) = (1-r^2) \cdot \left(1 + r^2 - 2r \frac{\xi \cdot \xi}{n+1}\right)^{-\nu-1}.$$ 

On the other hand, the generating function (see Vilenkin [12], p. 492) of the Gegenbauer polynomials gives

$$\left(1-r^2\right) \cdot \left(1 + r^2 - 2r \frac{\xi \cdot \xi}{n+1}\right)^{-\nu-1} = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{2k+n-1}{n-1} r^k C_n^n(\xi \cdot \xi).$$

Comparing the coefficients of $r^k$ in these power series, we have therefore the asked formula (2.9).

(Q.E.D.)

By using this proposition, we have the following
Proposition 2.5. The function \( \rho_n \psi \in L^2(S_n, \mu_n) \), \( (n \geq 2) \) has a continuous version on the sphere \( S_n \).

Proof. In the space \( L^2(S_n, \mu_n) \), \( (n+1 \geq p) \) Proposition 1.3 gives

\[
(2.12) \quad \rho_n \psi(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}_m} \psi(\hat{u}) \Xi_k(u) \frac{\exp\left(-u^2/2\right)}{(2\pi)^{(n+1)/2}} du \cdot \Xi_k^n(\xi),
\]

where \( K = (k_1, \ldots, k_m, \ldots) \in \mathcal{K}_m \)

\( \mathcal{K}_m = \{ K = (k_1, \ldots, k_m, \ldots) \in \mathcal{K}; 0 \leq |k_1| \leq \cdots \leq k_m = k_{m+1} = \cdots \}, \quad (m \geq 1) \)

and \( \hat{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_p) \) for \( u = (u_1, \ldots, u_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \). By (1.25) and Lemma 2.4, we have therefore the following

\[
(2.13) \quad \rho_n \psi(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \psi_k^n(\xi),
\]

where

\[
(2.14) \quad \psi_k^n(\xi) = \int \psi(\hat{u}) C_k(u) |u|^k \exp\left(-u^2/2\right)/(2\pi)^{(n+1)/2} du,
\]

\[
(2.15) \quad C_k(u) = \frac{2k+n-1}{n-1} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma((n+1)/2)2^{-k}}{\Gamma(k+(n+1)/2)}} C_k^2\left(\frac{\xi \cdot u}{|u| \sqrt{n+1}}\right).
\]

On the other hand, we have

\[
|C_k(u)| \leq d_k = \frac{2k+n-1}{n-1} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma((n+1)/2)2^{-k}}{\Gamma(k+(n+1)/2)}} \frac{\Gamma(n+k-1)}{\Gamma(1+k)n},
\]

and the power series \( g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k z^k \) (z; complex numbers) is an entire function of order 2, (cf. Boas [3], pp. 8, 9), that is, for any positive number \( \varepsilon \), there exists a positive constant \( M_\varepsilon \) such that

\[
|g(z)| \leq M_\varepsilon e^{(2+\varepsilon)|z|} \quad \text{for all complex numbers} \quad z.
\]

Hence by the Schwarz inequality the series (2.13) converges uniformly and absolutely on the sphere \( S_n \). Therefore \( \rho_n \psi \in \mathcal{H}_n \) has a continuous version on \( S_n \). Also in the case of \( p \geq n+1 \), this proposition can be proved in a quite similar way to the case of \( n+1 \geq p \).

(Q.E.D.)

Proposition 2.6. It holds that in the space \( L^2(S_n, \mu_n) \), \( (1+n \geq p) \):

\[
(2.16) \quad \sum_{|K|=k} \frac{t^K}{\sqrt{|K|!}} (\rho_n H_K)(\xi) = \frac{2k+n-1}{n-1} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma((n+1)/2)2^{-k}}{\Gamma(k+(n+1)/2)}} |t|^k C_k^2\left(\frac{t \cdot \xi}{\sqrt{n+1}|t|}\right)
\]

for \( \xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_p, \ldots, \xi_{n+1}) \in S_n \), \( (v=(n-1)/2) \).

