



Title	Note on microbundles
Author(s)	Adachi, Masahisa
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1966, 3(1), p. 121-137
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/8769">https://doi.org/10.18910/8769</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

## NOTE ON MICROBUNDLES<sup>\*)</sup>

MASAHISA ADACHI

(Received February 23, 1966)

In [2] Lashof and Rothenberg have defined the css-group  $O$  and the Kan complex  $PD$ , and shown a certain exact sequence of abelian groups (Theorem (4.2)) which is fundamental to the studies of the PL-microbundles and smoothing.

In the present note we shall define a css-group  $H$  for the topological microbundles parallel to the css-group  $PL$  for the PL-microbundles (§ 1), and show an analogous exact sequence of abelian groups (§ 4) which seems to have some meaning to the study of the topological microbundles (§ 2, § 3).

Our method is quite analogous to that of Lashof and Rothenberg [2], and Milnor [3], and is based on Heller's theory [1].

The author is grateful to Professors R. Shizuma, K. Shiraiwa and T. Nakamura for their kind criticisms.

### 0. Preliminaries

#### a) Directed systems of css-complexes.

Let  $\Sigma$  be a partially ordered set, i.e. a set in which we have a transitive relation  $<$  defined for some (but not necessary all) pairs of elements.  $\Sigma$  is called a directed set if every pair of elements has a common successor: given  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  in  $\Sigma$  there is an element  $\rho$  in  $\Sigma$  satisfying  $\sigma < \rho$  and  $\tau < \rho$ .

In the present note all css-complexes are supposed to satisfy Kan's extension condition unless otherwise stated.

Suppose to each element  $\sigma$  of  $\Sigma$  is assigned a css-complex<sup>1)</sup>  $K_\sigma$  (css-group  $G_\sigma$ ) and to each pair of elements  $\sigma < \tau$  of  $\Sigma$  there corresponds a css-map  $h_{\sigma\tau}$  of  $K_\sigma$  into  $K_\tau$  (css-homomorphism  $h_{\sigma\tau}$  of  $G_\sigma$  into  $G_\tau$ ) such that if  $\rho < \sigma < \tau$  then

---

<sup>\*)</sup> This work is partially supported by Yukawa Fellowship.

<sup>1)</sup> For the theory of css-complexes, see for example Heller [1], Moore [5], Puppe [6].

$$h_{\rho\tau} = h_{\sigma\tau} \circ h_{\rho\sigma}.$$

A system of css-complexes (css-groups) of this sort is called a *directed system of css-complexes* (css-groups).

Given a directed system of css-complexes (css-groups), we can define naturally a new css-complex (css-group) called the *limit css-complex*  $K$  (css-group  $G$ ) of the directed system. We shall denote  $K = \varinjlim K_\sigma$  ( $G = \varinjlim G_\sigma$ ).

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\{K_\sigma, h_{\sigma\tau}; \sigma, \tau \in \Sigma\}$ ,  $\{L_\sigma, h_\tau; \sigma, \tau \in \Sigma\}$  be directed systems of css-complexes. Suppose to each element  $\sigma$  of  $\Sigma$  is assigned a css-map  $\varphi_\sigma$  of  $K_\sigma$  into  $L_\sigma$  such that to each pair of elements  $\sigma < \tau$  of  $\Sigma$  the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_\sigma & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\sigma} & L_\sigma \\ h_{\sigma\tau} \downarrow & & \downarrow h'_{\sigma\tau} \\ K_\tau & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\tau} & L_\tau \end{array}$$

*is commutative. Then, there exists a css-map  $\varphi$  of  $K = \varinjlim K_\sigma$  into  $L = \varinjlim L_\sigma$  which corresponds an element  $\{k_\sigma\}$  of  $K$  with representative  $k_\sigma$  to  $\{\varphi_\sigma(k_\sigma)\}$  of  $L$ . If  $\varphi_\sigma$  is injective for each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , then the css-map  $\varphi$  is also injective. If  $\{K_\sigma, h_{\sigma\tau}; \sigma, \tau \in \Sigma\}$ ,  $\{L_\sigma, h'_\tau; \sigma, \tau \in \Sigma\}$  are directed systems of css-groups and each  $\varphi_\sigma$  is a css-homomorphism, then the css-map  $\varphi$  is also a css-homomorphism.*

**Proof.** We shall prove the second assertion. Let  $k = \{k_\sigma\}$ ,  $k' = \{k'_\tau\}$  be elements of  $K$  such that  $\varphi(k) = \varphi(k')$ . Then there exists a common successor  $\rho$  of  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  such that  $h'_{\sigma\rho}(\varphi_\sigma(k_\sigma)) = h'_{\tau\rho}(\varphi_\tau(k'_\tau))$ . The following diagrams are commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_\sigma & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\sigma} & L_\sigma \\ h_{\sigma\rho} \downarrow & & \downarrow h'_{\sigma\rho} \\ K_\rho & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\rho} & L_\rho \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_\tau & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\tau} & L_\tau \\ h_{\tau\rho} \downarrow & & \downarrow h'_{\tau\rho} \\ K_\rho & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\rho} & L_\rho \end{array}$$

Thus we have

$$\varphi_\rho \circ h_{\sigma\rho}(k_\sigma) = \varphi_\rho \circ h'_{\tau\rho}(k'_\tau).$$

Since  $\varphi_\rho$  is injective, we have  $h_{\sigma\rho}(k_\sigma) = h'_{\tau\rho}(k'_\tau)$ . Thus we have  $\{k_\sigma\} = \{k'_\tau\}$ .

