

| Title | Supplement to note on Brauer's theorem of simple groups. II |
|--------------|---|
| Author(s) | Nagai, Osamu |
| Citation | Osaka Mathematical Journal. 1959, 11(2), p. 147- 152 |
| Version Type | VoR |
| URL | https://doi.org/10.18910/8620 |
| rights | |
| Note | |

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

Nagai, Osamu Osaka Math. J. 11 (1959), 147–152.

Supplement to Note on Brauer's Theorem of Simple Groups. II

By Osamu NAGAI

The aim of this note is to complete the proof of the following theorem: Let \mathfrak{G} be a finite group which contains an element P of prime order pwhich commutes only with its own powers (condition (*)) and assume that \mathfrak{G} is equal to its commutator-subgroup \mathfrak{G}' (condition (**)). Then the order g of \mathfrak{G} is expressed as g=p(p-1)(1+np)/t, where 1+np is the number of conjugate subgroups of order p and t is the number of classes of conjugate elements of order p. If $n \leq p+2$ and $t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then p is of the form $2^{\mu}-1$ and $\mathfrak{G} \simeq LF(2, 2^{\mu})$.

In [3], the theorem was proved for the case n < p+2 and $t \equiv 0$ (mod. 2): If n < p+2 and $t \equiv 0$ (mod. 2), under (*) and (**), then p is of the form $2^{\mu}-1$ and $\mathfrak{G} \simeq LF(2, 2^{\mu})$. In [4], the case n=p+2 and $t \equiv 0$ (mod. 2) are discussed, but the equation in p. 230, line 6 is not correct¹), this value should be $\omega^{j(\ell+\nu)} \cdot (-1)^{jt}$. So the representation of degree p+1may occur. Therefore, in this note, we shall assume that the irreducible representation of degree p+1 occurs besides the assumptions (*), (**), n=p+2 and $t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Under these assumptions we shall prove that such a group does not exist.

We shall use the same notations as Brauer [1]. First of all, we shall assume that n=p+2=F(p, 1, 2)=F(p, u, 1) with positive integer u. For, if n does not have the expression F(p, u, 1) with positive integer u, then the character-relations in $B_1(p)$ yields a contradiction easily. Simple computations show that the possible values of the irreducible characters in $B_1(p)$ are 1, p+1, up+1, (u-1)p-1, (up+1)/t and ((u-1)p-1)/t. In order to consider such characters, we shall prove following lemmas, essentially due to Brauer.

Lemma 1. Under assumptions (*), (**), n=p+2 $t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, if \mathfrak{G} has an irreducible character A of degree up+1(u>1), then for the element I of order 2 in the normalizer $\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{P})$ of a p-Sylow subgroup \mathfrak{P}

¹⁾ W. F. Reynolds kindly pointed out this error and gave the auther many useful suggestions. By this error, Theorem in [5] (p. 107) should be corrected as follows; If $2p-3 < n \leq 2p+3$, $t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and t > 1, then 2p+1 is a prime power and $\mathfrak{E} \simeq LF(2, 2p+1)$, unless the irreducible representation of degree p+1 occurs. But Theorem in [5] (p. 116) is valid.

O. NAGAI

$$A(I) = \begin{cases} u+1, & \text{if } u \text{ is even.} \\ 0, & \text{if } u \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