Here \( K! = k_1! \cdots k_p! \), \( |K| = k_1 + \cdots + k_p \) for \( K = (k_1, \ldots, k_p) \), \( (k_j \geq 0, j \geq 1) \), and \( t^K = \)
Proof. Since the function $\Xi_k(x)$ is a tame homogeneous harmonic function in our sense (see I, Prop. 3.8), the density formula (see I, (3.9)) gives

$$\int_{S_\infty} \exp (st \cdot \xi - s^2 t^2 / 2) \cdot \Xi_k(\xi) \mu(d\xi) = s^k \Xi_k(t),$$

where $s \in (-\infty, +\infty)$, $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_p, 0, 0, 0, \ldots) \in \mathbb{R}^p$.

Then by Proposition 1.3 and the generating function of the Hermite polynomials (see I, (2.24)), we have the following in the space $\mathcal{H}$:

$$\sum_{|K|=k} \frac{t^K}{\sqrt{K!}} H_K(\hat{\xi}) = \sum_{|K|=k} \Xi_k(\hat{\xi}) \cdot \overline{\Xi_k(\hat{\xi})}.$$

Hence, applying the orthogonal projection $\rho_n$ to the both sides of (2.18), and next using Lemma 2.4, we have the asked formula (2.16), (Q.E.D.)

Now we give another expansion of $\tilde{\psi}$ in $\mathcal{L}^2$:

$$\tilde{\psi}(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\psi}_k(u), \quad u = (u_1, \ldots, u_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p,$$

where

$$\tilde{\psi}_k(u) = \sum_{|K|=k} \langle \psi, H_K(u) \rangle H_K(u), \quad K = (k_1, \ldots, k_p),$$

and denote by $\psi_k$ the lift-up of $\tilde{\psi}_k$ to $S_\infty$:

$$\psi_k(\xi) = \tilde{\psi}_k(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_p) \quad \text{for} \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_p) \in S_\infty.$$

Then, we have the following

**Proposition 2.7.** Denoting by $f_{*}$ the Dirichlet solution on $D_{*+1}$ for the boundary function $\rho_n \psi \in \mathcal{H}_n$, we have:

$$f_{*}(\pi_{*}x) = \frac{(\|x\|_{*+1})^k}{(2\pi)^k} \sqrt{(k+v) \Gamma(v) 2^{-k} \nu v \Gamma(k+v)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_p} \tilde{\psi}(u)e^{-u^2/v}du$$

$$\times \int_{\mathbb{R}_p} e^{-(t+iu)^2/2} |t|^k C_k^2 \left( \frac{\hat{x} \cdot t}{\|x\|_{*+1} \sqrt{n+1} |t|} \right) dt$$

for $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_p, \ldots) \in D_\infty$ such that $\|x\|_{\infty} > 0$, $\|x\|_{*+1} > 0$, where $\hat{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_p)$ for the point $x$ and $(t+iu)^2 = (t_1+iu_1)^2 + \ldots + (t_p+iu_p)^2$ for $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_p)$, $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$.

Proof. First the integral representation of the Hermite polynomials and the formula (2.18) give the following in $\mathcal{H}_n$, $(n+1 \geq p)$:
Observing the Dirichlet solution on $D_{n+1}$ for the boundary function $E_k$ on $S_n$ is given by $r^K E_k(\xi)$ at $r \xi \in D_{n+1}$, $r \in [0, 1)$, $\xi \in S_n$, we have therefore the asked formula (2.22) with the aid of Lemma (2.4). (Q.E.D.)

We are now in position to state our Construction Theorem of the Dirichlet solutions for the tame boundary functions $\Psi$.

**Theorem 2.8** (Construction Theorem). *Let the real function $\tilde{\psi}(u) \in \mathcal{L}^2$ satisfy an additional condition

(2.24) $\int |\tilde{\psi}(u)| du < \infty$.

Then it holds that

(2.25) $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(\pi_n x) = f(x)$ for points $x \in D_\infty$.