Let  $\{G_\sigma, h_{\sigma\tau}; \sigma, \tau \in \Sigma\}$ ,  $\{H_\sigma, h'_{\sigma\tau}; \sigma, \tau \in \Sigma\}$  be directed systems of

css-groups, and for each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$   $H_\sigma$  is a css-subgroup of  $G_\sigma$ . Then, corresponding to each  $\sigma$  css-complex  $G_\sigma/H_\sigma$ , we have naturally a directed system of css-complexes  $\{G_\sigma/H_\sigma, \bar{h}_{\sigma\tau}; \sigma, \tau \in \Sigma\}$ . Let  $G = \varinjlim G_\sigma$ ,  $H = \varinjlim H_\sigma$ . By Lemma 1, we can consider  $H$  as a css-subgroup of  $G$ . So we have a css-complex  $G/H$ . Then we have

**Lemma 2.**  $\varinjlim G_\sigma/H_\sigma$  and  $G/H$  are css-equivalent, that is, there exists a bijective css-map between them.

*Proof.* Let  $K = \varinjlim G_\sigma/H_\sigma$ . Define  $\varphi_q: K^{(q)} \rightarrow (G/H)^{(q)}$  by  $\varphi_q(g) = \{g_\sigma\} \bmod H^{(q)}$ , for  $g = \{g_\sigma \bmod H^{(q)}_\sigma\} \in K^{(q)}$ . This is independent of the representative of  $g$ .

Clearly  $\varphi_q$  is surjective.

Let  $g, g' \in K^{(q)}$ ,  $g = \{g_\sigma \bmod H^{(q)}_\sigma\}$ ,  $g' = \{g'_\tau \bmod H^{(q)}_\tau\}$  and  $\varphi_q(g) = \varphi_q(g')$ . Then we have

$$\{g_\sigma\} \bmod H^{(q)} = \{g'_\tau\} \bmod H^{(q)},$$

that is, there exists a common successor  $\rho$  of  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  such that

$$(h_{\sigma\rho}(g_\sigma))^{-1} h_{\tau\rho}(g'_\tau) \in H^{(q)}_\rho.$$

Namely

$$h_{\sigma\rho}(g_\sigma) \bmod H^{(q)}_\rho = h_{\tau\rho}(g'_\tau) \bmod H^{(q)}_\rho.$$

Thus we have  $g = g'$ .

For a weakly monotone map  $\lambda: \Delta_p \rightarrow \Delta_q$ , we can easily see that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varinjlim G^{(q)}_\rho / H^{(q)}_\rho = K^{(q)} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_q} & (G/H)^{(q)} = G^{(q)} / H^{(q)} \\ & \lambda^\# \downarrow & \downarrow \lambda^\# \\ \varinjlim G^{(p)}_\sigma / H^{(p)}_\sigma = K^{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_p} & (G/H)^{(p)} = G^{(p)} / H^{(p)} \end{array}$$

is commutative. Thus  $\varphi = \{\varphi_q\}: K \rightarrow G/H$  is a surjective css-map.

We shall sometimes identify two css-equivalent css-complexes.

#### b) Heller's $U$ -functor.

We shall recall Heller's theory [1]. If  $\Gamma$  is a css-group, a *universal group* for  $\Gamma$  is a css-group  $\Upsilon$  containing  $\Gamma$  as a css-subgroup and with all homotopy groups  $\pi_q(\Upsilon) = 0$ . For any css-group  $\Gamma$ , there corresponds a css-group  $U(\Gamma)$ , which is universal for  $\Gamma$ . Moreover,  $U$  is a covariant functor on the category of css-groups and css-homomorphisms into itself.

Explicitly, the css-group  $U(\Gamma)$  is constructed as follows. Let  $U(\Gamma)^{(q)}$  be the set of all map  $\sigma$  of css-complex  $\Delta_q$  into css-group  $\Gamma$  preserving dimension but not in general incidence. The incidence operations are defined by composition of maps

$$\Delta_p \xrightarrow{\lambda} \Delta_q \longrightarrow \Gamma$$

for a weakly monotone map  $\lambda$ . The group operation in  $U(\Gamma)^{(q)}$  is defined by that in  $\Gamma$ : if  $\tau \in \Delta_q$  and  $\sigma, \sigma' \in U(\Gamma)^{(q)}$ , then

$$(\sigma\sigma')(\tau) = \sigma(\tau)\sigma'(\tau).$$

With these definitions it is clear that  $U(\Gamma) = \bigcup_{q \geq 0} U(\Gamma)^{(q)}$  is a css-group.  $\Gamma$  may be identified with the subgroup of  $U(\Gamma)$  consisting of those simplices which are css-maps  $\sigma: \Delta_q \rightarrow \Gamma$ . We shall denote the identification by

$$\iota_\Gamma: \Gamma \rightarrow U(\Gamma).$$

Let  $\Gamma, \Gamma'$  be css-groups and  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$  be a css-homomorphism. Then the css-homomorphism

$$U(\varphi): U(\Gamma) \rightarrow U(\Gamma')$$

is defined as follows. Let  $\sigma \in U(\Gamma)^{(q)}$ . We define  $U(\varphi)(\sigma) \in U(\Gamma')^{(q)}$  to be  $\varphi \circ \sigma$ . Then  $U(\varphi)$  is a dimension preserving map. For a weakly monotone map  $\lambda: \Delta_p \rightarrow \Delta_q$ , and  $\tau \in U(\Gamma)^{(q)}$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^* \circ U(\varphi)(\tau) &= \lambda^*(\varphi \circ \tau) \\ &= (\varphi \circ \tau) \circ \lambda \\ &= \varphi \circ (\tau \circ \lambda) \\ &= \varphi \circ \lambda^*(\tau) \\ &= U(\varphi) \circ \lambda^*(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $U(\varphi)$  is a css-map, and clearly css-homomorphism.

By the definition, if  $\varphi$  is a css-monomorphism, the  $U(\varphi)$  is also a css-monomorphism.