The normalizer $\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{P})$ of a *p*-Sylow subgroup \mathfrak{P} is a metacyclic group $\{P, Q\}$ of order pq = p(p-1)/t and has q linear characters ω_{μ} and t pconjugate characters $Y^{(\tau)}$ of degree q. If we consider the character A in $\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{P})$, then A is decomposed into two parts \widehat{A} and $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where \widehat{A} is a sum of u+1 linear characters ω_{μ} and A_0 is a linear homogeneous combination of $Y^{(\tau)}$. Now, as u > 1, n (=p+2) has an expression F(p, u, 1) = (up + 1) $u^2+u+1)/(u+1)$. This implies $p=u^2-u-1$ and g=p q(up+1)(p+u+1)/(p(u+1). Since $gn(G)^{-1} \cdot (D_{\alpha}A)^{-1} \cdot A(G)$ is an algebraic integer, where n(G)is the order of the normalizer of G in \otimes and D_gA is the degree of A, $gn(Q^j)^{-1} \cdot (up+1)^{-1} \cdot A(Q^j)$ is, and also $A(Q^j)/(u+1)$ is an algebraic integer. But $A(Q^j) = \widehat{A}(Q^j)$ for $j \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$. Then applying Burnside's method, we have $\widetilde{A}(Q^{j})=0$ or $(u+1)\omega^{\mu j}$: that is, $A(Q^{j})=0$ or $(u+1)\omega^{\mu j}$ for $j \equiv 0$ (mod q). Assume $\widehat{A} = \alpha_1 \omega_{\mu_1} + \alpha_2 \omega_{\mu_2} + \cdots + \alpha_r \omega_{\mu_r}$ is a decomposition of \widehat{A} in $\mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{P})$. Let *m* be the least positive integer satisfying $\widehat{A}(Q^m) \neq 0$. Then *m* is a divisor of q and any integer x satisfying $\widetilde{A}(Q^x) \neq 0$ is a multiple of m. Now there exist q/m integers satisfying $\widehat{A}(Q^{x}) \neq 0$. From the orthogonality-relations, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \widehat{A}(Q^j) \overline{\omega}_{\mu_i}(Q^j) = \alpha_i \cdot q \; .$$

On the other hand, $\sum_{j} \widetilde{A}(Q^{j}) \overline{\omega}_{\mu_{i}}(Q^{j}) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{q/m} \widetilde{A}(Q^{\lambda m}) \overline{\omega}_{\mu_{i}}(Q^{\lambda m}) = (u+1) q/m$. Hence $\alpha_{i}q = (u+1) q/m$. This means $\alpha_{i} = (u+1)/m$ for $i=1, 2, \cdots, r$. Therefore $\widetilde{A} = \frac{u+1}{m} (\omega_{\mu_{1}} + \omega_{\mu_{2}} + \cdots + \omega_{\mu_{m}})$. Furthermore $\widetilde{A}(Q^{m}) \neq 0$ implies $\omega^{\mu_{1}m} = \omega^{\mu_{2}m}$ $= \cdots = \omega^{\mu_{m}m}$. This means $\mu_{1} \equiv \mu_{2} \equiv \cdots \equiv \mu_{m} \pmod{q/m}$. Then we can put $\mu_{1} = a, \ \mu_{2} = a + q/m, \ \cdots, \ \mu_{m} = a + (m-1) q/m$. Thus

(D)
$$A = \frac{u+1}{m} (\omega_a + \omega_{a+q/m} + \cdots + \omega_{a+(m-1)q/m}) + A_0.$$

Next consider its determinant for Q^j for $j \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$. This value must be 1.

$$Det(A(Q^{j})) = \omega^{j^{a(u+1)}}(-1)^{j(1-\frac{u+1}{m})}.$$

Suppose $(u+1)/m\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then $\omega^{ja(u+1)}=(-1)^j$. For j=1, we have $a(u+1)\equiv q/2 \pmod{q}$, $a(u+1)\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$. These yield $u-2\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. This contradicts $u+1\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Now we have $(u+1)/m\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and then $a(u+1)\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$. From (D),

148

Note on Brauer's Theorem II

$$A(I) = \frac{u+1}{m} ((-1)^a + (-1)^{a+q/m} + \cdots + (-1)^{a+(m-1)q/m}).$$

i) If u is even, then m is odd. And q/m must be even. From $a(u+1)\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$, a is even. Thus A(I)=u+1.

ii) If *u* is odd, then $\frac{q}{m} = \frac{u+1}{m} \frac{u-2}{t}$ is odd. Hence A(I) = 0. This proves lemma 1.

For other type of irreducible characters, similar results can be proved.