Here $f_n$ and $f$ denote the Dirichlet solution on the ball $D_{n+1}$ for the continuous boundary function $\rho_n \psi$ on the sphere $S_n$ and the one on the ball $D_\infty$ for the tame boundary function $\psi$ on the unit sphere $S_\infty$ respectively:

(2.26) $f_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(x)$ on $D_{n+1}$, $n \geq 2$,

(2.27) $f(x) = \int \tilde{\psi}(u) \exp \left[ -(u-x)^2/(2(1-||x||^2)) \right]/(2\pi(1-||x||^2))^{1/2} du$,

for $x=(x_1, \cdots, x_p, \cdots) \in D_\infty$ and $u=(u_1, \cdots, u_p) \in R_p$, (see I, Prop. 3.5).

**Remark.** We have $\psi^{(n)} = \rho_n \psi$, ($k \geq 0$) by Proposition 1.5. Hence Proposition 2.5 shows that the right-hand side of (2.26) converges uniformly and absolutely on the closed ball $D_{n+1}$.

The proof of this theorem will break down into several parts.

### 3. Proof of Construction Theorem


In this subsection, we shall show several estimates of the Gegenbauer polynomials $C_k^\nu(x/\sqrt{2\nu})$ as $k, \nu \to \infty$. In the course of these estimations the method in Iwano's paper [10] on asymptotic solutions of ordinary differential equations is very instructive to us.

Now, observing that $(x_1, \cdots, x_p) ||x||_n$ are bounded for sufficiently large $n$ and a fixed point $x=(x_1, \cdots, x_p, \cdots) \in D_\infty$, we consider the following function $y(x)$ in
the complex domain $D_l = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}; \ |z| < R \}, \ (R > 0, \text{fixed}, \ \sqrt{2} \nu > R)$ of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$:

\begin{equation}
(3.1) \quad y(z) = \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{2
u}\right)^{(2\nu+1)/4} C_\nu^\nu\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{2}}\right),
\end{equation}

where we use the principal branch of the logarithmic function. Then we have the following ordinary differential equation:

\begin{equation}
(3.2) \quad Y'(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ q(z) & 0 \end{pmatrix} Y(z),
\end{equation}

where

\begin{equation}
(3.3) \quad Y(z) = \begin{pmatrix} y(z) \\ y'(z) \end{pmatrix},
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
(3.4) \quad q(z) = -\left\{ \frac{(k+\nu)^2 + \nu + 2\nu^2 - 2\nu^3 + (z^2/4)}{2\nu - z^2} \right\}.
\end{equation}

First we shall obtain estimates in the Case 1 ("Tail part": $\nu/k$ is bounded).

We introduce two parameters $\lambda, \mu$ defined by:

\begin{equation}
(3.5) \quad \mu = \nu/k, \quad \lambda = \nu^{-1/2},
\end{equation}

and two functions $\omega(\mu), r(z, \lambda, \mu)$ as follows:

\begin{align}
(3.6) & \quad \omega(\mu) = \sqrt{1/2} + \mu, \\
(3.7) & \quad r(z, \lambda, \mu) = \frac{(1 + \mu)^2z^2}{2(2 - \lambda^2z^2)} + \frac{\mu^2(4\lambda^2 + 8 + 4\lambda^2z^2 + 2\lambda^2z^4 - 8z^2)}{4(2 - \lambda^2z^2)^2}.
\end{align}

Using these functions, we set

\begin{equation}
(3.8) \quad P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/(\lambda \mu) \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_1 = i\omega \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{equation}

Then the equation (3.2) turns out to be

\begin{equation}
(3.10) \quad \lambda \mu Y'(z) = A_1(z) Y_1(z),
\end{equation}

where

\begin{equation}
(3.11) \quad Y(z) = P_1(z) Y_1(z).
\end{equation}

Next we set
with the aid of the solutions \( q(z), q(z) \) of the following non-linear differential equations

\[
\begin{align*}
(3.13) & \quad \lambda \mu q'(z) = 2i\omega q(z) + \frac{ir\lambda^2}{2\omega} (1 + q(z))^2, \\
(3.14) & \quad \lambda \mu q'(z) = -2i\omega q(z) - \frac{ir\lambda^2}{2\omega} (1 + q(z))^2.
\end{align*}
\]

Then the equation (3.10) turns out easily to be

\[
(3.15) \quad \lambda \mu Y'_2(z) = A_2(z) Y_2(z).
\]