Now let  $\Gamma, \Gamma'$  be css-groups. Then  $\Gamma \times \Gamma'$  is also css-groups. Then we have

**Lemma 3.** *There exists a css-isomorphism  $\alpha: U(\Gamma) \times U(\Gamma') \rightarrow U(\Gamma \times \Gamma')$  such that the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Gamma \times \Gamma' & \xrightarrow{\iota_\Gamma \times \iota_{\Gamma'}} & U(\Gamma) \times U(\Gamma') \\
 & \searrow \iota_{\Gamma \times \Gamma'} & \Downarrow \alpha \\
 & & U(\Gamma \times \Gamma')
 \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha_q &: U(\Gamma)^{(q)} \times U(\Gamma')^{(q)} \rightarrow U(\Gamma \times \Gamma')^{(q)} \\
 \alpha_q(\sigma, \sigma') &= \tau, \\
 \tau(\omega) &= (\sigma(\omega), \sigma'(\omega)), \omega \in \Delta_q.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\alpha_q$  is clearly an injective map.

Let  $\lambda: \Delta_p \rightarrow \Delta_q$  be a weakly monotone map. Then the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U(\Gamma)^{(q)} \times U(\Gamma')^{(q)} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_q} & U(\Gamma \times \Gamma')^{(q)} \\
 \lambda^\# \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda^\# \\
 U(\Gamma)^{(p)} \times U(\Gamma')^{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_p} & U(\Gamma \times \Gamma')^{(p)}
 \end{array}$$

is commutative. Thus  $\alpha = \{\alpha_q\}: U(\Gamma) \times U(\Gamma') \rightarrow U(\Gamma \times \Gamma')$  is an injective css-map.

Let  $(\sigma, \sigma'), (\rho, \rho') \in U(\Gamma)^{(q)} \times U(\Gamma')^{(q)}$ , and  $\alpha_q(\sigma, \sigma') = \tau$ ,  $\alpha_q(\rho, \rho') = \tau'$ . Then  $(\sigma, \sigma')(\rho, \rho') = (\sigma\rho, \sigma'\rho')$ . Let  $\alpha_q(\sigma\rho, \sigma'\rho') = \tau''$ . Then we can prove easily

$$\tau''(\omega) = (\tau\tau')(\omega), \text{ for } \omega \in \Delta_q.$$

Thus  $\alpha$  is a css-monomorphism, Clearly  $\alpha$  is surjective.

Then commutativity is easily seen.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\{\Gamma_m, h_{m,n}; m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  be a directed system of css-groups and  $\Gamma = \varinjlim \Gamma_m$ . Then  $\{U(\Gamma_m), U(h_{m,n}); m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is also a directed system of css-groups, and

$$\varinjlim U(\Gamma_m) \cong U(\Gamma).$$

Proof. Define  $\varphi: \varinjlim U(\Gamma_m) \rightarrow U(\Gamma)$  by  $\varphi(\{\sigma_{(m)}^q\}) = \iota_m \circ \sigma_{(m)}^q$ , where  $\iota_m: \Gamma_m \rightarrow \Gamma$  is the projection map and  $\sigma_{(m)}^q: \Delta_q \rightarrow \Gamma_m$  is a representative of an element  $\sigma^q$  of  $(\varinjlim U(\Gamma_m))^{(q)}$ . Let  $\sigma_{(n)}^q$  be another representative of  $\sigma^q$ :  $\{\sigma_{(n)}^q\} = \{\sigma_{(m)}^q\}$ . Then there exists an integer  $p$  such that  $m, n \leq p$ ,  $h_{mp} \circ \sigma_{(m)}^q = h_{np} \circ \sigma_{(n)}^q$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\iota_n \circ \sigma_{(n)}^q &= \iota_p \circ h_{n_p} \circ \sigma_{(n)}^q \\
&= \iota_p \circ h_{m_p} \circ \sigma_{(m)}^q \\
&= \iota_m \circ \sigma_{(m)}^q.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the above definition has no ambiguity.

Clearly  $\varphi$  is an onto css-homomorphism.

Now we shall prove that  $\varphi$  is injective. Let  $\varphi(\{\sigma_{(m)}^q\}) = \varphi(\{\tau_{(n)}^q\})$ . Then we have  $\iota_m \circ \sigma_{(m)}^q = \iota_n \circ \tau_{(n)}^q$ . Therefore, there exists an integer  $p$  such that  $m, n \leq p$  and  $h_{m_p} \circ \sigma_{(m)}^q = h_{n_p} \circ \tau_{(n)}^q$ . Thus we have  $\{\sigma_{(m)}^q\} = \{\tau_{(n)}^q\}$ .

### 1. css-groups $H_n, H$

In this section we shall construct a css-group  $H_n$  for topological microbundles of dimension  $n$ . The construction of the css-group  $H_n$  is completely parallel to Milnor's construction [3] of the css-group  $PL_n$  for  $PL$ -microbundles of dimension  $n$ .

First we need to define the concept of an isomorphism-germ between topological microbundles. Let

$$\mathfrak{x}_\alpha: B \xrightarrow{i_\alpha} E_\alpha \xrightarrow{j_\alpha} B, \quad \alpha=1,2$$

be two topological microbundles over  $B$ . Recall that  $\mathfrak{x}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{x}_2$  are *isomorphic* if there exist neighborhoods  $U_\alpha$  of  $i_\alpha(B)$  in  $E_\alpha$  for  $\alpha=1, 2$ , and a homeomorphism  $f: U_1 \rightarrow U_2$  so that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & U_1 & & \\
& i_1 \nearrow & \downarrow \parallel & \nwarrow j_1 & \\
B & & & & B \\
& i_2 \searrow & \downarrow f & \swarrow j_2 & \\
& & U_2 & & 
\end{array}$$

is commutative.

DEFINITION. Two these homeomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
f: U_1 &\rightarrow U_2, \\
f': U'_1 &\rightarrow U'_2,
\end{aligned}$$

are said to define the same *isomorphism-germ*  $F$  from  $\mathfrak{x}_1$  to  $\mathfrak{x}_2$ , if the two maps  $f, f'$  coincide on some sufficiently small neighborhood of  $i_1(B)$ . (Thus an isomorphism-germ

$$F: \mathfrak{x}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{x}_2$$

is an equivalence class of such homeomorphisms.)

