

| Title | Increased resting-state activity in the cerebellum with mothers having less adaptive sensory processing and trait anxiety |
|--------------|---|
| Author(s) | 榊⊠原, 信子 |
| Citation | 大阪大学, 2021, 博士論文 |
| Version Type | VoR |
| URL | https://doi.org/10.18910/87710 |
| rights | |
| Note | |

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

Osaka University

| 1 | Original Article |
|----|---|
| 2 | Increased resting-state activity in the cerebellum with mothers having less |
| 3 | adaptive sensory processing and trait anxiety |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Nobuko Sakakibara ^{1,2} , Kai Makita ¹ , Ryoko Kasaba ¹ , |
| 6 | Ryo Kuboshita ³ , Takashi X. Fujisawa ^{1,2} and Akemi Tomoda ^{1,2,4} * |
| 7 | ¹ Research Center for Child Mental Development, University of Fukui, Matsuoka-Shimoaizuki, |
| 8 | Fukui, Japan |
| 9 | ² Division of Developmental Higher Brain Functions, United Graduate School of Child |
| 10 | Development, University of Fukui, Matsuoka-Shimoaizuki, Fukui, Japan |
| 11 | ³ Department of Rehabilitation, Faculty of Health Science, Fukui Health Science University, Fukui, |
| 12 | Japan |
| 13 | ⁴ Department of Child and Adolescent Psychological Medicine, University of Fukui Hospital, |
| 14 | Matsuoka-Shimoaizuki, Fukui, Japan |
| 15 | |
| 16 | *Corresponding author: |
| 17 | Akemi Tomoda, MD, PhD |
| 18 | Research Center for Child Mental Development, University of Fukui, |
| 19 | 23-3 Matsuoka-Shimoaizuki, Eiheiji-cho, Fukui 910-1193, Japan |
| 20 | E-mail: atomoda@u-fukui.ac.jp |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |

24 Abstract

25 Child-rearing mothers with high levels of trait anxiety have a tendency for less adaptive 26 sensory processing, which causes parenting stress. However, the neural mechanisms underlying 27 this sensory processing and trait anxiety remain unclear. We aimed to determine the whole-28 brain spontaneous neural activity and sensory processing characteristics in mothers with 29 varying parenting stress levels. Using resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging, we 30 assessed mothers caring for more than one preschool aged (2–5 years) child and presenting 31 with varying levels of sensory processing, trait anxiety, and parenting stress. Spontaneous 32 neural activities in select brain regions were evaluated by whole-brain correlation analyses 33 based on the fractional amplitude of low-frequency fluctuations (fALFF). We found significant 34 positive correlations between levels of sensory processing with trait anxiety and parenting 35 stress. Mothers having less adaptive sensory processing had significantly increased resting-state 36 network activities in the left lobule VI of the cerebellum. Increased fALFF values in the left 37 lobule VI confirmed the mediation effect on the relationship between trait anxiety and sensory 38 processing. A tendency for less adaptive sensory processing involving increased brain activity 39 in lobule VI could be an indicator of maternal trait anxiety and the risk of parenting stress.

40

- 41 Keywords: amplitude of low-frequency fluctuations, cerebellum, parenting stress, resting-state fMRI,
 42 less adaptive sensory processing, trait anxiety
- 43

44

- 45
- 46

47 1 Introduction

Everyday life is full of various sensory stimuli. Sensory processing refers to the ability to regulate and organize reactions to sensory stimuli in a graded and adaptive manner (1-3). In other words, sensory processing refers to the ability of the brain to correctly respond to the surrounding environmental stimuli and remain at the correct responsiveness level. Sensory processing has been explained based on neurological threshold and behavioral response; the neurological thresholds refer to the intensity of stimuli needed for the central nervous system (CNS) to notice or react to stimuli, while the behavioral responses refer to the manner of response in relation to the thresholds (2).

55 Although most people present with balanced sensory processing abilities, approximately 15% 56 of the population present with a tendency for less adaptive sensory processing patterns (4). The brains 57 of individuals with a tendency for less adaptive sensory processing, who present hyper-responsive or 58 hypo-responsive behaviors, are thought to be unable to receive stimuli or filter out irrelevant stimuli 59 (5, 6); for example, "they startle easily from unexpected or loud noises," "they don't notice when 60 other people come in the room," "they don't seem to notice when their hands or faces are dirty," "they 61 are unaware of odors that others notice," " they keep the shades down," "they touch others when 62 they're talking" (2, 4). The response process is not as automatic as that in most individuals and 63 requires more effort for those with less adaptive tendency for sensory processing. This may interfere 64 with engagement in daily activities such as eating, grooming, and socializing (6).

65 Healthy individuals with a tendency for less adaptive sensory processing, such as those with 66 low sensory input registration or sensory hypersensitivity, have been shown to have high trait anxiety 67 (7, 8). Trait anxiety predisposes individuals to daily evasive behavior as well as excessive and volatile 68 emotions (9, 10). In adults with autistic traits, abnormal sensory processing is positively associated 69 with trait anxiety (8). Sensory processing ability has been studied in adults with mental health issues 70 (11, 12), including anxiety and social-emotional issues, and can predict psychological distress (13). 71 Particularly, there is a strong association between trait anxiety and sensory processing difficulties, 72 which can cause stress in routine situations.

73 Significantly, anxiety in child-rearing mothers is associated with depressive symptoms and 74 care stress (14, 15). Increased trait anxiety in mothers has been shown to induce parenting stress (16). 75 Moreover, a high level of trait anxiety in mothers is a risk factor for child maltreatment (17). A study 76 of mother-child mutual play reported that mothers with increased trait anxiety were less sensitive to 77 their child's behaviors (18). In addition, maternal anxiety is associated with less adaptive sensory 78 processing even in healthy adults (19). Mothers with a tendency for less adaptive sensory processing 79 were reluctant to respond promptly to their children's signs, including crying (20). Low threshold 80 prenatal sensory patterns correlated with maternal-infant postnatal attachment (21).

81 In a study of the rearing brain, a mother's brain becomes sensitive to baby stimuli during the 82 first months of life (22). In other words, child-rearing mothers are constantly exposed to the stimulus 83 of their baby, in addition to other daily sensory stimuli. Mothers have a response bias to infant's facial 84 stimuli, which is generally perceived as adaptive (23). As environment stimuli are also typically 85 present, a process is envisioned in which unrelated stimuli are suppressed, and the target infant's 86 facial stimulus unconsciously and consciously pops up. If there is a tendency for less adaptive sensory 87 processing, such processing cannot be performed. In this case, the infant's facial expression input may 88 be complex for the mother, leading to child-rearing stress. Taken together, these previous findings 89 suggest that trait anxiety in mothers can influence a tendency for less adaptive sensory processing, 90 which can lead to difficulties in parenting.