Here

\[
(3.16) \quad Y_2(z) = P_2(z) Y_2(z), \\
(3.17) \quad A_2(z) = \begin{pmatrix} a_2(z) & 0 \\ 0 & a_2(z) \end{pmatrix}, \\
(3.18) \quad a_1(z) = i\omega + ir\lambda^2(1 + q(z))/(2\omega), \\
(3.19) \quad a_2(z) = -i\omega - ir\lambda^2(1 + q(z))/(2\omega).
\]

Now we have to show the existence of solutions of the equations (3.13), (3.14) and the invertibility of the matrix \( P_2(z) \). First we seek the formal solution \( q(z, \lambda, \mu) = \sum a_k(z, \mu) \cdot \lambda^k \) of the equation (3.13). Then we have \( q_0 = 0, q_1 = 0 \) and

\[
(3.20) \quad q_2(z, \mu) = -r_0(z, \mu)/(4\omega^2),
\]

where

\[
(3.21) \quad r_0(z, \mu) = (1 + \mu)^3 z^2 / 4 + \mu^2(1 - z^2)/2,
\]

and we set another function \( r_1(z, \lambda, \mu) \) so as to hold

\[
(3.22) \quad r(z, \lambda, \mu) = r_0(z, \mu) + r_1(z, \lambda, \mu) \cdot \lambda^2.
\]

Next, for the sake of simple application of Schauder-Tychonoff’s fixed point theorem (cf. Dunford and Schwartz [5], p. 456), we shall seek a solution \( q(z, \lambda, \mu) \) of the equation (3.13) holomorphic in the three variables \((z, \lambda, \mu)\) in a suitable complex domain. We denote by \( \Sigma \) the open lozenge in the \( z \)-plane with four vertexes \( a^{(1)} = -ia, a^{(2)} = b, a^{(3)} = ia, a^{(4)} = -b \), \((a > 0, b = a \cdot \tan \gamma, 0 < \gamma < (\pi/2))\) and included in the domain \( D_1 \), and set

\[
(3.23) \quad \Lambda = \{ (\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^2; 0 < |\lambda| < \lambda_0, 0 < |\mu| < \mu_0, \ \arg \lambda < \alpha_0, \ \arg \mu < \beta_0 \}.
\]
Now, in order to solve the equation \((3.13)\) on 
\(\Sigma \times \Lambda\) by the method of constant variation, we put
\[
q(z) - q_0(z) \cdot \lambda^2 = \nu(z) = w(z) \exp(D(z)),
\]
where
\[
D(z) = 2i\omega(z - a^0)/(|\lambda\mu|), \quad (z, \lambda, \mu) \in \Sigma \times \Lambda.
\]
Then the equation \((3.13)\) turns out to be
\[
\lambda \mu w'(z) = g(z, w(z)) \cdot \exp(D(z)) \cdot \exp(-D(z)),
\]
where
\[
g(z, w) = -\lambda^2 h(z) + ir \lambda^2 (1 + q_0 \lambda^2) v(z)/\omega + ir \lambda^2 v^2(z)/(2\omega) ,
\]
\[
h = -\frac{r_0^2 \mu}{4\omega^2} \cdot \frac{i\lambda}{\omega} \left( \frac{r_0^2 \lambda^2}{4\omega^2} - \frac{r_1^2 \lambda^2}{4\omega^2} \right) - \frac{r_0 \gamma}{2} + \frac{r_0 \gamma}{2} - \frac{r_1 \gamma}{4} + \frac{r_1 \gamma}{4}.
\]
Now we introduce the following complete locally convex topological vector space \(X\) with the uniform convergence topology on compact subsets of \(\Sigma \times \Lambda\):
\[
X = \{w(z, \lambda, \mu); w(z, \lambda, \mu) \text{ is holomorphic in } \Sigma \times \Lambda\},
\]
and denote by \(\mathcal{F}\) the following non-empty convex compact subset of \(X\):
\[
\mathcal{F} = \{w \in X; |w(z, \lambda, \mu)| \leq K \cdot e^{-R_0 D(z)} \cdot |\lambda|^3 \text{ on } \Sigma \times \Lambda\},
\]
\((K > 0)\),
(see Hörmander [9], p. 26). Next we define a mapping \(S\) from \(\mathcal{F}\) to \(X\) as follows:
\[
(Sw)(x_0, \lambda, \mu) = \int_{x(t)}^t \frac{1}{\lambda \mu} g(z, w(z) \cdot e^{D(t)}) e^{-D(t)} dt .
\]
Here we can choose the positive constant \(K\) so as to hold \(S(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{F}\). Indeed, first we notice for some positive constant \(M\),
\[
|g(z, w \cdot e^\theta)| \leq M |\lambda| (|\lambda| + |w| + |w|^2) \quad \text{on } \Sigma \times \Lambda,
\]
and also the following
\[
\int_0^t \exp(-R_0 D(z(t))) dt = \frac{|\lambda \mu| (\exp(-R_0 D(z(s))) - 1)}{2 |\omega| \cos (\psi - \theta)},
\]
where \(z(t) = a^0(t) + t \cdot e^{i((\psi(t)) - \theta)}, \quad (-\gamma < \psi < \gamma, s > 0)\),
\[
\theta = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1}\left( \frac{|\mu| \sin \beta}{(1/2) + |\mu| \cos \beta} \right) - \beta, \quad (\alpha = \arg \lambda, \beta = \arg \mu).
\]
Hence we have the following estimate for \(w \in \mathcal{F}\)
which shows the existence of the asked positive constant $K$. Moreover we can easily see the continuity of the mapping $S$. Therefore Schauder-Tychonoff’s fixed point theorem shows the existence of a solution $w(x, \lambda, \mu) \in \mathcal{F}$ of the equation (3.26). Thus we obtain the following solution of the equation (3.13):

