

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

Osaka University

BOUNDEDNESS OF LOG-PLURICANONICAL MAPS FOR SURFACES OF LOG-GENERAL TYPE IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

Omprokash DAS

(Received August 17, 2020, revised September 29, 2020)

Abstract

In this article we prove the following boundedness result: Fix a DCC set $I \subseteq [0, 1]$. Let $\mathfrak D$ be the set of all log pairs (X, Δ) satisfying the following properties: (i) *X* is a projective surface defined over an algebraically closed field, (ii) (X, Δ) is log canonical and the coefficients of Δ are in *I*, and (iii) $K_X + \Delta$ is big. Then there is a positive integer $N = N(I)$ depending only on the set *I* such that the linear system $\|m(K_X + \Delta)\|$ defines a birational map onto its image for all $m \geq N$ and $(X, \Delta) \in \mathfrak{D}$.

Contents

1. Introduction

Pluricanonical system (which determines the Kodaira dimension) of a variety is one of the fundamental birational invariants used in the classification theory of algebraic varieties. So understanding pluricanonical maps is of great importance. If *X* is a variety of general type, then by definition the pluricanonical map $\phi_{rK_X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(rK_X)))$ is birational (orto its image) for all sufficiently large r. It is a natural question to then ask if there (onto its image) for all sufficiently large *r*. It is a natural question to then ask if there is an integer r_n such that ϕ_{rK_X} is birational for all $r \geq r_n$, uniformly for all varieties of general type of dimension *n*. When *X* is a smooth curve of genus $q \ge 2$, it is easy to see that ϕ_{rK_Y} is birational for all $r \geq 3$. When X is a smooth surface of general type and the characteristic of the ground field is 0, Bombieri showed in [4] that ϕ_{rK_X} is birational for all $r \geq 5$. The same result was later proved in characteristic $p > 0$ by Ekedahl in [7]. Starting with dimension \geq 3 this becomes a very hard problem to study, and several partial cases were known in characteristic 0 due to [11, 3, 17, 5, 12, 15, 16]. In 2006, Hacon and McKernan [9], and independently Takayama [19] using ideas of Tsuji [22] made a breakthrough on this

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 14J29, 14E05, 14E30.

problem in all dimensions ≥ 3 . They proved that for any fixed positive integer *n*, there is another positive integer r_n depending only on *n* such that ϕ_{rK_X} is birational for all $r \geq r_n$ and for all smooth projective varieties *X* of general type of dimension *n*. A similar result in positive characteristic is unknown even in dimension 3.

On the other hand there is an analogous problem for log pairs with wider range of applications, it says the following:

Conjecture 1.1. *Fix a positive integer n, a DCC set I* \subseteq [0, 1] \cap Q. Let \Im *be a collection of log pairs satisfying the following properties:*

- (1) *X is a projective variety of dimension n defined over an algebraically closed field,*
- (2) (*X*, ^Δ) *is log canonical and the coe*ffi*cients of* ^Δ *are contained in the set I, and*
- (3) $K_X + \Delta$ *is big.*

Then there is a positive integer $N = N(n, I)$ *depending only on n and the set I such that the linear system* $\|m(K_X + \Delta)\|$ *defines a birational map onto its image for all m* $\geq N$ *and* $(X, \Delta) \in \mathfrak{D}$.

In dimension 2 and characteristic 0 this is proved by Todorov in [21, Corollary 6.1]. In general in all higher dimensions and in characteristic 0 this is proved by Hacon, McKernan and Xu in their paper [10, Theorem C] as a part of their inductive arguments in the proof of the ACC property for log canonical thresholds. In this article we prove this conjecture for surfaces in positive characteristic. We note that our proof is characteristic free. More specifically we prove the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Fix a DCC set I* \subseteq [0, 1] \cap Q. Let \mathfrak{D} *be the set of all pairs* (X, Δ) *satisfying the following properties:*

- (1) *X is a projective surface defined over an algebraically closed field,*
- (2) (*X*, ^Δ) *is log canonical and the coe*ffi*cients of* ^Δ *are contained in I, and*
- (3) $K_X + \Delta$ *is big.*

Then there exists a positive integer $N = N(I)$ *depending only on the set I such that the linear system* $\|m(K_X + \Delta)\|$ *defines a birational map onto its image for all* $m \geq N$ *and* $(X, \Delta) \in \mathcal{D}$ *.*

Conjecture 1.1 is closely related to the boundedness problem of stable pairs, which in positive characteristic is known in dimension 2 due to [1, 2] and [8]. We note that our Theorem 1.2 is not a corollary of the main results of these three papers. However, we do use some of the tools and techniques developed in those papers.

In characteristic 0, one of the main tools used to prove Theorem 1.2 in dimension 2 and higher is the McKernana's '*Covering family of tigers*' [18], for example, it is used in the proofs of [21, Theorem 6.1] and [10, Theorem C]. However, McKernan's technique makes use of Nadel vanishing theorem and generic smoothness theorem, both of which are known to fail in positive characteristic. Our method avoid use of both of these two theorems.