Lemma 2.²⁾ Under the same assumptions as in Lemma 1, if \mathfrak{G} has an irreducible charactor B of degree (u-1)p-1, then for an involution I (the element of order 2) in $\mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{P})$

$$B(I) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } u \text{ is even.} \\ u-2, & \text{if } u \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.³⁾ Under the same assumptions as in Lemma 1, if \mathfrak{G} has an irreducible character C of degree (up+1)/t, then for an involution I of $\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{P})$

$$C(I) = \begin{cases} (u+1)/t, & if \ u \ is \ even. \\ 0, & if \ u \ is \ odd. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.⁴⁾ Under the same assumptions as in Lemma 1, if \mathfrak{B} has an irreducible character C of degree ((u-1)p-1)/t, then for an involution I of $\mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{P})$

$$C(I) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } u \text{ is even.} \\ (u-2)/t, & \text{if } u \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

²⁾ If u-2=1, then the Burnside's method yields nothing. But u-2=1 yields p=5. For p=5, $g=5\cdot4\cdot(1+7\cdot5)/t$. Since t is odd, t=1. Then $B_1(5)$ consists of the principal character, x characters of degree 6, y characters of degree 16 and z characters of degree 9. And we have 1+x+y+z=5 and 1+6x+16y=9z. This is a contradiction. Hence u-2>1.

³⁾ Let u+1=t. If the irreducible character of degree up+1 occurs, then $q \equiv 0 \pmod{(u+1)}$. And u=2. This contradicts (**). Therefore $B_1(p)$ may consists of the 1-character, x characters of degree p+1, y characters of degree (u-1)p-1 and t characters of degree (up+1)/t. Then 1+x+y=(p-1)/t=u-2 and x+1=(u-1)y. This is also a contradiction. Hence (u+1)/t>1.

⁴⁾ Let u-2=t. If the irreducible character of degree (u-1)p-1 occurs, then $q \equiv 0 \pmod{(u-2)}$. And either u=5 or u=3. If u=5, then p=19. $B_1(19)$ may consist of the 1-character, x characters of degree 20, y characters of degree 96, z characters of degree 75 and t characters of degree 25. Then 1+x+y+z=6 and x+5y=4z+1. This is a contradiction. If u=3, then p=5. So $B_1(5)$ may consists of the 1-character, x characters of degree 6, y characters of degree 16 and z characters of degree 9. Then 1+x+y+z=5 and 1+6x+19y=9z. This is a contradiction. If the irreducible character of degree (u-1)p-1 does not occur, then $B_1(p)$ may consist of the 1-character, x characters of degree up+1 and t characters of degree ((u-1)p-1)/(u-2). Then 1+x+y=u+1 and x+uy=1. This is a contradiction. Hence (u-2)/t > 1.

O. NAGAI

Lemma 5. Under the same assumptions as Lemma 1, let X be an irreducible character of degree p+1, then for an involution I of $\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{P})$

$$X(I) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } q \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ either + 2 & \text{or } -2, & \text{if } q \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

In the latter case, we denote by y_1 and y_2 respectively the numbers of characters whose values for I are +2 and -2.

Now, we shall consider two cases.

Case I: O contains an irreducible character of degree (up+1)/t;

Let $B_1(p)$ contain x characters of degree up+1, y characters of degree p+1, z characters of degree (u-1)p-1. From the character-relations in $B_1(p)$, we have

$$1+x+y+z = (p-1)/t,$$

$$ux+y+(u+1)/t = (u-1)z,$$

$$p = u^2-u-1.$$

The character-relation which holds for p-regular elements shows for an involution I that

(I)
$$1 + \sum A(I) + \sum X(I) + C(I) = \sum B(I)$$
.

Eliminate y and p, then $(u-1)x - (u-1)z + (u^2-1)/t = z+1$. Put $z+1 = \alpha(u-1)$. Then $x = -(u+1)/t + \alpha u - 1$, $y = (u^2-1)/t - 2\alpha u + \alpha + 1$ and $z = \alpha u - \alpha - 1$. As $x \ge 0$, $\alpha \ge 1$. And $\alpha \ge 2$ for t=1.

Now consider (I) for even u and for odd u separately.

Case Ia: Case where u is even; From (I), none of X(I) can be zero. Hence we have

$$1 + x(u+1) + 2(y_1 - y_2) + (u+1)/t = 0.$$

But $y_2 - y_1 \leq y$. Then $1 + x(u+1) + (u+1)/t \leq 2y$. Substitute above values for x and y, then we have

$$\alpha(u_2+5u-2)-u-2 \leq (3u^2+u-2)/t$$
.

This inequality yields $\alpha = 0$ for $t \neq 1$ and $\alpha \leq 2$ for t = 1. Hence we have t=1 and $\alpha = 2$.