\[
(3.35) \quad q(x, \lambda, \mu) = q(x, \mu)\lambda^2 + v(x, \lambda, \mu),
\]

\[
|v(x, \lambda, \mu)| \leq K|x|^3 \quad \text{on } \Sigma \times \Lambda.
\]

It is easily seen that the function

\[
(3.36) \quad \bar{q}(x, \lambda, \mu) = \bar{q}(\bar{x}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})
\]

on $\Sigma \times \Lambda$ is a solution of the equation (3.14), ($\bar{x}$ denotes the complex conjugate of $x$). Therefore it holds that

\[
(3.37) \quad Y_2(x) = B(x)Y_2(0),
\]

with

\[
(3.38) \quad B(x) = \begin{pmatrix} b_1(x) & 0 \\ 0 & b_2(x) \end{pmatrix},
\]

where

\[
b_1(x) = \exp \left( \int_0^x a_1(z) \, dz \right), \quad b_2(x) = \exp \left( \int_0^x a_2(z) \, dz \right).
\]

Now, we set

\[
(3.39) \quad P_1(x)P_2(x)B(x)(P_1(0)P_2(0))^{-1} = P(x) = \begin{pmatrix} p_{1,1}(x) & p_{1,2}(x) \\ p_{2,1}(x) & p_{2,2}(x) \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Then we have

\[
(3.40) \quad y(x) = p_{1,1}(x)y(0) + p_{1,2}(x)y'(0)
\]

and

\[
(3.41) \quad y(0) = C^*_1(0), \quad y'(0) = (C^*_1)'(0) / \sqrt{2\nu}.
\]

Noticing the definition (3.1) of the function $y(x)$, we consequently obtain the following

**Proposition 3.1.** For given positive numbers $R$, $\mu_0$, there exist positive numbers $\nu_0, M_1, K_1$ for which it holds that

\[
(3.42) \quad |C^*_1(x|\sqrt{2\nu})| \leq \frac{M_1}{\sqrt{k(2+\gamma)}} \left( \frac{(2+\gamma)^{2+\gamma}}{4\gamma^\gamma} \right)^{\nu/2} e^{K_1k^{\nu^3}}, \quad (k: \text{even}),
\]
\[(3.43) \quad |C_2(x|\sqrt{2}v)| \leq \frac{M_1}{\sqrt{k\gamma}} \left(\frac{(2+\gamma)^{2+\gamma}}{4\gamma^2}\right)^{\gamma/2} e^{x/\lambda^2}, \quad (k: \text{odd}), \]

for \((v/k) \leq \nu_0, v \geq \nu_0\) and \(-R \leq x \leq R\). Here we set

\[(3.44) \quad \gamma = k/v.\]

Next we shall obtain estimates in the

Case 2 ("Middle part": \(k/v\) is bounded).