Idea of the proof: First passing to an appropriate log resolution we reduce the problem to a log smooth klt pair (X, Δ) . Next using a theorem from [1] we reduce the problem to the case where the set *I* is a finite set given by $I = \{\frac{i}{k} : i = 1, 2, ..., k - 1\}$, where *k* is a fixed constant independent of the boundary divisors Λ , Λ t this steps using an argument of fixed constant independent of the boundary divisors Δ. At this stage using an argument of Alexeev [1] we also prove that the number of components of Δ is uniformly bounded by some positive integer $M = M(I)$ which depends only on the set *I*. Then we run a $(K_X + \Delta)$ -MMP and obtain a minimal model (X', Δ') . Next we show that the number of exceptional divisors F over Y' with discrepancy $g(F, Y', \Delta') < 0$ is bounded above by the same constant divisors *E* over *X'* with discrepancy $a(E, X', \Delta') < 0$ is bounded above by the same constant *M*. Then by a result from [8] and [1] there exists a positive integer $N = N(I)$ depending only *M*. Then by a result from [8] and [1] there exists a positive integer $N = N(I)$ depending only on the set *I* such that $N(K_{X'} + \Delta')$ is Carier for all pairs (X', Δ') . Then by another lemma
from [8] (which is an application of the effective Mateusaka theorem) it follows that there from [8] (which is an application of the effective Matsusaka theorem) it follows that there is a positive integer $m_0 = m_0(I)$ depending only on the set *I* such that the linear system $\lfloor m(K_{X'} + \Delta') \rfloor$ gives a birational map onto its image for all pairs (X', Δ') . Pulling back this linear system onto *X* gives our result.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper we work over **algebraically closed** fields of arbitrary characteristic, i.e. char $p \geq 0$.

Definition 2.1. Let *X* be a normal variety and Δ a Q-divisor on *X*. If the coefficients of Δ are contained in the interval [0, 1], then Δ is called a *boundary* divisor. By *log pair* (*X*, Δ) we mean that Δ is a boundary divisor and $K_X + \Delta$ is Q-Cartier. For a log pair (X, Δ) we define terminal, *canonical, klt, plt, dlt* and *log canonical* or *lc* singularities as in [13, Definition 2.8]. Fix a real number $\varepsilon > 0$. For the defintion of ε -klt and ε -lc see [1, Definition 1.5]. By a *log smooth* pair (X, Δ) we mean that *X* is smooth and Δ has simple normal crossing support.

Definition 2.2. Let *x* be a real number. We define $\lfloor x \rfloor$ as the *largest integer* $\leq x$ and $\lceil x \rceil$ as the *smallest integer* $\geq x$. Note that every real number *x* satisfies $0 \leq x - \lfloor x \rfloor < 1$. For an R-divisor $D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i D_i$, we define $[D] := \sum a_i |D_i|$ and $[D] := \sum a_i |D_i|$. For $D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i D_i$, we also define $I = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, $I^{-1} = \{i \in I : a_i = 1\}$ and $I^{-1} = \{i \in I : a_i < 1\}$. Then we define the divisors D^{-1} (resp. $D^{<1}$) as $D^{-1} := \sum_{i \in I^{-1}} D_i$ (resp. $D^{<1} := \sum_{i \in I^{<1}} a_i D_i$). If the coefficients of *^D* are contained in the interval [0, 1], then *^D* has a unique decomposition as $D = D^{<1} + D^{-1}$.

REMARK 2.3. Note that if $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then from the definition of $|x|$ it follows that $x + n \geq 0$ if and only if $|x| + n \geq 0$.

3. Lemmas and Propositions

In this section we will collect some important and useful results which will be needed in the next section for proving the main theorem.

The following lemma and its corollary will be used in the poof of various results throughout the paper without reference.

Lemma 3.1. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper birational morphism between two normal *varieties. Let D be a* Q-Cartier Q-divisor on Y. Then $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor mf^*D\rfloor + E) \cong \mathcal{O}_Y(\lfloor mD\rfloor)$ for *all integer m* ≥ 1 *and effective exceptional divisor* $E \geq 0$ *.*

Proof. Since the question is local one the base, we may assume that *Y* is an affine variety. Therefore it is enough to prove that $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(|m f^* D| + E)) \cong H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(|m D|))$ via f^* . To that end choose $f^*\varphi \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor mf^*D \rfloor + E))$. Then $\lfloor mf^*D \rfloor + E + \text{div}(f^*\varphi) \geq 0$. This implies that $mf^*D + E + \text{div}(f^*\varphi) \ge 0$; pushing this forward by f we get $mD + \text{div}(\varphi) \ge 0$, hence $\lfloor mD \rfloor + \text{div}(\varphi) \ge 0$ (see Remark 2.3), i.e. $\varphi \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\lfloor mD \rfloor))$. For the other inclusion choose $\psi \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\lfloor mD \rfloor))$. Then $\lfloor mD \rfloor + \text{div}(\psi) \ge 0$, and thus $mD + \text{div}(\psi) \ge 0$. Pulling it back by *f* we get $mf^*D + \text{div}(f^*\psi) \ge 0$, and hence $\lfloor mf^*D \rfloor + E + \text{div}(f^*\psi) \ge 0$, since *E* is effective. Therefore $f^*\psi \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_Y(\lfloor mf^*D \rfloor + E))$ and we are done. is effective. Therefore $f^*\psi \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor mf^*D \rfloor + E))$ and we are done.

Corollary 3.2. *Let* (X, Δ) *be a log canonical pair of dimension* 2 *and* $K_X + \Delta$ *is a* \mathbb{Q} -*Cartier big divisor. We run a* $(K_X + \Delta)$ -*MMP and end with a minimal model* (X', Δ') *. If the*
linear system $\lim_{\Delta x \to \Delta} (K_{\Delta x} + \Delta')$ gives a birational map onto its image for some m > 1, then *linear system* $\lfloor m(K_{X'} + \Delta') \rfloor$ gives a birational map onto its image for some $m \geq 1$, then $\lfloor m(K_X + \Delta) \rfloor$ *also gives a birational map.*

Proof. Let $f : X \to X'$ be the birational morphism induced by the MMP. Then applying the negativity lemma at each step of this minimal model program it is easy to see that we have

$$
K_X+\Delta=f^*(K_{X'}+\Delta')+\sum a_iE_i,
$$

where $a_i \geq 0$ for all *i*.

Therefore $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor m(K_X + \Delta) \rfloor)) = H^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(\lfloor m(K_{X'} + \Delta') \rfloor))$ for all $m \ge 1$ by Lemma and the result follows 3.1, and the result follows. \Box

In the following we will recall an important result of Alexeev from [1]. To make the statement of his theorem more precise we define some notation and terminologies first.

Definition 3.3. Let $(X, B \ge 0)$ be a log canonical pair and $K_X + B$ a Q-Cartier big divisor. We call a divisor $\phi(B)$ a *redundant part* of *B* if it satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $0 \leq \phi(B) \leq B$ and for any prime Weil divisor *E* contained in Supp($\phi(B)$), coeff_{$\phi(B)$}(*E*) $=$ coeff_{*B*}(*E*),
- (ii) $K_X + (B \phi(B))$ is big, and
- (iii) $\phi(B)$ is a maximal divisor satisfying these conditions.

The components of $B - \phi(B)$ are called the *non-redundant* components of *B*.

REMARK 3.4. Note that $\phi(B)$ not unique in general, as there could be a different set of components $\phi'(B)$ of *B* removing which could give $K_X + B - \phi'(B)$ big as well.

The following important result due to Alexeev shows that under certain conditions the number of non-redundant components of the boundary divisor *B* is bounded from above.

Theorem 3.5 ([1, Theorem 7.3, Corollary 7.4]). *Fix a positive real number* $\varepsilon > 0$ *and a DCC set I* \subseteq [0, 1] \cap Q. Let C *be a collection of pairs* (X, Δ) *satisfying the following properties:*

- (1) *X is a projective surface,*
- (2) (X, Δ) *is ε-log canonical and the coefficients of* Δ *are contained in I, and*
- (3) $K_X + \Delta$ *is big.*

Furthermore, for a Q*-divisor D on X let* nt(*D*) *denote the number of irreducible components of D. Then there exists a positive integer A =* $A(I, \varepsilon) > 0$ *depending only on the set I and* ε *such that*

 $nt(\Delta - \phi(\Delta)) \leq A$ *for all* $(X, \Delta) \in \mathfrak{C}$ *and for all choices of* $\phi(\Delta)$ *.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 7.3 and Corollary 7.4 of [1] and noting the fact that the DCC set $I \setminus \{0\}$ has a minimum.

The next result from a recent paper of Hacon and Kovács [8] will play a crucial role in our proof of the main theorem. We note that the proof of this lemma follows as an easy consequence of effective Matsusaka theorem due to [20] and [6] as explained in [8].

Lemma 3.6 ([8, Corollary 1.14]). *Let X be a normal surface and D a nef and big Cartier divisor. If* $D^2 \geq \text{vol}(K_X)$ *, then the linear system* $|K_X + qD|$ *defines a birational morphism onto its image for all* $q \geq 18$ *.*

In the next two results we will bound the number of exceptional divisors of negative discrepancies over a (log) minimal model (X, Δ) by the number of components of the boundary divisor Δ and also the Cartier index of $(K_X + \Delta)$, when (X, Δ) has *ε*-klt singularities.

Proposition 3.7. *Fix a positive integer* $N > 0$. Let \mathfrak{C} *be the collection of pairs* (X, Δ) *satisfying the following properties:*

- (1) *X is a projective surface,*
- (2) (*X*, ^Δ) *has terminal singularities, and*
- (3) $nt(\Delta) \leq N$, *i.e., the number of components of* Δ *is bounded by N.*

We run a $(K_X + \Delta)$ -*MMP and assume that* (X', Δ') *is the corresponding minimal model. Let*
 $(K'$ be the collection of all such minimal models (Y', Δ') for all $(X, \Delta) \in K$. Then \mathfrak{C}' *be the collection of all such minimal models* (X', Δ') *for all* $(X, \Delta) \in \mathfrak{C}$ *. Then*

 $\mathcal{L}\{E : E \text{ is exceptional over } X' \text{ with } a(E, X', \Delta') < 0\} \leq N$

for all $(X', \Delta') \in \mathfrak{C}'$.

Proof. Let $f : X \to X'$ be the birational morphism induced by the MMP. Then we have

(3.1)
$$
K_X + \Delta = f^*(K_{X'} + \Delta') + \sum a_i E_i,
$$

where $a_i \geq 0$ for all *i*.

Let *F* be an exceptional divisor over *X'* with discrepancy $a(F, X', \Delta') = b < 0$. Set $\Delta F = \sum_{a} F_a$ then $(X \Delta F)$ is terminal since $(X \Delta)$ is terminal. Therefore from $a(F, X \Delta)$ $E := \sum a_i E_i$, then $(X, \Delta - E)$ is terminal, since (X, Δ) is terminal. Therefore from $a(F, X, \Delta - E)$ E) = $a(F, X', \Delta') = b < 0$ it follows that center_{*X*}(*F*) must be a component of $\Delta - E$. Now since the components of *E* have non-negative discrepancies with respect to the pair (X', Δ')
and $g(F, Y', \Delta') \ge 0$, it follows that contage (F) must be a component of Δ . Finally, since the and $a(F, X', \Delta') < 0$, it follows that center_{*X*}(*F*) must be a component of Δ . Finally, since the number of components of Δ is bounded above by *N* for all (*X*, Δ) \in (*f*, the neguired bound number of components of Δ is bounded above by *N* for all $(X, Δ) ∈ ℓ$, the required bound holds. \Box holds.

Theorem 3.8 ([8, Lemma 2.6]). *Fix a positive integer k* > ⁰ *and a positive real number* $\varepsilon > 0$ *. Let* $\mathfrak D$ *be the set of all of pair* (X, Δ) *satisfying the following properties:*

- (1) *X is a projective surface,*
- (2) $(X, \Delta \geq 0)$ *has* ε -klt singularities, and
- (3) *the number of exceptional divisor E over X with* $a(E, X, \Delta) < 0$ *is at most k.*

Then there exists a positive integer $N = N(k, \varepsilon)$ *depending only on k and* ε *such that* NK_X *is Cartier and ND is also Cartier for any integral Weil divisor D contained in the support of* Δ*.*

The following two technical results will be useful in the proof of the main theorem.

Lemma 3.9. *Let* $I \subseteq [0, 1]$ *be a DCC set and* δ *is a real number satisfying* $0 < \delta < 1$ *. Let a* > 0 *be the minimum of the set I* \ {0}*. Set k* = $\lceil \frac{1}{a\delta} \rceil$ *and define* $a'_i := \frac{\lfloor ka_i \rfloor}{k}$ *for* $a_i \in I \setminus \{0\}$ *.* Then *Then*

$$
(1 - \delta)a_i < a'_i \le a_i.
$$

Proof. It is clear from the defintion of a'_i that $a'_i \le a_i$, so we only need to prove the other inequality. For that it is enough to show that $(ka_i - \lfloor ka_i \rfloor) < ka_i \delta$. To that end observe that $k = \lfloor \frac{1}{n} \rfloor > \frac{1}{n}$, since $a_i > a$. Thus $ka_i \delta > 1 > (ka_i - \lfloor ka_i \rfloor)$. □ $k = \lceil \frac{1}{a\delta} \rceil \ge \frac{1}{a_i\delta}$, since $a_i \ge a$. Thus $ka_i\delta \ge 1 > (ka_i - \lfloor ka_i \rfloor)$.

Lemma 3.10. *Fix a positive integer k. Let* (X, Δ) *be a log smooth klt pair of dimension* 2 *with coefficients of* Δ *in the finite set* $J = \left\{ \frac{\ell}{k} : \ell = 1, 2, ..., k - 1 \right\}$. Then there exists a crepant log resolution $f : Y' \to Y$ of the pair (X, Δ) such that $K_{X} + \Delta' = f^*(K_{X} + \Delta)$ (Y', Δ') has *log resolution f* : $X' \to X$ *of the pair* (X, Δ) *such that* $K_{X'} + \Delta' = f^*(K_X + \Delta)$ *,* (X', Δ') *has terminal singularities and the sofficients of* Δ' *are contained in the set I terminal singularities and the coefficients of* $Δ'$ *are contained in the set J.*

Proof. Since (X, Δ) is a klt pair, by [14, Proposition 2.36(2)] there are finitely many exceptional divisors over *X* with non-positive discrepancies. We will extract these divisors. Note that since (X, Δ) is a log smooth klt pair of dimension 2, if E is an exceptional divisor over *X* with $a(E, X, \Delta) \leq 0$, then the center_{*X*}(*E*) is a point on *X* contained in the intersection of precisely two components of Δ .

Now write $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i D_i$. We claim that if *F* is an exceptional divisor over *X* such that $a(F, X, \Delta)$ ≤ 0 and center_{*X*}(*F*) ∈ *D_i* ∩ *D_j*, then $a_i + a_j - 1 \ge 0$. To the contrary assume that $a_i + a_j - 1 < 0$. Let $f_1 : X_1 \to X$ be the blow up at center_{*X*}(*F*), F_1 is the exceptional divisor and $K_{X_1} + \Delta_1 = f_1^*(K_X + \Delta)$. Then $a(F_1, X, \Delta) = (1 - a_i - a_j) > 0$. If center_{$X_1(F) = F_1$, then $a(F_X \Delta) = a(F_X, X, \Delta) > 0$ and we have a contradiction. If not then center-(*F*)} then $a(F, X, \Delta) = a(F_1, X_1, \Delta_1) > 0$ and we have a contradiction. If not, then center_{X_1} (F) is a point contained in the support of F_1 . Let $f_2 : X_2 \to X_1$ be the blow up at center_{X_1} (F) , *F*₂ is the exceptional divisor and $K_{X_2} + \Delta_2 = f_2^*(K_{X_1} + \Delta_1)$. Then by Lemma 3.11 we have $a(F_2, X, \Delta) = a(F_2, X_2, \Delta_2) > 0$. Thus if center_{*X*2}(*F*) = *F*₂, then $a(F, X, \Delta) = a(F_2, X, \Delta) > 0$ and we again have a contradiction, otherwise center_{X2} (F) is a point and we blow up X_2 at this point. Continuing this process, by [14, Lemma 2.45] after finitely many steps we arrive at a morphism $f_n: X_n \to X_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$, such that center $X_n(F) = F_n = \text{Ex}(f_n)$ and $a(F, X, \Delta) = a(F_n, X, \Delta) > 0$. This is a contradiction.

Thus in order to extract the exceptional divisors over *X* with non-positive discrepancies we only need to blow up the points in $D_i \cap D_j \neq \emptyset$ whenever $a_i + a_j - 1 \geq 0$. Let $g_1 : Y_1 \to X$ be the blow up of all the points of $D_i ∩ D_j$ for all *i*, *j* ∈ {1, ..., *N*}, *i* ≠ *j*, whenever $a_i + a_j - 1 ≥ 0$. Write $K_{Y_1} + \Delta_1 = g_1^*(K_X + \Delta)$ and let E_1 be a g_1 -exceptional divisor whose coefficient in Δ_1
is not zero. Then the coefficient of F_1 in Δ_1 is of the form g_1 , g_2 , g_3 , $\Delta_1 > 0$, and it is good is not zero. Then the coefficient of E_1 in Δ_1 is of the form $a_i - a_j - 1 > 0$, and it is easy to see that $a_i - a_j - 1 \in J$, since $a_i, a_j \in J$. Now observe that (Y_1, Δ_1) is a log smooth pair with coefficients of Δ_1 contained in *J*. Suppose $\Delta_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} a_{i1} D_{i1}$, where $a_{i1} \in J$ for all *i* ∈ {1,..., *N*₁}. Now let g_2 : Y_2 → Y_1 be the blow up of all the points in $D_{i1} \cap D_{i1}$ for all *i*, *j* ∈ {1,..., *N*₁}, *i* ≠ *j*, whenever *a*_{i1} + *a*_{j1} − 1 ≥ 0. Write $K_{Y_2} + \Delta_2 = g_2^*(K_{X_1} + \Delta_1)$. Then again as before we see that (Y_2, Δ_2) is a log smooth pair with coefficients of Δ_2 contained in *J*. Observe that if we continue blowing up this way, then this process will stop after a finitely many steps, since each step extracts an exceptional divisor *Ei* over *X* such that $a(E_i, X, \Delta) = a(E_i, X_i, \Delta_i) \leq 0$ and there are only finite many exceptional divisors over *X* with this property. Assume that this process stabilizes at $g_n : X_n \to X_{n-1}$ for some $n \ge 1$. Rename X_n by *X'* and let $g: X' \to X$ be the composite of the all the morphisms $g_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$. Write $K_{X'} + \Delta' = g^*(K_X + \Delta)$ and $\Delta' = \sum_{i=1}^{N'} d_i D'_i$. Then by our construction (X', Δ') is a log smooth pair such that $d_i \in I$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, N'\}$ and if $D' \cap D' + \emptyset$ for some $i, i \in \{1, \ldots, N'\}$ i $j \neq i$ pair such that *d_i* ∈ *J* for all *i* ∈ {1,..., *N'*} and if *D'*_{*i*}∩*D'*_{*j*} ≠ Ø for some *i*, *j* ∈ {1,..., *N'*}, *i* ≠ *j*, then *d* + *d* − 1 ≤ 0. Then from our claim in the second paragraph it follows that (*Y* then $d_i + d_j - 1 < 0$. Then from our claim in the second paragraph it follows that (X', Δ')
has terminal singularity. This completes the proof has terminal singularity. This completes the proof. \Box

Lemma 3.11. Let (X, Δ) be a log smooth pair of dimension 2. Suppose that $\Delta = a_1 D_1 +$ $a_2D_2 + bD$, where D_1, D_2 *and D are prime Weil divisors, and* a_1, a_2, b *are rational numbers such that* $a_1, a_2 < 1$ *and* $b < 0$ *. Assume that* $D \cap D_1$ *and* $D \cap D_2$ *are both non-empty. Let* $p \in D$ be a closed point and $f : Y \to X$ is the blow up of X at p. If E is the exceptional *divisor of f, then* $a(E, X, \Delta) > 0$.

Proof. A simple computation shows that

$$
a(E, X, \Delta) = \begin{cases} (1 - a_1 - b) > 0 & \text{if } p \in D \cap D_1, \\ (1 - a_2 - b) > 0 & \text{if } p \in D \cap D_2, \\ (1 - b) > 0 & \text{if } p \in D \setminus (D_1 \cup D_2). \end{cases}
$$

4. Main Theorem

In this section we prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. First of all replacing *I* by $I \cup \{1 - \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{1\}$ we may assume that *I* contains the standard set $\{1 - \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{1\}$. Then replacing (X, Δ) by a dlt model, we may assume that (X, Δ) is dlt for all $(X, \Delta) \in \mathfrak{D}$. Let $f : Y \to X$ be a log resolution such that all the exceptional divisors have discrepancies > -1. Write $K_Y + \Delta_Y = f^*(K_X + \Delta)$ and decompose $\Delta_Y = \Delta_Y^{-1} + \Delta_Y^{<1}$. Since $K_Y + \Delta_Y$ is big and being big an open property, there is an integer $n \gg 0$ such that $K_Y + \Delta'_Y$ is still big, where $\Delta'_Y := (1 - \frac{1}{n})\Delta_Y^{-1} + \Delta_Y^{<1}$. Moreover, note that $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\lfloor m(K_Y + \Delta'_Y)\rfloor)) \subseteq H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\lfloor m(K_Y + \Delta_Y)\rfloor)) = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor m(K_X + \Delta)\rfloor))$
for all $m > 1$. Therefore replacing (Y, Δ') by (Y, Δ) we may assume that (Y, Δ) is kit for all for all $m \ge 1$. Therefore replacing (Y, Δ'_Y) by (X, Δ) we may assume that (X, Δ) is klt for all $(Y, \Delta) \in \mathcal{D}$. Let $g: Y' \to Y$ be a log resolution of (Y, Δ) and $(X, \Delta) \in \mathfrak{D}$. Let $g: X' \to X$ be a log resolution of (X, Δ) and

$$
K_{X'}+g_*^{-1}\Delta+\sum e_iE_i=g^*(K_X+\Delta).
$$

Since (X, Δ) is klt, $e_i < 1$ for all *i*. So there is a postive integer $n > 0$ such that $e_i <$ $(1 - \frac{1}{n})$ for all *i*. Now define $\Delta' := g_*^{-1}\Delta + \sum (1 - \frac{1}{n})E_i$. Then $H^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(\lfloor m(K_{X'} + \Delta') \rfloor))$ =

 $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor m(K_X+\Delta)\rfloor))$ for all $m \ge 1$. Therefore replacing (X, Δ) by (X', Δ') we may assume that (X, Δ) is a log smooth pair. Now by $[8, 1]$ amma 2.41 (also see $[2]$. Theorem 4.61 and $[1]$ that (X, Δ) is a log smooth pair. Now by [8, Lemma 2.4] (also see [2, Theorem 4.6] and [1, Theorem 7.5]) there is a $0 < \delta < 1$ depending only on the set *I* such that $K_X + (1 - \delta)\Delta$ is big for all $(X, \Delta) \in \mathcal{D}$. Let $a > 0$ be the minimum of the set $I \setminus \{0\}$ and $k := \lceil \frac{1}{a\delta} \rceil$. Write $\Delta = \sum a_i D_i$
and define $a' := \frac{\lfloor ka_i \rfloor}{a}$ and $A' := \sum a'_i D_i$. Then from Lamma 3.0 it follows that $(1 - \delta)A$. and define $a'_i := \frac{|ka_i|}{k}$ and $\Delta' := \sum a'_i D_i$. Then from Lemma 3.9 it follows that $(1 - \delta)\Delta \le \Delta' < \Delta$. Therefore $K_{i+1} \Delta'$ is big and the coefficients of Δ' are contained in the finite set $\Delta' \leq \Delta$. Therefore $K_X + \Delta'$ is big and the coefficients of Δ' are contained in the finite set $J := \left\{ \frac{\ell}{k} : \ell = 1, 2, \ldots, k - 1 \right\}$. Note that $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor m(K_X + \Delta') \rfloor)) \subseteq H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor m(K_X + \Delta) \rfloor))$
for all $m > 1$. Thus it is enough to prove the theorem for the pairs (X, Δ') . Let \mathcal{D}' be the for all $m \ge 1$. Thus it is enough to prove the theorem for the pairs (X, Δ') . Let \mathfrak{D}' be the collection of all such pairs (X, Δ') . Now replacing (X, Δ') by its terminalization as in the collection of all such pairs (X, Δ') . Now replacing (X, Δ') by its terminalization as in the
Lamma 3.10 we may assume that (X, Δ') is a log smooth terminal pair for all $(X, \Delta') \in \mathbb{R}'$. Lemma 3.10 we may assume that (X, Δ') is a log smooth terminal pair for all $(X, \Delta') \in \mathcal{D}'$.
Note that since coefficients of Λ' are contained in a fixed finite set *I* for all $(Y, \Lambda') \in \mathcal{D}'$. Note that since coefficients of Δ' are contained in a fixed finite set *J* for all $(X, \Delta') \in \mathcal{D}'$,
from [14] Corollary 2.31(3)] it follows that there is an $c > 0$ denending only on the set *J* (in from [14, Corollary 2.31(3)] it follows that there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ depending only on the set *J* (in particular on the set *I*) such that (X, Δ') is ε -klt for all $(X, \Delta') \in \mathcal{D}'$. Then by Theorem 3.5
there is a positive integer $A(I, \varepsilon)$ depending only on the set *I* and ε (in particular on the set *I*) there is a positive integer $A(J, \varepsilon)$ depending only on the set *J* and ε (in particular on the set *I*) such that $nt(\Delta' - \phi(\Delta')) \leq A(J, \varepsilon)$ for all pairs $(X, \Delta) \in \mathcal{D}'$. Therefore replacing $(X, \Delta' - \phi(\Delta'))$
by (Y, Δ') we may assume that the number of components of Δ' is bounded from above for by (X, Δ') we may assume that the number of components of Δ' is bounded from above for all $(Y, \Delta') \in \mathbb{R}'$ all $(X, \Delta') \in \mathfrak{D}'$.
Now we run:

Now we run a $(K_X + \Delta')$ -MMP and end with a minimal model (X'', Δ'') , i.e. $K_{X''} + \Delta''$ is nef
d big. Let \mathcal{D}'' be the collection of all such minimal models $(Y'' \Delta'')$ for all $(Y \Delta') \subset \mathcal{D}'$. and big. Let \mathfrak{D}'' be the collection of all such minimal models (X'', Δ'') for all $(X, \Delta') \in \mathfrak{D}'$.
We will show that the number of exactional divisors over X'' with negative discrepancy We will show that the number of exceptional divisors over X'' with negative discrepancy is bounded above. To that end recall that (X, Δ') is terminal, so by Proposition 3.7 the
number of exceptional divisors over Y'' with peoptive discrepancies with respect to $(Y'' \Delta'')$ number of exceptional divisors over *X*^{*''*} with negative discrepancies with respect to (*X^{''}*, Δ '') is bounded above by the number $A(J, \varepsilon)$ defined above.

Now by Theorem 3.8 there is a natural number N depending only on the set J and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $N(K_{X''} + \Delta'')$ is Cartier for all $(X'', \Delta'') \in \mathfrak{D}'$. Since $vol(N(K_{X''} + \Delta'')) \ge vol(K_{X''})$, by Lemma 3.6 the linear system $|K_{X''} + q(N(K''_X + \Delta''))|$ gives a birational map for all $q \ge 18$ and for all $(X'', \Delta'') \in \mathcal{D}''$. Replacing *N* by 18*N* we may assume that $|K_{X''} + qN(K_{X''} + \Delta'')|$ is biratinal for all $q \ge 1$. Thus $\|(qN + 1)(K_{X''} + \Delta'')\|$ gives a birational map for all $q \ge 1$. Then by Lemma 4.1 $\|m(K_{X''} + \Delta'')\|$ is birational for all $m \geq (N^2 + 1)$ and for all $(X'', \Delta'') \in$ \mathfrak{D}'' . This shows that $\|m(K_X + \Delta')\|$ gives a birational map for all $m \ge m_0$. Consequently, $\left|\frac{m(K_X + \Delta)}{\Delta}\right|$ gives a birational map for all $m \geq (N^2 + 1)$ and for all $(X, \Delta) \in \mathcal{D}$, where *N* depends only on the set *I*. depends only on the set *I*. -

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $(X, \Delta \ge 0)$ *be log pair and* $N > 0$ *is a positive integer such that* $N(K_X + \Delta)$ *is Cartier. Assume that the linear system* $\|(qN + 1)(K_X + \Delta)\|$ *gives a birational map onto its image for all q* \geq 1*. Then* $||m(K_X + \Delta)||$ *gives a birational map for all m* $\geq (N^2 + 1)$ *.*

Proof. Set $q = N$ and choose a positive integer $k \ge 1$. Then by the division algorithm we have $k = (N+1)a+b$, where $0 \le b \le N$. Therefore $N^2 + k = (N^2 + b) + a(N+1)$. Now if $b = 0$, then *a* ≥ 1 since *k* > 0 and we can rewrite $N^2 + k$ as $N^2 + k = [(N+1)N+1] + (a-1)(N+1)$. Otherwise $b \ge 1$ and $N^2 + b$ can be written as $N^2 + b = (b-1)(N+1) + [(N-b+1)N+1]$. These calculations clearly show that $\|m(K_X+\Delta)\|$ gives a birational map for all $m \geq (N^2+1)$. \Box

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Christopher Hacon for answering his questions.

References

- [1] V. Alexeev: *Boundedness and* K^2 *for log surfaces*, Internat. J. Math. **5** (1994), 779–810.
- [2] V. Alexeev and S. Mori: *Bounding singular surfaces of general type*; in Algebra, arithmetic and geometry with applications (West Lafayette, IN, 2000), Springer, Berlin, 2004, 143–174.
- [3] X. Benveniste: *Sur les applications pluricanoniques des variétés de type très général en dimension 3*, Amer. J. Math. 108 (1986), 433–449.
- [4] E. Bombieri: *The pluricanonical map of a complex surface*; in Several Complex Variables, I (Proc. Conf., Univ. of Maryland, College Park, Md., 1970) Springer, Berlin, 1970, 35–87.
- [5] M. Chen: *The relative pluricanonical stability for 3-folds of general type*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (2001), 1927–1937.
- [6] G. Di Cerbo and A. Fanelli: *E*ff*ective Matsusaka's theorem for surfaces in characteristic p*, Algebra Number Theory, 9 (2015), 1453–1475.
- [7] T. Ekedahl: *Canonical models of surfaces of general type in positive characteristic*, Inst. Hautes Etudes ´ Sci. Publ. Math. 67 (1988), 97–144.
- [8] C.D. Hacon and S.J. Kovács: On the boundedness of slc surfaces of general type, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) 19 (2019), 191–215.
- [9] C.D. Hacon and J. McKernan: *Boundedness of pluricanonical maps of varieties of general type*, Invent. Math. 166 (2006), 1–25.
- [10] C.D. Hacon, J. McKernan and C. Xu: *ACC for log canonical thresholds*. Ann. of Math. (2) 180 (2014), 523–571.
- [11] M. Hanamura: *Pluricanonical maps of minimal 3-folds*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 61 (1985), 116–118.
- [12] J. Kollár: *Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) 123 (1986), 11–42.
- [13] J. Kollár: Singularities of the minimal model program, With a collaboration of Sándor Kovács, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 200. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [14] J. Kollar and S. Mori: Birational geometry of algebraic varieties, with the collaboration of C.H. Clemens ´ and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 134. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [15] T. Luo: *Plurigenera of regular threefolds*, Math. Z. 217 (1994), 37–46.
- [16] T. Luo: *Global holomorphic 2-forms and pluricanonical systems on threefolds*. Math. Ann. 318 (2000), 707–730.
- [17] K. Matsuki: *On pluricanonical maps for 3-folds of general type*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 38 (1986), 339–359.
- [18] J. McKernan: *Boundedness of log terminal Fano pairs of bounded index*, arXiv:math/0205214.
- [19] S. Takayama: *Pluricanonical systems on algebraic varieties of general type*, Invent. Math. 165 (2006), 551–587.
- [20] H. Terakawa: *The d-very ampleness on a projective surface in positive characteristic*, Pacific J. Math. 187 (1999), 187–199.
- [21] G.T. Todorov: *E*ff*ective log Iitaka-fibrations for surfaces and threefolds*. Manuscripta Math. 13 (2010), 183–195.
- [22] H.Tsuji: *Pluricanonical systems of projective varieties of general type. I*. Osaka J. Math. 43 (2006), 967– 995.

School of Mathematics Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Homi Bhabha Road, Navy Nagar Colaba, Mumbai 400005 India e-mail: omprokash@gmail.com omdas@math.tifr.res.in