Now consider the topological microbundle  $g^*\mathfrak{x}_1$  and  $g^*\mathfrak{x}_2$  induced by some continuous mapping  $g:B'\rightarrow B$ . Any isomorphism-germ  $F:\mathfrak{x}_1\rightarrow\mathfrak{x}_2$  clearly gives rise to an isomorphism-germ  $g^*\mathfrak{x}_1\rightarrow g^*\mathfrak{x}_2$ . This induced isomorphism-germ will be denoted by  $g^*F$ .

For each integer  $n\geq 0$ , we shall construct a css-group  $H_n$  as follows. Let  $\Delta_k$  denote the standard ordered  $k$ -simplex. As usual let  $e_{\Delta_k}^n$  denote the trivial topological microbundle

$$e_{\Delta_k}^n:\Delta_k \xrightarrow{\times 0} \Delta_k \times R^n \xrightarrow{p_1} \Delta_k.$$

DEFINITION. A  $k$ -simplex  $F$  of the css-complex  $H_n$  is an isomorphism-germ  $F:e_{\Delta_k}^n\rightarrow e_{\Delta_k}^n$ . The operation of composing isomorphism-germs makes the set  $H_n^{(k)}$  of  $k$ -simplexes into a group. For each weakly monotone simplicial map  $\lambda:\Delta_l\rightarrow\Delta_k$  define a homomorphism

$$\lambda^\#:H_n^{(k)}\rightarrow H_n^{(l)}$$

as follows. Let  $\lambda^\#$  carry each isomorphism-germ  $F$  to the induced isomorphism-germ  $\lambda^*F$ . Thus  $H_n=\{H_n^{(k)},\lambda^\#\}$  is a css-group.

We have a natural css-monomorphism

$$\iota_{r,s}:H_r\rightarrow H_s, \quad r\leq s.$$

The family  $\{H_r;\iota_{r,s}\}$  is a directed system of css-groups. Define

$$H=\varinjlim H_n.$$

Then  $H$  is also a css-group.

We have a natural css-monomorphism

$$\mu_n:PL_n\rightarrow H_n,$$

and the following diagram

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} PL_r & \xrightarrow{\mu_r} & H_r \\ \iota'_{r,s} \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_{r,s} \\ PL_s & \xrightarrow{\mu_s} & H_s \end{array} \quad (r\leq s)$$

is commutative, where  $\iota'_{r,s}:PL_r\rightarrow PL_s$  is a natural css-monomorphism. Therefore, by Lemma 1 we have a css-monomorphism

$$\mu:PL\rightarrow H.$$

Thus we can consider  $PL_n, PL$  as css-subgroup of  $H_n, H$  respectively. Then we can consider css-complexes  $H_n/PL_n, H/PL$ .



By the commutative diagram (1), we have a natural css-map

$$\omega_{r,s}: H_r/PL_r \rightarrow H_s/PL_s, \quad r \leq s.$$

The family  $\{H_r/PL_r; \omega_{r,s}\}$  is a directed system of css-complexes. By Lemma 2, we have an css-equivalence

$$H/PL = \varinjlim H_i/PL_i.$$

Let  $K$  be a css-complex not necessarily satisfying Kan's condition,  $L$  a css-complex. Then we shall denote by  $[K, L]$  the css-homotopy classes of css-maps of  $K$  into  $L$ . As is remarked above,  $[K, H_n]$ ,  $[K, H]$ ,  $[K, H_n/PL_n]$  and  $[K, H/PL]$ , have meanings.

## 2. Kan complexes $BPL_n$ , $BPL$ ; $BH_n$ , $BH$

Since  $U$  is a covariant functor, to the css-monomorphism  $\iota_{m,n}: H_m \rightarrow H_n$ ,  $m \leq n$ , corresponds a css-monomorphism

$$U(\iota_{m,n}); U(H_m) \rightarrow U(H_n), \quad m \leq n.$$

Then the family  $\{U(H_m); U(\iota_{mn})\}$  is a directed system of css-groups. Define

$$U = \varinjlim U(H_n).$$

Then  $U$  is also a css-group, and by Lemma 4  $U$  can be considered as  $U(H)$ , therefore, its all homotopy groups vanish.

Since  $U$  is a covariant functor, the following diagram

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_m & \xrightarrow{\nu_m} & U(H_m) \\ \iota_{m,n} \downarrow & & \downarrow U(\iota_{m,n}) \\ H_n & \xrightarrow{\nu_n} & U(H_n) \end{array} \quad (m \leq n)$$

is commutative, where  $\nu_m: H_m \rightarrow U(H_m)$  is the inclusion map  $\iota_{H_m}$ . Therefore, by Lemma 1 we have a css-monomorphism

$$\nu: H \rightarrow U.$$

By Lemma 4 this css-monomorphism is nothing but the inclusion map  $\iota_H: H \rightarrow U(H)$ . Thus we can consider  $H$  as css-subgroup of  $U$ .