In this case we can go ahead in a quite similar way to the case 1. Therefore we list up only a series of formulas different from the ones in the case 1, and give them corresponding numbers.

\[(3.5) \quad \mu = (k/v)^{1/2}, \quad \lambda = k^{-1/2}.\]

\[(3.6) \quad \omega(\mu) = (1+(\mu^2/2))^{1/2}.\]

\[(3.7) \quad r(z, \lambda, \mu) = \frac{(2+\mu^2)\mu^2z^2 - 4\lambda^2\mu^2 + 8 - 4\lambda^2 + \lambda^4\mu^2 z^2}{2(2-\lambda^2 z^2) + 4(2-\lambda^2 z^2)^2}.\]

\[(3.8) \quad P_1(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1/\lambda & 1 \\ i\omega & -i\omega \end{pmatrix}.\]

\[(3.10) \quad \lambda Y_1(z) = A_1(z) Y_1(z).\]

\[(3.13) \quad \lambda q'(z) = 2i\omega q(z) + ir\lambda^2(1+q(z))^2/(2\omega).\]

\[(3.14) \quad \lambda q''(z) = -2i\omega q(z) - ir\lambda^2(1+q(z))^2/(2\omega).\]

\[(3.18) \quad a_1(z) = i\omega + ir\lambda^2(1+q(z))/(2\omega).\]

\[(3.19) \quad a_4(z) = -i\omega - i(1+q(z))/(2\omega).\]

\[(3.21) \quad r_0(z, \mu) = (2+\mu^2)\mu^2z^2/(4+2-z^2)/4.\]

\[(3.25) \quad D(z) = 2i\omega(z-a^0)/\lambda.\]

\[(3.26) \quad \lambda \omega'(z) = g(z, \omega(z) \cdot \exp(D(z))) \cdot \exp(-D(z)).\]

\[(3.28) \quad h = -\frac{r_0}{4\omega^2} - \frac{ir_1\lambda}{2\omega} \left(1+q_2\lambda^2\right)^2 + \frac{i\tau_3\lambda}{8\omega^3} (2+q_2\lambda^2).\]

\[(3.31) \quad (S\omega)(\omega, \lambda, \mu) = \int_{\omega_0}^{\tau_0} \frac{1}{\lambda} g(z, \omega(z) \cdot e^{D(z)}) \cdot e^{-D(z)} dz.\]

\[(3.33) \quad \int_0^\theta \exp(-Re D(z(t))) dt = \frac{|\lambda| (\exp(-Re D(z(t)))) - 1}{2|\omega| \cos(\psi - \theta)}, \quad \theta = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1}(\frac{|\mu|^2 \sin 2\beta}{2 + |\mu|^2 \cos 2\beta}) - \alpha.\]

Then we have the following

**Proposition 3.2.** For given positive numbers \(R, \mu_0, M_2, K_2\) for which it holds that
\[ |C'_k(x/\sqrt{2\nu})| \leq \frac{M_2}{\sqrt{k(2+\gamma)}} \left( \frac{(2+\gamma)^{2+\gamma}}{4\gamma^2} \right)^{\nu/2} e^{K_2/k^2}, \quad (k: \text{even}), \]

\[ |C'_k(x/\sqrt{2\nu})| \leq \frac{M_2}{\sqrt{k}} \left( \frac{(2+\gamma)^{2+\gamma}}{4\gamma^2} \right)^{\nu/2} e^{K_2/k^2}, \quad (k: \text{odd}), \]

for \( k \geq k_0 \) \((k/\nu) \leq \mu_0 \) and \(-R \leq x \leq R\).