91 Trait and state anxiety are two psychological concepts essential to understanding how 92 individuals respond emotionally and cognitively in different situations (24, 25). Trait anxiety is a 93 stable and lasting tendency that defines a person's overall anxiety level across time and situations and 94 is defined more as a personality feature (10). It is often seen as a fundamental part of someone's 95 personality. People with high trait anxiety consistently feel uneasy, worried, and on edge in various 96 circumstances, even without immediate stressors. This enduring trait can impact how individuals 97 perceive threats, cope, and navigate their environment. The State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) 98 assesses trait anxiety, helping to measure this relatively constant disposition.

In contrast, state anxiety is a temporary emotional state marked by a temporary increase in
 feelings of apprehension, tension, and nervousness, which is a temporary reaction to adverse events

(10). It arises in response to specific situations or stressors an individual encounters. Unlike trait
anxiety, state anxiety varies depending on the perceived threat or challenge in the immediate context.
This anxiety type is often linked to the 'fight or flight' response and is a natural adaptive reaction to
perceived dangers. State anxiety is typically evaluated through self-report measures like the State
portion of the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI-State), which captures a person's current
emotional experience.

107 Thus, trait anxiety reflects a stable individual trait related to experiencing anxiety, while state 108 anxiety captures the fluctuating emotional response to particular situations. A recent fMRI study has 109 shown differences in resting-state functional connectivity (rs-FC) for healthy human trait anxiety and 110 state anxiety. Furthermore, concerning structural gray matter (GM), trait anxiety was related to 111 volume alterations in anterior cingulate, limbic regions such as the amygdala with and cingulate 112 gyrus, precuneus, cuneus, and inferior frontal gyrus, and cerebellar involvement; the cerebellum was 113 particularly strongly related (26). Additionally, previous studies show that sensory processing 114 capacity (AASP) predicts psychological distress in adults with mental health problems (12, 27) and 115 that lower sensory processing capacity is associated with higher trait anxiety (7, 8). Hence, the present 116 study addressed only trait anxiety in parenting mothers to identify the neural basis of sensory 117 processing with trait anxiety in a whole-brain search to show the relationship between trait anxiety, 118 sensory processing capacity, and its neural basis.

Fractional amplitude of low-frequency fluctuations (fALFF) can reflect individual characteristics in healthy adults, including the "Big Five" personality traits (28), trait extroversion (29), trait empathy (30), trait grit (31), subjective well-being (32), trait hopefulness (33), and perceived stress (34). However, there are no studies on the characteristics of spontaneous neural activity in child-rearing mothers with a tendency for less adaptive sensory processing and trait anxiety using measurements of fALFF by resting-state functional MRI (rs-fMRI).

125 The tendency toward nonadaptive sensory processing induced by trait anxiety may be a 126 stressor. Thus, it is unclear whether the effects of trait anxiety observed in mothers' parenting in 127 everyday situations are mediated. Although neurobiology can elucidate the role of sensory processing 128 in trait anxiety, relevant studies on the neural mechanism have been limited by their reliance on clinical samples with specific forms of psychopathology such as general anxiety disorder (35) and
post-traumatic stress disorder (36).

131 Regarding the neural basis of sensory processing characteristics in healthy adults, studies 132 have reported positive correlations of modality-specific (e.g., visual, auditory, or tactile) sensory 133 scores with the gray matter volume in the related primary sensory areas (37). Moreover, the neural 134 basis of sensory processing has been suggested to involve the neocortex, basal ganglia, and cerebellar 135 activities (38). The neocortex is a sensory processor and elegant motor programmer. The basal ganglia 136 and the cerebellum interact with the neocortex and have been involved in the adaptation and behavior 137 of sensory information. In a recent study, connectome-based predictive modeling (CPM) suggested 138 predicting maternal anxiety toward their infant between cerebellum and motor-sensory-auditory 139 network and between frontoparietal and motor-sensory-auditory networks (39). Finally, the 140 cerebellum has been suggested to be involved in emotion (e.g., anxiety) and motor control (36, 40). 141 Accordingly, we hypothesized that the cerebellum is involved in trait anxiety, which involves less 142 adaptive processing of sensory input in mothers.

143 Whole-brain exploration of fALFF analysis is suitable for exploring potential biomarkers 144 through whole-brain investigation for the following reasons. First, fALFF assesses the amplitude of 145 low-frequency oscillations across the entire brain, providing a comprehensive examination of regional 146 neural activity and connectivity patterns. This approach allows researchers to investigate brain-wide 147 alterations and identify potential biomarkers that might not be evident through region-specific 148 analyses. Second, unlike region-of-interest (ROI) based analyses, whole-brain fALFF analysis does 149 not rely on predefined brain regions or specific hypotheses (41). It allows for an unbiased exploration 150 of the entire brain, enabling the identification of novel biomarkers and potential associations between 151 brain alterations and clinical outcomes (42). Third, many neurofunctional disorders are characterized 152 by widespread brain dysfunction rather than isolated abnormalities in specific regions. Whole-brain 153 fALFF analysis captures such distributed alterations, which may be crucial in identifying reliable 154 biomarkers with diagnostic or prognostic significance. In addition, some neurological or functional 155 conditions might involve subtle changes in brain activity that are not readily apparent in conventional 156 ROI-based studies. Whole-brain fALFF analysis can detect such subtle alterations, contributing to a

157 deeper understanding of complex brain disorders (43). Lastly, the data-driven nature of whole-brain 158 fALFF analysis allows for exploratory investigations without a priori assumptions. It enables 159 researchers to discover unexpected associations and patterns, leading to new hypotheses and avenues 160 for future research. Thus, whole-brain fALFF analysis is valuable for exploring potential biomarkers 161 for neurological and functional disorders. Its unbiased and comprehensive nature makes it well-suited 162 for identifying brain-wide alterations and their associations with clinical or subclinical phenotypes. 163 No previous brain MR imaging study has used rs-fMRI and sensory characteristics as a clue 164 in studying women, especially mothers raising children. We here aimed to identify the neural 165 correlates of sensory processing and trait anxiety using rs-fMRI exploratory fALFF analysis through a 166 whole-brain search instead of the standard network analysis (ROI-ROI correlation analysis) to explore 167 a potential biomarker. We also aimed to enroll child-rearing mothers for testing our hypothesis that 168 subclinical anxiety reflects the atypical neural activity of brain regions involved in regulating sensory 169 perception, sensory processing, and emotional behavior. Furthermore, we determined whether there 170 was a correlation of alterations in regional brain activities with parenting stress.

171

172 **2** Methods

173 2.1 Participants

Between 2015 and 2016, we enrolled 33 mothers (age range = 27–46 years, mean age = 35.9 years,
standard deviation [SD] = 4.5 years) through advertisements targeted to female caregivers caring for
more than one preschool, typically developing child, as previously described (44). The ethnicity of all
participants was Japanese.