By the commutative diagram (2), we have a css-map

$$\iota_{m,n}: U(H_m)/H_m \rightarrow U(H_n)/H_n, \quad (m \leq n).$$

The family  $\{U(H_m)/H_m; \iota_{m,n}\}$  is a directed system of css-complexes. By Lemma 2, we have a css-equivalence

$$U/H = \varinjlim U(H_n)/H_n.$$

The css-group  $PL_n$  is a css-subgroup of  $H_n$ . Therefore,  $PL_n$  also can be considered as a css-subgroup of  $U(H_n)$ . The following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} PL_m & \xrightarrow{\nu_m \circ \mu_m} & U(H_n) \\ \iota'_{m,n} \downarrow & & \downarrow U(\iota_{m,n}) \\ PL_n & \xrightarrow{\nu_n \circ \mu_n} & U(H_n) \end{array} \quad (m \leq n)$$

is commutative. Therefore, we have a css-map

$$\iota_{m,n}: U(H_m)/PL_m \rightarrow U(H_n)/PL_n, \quad (m \leq n).$$

The family  $\{U(H_m)/PL_m; \iota_{m,n}\}$  is a directed system of css-complexes. By Lemma 2, we have a css-equivalence

$$U/PL = \varinjlim U(H_n)/PL_n.$$

Now the natural map

$$\pi_n: U(H_n) \rightarrow U(H_n)/H_n$$

can be considered as a  $H_n$ -bundle in Heller's sense (cf. Heller [1]). Namely,  $U(H_n)/H_n$  is a classifying css-complex of  $H_n$ -bundles. We shall denote  $U(H_n)/H_n$  by  $BH_n$ , and  $U/H$  by  $BH$ . Similarly, we shall denote  $U(H_n)/PL_n$  by  $BPL_n$ , and  $U/PL$  by  $BPL$ .

We shall denote the natural map  $U/PL \rightarrow U/H$  by

$$\rho: BPL \rightarrow BH.$$

By Lemma 1 and 2, this css-map can be considered as the limit of css-maps  $\rho_n: U(H_n)/PL_n \rightarrow U(H_n)/H_n$ .

Let  $K$  be a locally finite simplicial complex. Choose some well-ordering for the vertices of  $K$ . Let  $\tilde{K}$  be the css-complex consisting of all weakly monotone simplicial maps  $f: \Delta_k \rightarrow K$ , with  $\lambda^*: \tilde{K}^{(k)} \rightarrow K^{(l)}$  defined by  $\lambda^*(f) = f \circ \lambda$  for a weakly monotone map  $\lambda: \Delta_l \rightarrow \Delta_k$ .

Now consider a topological microbundle  $\mathfrak{x}$  of dimension  $n$  over  $K$ .

DEFINITION. The  $H_n$ -bundle  $\tilde{\mathfrak{x}} = (\tilde{E}, \pi, \tilde{K})$  associated with  $\mathfrak{x}$  is constructed as follows. A  $k$ -simplex of the total css-complex  $\tilde{E}$  consists of

- 1) a  $k$ -simplex  $f \in \tilde{K}^{(k)}$ , together with
- 2) an isomorphism-germ  $F: \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_k}^n \rightarrow f^*\mathfrak{x}$ .

The function  $\lambda^*: \tilde{E}^{(k)} \rightarrow \tilde{E}^{(l)}$  are defined by the formula  $\lambda^*(f, F) = (f \circ \lambda, \lambda^*F)$ . The right translation function

$$\tilde{E} \times H_n \rightarrow \tilde{E}$$

is just the operation of composing isomorphism-germs. Since this operation is free, it follows that  $\tilde{E}$  is an  $H_n$ -bundle in Heller's sense and  $\tilde{E}/H_n = \tilde{K}$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $K$  be a locally finite simplicial complex. Then the operation of assigning to each topological microbundle  $\mathfrak{x}$  of dimension  $n$  over  $K$  its associated  $H_n$ -bundle  $\mathfrak{x}$  sets up one to one correspondence between isomorphism classes of topological microbundles of dimension  $n$  over  $K$  and equivalence<sup>2)</sup> classes of  $H_n$ -bundles over  $\tilde{K}$ .*

The proof in the case of  $PL$ -microbundles given in Milnor [3] applies without essential change. Details will be left to the readers.

By Heller's classification theorem (Heller [1], Theorem (10.1), we have

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $K$  be a css-complex. The equivalence classes of  $H_n$ -bundles  $X$  such that  $X/H_n$  is  $K$ , are in one to one correspondence with the css-homotopy classes  $[K, BH_n]$  of css-maps  $\alpha: K \rightarrow BH_n$ .*

By Propositions 1 and 2, we have

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $K$  be a locally finite simplicial complex. Then the isomorphism classes of topological microbundle of dimension  $n$  over  $K$  are in one to one correspondence with the css-homotopy classes  $[\tilde{K}, BH_n]$ .*

### 3. Whitney sums

Let  $H_k^{(p)} \ni \alpha$ ,  $H_n^{(p)} \ni \beta$ . The  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are represented by following maps, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_p \times 0 &\subset U \subset \Delta_p \times R^k, \\ \Delta_p \times 0 &\subset V \subset \Delta_p \times R^n, \\ f: U &\rightarrow \Delta_p \times R^k, \\ g: V &\rightarrow \Delta_p \times R^n. \end{aligned}$$

Define the *Whitney sum*  $\alpha \oplus \beta \in H_{k+n}^{(p)}$  by the class represented by the following map:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_p \times 0 &\subset W \subset \Delta_p \times R^k \times R^n, \\ f \oplus g: W &\rightarrow \Delta_p \times R^k \times R^n, \\ (f \oplus g)(x, u, v) &= (x, p_2 \circ f(x, u), p_2 \circ g(x, v)), \end{aligned}$$

---

2) By equivalence we say strong equivalence in Heller's sense (cf. Heller [1]).

where  $p_2$  is the projection to the second factor. Then  $\oplus$  is a css-map

$$\oplus : H_k \times H_n \rightarrow H_{k+n}.$$

By restriction, we get

$$\oplus : PL_k \times PL_n \rightarrow PL_{k+n}.$$

This css-map is defined in Lashof-Rotherberg [2].