3.2. Proof of Construction Theorem.

In this subsection, we assume the condition (2.24) for the boundary function \( \psi \). Now our first assertion is the following

**Proposition 3.3** ("Tail part"). For an arbitrarily fixed positive number \( \gamma_0 \), we have

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^2} |f_n^t(x)| = 0 \quad \text{for a point } x \in \mathbb{Z}_n. \]

Proof. Noticing Proposition 3.1 and the expression (2.22) of \( f_n^t(x) \), we have only to show the following with the aid of Stirling’s formula:

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^2} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^{n^{3/4}} k^{(n-1)/2} \left( \frac{||x||}{e^{\nu|x|}} \right)^4 \Phi(\gamma)^{\nu/2} = 0. \]

Here we set \( \nu = (n-1)/2 \) and

\[ \Phi(\gamma) = \frac{2+\gamma}{2(2+2\gamma)^{1+\gamma}} \quad \text{for } \gamma \in [0, \infty). \]

Since the function \( \Phi(\gamma) \) is strictly monotone decreasing:

\[ \frac{d}{d\gamma} \log \Phi(\gamma) = \log \frac{2+\gamma}{2+2\gamma} \quad \text{for } \gamma \in (0, \infty) \]

and \( \Phi(0) = 1 \), the formula (3.46) can be easily seen by observing \( ||x||_\infty < 1 \).

(Q.E.D.)

Second we show the following

**Proposition 3.4** ("Middle part"). For an arbitrarily fixed positive number \( \gamma_0 \), we have

\[ \lim_{k_0 \to \infty} \lim_{x_0 \to \infty} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2} |f_n^t(x)| = 0 \quad \text{for a point } x \in \mathbb{D}_\infty. \]

Proof. We can easily prove this proposition in a similar manner to Proposition 3.3 with the aid of Proposition 3.2. (Q.E.D.)

Now we notice the following Mehler’s formula:

\[ \sum_{K} s^{\nu} H_K(x) H_K(y) = \exp \left[ -((x^2 + y^2) s^2 - 2sx \cdot y)/(1-s^2)^{1/2} \right], \]

where \( K \) is a positive integer. \( s = (n/\nu)^{1/2} \).
where \(-1 < \epsilon < 1\), \(K = (k_1, \ldots, k_p), (k_i > 0, i \geq 1)\), \(x = (x_1, \ldots, x_p), y = (y_1, \ldots, y_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p\). Hence it holds that

\[
\sum_{k} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} \int \tilde{\varphi}(u) H_K(u)e^{-u^2/2} du \quad ||x||_\infty H_K\left(\frac{\hat{x}}{||x||_\infty}\right) = \int_{R^p} \tilde{\varphi}(u) \left[ \exp \left( -\frac{(u - \hat{x})^2}{2(1 - ||x||_\infty^2)} \right) \right]/(2\pi(1 - ||x||_\infty^2))^{p/2} du
\]

for \(\tilde{\varphi} \in L^2\) and \(x \in \overset{\circ}{D}_m\), and the series of the left-hand side converges absolutely.

On the other hand, we have the following uniform convergence formula

\[
\lim_{\nu \to \infty} \frac{1}{(2\nu)^{p/2}} C_1\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2\nu}}\right) = \frac{1}{k!} H_k(x)
\]

with respect to bounded real numbers \(x\), \((k: \text{fixed})\). Consequently for a fixed number \(k\) and a point \(x \in \overset{\circ}{D}_m\), we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} f_k(\pi_n x) = \sum_{|K| = k} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} \int \tilde{\varphi}(u) H_K(u)e^{-u^2/2} du \quad ||x||_\infty H_K\left(\frac{\hat{x}}{||x||_\infty}\right)
\]

with the aid of Mehler's formula. Therefore we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(\pi_n x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^\infty f_k(\pi_n x)
\]

\[
= \int_{R^p} \tilde{\varphi}(u) \exp \left[ \frac{-(u - \hat{x})^2/(2(1 - ||x||_\infty^2))}{(2\pi(1 - ||x||_\infty^2))^{p/2}} \right] du
\]

for \(x = (x_1, \ldots, x_p, \ldots) \in \overset{\circ}{D}_m\).

Thus Construction Theorem has been proved by the asymptotic calculus. Concluding this paper, we notice the similarity between the limiting procedure in Construction Theorem and the one in the statistical mechanics, e.g., evaluation of specific free energy, (cf. Berlin and Kac [2], Dyson [6]).
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