178 The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Fukui, Japan

179 (Approval # FU-20150109), and all procedures were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of

180 Helsinki and the Ethical Guidelines for Clinical Studies of the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare

- 181 of Japan. The participants received explanations regarding the purpose and meaning of the study, and
- 182 written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

183 All participants had completed ≥ 12 years of education and were living above the relative poverty line,

184 which is set at 50% of the median household income in Japan (Organization for Economic

| 185 | Cooperation and Development, 2016). Based on self-report questionnaires, none of the participants |
|-----|--|
| 186 | had a history of brain injury, neurological or major psychiatric illness, current medication use, |
| 187 | excessive alcohol intake, or cigarette smoking. Moreover, none of the participants were pregnant or |
| 188 | had been diagnosed with or treated for depression or anxiety disorder. According to the Japanese |
| 189 | version of the Flinders Handedness Survey (FLANDERS)(45), all the participants were classified as |
| 190 | either right or left-handed. |
| 191 | All the participants met the safety requirements for undergoing rs-fMRI (exclusion of ferromagnetic |
| 192 | implants, claustrophobia, pregnancy, and other factors). The standardized questionnaire was collected |
| 193 | by mail after the brain imaging. |
| 194 | |
| 195 | 2.2 Psychological Questionnaires |
| 196 | Anxiety. We used the trait subscale of the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), a 20-item self- |
| 197 | reported questionnaire (10), to measure the participants' current anxiety mood. The STAI-Trait |
| 198 | assesses how respondents "generally feel" (e.g., "I am a steady person" or "I lack self-confidence"). |
| 199 | Each STAI-Trait item has a weighted score of 1–4. A rating of 4 indicates the presence of a high trait |
| 200 | anxiety level. |
| 201 | |
| 202 | Depression. The Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II) (46) was used to measure the participants' |
| 203 | current depressed mood. The BDI-II scores range from 0 to 63 with the cut-off points 14, 20, and 29 |
| 204 | indicating mild, moderate, and severe depression levels, respectively. |
| 205 | |
| 206 | Sensory processing. The Adult/Adolescent Sensory Profile (AASP) (47) was used to measure the |
| 207 | participants' sensory processing degree. The AASP is a 60-item questionnaire designed as a trait |
| 208 | measure of six sensory modalities involved in everyday sensory stimuli: visual (e.g., prefers |
| 209 | darkness), auditory (e.g., holds hands over ears to protect them from sound), touch, taste/smell, |
| 210 | movement (vestibular/proprioceptive), and activity level. It assesses how often the respondent |
| 211 | performs a particular behavior using a 5-point scale (1, almost never; 2, seldom; 3, occasionally; 4, |
| 212 | frequently; and 5, almost always; range of possible scores, 60-300). In contrast, the 60-item |

questionnaire is classified into four quadrants based on the Dunn's model (5). The four quadrants are defined by a "neurological threshold continuum axis" (i.e., behaviors hyper-responsive versus hyporesponsive to sensory stimuli) and a "passive-active behavior axis" (i.e., the person does/does not try to compensate behaviorally for an abnormal threshold). The AASP is the most widely used sensory processing scale in the world (48).

218 In a recent study, sensory processing problems were suggested to include sensory over-responsivity

219 (SOR), under-responsivity (SUR), and seeking symptoms (1, 3). The SOR score used the sum of the

avoidance quadrant and the sensitivity quadrant of the sensory profile score (1). Similarly, some or all

four-quadrant scores are sometimes summed up (8, 49-52). The short sensory profile (SSP) version

for children initially has a total score, and the higher the total score, the more atypical sensory

- 223 processing (49, 53). However, in previous studies, the four-quadrant scores were often analyzed
- 224 individually (7, 54).

Thus, the four quadrants of Dunn's model may overlap within an individual, as described in "At least

226 one sensory quadrant of four quadrants" (55, 56). Initially, the four-quadrant scores of Dunn's model

are closely related theoretically and statistically (7, 54). In particular, the "neurological threshold

228 axis," which constitutes the four quadrants, has been confirmed to be continuous by skin conductance

229 measurements and Electroencephalography (EEG), but the other "passive-active axis" has not been

230 confirmed (4, 52). Therefore, we adopted the AASP total scores to confirm the neurological

characteristics underlying individual differences in sensory processing (57).

232

Parenting stress. We used the Japanese version of the Parental Stress Index (PSI-J) (58) adapting the
 PSI (59) for measuring maternal parenting stress. The PSI-J is a 78-item self-report questionnaire,

which is divided into child and parent rating items on a five-point scale that ranges from 1

236 (completely disagree) to 5 (completely agree). The child domain of stressors includes the child's

237 adaptability and behavioral characteristics (e.g., degree to please parents, child's mood, degree to

annoy parents, distractibility, and hyperactivity). The parent domain of stressors includes parental

239 characteristics and feelings of social childcare support in the family (e.g., parental role restriction,

27

social isolation, relationship with spouse, parental competence, depression/guilt, attachment, health).

241 Higher scores indicate higher levels of parenting stress.

242

243 2.3 fMRI data acquisition

244 Scanning took place on the GE Discovery MR 750 3.0 Tesla scanner (General Electric, Milwaukee,

245 WI, USA) using a 32-channel head coil. Functional images were acquired using a T2*-weighted

246 gradient-echo echo-planar imaging sequence to produce 40 continuous transaxial slices with a

thickness of 3.5 mm and 0.5 mm gap, respectively, covering the entire cerebrum and cerebellum

248 (repetition time [TR] = 2300 ms; echo time [TE] = 30 ms; flip angle $[FA] = 81^{\circ}$; field of view [FOV]

 $249 = 192 \text{ mm}; 64 \times 64 \text{ matrix}; \text{ voxel dimension} = 3.0 \times 3.0 \text{ mm}; 201 \text{ acquisitions}).$ During the scan, the

250 participants were instructed to close their eyes, remain awake, and think of nothing in particular.

251 We acquired high-resolution structural whole-brain images using a 3D T1-weighted fast spoiled-

gradient recalled imaging sequence (TR = 6.38 ms; TE = 1.99 ms; FA = 11° ; FOV = 256 mm; $256 \times$

253 256 matrix; 172 slices; voxel dimension = $1.0 \times 1.0 \times 1.0$ mm).

254

255 2.4 fMRI data analysis

Preprocessing. To account for the time required for MRI signal equilibration and subject adaptation to the scanning environment, the first 10 volumes were discarded. The remaining 191 images were corrected for slice timing, followed by spatial realignment to correct for head motion.

259 We adjusted for head motion effects by computing the mean frame-wise displacement (FD) (60). All

260 participants' data were within the motion thresholds for inclusion in the analysis, defined as

261 translational parameters <3 mm, rotational parameters $<3^{\circ}$, and FD < 0.5. Subsequently, high-

resolution T1 images were co-registered with the functional images using a nonlinear image

263 registration approach. Next, images were segmented using a recently published diffeomorphic

anatomical registration algorithm that employs an exponentiated Lie algebra technique (61).

265 Subsequently, functional images were spatially normalized to the Montreal Neurological Institute

- template, resampled to a spatial resolution of $3 \times 3 \times 3$ mm³, and spatially smoothed with a 6-mm full
- 267 width at half-maximum Gaussian kernel. Next, nuisance signals in 24 head-motion parameters (62),

the global signal, the time series of the cerebrospinal fluid and white matter, and any linear trends were regressed out of each voxel's time course. Finally, we performed temporal band-pass filtering (0.01–0.8 Hz) of the residual time series to reduce the effect of low- and high-frequency drifts and noise, respectively (63).

272

273 Fractional amplitude of low-frequency fluctuations analysis. To investigate the spontaneous 274 neural activity, we calculated the fALFF rather than the original ALFF because the former is 275 considered less sensitive to physiological noise and artifacts that could weaken low-frequency 276 oscillation approaches (60). To perform the fALFF calculation, the time course of each voxel signal 277 was transformed into the corresponding power spectrum by fast Fourier transform (FFT). 278 Subsequently, the power spectrum obtained by FFT was square-root-transformed and averaged across 279 0.01–0.08 Hz at each voxel, according to a previous study (64). The obtained averaged square root 280 was divided by the global mean value, providing fALFF maps (65). Finally, for standardization, 281 individual fALFF maps were divided by the grand average of the fALFF value. In order to perform a 282 path analysis, we calculated the average value for each voxel in the cluster as a representative fALFF 283 value for each subject.

Imaging data were preprocessed and analyzed using the Statistical Parametric Mapping software (SPM12; Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, London, UK) and the Data Processing Assistant for rs-fMRI (DPARSF) (66) running on MATLAB R2016 (MathWorks, Natick, MA).

287

288 2.5 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Version 24 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Data were expressed as mean ± SD. Using the datasets mentioned above, we performed a correlation analysis to investigate the relationships among trait anxiety, sensory processing characteristics, and parenting stress. Next, we performed a whole-brain correlation analysis of STAI and AASP total scores with fALFF values to determine the relationship between the degree of sensory processing and restingstate brain activities. The model included age, BDI-II scores, and mean FD as nuisance covariates. In addition, the mean FD, which was derived from individual analysis, was included to further exclude

| 296 | residual head-motion effects. The statistical threshold was set at $P < 0.005$ uncorrected at the peak | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 297 | level and $P < 0.05$ at the cluster level, with family-wise error (FWE) corrected over the whole brain. | | | | | | | |
| 298 | Further, we analyzed the correlation of the fALFF values with the STAI trait scores and the PSI total | | | | | | | |
| 299 | scores. | | | | | | | |
| 300 | A path analysis mediated using the bootstrapping technique to obtain a 95% bias-corrected confidence | | | | | | | |
| 301 | interval (CI) of indirect effect was utilized to determine whether the fALFF value significantly | | | | | | | |
| 302 | mediated the association between trait anxiety and the degree of sensory processing. The bootstrap | | | | | | | |
| 303 | test was conducted using the R 3.1.2 Test package (http://www.R-project.org/). | | | | | | | |
| 304 | | | | | | | | |
| 305 | 3. Results | | | | | | | |
| 306 | 3.1 Descriptive statistics | | | | | | | |
| 307 | Among the 33 participants, six were excluded (three did not fill out the questionnaire and three had a | | | | | | | |
| 308 | history of depression). Among the six excluded participants, one was not living above the relative | | | | | | | |
| 309 | poverty line and another was not married. All participants were unmedicated. | | | | | | | |
| 310 | Artifact-free images suitable for rs-fMRI analyses were obtained from 27 female caregivers | | | | | | | |
| 311 | (age = 35.6 ± 4.3 years; AASP total scores = 141 ± 23.8 ; STAI trait scores = 42.6 ± 9.5 ; BDI-II scores | | | | | | | |
| 312 | = 11.3 ± 6.1 ; PSI total scores = 193.5 ± 40.7) who were caring for more than one preschool aged (2–5 | | | | | | | |
| 313 | years) child, including seven first-time mothers (Table 1). Of the 27 subjects, 25 were right-handed, | | | | | | | |
| 314 | and two were left-handed. None of the subjects exhibited severe anxiety, depression, abnormal | | | | | | | |
| 315 | sensory profiles, excessive parenting stress, or difficulties in child-rearing. The participants included | | | | | | | |
| 316 | four mothers with AASP total scores >1 SD (>164.8) from the mean. | | | | | | | |
| 317 | | | | | | | | |
| 318 | Insert Table 1 here | | | | | | | |
| 319 | | | | | | | | |
| 320 | There were significant positive correlations of sensory processing levels (AASP total scores) | | | | | | | |
| 321 | with the trait anxiety and with the PSI total (STAI, $r = 0.537$, $P = 0.004$; PSI, $r = 0.434$, $P = 0.024$, | | | | | | | |
| 322 | respectively) in mothers with various levels of sensory processing and parenting stress (Figure 1A, | | | | | | | |

| 323 | B). There was no significant association between the AASP total scores and the BDI-II scores ($r =$ |
|------------|---|
| 324 | 0.176, P = 0.381). |
| 325 | Questionnaire data are summarized in Table 2. |
| 326 | |
| 327 | Insert Table 2 here |
| 328 | |
| 329 | Insert Figure 1 (A), (B) here |
| 330 331 | 3.2 Imaging results |
| 332 | We observed that individuals with higher AASP total scores had increased resting-state network |
| 333 | activities in the left cerebellum, the region including lobule VI (Talairach's coordinates $x = -30$, $y = -$ |
| 334 | 60, $z = -24$; cluster size = 80 voxels) ($P = 0.008$, FWE-corrected cluster level), as shown in Figure 2 . |
| 335 | |
| 336 | Insert Figure 2 here |
| 337 | |
| 338 | None of the other values, such as the STAI trait and the PSI total scores showed a corrected |
| 339 | cluster probability approaching significance. Without multiple comparison corrections, however, we |
| 340 | found the result as an activity ($P \le .005$, uncorrected at peak level, and $P \le 0.05$, uncorrected at |
| 341 | cluster level). Examination of voxels with decreased fALFF revealed no clusters anywhere in the |
| 342 | brain. In lobule VI, fALFF values were significantly associated with the STAI scores ($r = 0.466$, $P =$ |
| 343 | 0.014). However, we observed no significant associations between the lobule-VI fALFF values and |
| 344 | the PSI total scores ($r = 0.306, P = 0.120$). |
| 345 | We conducted a mediation analysis to assess the mediation effect of fALFF values in the left |
| 346 | lobule VI. Figure 3 shows the mediation model used for predicting AASP total scores. In this model, |
| 347 | trait anxiety levels, left lobule VI fALFF, and AASP total scores were included as the independent |
| 348 | variable, mediator, and dependent variable, respectively. Trait anxiety levels significantly predicted |
| 349 | AASP total scores as indicated by previous multilevel regression analyses ($\beta = 0.537$, $P < 0.01$). |