Case Ib : Case where u is odd; From (I), none of X(I) can be zero. Hence we have

$$1+2(y_1-y_2) = (u-2)z$$
.

But $y_1 - y_2 \leq y$. Then $(u-2)z - 1 \leq 2y$. Substitute the above values for y and z, then we have

Note on Brauer's Theorem II

$$lpha(u^2+u)-u-1 \leq 2(u^2-1)/t$$
,
 $lpha u-1 \leq 2(u-1)/t$.

This inequality yields $\alpha = 0$ for $t \neq 1$ and $\alpha < 2$ for t = 1. This is a contradiction.

Case II: G contains an irreducible character of degree ((u-1)p-1)/t; Let $B_1(p)$ contain x characters of degree up+1, y characters of degree p+1, z characters of degree (u-1)p-1. From the character-relations in $B_1(p)$,

(I')

$$1 + x + y + z = (p-1)/t,$$

$$uz + y = (u-1)z + (u-2)/t,$$

$$p = u^{2} - u - 1,$$

$$1 + \sum A(I) + \sum X(I) = \sum B(I) + C(I)$$

Eliminate y and p, then x+1=ux-uz+u(u-2)/t. Put $x+1=\alpha u$. Then $z=(u-2)/t+\alpha u-\alpha-1$, $y=u(u-2)/t-2\alpha u+\alpha+1$ and $x=\alpha u-1$. Of course α is a positive integer.

Case IIa: Case where u is even; As Case Ia, from (I'), we have $1+x(u+1) \leq 2y$. Substitute the above values for x and y, then we have

$$\alpha(u^2+5u-2)-u-2 \leq 2u(u-2)/t$$
.

This yields t=1 and $\alpha=1$.

Case IIb: Case where u is odd; We have from (I'),

$$1+2(y_1-y_2) = (u-2)z + (u-2)/t$$
.

As Case Ib, we have

$$(u-2)z+(u-2)/t-1 \le 2y,$$

 $\alpha(u^2+u)-u-1 \le (u-1)(u-2)/t,$
 $\alpha u-1 \le (u-2)/t.$

This inequality yields $\alpha < 1$. This is a contradiction.

Combining the above cases, the only possible case occurs when t=1 for even u. In this case $B_1(p)$ consists of the 1-character, u-1 characters of degree up+1, u^2-4u+2 characters of degree p+1 and 2u-3 characters of degree (u-1)p-1.

Denote the sum of the elements in the conjugate class containing G by $\langle G \rangle$. Now we consider the coefficient of $\langle I \rangle^2$ in the group ring of its center. From the orthogonality relations the coefficient a_p of $\langle P \rangle$ is

$$a_{p} = gn(I)^{-2} \sum (D_{g}X)^{-1}X(I)^{2} \cdot X(P),$$

where the summation ranges over all the irreducible characters of \mathfrak{G} . (cf. [2], §5). On the other hand this coefficient is equal to the number of pairs of conjugate elements T and S of $\langle I \rangle$ such that TS=P. If TS=P, then $TPT^{-1}=P^{-1}$. By condition (*), this number of pairs is p. Hence we get

$$p = gn(I)^{-2} \sum (D_g X)^{-1} X(I)^2 \overline{X}(P),$$

where sum ranges over all irreducible characters of S.

Applying this, we have

$$n(I)^{2}p = g\{1 + (up+1)^{-1}(u+1)^{2}(u-1) + (p+1)^{-1}4(u^{2}-4u+2)\}.$$

$$n(I)^{2} = 2u(u-2)^{2}(u-1)(3u-2)(u+1).$$

Since n(I) is a multiple of p-1=(u+1)(u-2) and u is even, we have u+1=5. Hence $n(I)^2=5^22^63$. This number is not a square.

Thus for n=p+2 and $t\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, such a group \otimes does not exist. This completes the proof of the theorem.

(Received September 17, 1959)

References

- R. Brauer: On permutation groups of prime degree and related classes of groups, Ann. of Math. 44 (1943), 57-79.
- [2] R. Brauer and K. A. Fowler: On groups of even order, Ann. of Math. 62 (1955), 565–583.
- [3] O. Nagai: Note on Brauer's theorem of simple groups, Osaka Math. J. 4 (1952), 113-120.

152