Now we define css-map

$$\oplus : U(H_k) \times U(H_n) \rightarrow U(H_{k+n}).$$

Let  $(\sigma, \sigma') \in U(H_k)^{(q)} \times U(H_n)^{(q)}$ . Define

$$\oplus(\sigma, \sigma') = \sigma'',$$

$$\sigma'' : \Delta_q \rightarrow H_{k+n},$$

by

$$\sigma''(\tau) = \sigma(\tau) \oplus \sigma'(\tau), \quad \tau \in \Delta_q.$$

For a weakly monotone map  $\lambda : \Delta_p \rightarrow \Delta_q$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \oplus (\lambda(\sigma), \lambda^*(\sigma'))(\tau) &= \lambda^*(\sigma)(\tau) \oplus \lambda^*(\sigma')(\tau) \\ &= \sigma \circ \lambda(\tau) \oplus \sigma' \circ \lambda(\tau) \\ &= \sigma'' \circ \lambda(\tau) \\ &= \lambda^*(\sigma'')(\tau) \\ &= \lambda^* \circ \oplus (\sigma, \sigma')(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the map  $\oplus$  defined above is a css-map.

By the above definition the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_k \times H_n & \xrightarrow{\oplus} & H_{k+n} \\ \downarrow \iota_{H_k} \times \iota_{H_n} & & \downarrow \iota_{H_{k+n}} \\ U(H_k) \times U(H_n) & \xrightarrow{\oplus} & U(H_{k+n}) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

By left translation  $PL_k \times PL_n$  acts on  $H_k \times H_n$  and we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (PL_k \times PL_n) \times (H_k \times H_n) & \rightarrow & H_k \times H_n \\ \downarrow \oplus \times \oplus & & \downarrow \oplus \\ PL_{k+n} \times H_{k+n} & \rightarrow & H_{k+n}. \end{array}$$

Thus the above map passes to the quotient

$$\oplus : H_k/PL_k \times H_n/PL_n \rightarrow H_{k+n}/PL_{k+n}.$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} \oplus : U(H_k)/H_k \times U(H_n)/H_n &\rightarrow U(H_{k+n})/H_{k+n}, \\ \oplus : U(H_k)/PL_k \times U(H_n)/PL_n &\rightarrow U(H_{k+n})/PL_{k+n}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $K$  be a css-complex, and

$$\alpha_k : K \rightarrow H_k, \quad \alpha_n : K \rightarrow H_n$$

be css-maps. Then the above operation induces a map

$$\alpha_k \oplus \alpha_n : K \rightarrow H_{k+n}.$$

We note

$$(\alpha_k \oplus \alpha_n) \oplus \alpha_p = \alpha_k \oplus (\alpha_n \oplus \alpha_p).$$

Thus we have

$$\oplus : [K, H_k] \times [K, H_n] \rightarrow [K, H_{k+n}].$$

Similarly we have

$$\oplus : [K, U(H_k)] \times [K, U(H_n)] \rightarrow [K, U(H_{k+n})],$$

and moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \oplus : [K, U(H_k)/H_k] \times [K, U(H_n)/H_n] &\rightarrow [K, U(H_{k+n})/H_{k+n}], \\ \oplus : [K, U(H_k)/PL_k] \times [K, U(H_n)/PL_n] &\rightarrow [K, U(H_{k+n})/PL_{k+n}], \\ \oplus : [K, H_k/PL_k] \times [K, H_n/PL_n] &\rightarrow [K, H_{k+n}/PL_{k+n}]. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $A_n$  be one of the Kan complexes

$$PL_n, H_n, U(H_n), U(H_n)/H_n, U(H_n)/PL_n, H_n/PL_n,$$

and  $\iota_{m,n}$  be one of the natural css-maps

$$\begin{aligned} PL_m &\rightarrow PL_n, & H_m/PL_m &\rightarrow H_n/PL_n, \\ H_m &\rightarrow H_n, & U(H_m)/PL_m &\rightarrow U(H_n)/PL_n, \\ U(H_m) &\rightarrow U(H_n), & U(H_m)/H_m &\rightarrow U(H_n)/H_n. \end{aligned}$$

Then the family  $\{A_m; \iota_{m,n}\}$  is a directed system of Kan complexes. Define  $A = \varinjlim A_n$ .

We shall call a css-complex  $K$  *finite*, if it has only a finite number of non-degenerate simplices. For any finite css-complex  $K$  an easy argument shows  $[K, A] = \varinjlim [K, A_n]$ . By the same argument as Lashof-

Rothenberg [2], §4, we have

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $K$  be a finite css-complex. We have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [K, A_k] \times [K, A_n] & \xrightarrow{\oplus} & [K, A_{k+n}] \\ (\iota_{n,n+r})_* \times (\iota_{k,k+s})_* \downarrow & & \downarrow (\iota_{k+n,k+n+r+s})_* \\ [K, A_{k+r}] \times [K, A_{n+s}] & \xrightarrow{\oplus} & [K, A_{k+n+r+s}]. \end{array}$$

Consequently we have

$$\oplus : [K, A] \times [K, A] \rightarrow [K, A].$$

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $K$  be a finite css-complex. For  $A=PL$ ,  $H$  the Whitney sum on  $[K, A]$  is induced from group multiplication. Further  $[K, A]$  is an abelian group.*

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $K$  be a finite css-complex  $K$ . For  $A=H/PL$ ,  $U/PL$ ,  $U/H$  the Whitney sum induces on  $[K, A]$  the structure of an associative abelian monoid with two sided identity.*

By Lemma 3, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & U(H_k) \times U(H_n) & \\ & & \nearrow \iota_{H_n} \times \iota_{H_n} & \downarrow \alpha & \\ PL_k \times PL_n & \xrightarrow{\mu_k \times \mu_n} & H_k \times H_n & \xrightarrow{\iota_{H_n} \times H_n} & U(H_k \times H_n) \\ \oplus \downarrow & & \oplus \downarrow & & \downarrow U(\oplus) \\ PL_{k+n} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{k+n}} & H_{k+n} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{H_{k+n}}} & U(H_{k+n}). \end{array}$$

Notice that the css-homomorphism  $U(\oplus) \circ \alpha$  is nothing but the Whitney sum

$$\oplus : U(H_k) \times U(H_n) \rightarrow U(H_{k+n})$$

defined above. Then we have

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $K$  be a css-complex. The following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [K, H_k/PL_k] \times [K, H_n/PL_n] & \xrightarrow{\oplus} & [K, U_{k+n}/PL_{k+n}] \\ (\zeta_k)_* \times (\zeta_n)_* \downarrow & & \downarrow (\zeta_{k+n})_* \\ [K, U_k/PL_k] \times [K, U_n/PL_n] & \xrightarrow{\oplus} & [K, H_{k+n}/PL_{k+n}] \\ (\rho_k)_* \times (\rho_n)_* \downarrow & & \downarrow (\rho_{k+n})_* \\ [K, U_k/H_k] \times [K, U_n/H_n] & \xrightarrow{\oplus} & [K, U_{k+n}/H_{k+n}] \end{array}$$

is commutative, where  $U_n = U(H_n)$ .

Let  $K$  be a locally finite simplicial complex of finite dimension. Recall that the  $s$ -classes of topological microbundles over  $K$  form an abelian group  $k_{\text{Top}}(K)$  by Whitney sum (Milnor [4], §4).

The following theorem will give some meaning to the  $\text{css-complex}$   $BH$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $K$  be a finite simplicial complex. Then there exists an isomorphism of  $k_{\text{Top}}(K)$  onto  $[\tilde{K}, BH]$  as semi-group.*

*Proof.* Let  $(\mathfrak{x}) \in k_{\text{Top}}(K)$ , and the fibre dimension of  $\mathfrak{x}$  be  $m$ . By Theorem 1, to  $\mathfrak{x}$  corresponds a  $\text{css-map}$   $f: \tilde{K} \rightarrow BH_m = U(H_m)/H_m$ . Let  $\iota_m: BH_m \rightarrow BH$  be the canonical inclusion map. To the  $s$ -class  $(\mathfrak{x})$  we correspond the  $\text{css-homotopy}$  class  $\{\iota_m \circ f\}$ . We shall denote  $\varphi((\mathfrak{x})) = \{\iota_m \circ f\}$ .

Now we shall prove that this class does not depend on the representative  $\mathfrak{x}$  of the class  $(\mathfrak{x}) \in k_{\text{Top}}(K)$ . Let  $e_{m,p}^{(p)}: U(H_m)^{(q)} \rightarrow U(H_p)^{(q)}$  be the map which corresponds all elements of  $U(H_m)^{(q)}$  to the unit of  $U(H_p)^{(q)}$ . Then

$$e_{m,p} = \{e_{m,p}^{(q)}\}: U(H_m) \rightarrow U(H_p)$$

is a  $\text{css-homomorphism}$ , and the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & H_m & \xrightarrow{\iota_{H_m}} & U(H_m) & \\ 1 \times \bar{e}_{m,p} \swarrow & \downarrow & & \searrow 1 \times e_{m,p} & \\ H_m \times H_p & \xrightarrow{\iota_{H_m} \times \iota_{H_p}} & U(H_m) \times U(H_p) & \xrightarrow{U(\iota_{m,m+p})} & U(H_{m+p}) \\ \oplus \searrow & \downarrow \iota_{m,m+p} & \searrow \iota_{H_{m+p}} & \oplus & \\ & H_{m+p} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{H_{m+p}}} & U(H_{m+p}) & \end{array}$$

is commutative, where  $\bar{e}_{m,p}$  is the restriction of  $e_{m,p}$  over  $H_m$ . Thus we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U(H_m)/H_m & \xrightarrow{\iota_{m,m+p}} & U(H_{m+p})/H_{m+p} \\ 1 \times \bar{e}_{m,p} \searrow & \nearrow \oplus & \\ & U(H_m)/H_m \times U(H_p)/H_p & \end{array}$$

where  $\bar{e}_{m,p}: U(H_m)/H_m \rightarrow U(H_p)/H_p$  is the  $\text{css-map}$  induced for  $e_{m,p}: U(H_m) \rightarrow U(H_p)$ .

Let  $(\mathfrak{x}) = (\mathfrak{y})$ , and the fibre dimension of  $\mathfrak{y}$  be  $n$ . Then there exist integers  $0 \leq p, q$  such that

$$(3) \quad \mathfrak{x} \oplus e^p \sim \mathfrak{y} \oplus e^q.$$

Considering the definition of Whitney sums and the correspondence in

Theorem 1, we find that to the microbundle  $\mathfrak{x} \oplus e^q$  corresponds the composite css-map

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K} &\xrightarrow{f} U(H_m)/H_m \xrightarrow{1 \times \tilde{e}_{m,p}} U(H_m)/H_m \times U(H_p)/H_p \\ &\xrightarrow{\oplus} U(H_{m+p})/H_{m+p}. \end{aligned}$$

By the above commutative diagram, we obtain that to the microbundle  $\mathfrak{x} \oplus e^p$  corresponds the css-map  $\iota_{m,m+p} \circ f$ . If we denote the css-map corresponding to the microbundle  $\mathfrak{y}$  by  $g: \tilde{K} \rightarrow BH_n$ , then to the microbundle  $\mathfrak{y} \oplus e^q$  corresponds the css-map  $\iota_{n,n+q} \circ g$ . By (3)  $\iota_{m,m+q} \circ f$  and  $\iota_{n,n+q} \circ g$  are css-homotopic each other. Thus we have  $\{\iota_m \circ f\} = \{\iota_n \circ g\}$ .

By Theorem 1, the above map  $\varphi$  is clearly surjective.

Let  $\varphi(\mathfrak{x}) = \varphi(\mathfrak{y})$  and to  $\mathfrak{x}$  and  $\mathfrak{y}$  correspond css-maps  $f$  and  $g$ , respectively. Then  $\iota_m \circ f$  and  $\iota_n \circ g$  are homotopic each other. Therefore, there exist integers  $p, q \geq 0$  such that  $m+p = n+q$  and  $\iota_{m,m+p} \circ f$  and  $\iota_{n,n+q} \circ g$  are homotopic each other. So we have  $(\mathfrak{x}) = (\mathfrak{y})$ .

Now we shall show that  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism. Let  $(\mathfrak{x}), (\mathfrak{y}) \in k_{\text{Top}}(K)$ , and  $\mathfrak{x} = (\tilde{E}, \pi, \tilde{K})$ ,  $\mathfrak{y} = (\tilde{E}', \pi', \tilde{K}')$  be associated  $H_m$ - and  $H_n$ -bundles to  $\mathfrak{x}$  and  $\mathfrak{y}$ , and  $f: \tilde{K} \rightarrow U(H_m)/H_m$ ,  $g: \tilde{K}' \rightarrow U(H_n)/H_n$  be css-maps corresponding to  $\mathfrak{x}$  and  $\mathfrak{y}$  respectively. We have the following commutative diagram;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{K} \times \tilde{K}' & \xrightarrow{f \times g} & U(H_m)/H_m \times U(H_n)/H_n \\ & \searrow \bar{\alpha} \circ (f \times g) & \downarrow \bar{\alpha} \\ & & U(H_m \times H_n)/H_m \times H_n \xrightarrow{U(\oplus)} U(H_{m+n})/H_{m+n}, \end{array}$$

where  $\bar{\alpha}$  and  $\overline{U(\oplus)}$  are the css-maps induced by  $\alpha$  and  $U(\oplus)$  respectively. Considering the correspondence in Theorem 1, we obtain that the  $H_{m+n}$ -bundle associated to  $\mathfrak{x} \times \mathfrak{y}$  is induced by the css-map  $\oplus \circ (f \times g)$ . Let  $d: K \rightarrow K \times K$ ,  $\tilde{d}: \tilde{K} \times \tilde{K}' \rightarrow \tilde{K} \times \tilde{K}'$  be diagonal maps. As  $\mathfrak{x} \oplus \mathfrak{y} = d^*(\mathfrak{x} \times \mathfrak{y})$ , the  $H_{m+n}$ -bundle associated to  $\mathfrak{x} \oplus \mathfrak{y}$  is induced by  $\oplus \circ (f \times g) \circ d$ . By Proposition 3, we obtain that  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism.

#### 4. Exact sequence

**Theorem 3.** For any finite css-complex  $K$ , the sequence

$$[K, PL] \xrightarrow{\mu_*} [K, H] \xrightarrow{\lambda_*} [K, H/PL] \xrightarrow{\zeta_*} [K, BPL] \xrightarrow{\rho_*} [K, BH]$$

is an exact sequence of abelian groups.

**Proof.** That this is an exact sequence of base-pointed sets is the



usual property of fibre spaces applied to the css-fibre spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I} \quad & PL \rightarrow H \rightarrow H/PL, \\ \text{II} \quad & H/PL \rightarrow U/PL \rightarrow U/H, \end{aligned}$$

noticing that I is fibration induced from II by inclusion  $H/PL \rightarrow U/PL$ .

That the maps are additive follows from definitions and from Proposition 6.

The fact that  $[K, BPL]$  and  $[K, BH]$  are abelian groups is known (Theorem 2). Now it only remains to show that  $[K, H/PL]$  is actually a group, i.e. that inverses exist. Let  $\alpha \in [K, H/PL]$ . Then  $\zeta_*(\alpha) \in [K, BPL]$  has an inverse  $\nu \in [K, BPL]$ . Since  $\rho_*$  is a group homomorphism,  $\rho_*(\nu) = 0$ . Thus there is an  $\alpha' \in [K, H/PL]$  with  $\zeta_*(\alpha') = \nu$ . Thus  $\zeta_*(\alpha + \alpha') = 0$ , and there is a  $\beta \in [K, H]$  with  $\lambda_*(\beta) = \alpha + \alpha'$ . Now  $\beta$  has an inverse  $(-\beta)$  in  $[K, H]$  so that  $\lambda_*(-\beta) + (\alpha + \alpha') = \lambda_*(-\beta) + \lambda_*(\beta) = \lambda_*(-\beta + \beta) = 0$ . Thus  $\alpha' + \lambda_*(-\beta)$  is an inverse to  $\alpha$ .

Thus the theorem is proved.

Let  $O$  be the css-group defined in Lashof-Rotherberg [2]. Then  $O$  is a css-subgroup of  $H$  and  $BO$  can be considered as  $U/O$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mu' : O &\rightarrow H, \\ \lambda' : H &\rightarrow H/O, \\ \zeta' : H/O &\rightarrow U/O = BO, \\ \rho' : BO = U/O &\rightarrow U/H = BH \end{aligned}$$

be the naturally defined css-maps.

Then in quite a parallel way, we obtain the following

**Theorem 4.** *For any finite css-complex  $K$ , the sequence*

$$[K, O] \xrightarrow{\mu'_*} [K, H] \xrightarrow{\lambda'_*} [K, H/O] \xrightarrow{\zeta'_*} [K, BO] \xrightarrow{\rho'_*} [K, BH]$$

*is an exact sequence of abelian groups.*

NAGOYA UNIVERSITY

---

### References

- [1] A. Heller : *Homotopy resolutions of semi-simplicial complexes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1955), 299-344.

- [ 2 ] R. Lashof-M. Rothenberg: *Microbundles and smoothing*, *Topology* **3** (1965), 357-388.
- [ 3 ] J. Milnor: *Microbundles and differentiable structures*, Mimeographed Note, Princeton University, 1961.
- [ 4 ] J. Milnor: *Microbundles*—I, *Topology* **3** Suppl. (1964), 53-80.
- [ 5 ] J. Moore: *Semi-simplicial complexes and Postnikov systems*, *Symp. Int. Topologia Algebraica*, Mexico, 1958, 232-247.
- [ 6 ] D. Puppe: *Homotopie und Homologie in abelschen Gruppen- und Monoid-komplexen*, I, *Math. Z.* **68** (1958), 367-406.