| 350 | Further, trait anxiety levels predicted fALFF values in the left lobule VI ($\beta = 0.466, P < 0.05$). When |
|-----|---|
| 351 | trait anxiety levels and fALFF values in the left lobule VI were entered into the prediction model of |
| 352 | the AASP total scores, there was a reduced effect of trait anxiety levels ($\beta = 0.232$, $P = 0.114$) while |
| 353 | fALFF values in the left lobule VI remained significant ($\beta = 0.655, P < 0.01$). A bootstrapping |
| 354 | procedure tested the mediating effect of fALFF values in the left lobule VI using 5,000 resamples. |
| 355 | This technique yielded a 95% bootstrap CI without zero [0.010 to 1.883], which suggested that fALFF |
| 356 | values in the left lobule VI significantly mediated the effect of trait anxiety on AASP total scores. We |
| 357 | also developed a reverse causality model in which AASP predicts trait anxiety via the left lobule VI |
| 358 | and examined its mediating effects. The results showed no significant indirect effect of AASP on |
| 359 | STAI via left lobule VI (95% bootstrap CI [-0.15 to 0.22]). |
| 360 | |
| 361 | Insert Figure 3 here |
| 362 | |
| 363 | 4. Discussion |
| 364 | To our knowledge, no previous brain MR imaging study has used rs-fMRI and sensory characteristics |
| 265 | |

as a clue in studying women, especially mothers raising children. Thus, we performed a whole-brain 365 366 exploratory fALFF analysis instead of the standard network analysis (ROI-ROI correlation analysis) 367 to explore a potential biomarker through a whole-brain search. Our findings revealed an association 368 between the degree of sensory processing evaluated using the AASP total scores and the resting-state 369 brain activity in the left lobule VI (Figure 2). Individuals with higher AASP total scores had higher 370 levels of both trait anxiety and parenting stress, as assessed by STAI and PSI scores, respectively 371 (Figure 1). Additionally, path analysis showed that fALFF values in the left cerebellar lobule VI 372 mediated the effect of trait anxiety levels on AASP total scores (Figure 3). This study elucidates the 373 neural mechanism of the involvement of this region in sensory processing in mothers.

Notably, we observed a strong association between fALFF values in the left lobule VI of the
cerebellum and the degree of sensory processing as measured by the AASP total scores. The reason
for the association of functional brain activity alterations in left lobule VI with a less adaptive sensory

377 processing phenotype remains unclear. Nonetheless, our findings are consistent with previous rs-378 fMRI studies using independent component analysis, which reported a functional connection between 379 this region (lobule VI) and a salience network (67, 68). The salience network is involved in the 380 detection and integration of emotional and sensory stimuli and the coordination of switching between 381 internal and external cognition of the default mode network (69). The sensory processing scores, 382 based on the Dunn model, suggest the ability to monitor and adjust information such that the CNS 383 may generate appropriate responses to specific stimuli (2). Our finding that sensory processing scores 384 were associated with left lobe VI supports that the salience network, including left lobe VI, is the 385 neural basis of sensory processing. A previous study that assessed continuous cognitive processes and 386 resting network switching in adults suggested lobule VI involvement (70). Importantly, lobule VI is 387 the only region in the cerebellum that has been identified as crucially involved in switching from non-388 motor to motor functions (71). Thus, the mechanism of the association of the left lobule VI with a 389 tendency for less adaptive sensory processing, including hypersensitivity and/or low registration of 390 sensory stimuli, could play an important role in triggering correct responses to environmental stimuli.

391 Additionally, the lobule VI is associated with negative emotions such as fear, anger, and 392 disgust (72). Individuals with higher sensory processing scores presented with higher trait 393 anxiety scores (7, 8), and greater parenting stress (13, 16), which is consistent with the present 394 report. A recent meta-analysis study on anxiety-related brain networks reported an association 395 of high anxiety levels with attenuated connectivity within the salience and sensorimotor 396 network (73). For example, adults with general anxiety disorder had low connectivity between 397 the amygdala and the cerebellum. Therefore, our findings suggest that trait anxiety could 398 induce less adaptive sensory processing at the subclinical level.

Although this finding has been discussed from the perspective of a potential cause-and-effect mechanism, our evidence only supports an association between sensory processing and the restingstate brain activity of lobule VI. The cerebellum is considered a general-purpose co-processor, with its effects being dependent on various brain centers connected to individual modules (67, 74) and a 403 cerebellar timing process that contributes to sensory perception (75, 76). Conversely, participants with 404 high lobule VI activation in the resting state could show subclinical but atypical levels of co-processor 405 function, as well as atypical cerebellar timing processes in the sensory domain. Further, the 406 cerebellum could be crucially involved in the pathogenesis of anxiety; cerebellar stimulation could 407 potentially be used to treat psychiatric disorders by enhancing the cerebellar modulation of cognition 408 and emotion (77, 78).

409 Notably, mediation analysis here revealed that trait anxiety symptoms in mothers affected the 410 spontaneous neural activity of the left lobule VI. The tendency for less adaptive sensory processing in 411 these individuals could be induced by subclinical trait anxiety levels, which may activate the resting-412 state network dynamics of the left lobule VI and prevent general-purpose processor function. 413 Therefore, mothers who poorly register sensory input could present a continuous error signal to the 414 cerebellum that does not habituate (79, 80). Subsequently, perception becomes disordered and the 415 mother's action toward the child seems illogical. Our findings are consistent with previous findings 416 that mothers with high trait anxiety show poor responsiveness to the behavior of their child (18). 417 Specifically, we observed a correlation between the degree of sensory processing and both 418 trait anxiety and levels of parenting stress. Moreover, the left-lobule-VI mediated between the degree 419 of sensory processing and trait anxiety; however, cerebellar fALFF values were not correlated with 420 parenting stress. Previous studies on parents have shown that human mothers adapt to parenting by 421 means of reward-related motivational brain networks. In contrast, mothers with high levels of trait 422 anxiety and invasive care tendencies employ different brain networks, including the stress-related 423 occipital cortex and cerebellum (81, 82). Taken together, these findings suggest that was observed for 424 less adaptive sensory processing, possibly induced by subclinical trait anxiety, could result in a 425 compensatory increase in the resting-state brain activity of the cerebellum, which could be a risk 426 indicator for parenting stress.

For mothers who have a tendency for less adaptive sensory processing, it is important to formulate an environmental setting and a support mechanism that is tailored to the situation of each individual mother in order to supplement sensory processing. In particular, mothers with increased fALFF values in cerebellar lobules VI who are more likely to respond to general daily sensory stimuli 431 such as "hold your hand over your ear to protect your ear from sound," and "I don't notice when 432 people come in," which makes it easy to feel parenting stress and anxiety. Clinicians may detect them 433 early and intervene early, and provide specific advice of the form, "If you feel stressed about your 434 baby's noisy crying, put your baby to sleep in a safe place, leave the place, and relax," "You may 435 attach a bell on your child to make it easier to notice any movement," which will help reduce the 436 stress and anxiety of rearing a child.

437 As shown in Table 2, the BDI-II scores strongly correlated with parenting stress. The 438 relationship between parenting stress and depressive state has been extensively studied in 439 psychological and parenting research (83, 84). Parenting stress and depressive state can influence 440 each other in a bidirectional manner. High levels of parenting stress can contribute to developing or 441 exacerbating depressive symptoms in parents. On the other hand, experiencing depressive symptoms 442 can reduce a parent's ability to cope with parenting challenges, leading to increased parenting stress. 443 Various factors can contribute to parenting stress, including the child's behavior, developmental 444 challenges, financial pressures, lack of social support, and the parent's coping abilities. Thus, 445 parenting stress and depressive state are closely related and can have significant implications for both 446 parents' mental well-being and the parent-child relationship. Recognizing and addressing parenting 447 stress through supportive interventions can be essential in preventing or alleviating depressive 448 symptoms in parents and promoting overall family well-being (83). Adequate social support, coping 449 skills, and self-care practices can act as protective factors against parenting stress and depressive 450 symptoms. Enabling caregivers to seek help by engaging supporters in proactive coping strategies is 451 essential to mitigate the adverse effects of parenting stress on mental health.

This study has several limitations. First, the study design and lack of a control group comprised of patients with anxiety disorders or neurodevelopmental disorders limit the validity of our findings. We could not enroll such a patient group because we aimed to employ rs-fMRI as an unbiased whole-brain approach for identifying the neural correlates of sensory processing and trait anxiety in child-rearing mothers without other severe psychopathology or at high risk for anxiety disorder. However, given the paucity of findings on this topic, we believe that our contribution is important. Second, the method of assessing sensory processing using a self-reported questionnaire 459 runs the risk of missing problem screening that the caregiver is having. For example, they may not be 460 aware of their hypersensitivity or insensitivity, or they may not recognize the questionnaire items 461 accurately and respond appropriately. In addition, because all the psychometric assessments were self-462 reported, we ran the risk of including participants with sensory processing disorders. Conversely, 463 professional evaluation of healthy individuals without sensory processing disorder is as difficult as 464 evaluating participants with a specific diagnosis. Consequently, without self-reporting, there is a risk 465 of confounding neuroimaging differences associated with sensory processing and trait anxiety with 466 those involved in enhanced resilience. Taken together, the evidence indicates that the imaging 467 differences observed in our participants can be generalized to the general population because they are 468 outcome independent.

469 Third, this study was performed in a naturalistic setting with some participants having 470 missing data, and consequently being excluded. Therefore, we cannot rule out the possibility of 471 positive selection bias. Positive selection bias occurs when missing values are not randomly 472 distributed in a dataset, but instead, specific values are more likely to be missing than others. In a 473 naturalistic setting, this bias could occur if participants with specific characteristics or conditions are 474 likelier to drop out or be unavailable for data collection (85). Also, positive selection bias can distort 475 the results by introducing a non-random pattern of missing data, which may not represent the entire 476 population under study. This bias can lead to overestimating or underestimating associations between 477 variables. Thus, we should carefully analyze missing data patterns to mitigate positive selection bias 478 and explore potential reasons for the missingness.

479 Fourth, this study had a cross-sectional design that precluded the identification of causal links 480 between trait anxiety, sensory processing, and its impact on the brain functions of mothers beyond 481 statistical causal inference based on cross-sectional data. Longitudinal studies are required to 482 elucidate these associations fully. Fifth, although the present study was conducted with mothers 483 raising children typically, future studies will need to consider more essential control groups, such as 484 adult men and women not in the child-rearing years. Sixth, in the present study with multiple 485 comparison corrections, no salience/default mode network-related regions other than the cerebellum 486 may be due to sample size or sample characteristics such as childrearing mothers. Lastly, we used the

487 PSI scale in the present study. Additional studies are needed to measure brain activity further while a 488 mother interacts with her child (i.e., mother and child play tasks analyzed through an MRI scanner) to 489 evaluate the influence of sensory processing on mother-child interaction. Sixth, state anxiety was not 490 measured in the present study. In order to further study the subject/mother's anxiety tendency and 491 sensory processing from various perspectives, it may be necessary to examine state anxiety as well. 492 In summary, this study demonstrates evidence for a neurofunctional indicator underlying 493 various levels of trait anxiety and less adaptive sensory processing by the fALFF values in the left 494 cerebellar lobule VI in a sample of child-rearing mothers. Further, the discussed findings indicate that 495 fALFF could be a clinically meaningful measure for detecting maternal trait anxiety as a factor for 496 parenting stress. Determination of this measure for daily sensory stimulation could be used to screen 497 for parents at risk of maltreating their child for delivery of early guidance interventions, and to further 498 elucidate individual differences within various levels of trait anxiety and parenting stress. These 499 results of our study are promising results for clinical application. The fALFF value offers several 500 advantages over self-reported questionnaires like STAI and PSI-J. Such MRI assessment provides an 501 objective and direct measurement of brain function, whereas self-reported questionnaires rely on 502 subjective responses from individuals. MRI allows researchers to visualize and quantify brain regions 503 and their activities directly, providing more concrete and accurate data. Thus, it can assess brain 504 activity related to anxiety or stress, even when participants are unaware of these processes. On the 505 other hand, self-reported questionnaires rely on participants' conscious awareness and may not capture 506 unconscious or subtle emotional experiences.

507 Despite these advantages, it is essential to acknowledge that MRI assessments have some 508 limitations, including cost, technical expertise requirements, and potential claustrophobia or 509 discomfort for specific individuals during the scanning process. Therefore, combining MRI and self-510 reported questionnaires can provide a more comprehensive understanding of psychological and 511 neurobiological factors.

512 One strength of this study is that it allows for future longitudinal and comparative rs-fMRI 513 studies on different levels of sensory processing in mothers to assess parenting stress. To accumulate 514 such research findings, it will be possible in the future to establish treatments (psychoeducations) tailored to individuals who have various sensory processing patterns, which will adequately mitigate parenting stress and anxiety. Taken together, we believe that these approaches, including early screening and psychoeducation, are critical for assisting mothers to cope with a tendency for less adaptive sensory processing during their parenting period and to form a stable attachment with their child, which could help prevent child maltreatment.

520

Author contributions: A. T. conceived the project. N.S., and A.T. designed the experiments. N.S.,
K.M., R.K., T.X.F., and A.T. performed the experiments, collected the data, and analyzed the data.
N.S. R.K. and A.T. wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

525 Funding: This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for "Creating a Safe and Secure Living 526 Environment in the Changing Public and Private Spheres" from the Japan Science and Technology 527 Corporation (JST)/Research Institute of Science and Technology for Society (RISTEX), and the Japan 528 Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Scientific Research (A) and (B) and Challenging 529 Exploratory Research, from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology 530 (MEXT) of Japan (grant numbers #15H03106, #17K19898, and #19H00617) to Akemi Tomoda; Japan-United States Brain Research Cooperation Program and Grant-in-Aid for Translational 531 532 Research from the Life Science Innovation Center, University of Fukui to Akemi Tomoda; and Japan 533 Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED) (grant number JP20gk0110052) to Akemi 534 Tomoda.

535

536 Institutional Review Board Statement: The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee 537 of the University of Fukui, Japan (Approval # FU-20150109), and all procedures were conducted in 538 accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the Ethical Guidelines for Clinical Studies of the 539 Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare of Japan.

540

541 Informed Consent Statement: The participants received explanations regarding the purpose and 542 meaning of the study, and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

| 5 1 | 2 |
|-----|----|
| 24 | Li |
| ~ | |

| 544 | Data availability statement: | The data cannot be made | publicly available as | data sharing was not |
|-----|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
|-----|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|

- 545 included in the consent form.
- 546
- 547 Acknowledgments: We would like to express our sincere appreciation to Dr. Daiki Hiraoka and Dr.
- 548 Koji Shimada for the instruction in mediation analysis and the study participants for their generosity
- 549 and courage.
- 550
- 551 **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.
- 552
- 553 References
- Ben-Sasson A, Gal E, Fluss R, et al. Update of a Meta-analysis of Sensory Symptoms
 in ASD: A New Decade of Research. J Autism Dev Disord. 2019;49(12):4974-96.
- 556 2. Dunn W. The Impact of Sensory Processing Abilities on the Daily Lives of Young
- 557 Children and Their Families: A Conceptual Model. Infants and Young Children. 1997;9:23-558 35.
- 559 3. Miller LJ, Anzalone ME, Lane SJ, et al. Concept evolution in sensory integration: a 560 proposed nosology for diagnosis. Am J Occup Ther. 2007;61(2):135-40.
- 561 4. Brown C, Tollefson N, Dunn W, et al. The Adult Sensory Profile: measuring patterns
 562 of sensory processing. Am J Occup Ther. 2001;55(1):75-82.
- 563 5. Dunn W, Brown C. Factor analysis on the Sensory Profile from a national sample of 564 children without disabilities. Am J Occup Ther. 1997;51(7):490-5; discussion 6-9.
- 565 6. Lane SJ, Mailloux Z, Schoen S, et al. Neural Foundations of Ayres Sensory
 566 Integration. Brain Sci. 2019;9(7).
- 567 7. Engel-Yeger B, Dunn W. The relationship between sensory processing difficulties
 568 and anxiety level of healthy adults. British Journal of Occupational Therapy. 2011;74(5):210569 6.
- 570 8. Horder J, Wilson CE, Mendez MA, et al. Autistic traits and abnormal sensory 571 experiences in adults. J Autism Dev Disord. 2014;44(6):1461-9.
- 572 9. Endler NS, Kocovski NL. State and trait anxiety revisited. J Anxiety Disord.
 573 2001;15(3):231-45.
- 574 10. Spielberger CD, Gorsuch RL. Manual for the State-trait anxiety inventory (form Y)
- 575 ("self-evaluation questionnaire") / Charles D. Spielberger in collaboration with R.L.
 - 576 Gorsuch ... [and others]. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press; 1983.
 - 577 11. Dunn W. The sensations of everyday life: Empirical, theoretical, and pragmatic
 578 considerations--The 2001 Eleanor Clarke Slagle Lecture. American Journal of Occupational
 579 Therapy. 2001;55:608-20.
 - 580 12. Kinnealey M, Koenig KP, Smith S. Relationships between sensory modulation and 581 social supports and health-related quality of life. Am J Occup Ther. 2011;65(3):320-7.
 - 582 13. Bar-Shalita T, Cermak SA. Atypical Sensory Modulation and Psychological Distress
 583 in the General Population. Am J Occup Ther. 2016;70(4):7004250010.

584 14. Correia LL, Linhares MB. Maternal anxiety in the pre- and postnatal period: a 585 literature review. Rev Lat Am Enfermagem. 2007;15(4):677-83. Vismara L, Rollè L, Agostini F, et al. Perinatal parenting stress, anxiety, and 586 15. 587 depression outcomes in first-time mothers and fathers: A 3- to 6-months postpartum follow-588 up study. Frontiers in Psychology. 2016;7. 589 Austin MP, Hadzi-Pavlovic D, Leader L, et al. Maternal trait anxiety, depression and 16. 590 life event stress in pregnancy: relationships with infant temperament. Early Hum Dev. 591 2005;81(2):183-90. 592 17. Douki ZE, Esmaeili MR, Vaezzadeh N, et al. Maternal child abuse and its association 593 with maternal anxiety in the socio-cultural context of iran. Oman Med J. 2013;28(6):404-9. 594 Nicol-Harper R, Harvey AG, Stein A. Interactions between mothers and infants: 18. 595 impact of maternal anxiety. Infant Behav Dev. 2007;30(1):161-7. 596 19. Uljarevic M, Prior MR, Leekam SR. First evidence of sensory atypicality in mothers 597 of children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). Mol Autism. 2014;5(1):26. 598 20. Turner KA, Cohn ES, Koomar J. Mothering when mothers and children both have 599 sensory processing challenges. British Journal of Occupational Therapy. 2012;75(10):449-55. 600 21. Branjerdporn G, Meredith P, Wilson T, et al. Prenatal Predictors of Maternal-infant 601 Attachment. Can J Occup Ther. 2020;87(4):265-77. Swain JE, Tasgin E, Mayes LC, et al. Maternal brain response to own baby-cry is 602 22. 603 affected by cesarean section delivery. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2008;49(10):1042-52. 604 Lucion MK, Oliveira V, Bizarro L, et al. Attentional bias toward infant faces -23. 605 Review of the adaptive and clinical relevance. International Journal of Psychophysiology. 606 2017;114:1-8. Hidano N, Hukuhara, M., Iwawaki, M., Soga, S., Spielberger, C.D. Manual for the 607 24. 608 State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (form JYZ): Jitsumu Kyoiku-Shuppan, Tokyo; 2000. 609 Spielberger CD, Gorsuch, R.L., Lushene, R., Vagg, P.R., Jacobs, G.A. Manual for the 25. 610 State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (form Y): Consulting Psychologists Press, Palo Alto, CA.; 611 1983. 612 26. Saviola F, Pappaianni E, Monti A, et al. Trait and state anxiety are mapped differently in the human brain. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):11112. 613 Dunn W. The sensations of everyday life: empirical, theoretical, and pragmatic 614 27. considerations. Am J Occup Ther. 2001;55(6):608-20. 615 616 Kunisato Y, Okamoto Y, Okada G, et al. Personality traits and the amplitude of 28. 617 spontaneous low-frequency oscillations during resting state. Neuroscience Letters. 618 2011;492(2):109-13. 619 29. Wei L, Duan X, Zheng C, et al. Specific frequency bands of amplitude low-frequency 620 oscillation encodes personality. Hum Brain Mapp. 2014;35(1):331-9. Cox CL, Uddin LQ, Di Martino A, et al. The balance between feeling and knowing: 621 30. 622 affective and cognitive empathy are reflected in the brain's intrinsic functional dynamics. Soc 623 Cogn Affect Neurosci. 2012;7(6):727-37. Wang S, Zhou M, Chen T, et al. Grit and the brain: Spontaneous activity of the 624 31. 625 dorsomedial prefrontal cortex mediates the relationship between the trait grit and academic 626 performance. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience. 2017;12:452-60. 627 Kong F, Hu S, Wang X, et al. Neural correlates of the happy life: The amplitude of 32. 628 spontaneous low frequency fluctuations predicts subjective well-being. NeuroImage. 629 2015;107:136-45. 33. 630 Wang S, Xu X, Zhou M, et al. Hope and the brain: Trait hope mediates the protective 631 role of medial orbitofrontal cortex spontaneous activity against anxiety. NeuroImage. 632 2017;157:439-47.

633 34. Wang S, Zhao Y, Zhang L, et al. Stress and the brain: Perceived stress mediates the 634 impact of the superior frontal gyrus spontaneous activity on depressive symptoms in late adolescence. Human Brain Mapping. 2019;40(17):4982-93. 635 636 35. Peterson A, Thome J, Frewen P, et al. Resting-state neuroimaging studies: A new way of identifying differences and similarities among the anxiety disorders? The Canadian Journal 637 of Psychiatry / La Revue canadienne de psychiatrie. 2014;59:294-300. 638 639 36. Moreno-Rius J. The cerebellum in fear and anxiety-related disorders. Prog 640 Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2018;85:23-32. 641 Yoshimura S, Sato W, Kochiyama T, et al. Gray matter volumes of early sensory 37. 642 regions are associated with individual differences in sensory processing. Hum Brain Mapp. 643 2017;38(12):6206-17. 644 Koziol LF, Budding DE, Chidekel D. Sensory integration, sensory processing, and 38. 645 sensory modulation disorders: putative functional neuroanatomic underpinnings. Cerebellum. 646 2011;10(4):770-92. 647 Rutherford HJV, Potenza MN, Mayes LC, et al. The application of connectome-based 39. 648 predictive modeling to the maternal brain: Implications for mother-infant bonding. Cerebral 649 Cortex. 2020;30:1538-47. 650 Stoodley CJ, Schmahmann JD. Functional topography in the human cerebellum: a 40. 651 meta-analysis of neuroimaging studies. Neuroimage. 2009;44(2):489-501. 652 41. Turner JA, Damaraju E, van Erp TG, et al. A multi-site resting state fMRI study on the amplitude of low frequency fluctuations in schizophrenia. Front Neurosci. 2013;7:137. 653 654 42. Egorova N, Veldsman M, Cumming T, et al. Fractional amplitude of low-frequency 655 fluctuations (fALFF) in post-stroke depression. Neuroimage Clin. 2017;16:116-24. Huang L, Zheng Y, Zeng Z, et al. Fractional Amplitude of Low-Frequency 656 43. 657 Fluctuations and Functional Connectivity in Comatose Patients Subjected to Resting-State 658 Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Ann Indian Acad Neurol. 2019;22(2):203-9. 659 Shimada K, Kasaba R, Fujisawa TX, et al. Subclinical maternal depressive symptoms 44. 660 modulate right inferior frontal response to inferring affective mental states of adults but not 661 of infants. J Affect Disord. 2018;229:32-40. 45. Okubo G, Suzuki G, Nicholls MER. Japanese version of the FLANDERS Handedness 662 Test-Reliability and Validity Study-. Japanese Psychological Research. 2014;85(5):474-81. 663 Beck AT, Steer RA, Brown G. Beck depression inventory-II. Psychological 664 46. 665 assessment. 1996. 666 47. Brown C, Cromwell RL, Filion D, et al. Sensory processing in schizophrenia: missing and avoiding information. Schizophrenia Research. 2002;55(1):187-95. 667 668 48. DuBois D, Lymer E, Gibson BE, et al. Assessing Sensory Processing Dysfunction in 669 Adults and Adolescents with Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Scoping Review. Brain Sci. 670 2017;7(8). 671 49. Daluwatte C, Miles JH, Sun J, et al. Association between pupillary light reflex and 672 sensory behaviors in children with autism spectrum disorders. Res Dev Disabil. 2015;37:209-673 15. 674 50. Khodabakhsh S, Loh, S.C., Rosli, N.A.B. Relationship Between Neurological 675 Threshold in Sensory Profile, Depression, and Anxiety among Adults. Pertanika Journal of 676 Social Sciences & Humanities. 2020;28(1):605-15. 677 Mayer JL. The Relationship Between Autistic Traits and Atypical Sensory 51. Functioning in Neurotypical and ASD Adults: A Spectrum Approach. J Autism Dev Disord. 678 679 2017;47(2):316-27. Metz AE, Boling D, DeVore A, et al. Dunn's model of sensory processing: an 680 52. investigation of the axes of the four-quadrant model in healthy adults. Brain Sci. 2019;9(2). 681

682 53. Tomchek SD, Dunn W. Sensory processing in children with and without autism: a 683 comparative study using the short sensory profile. Am J Occup Ther. 2007;61(2):190-200. Meredith PJ, Bailey KJ, Strong J, et al. Adult Attachment, Sensory Processing, and 684 54. 685 Distress in Healthy Adults. Am J Occup Ther. 2016;70(1):7001250010p1-8. Crane L, Goddard L, Pring L. Sensory processing in adults with autism spectrum 686 55. 687 disorders. Autism. 2009;13(3):215-28. 688 Wickremasinghe AC, Rogers EE, Johnson BC, et al. Children born prematurely have 56. 689 atypical sensory profiles. J Perinatol. 2013;33(8):631-5. 690 van den Boogert F, Sizoo B, Spaan P, et al. Sensory Processing and Aggressive 57. 691 Behavior in Adults with Autism Spectrum Disorder. Brain Sci. 2021;11(1). 692 Narama M, Kanemitsu Y, Araki A, et al. Validity and Reliability of the Japanese 58. 693 Version of the Parenting Stress Index. Child Health. 1999;58(5):610-6. 694 59. Abidin RR, editor Parenting Stress Index: Professional Manual . Odessa, FL: 695 Psychological Assessment Resources 1995. 696 Power JD, Barnes KA, Snyder AZ, et al. Spurious but systematic correlations in 60. 697 functional connectivity MRI networks arise from subject motion. Neuroimage. 698 2012;59(3):2142-54. 699 61. Ashburner J. A fast diffeomorphic image registration algorithm. Neuroimage. 700 2007;38(1):95-113. 701 62. Friston KJ, Williams S, Howard R, et al. Movement-related effects in fMRI time-702 series. Magn Reson Med. 1996;35(3):346-55. 703 Lowe MJ, Mock BJ, Sorenson JA. Functional connectivity in single and multislice 63. 704 echoplanar imaging using resting-state fluctuations. Neuroimage. 1998;7(2):119-32. 705 Zou QH, Zhu CZ, