



Title	A characterization of QF-algebras
Author(s)	Harada, Manabu
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1983, 20(1), p. 1-4
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/8764">https://doi.org/10.18910/8764</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF QF-ALGEBRAS

MANABU HARADA

(Received February 19, 1981)

We have defined a new class of rings in [3] which we call self mini-injective rings and we have noted that there exist artinian rings in the new class which are not quasi-Frobenius rings (briefly QF-rings).

We shall show in this note that if a ring  $R$  is an algebra over a field with finite dimension, then a self mini-injective algebra is a QF-algebra.

Throughout this note we assume a ring  $R$  contains the identity and every module is a unitary right  $R$ -module. We shall refer for the definitions of mini-injectives and the extending property, etc. to [3].

Let  $K$  be a field and  $R$  a  $K$ -algebra with finite dimension over  $K$ .

**Theorem 1** (cf. [3], Theorems 13 and 14). *Let  $R$  be as above. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- 1)  $R$  is self mini-injective as a right  $R$ -module.
- 2)  $R$  is self mini-injective as a left  $R$ -module.
- 3) Every projective right  $R$ -module has the extending property of direct decomposition of the socle.
- 4) Every projective left  $R$ -module has the extending property of direct decomposition of the socle.
- 5)  $R$  is a QF-algebra.

**Proof.**  $R$  is self-injective as a left or right  $R$ -module if and only if  $R$  is a QF-algebra by [2]. In this case  $R$  is self-injective as both a right and left  $R$ -module by [1]. It is clear from [3], Theorem 3 and Proposition 8 that 1), 2) are equivalent to 3), 4), respectively. Hence, we may assume  $R$  is a basic algebra by [4] and [6].

1)  $\rightarrow$  5). Let  $R = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i R$  be the standard decomposition, namely  $\{e_i\}$  is a set of mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents and  $e_i R \approx e_{i'} R$  if  $i \neq i'$ . Since  $R$  is right self mini-injective,  $R$  is right QF-2 by [3], Proposition 8 and  $S(e_i R) \approx S(e_{i'} R)$  for  $i \neq i'$  by [3], Theorem 5, where  $S(\ )$  means the socle. Now  $e_i R$  is uniform as a right  $R$ -module and so the injective envelope  $E(e_i R)$  of  $e_i R$  is indecomposable. We put  $M^* = \text{Hom}_K(M, K)$  for a  $K$ -module  $M$ . Then  $E(e_i R)^*$

is indecomposable and projective as a left  $R$ -module. Hence,  $E(e_i/R)^* \approx Re_{i'}$  and  $E(e_i R) \approx (Re_{i'})^*$ . From the fact  $E(e_i R) \approx E(e_j R)$  for  $i \neq j$ , a mapping  $\pi: i \rightarrow i'$  is a permutation on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Accordingly,  $\sum_{i=1}^n [E(e_i R): K] = \sum_{i=1}^n [Re_{\pi(i)}: K] = [R: K]$ . Therefore,  $E(R) = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus E(e_i R) = R$ . The remaining part is clear.

In the above proof we have used only the facts that  $R$  is right QF-2 and  $S(e_i R) \approx S(e_j R)$  if  $i \neq j$ . Hence, we have

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $R$  be a  $K$ -algebra as above. If  $R$  is right QF-2 and  $S(eR) \approx S(e'R)$  if  $eR \approx e'R$  then  $R$  is QF, where  $e$  and  $e'$  are primitive idempotents, where  $J$  is the Jacobson radical of  $R$ .*

**Corollary.** *Let  $R$  be the  $K$ -algebra as above. We assume  $R/J$  is a simple algebra. Then  $R$  is a QF-algebra if and only if  $R$  is a right QF-2 algebra.*

We note that the above facts are not true for right and left artinian rings (see [3], Example 2).

Next we shall consider a characterization of a right artinian and self mini-injective ring.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $R$  be a right artinian ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- 1)  $R$  is self mini-injective as a right  $R$ -module.
- 2)  $R$  satisfies
  - i) if  $e_1 R \approx e_2 R$ , any minimal right ideal in  $e_1 R$  is not isomorphic to one in  $e_2 R$ .
  - ii) there exists a minimal right ideal  $I$  contained in  $e_1 J^k$  ( $e_1 J^{k+1} = 0$ ) such

that  $\text{End}_R(I) = \{a \in \overline{e_1 R e_1} \mid aI \subseteq I\}$ , i.e.  $\text{End}_R(I)$  is extended to  $\text{End}_R(e_1 R)$  and  $S(e_1 R) = e_1 R e_1 I$  for each  $e_i$ , where the  $e_i$  is primitive idempotent and  $S(\ )$  is socle and  $\bar{R} = R/J$ .

**Proof.** 1)  $\rightarrow$  2). It is clear from [3], Theorem 5. 2)  $\rightarrow$  1). The second part of ii) implies that each minimal right ideal  $I'$  in  $e_1 R$  is isomorphic to  $I$ . We assume  $I \approx e_2 \bar{R}$  and  $I = xR$ ,  $I' = x'R$ . Then we may assume  $xe_2 = x$  and  $x'e_2 = x'$ . We obtain from ii) that  $x' = x'e_2 = \sum y_i x r_i$ ;  $y_i \in e_1 R e_1$ ,  $r_i \in R e_2$ . Now  $x r_i e_2 = x e_2 r_i e_2 = x e_2 r_i e_2$ . Since a mapping  $xz \rightarrow x e_2 r_i e_2 z$  is an  $R$ -endomorphism of  $I$ , there exists an element  $a_i$  in  $\overline{e_1 R e_1}$  with  $a_i x = x e_2 r_i e_2$  from ii). Hence,  $x' = (\sum y_i a_i) x = \bar{b} x$ , where  $\bar{b} = \sum y_i a_i$ . We quote the proof of [3], Proposition 9. Since  $\bar{b} \neq 0$ ,  $x = \bar{b}^{-1} x'$ . Put  $g(x'z) = xz$ ;  $z \in R$ . Let  $f$  be any element in  $\text{Hom}_R(I, I')$ . Then  $gf \in \text{End}_R(I)$ . Hence, there exists  $\bar{a}$  in  $\overline{e_1 R e_1}$  such that  $gf(x) = \bar{a} x$  by ii). Therefore,  $f(x) = g^{-1}(\bar{a} x) = \bar{b}^{-1} \bar{a} x$  and  $f$  is extended to an element in  $\text{End}_R(e_1 R)$ . We know similarly that  $\text{End}_R(I') = \bar{b}^{-1} \text{End}_R(I) \bar{b} = \{\bar{c} \in \overline{e_1 R e_1} \mid \bar{c} I' \subseteq I'\}$ .

Hence,  $I'$  satisfies ii). Let  $h \in \text{Hom}_R(I', R)$  and  $R = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus e_i R$ . Let  $\pi_i: R \rightarrow e_i R$  be the projection. If  $\pi_i h \neq 0$ ,  $e_i R \approx e_1 R$  by i). We assume  $\pi_i h = h_i \neq 0$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots, t$  and  $h_j=0$  for  $j>t$ . Since  $e_1 R \approx e_i R$  for  $i \leq t$ , there exists  $c_i \in e_i R e_i$  and  $d_i \in e_i R e_1$  such that  $c_i d_i = e_1$  and  $d_i c_i = e_i$ . Using  $d_i$  and  $c_i$ , we know as above that any element in  $\text{Hom}_R(I', h_i(I'))$  is extended to an element in  $\text{Hom}_R(e_1 R, e_i R)$  for  $i \leq t$ . Take  $p_i \in R$  such that  $p_i x' = h_i(x')$ . Then  $h(x') = \sum h_i(x') = (\sum p_i)x'$ . Hence,  $R$  is right self mini-injective by [3], Theorem 2.

REMARK. The above three conditions in Theorem 3, 2) are independent.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $R$  be a right artinian and right self mini-injective. Then  $R$  is a right QF-2 if and only if  $\text{End}_R(I) = \overline{e_1 R e_1}$  in ii) of Theorem 3.*

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $R$  be a right artinian ring and  $e$  a primitive idempotent. We assume that i)  $R$  is right QF-2, ii) any monomorphism of  $\overline{e R e}$  into itself as a division ring is isomorphic for each  $e$  (e.g. algebraic extension of the prime field) and iii)  $S(eR) \approx S(e'R)$  if  $eR \approx e'R$ . Then  $R$  is right self mini-injective.*

Proof. We may assume  $R$  is basic. Since  $S(eR) \supset eJ^k \neq 0$  ( $eJ^{k+1}=0$ ),  $S(eR) = eJ^k$  by i). Put  $S(eR) = uR$ .  $eJ^k \subset eJ^{k+1}=0$  and so  $uR$  is a left  $\overline{e R e}$ -module. We assume  $uR \approx \overline{e'R}$ . Since  $R$  is basic,  $\overline{e'R} = \overline{e'R e'}$ . Hence,  $\overline{u e' R e'} = uR$ . Let  $\bar{x}$  be in  $\overline{e R e}$ . Then  $\bar{x}u = u\bar{y}$ ;  $\bar{y} \in \overline{e'R e'}$ . It is clear that the mapping  $\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{y}$  gives us a monomorphism of the division ring  $\overline{e R e}$  into  $\overline{e'R e'}$  as a division ring. Repeating this procedure, we can find a chain  $e, e', \dots, e^{(t)}$  of primitive idempotents. We know from iii) that  $e^{(s)} = e$  for some  $s$  (cf. [3], the proof of Proposition 8). Hence,  $\overline{e R e} = \overline{u e' R e'}$  by ii). Therefore,  $R$  is right self mini-injective by Theorem 3.

We do not know any example of a right QF-2 and right self mini-injective ring which is not QF.

### References

- [1] S. Eilenberg and T. Nakayama: *On the dimension of modules and algebras* II, Nagoya Math. J. **9** (1956), 1-16.
- [2] C. Faith: *Rings with ascending condition on annihilators*, ibid. **27** (1966), 179-191.
- [3] M. Harada: *On self mini-injective rings*, Osaka J. Math. **19** (1982), 587-597.
- [4] M. Oshima: *Notes on basic rings*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **2** (1952-53), 103-110.
- [5] K. Morita: *Duality for modules and its applications to the theory of rings with*

*minimum condition*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sect. A **6** (1958), 83–142.

Department of Mathematics  
Osaka City University  
Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558  
Japan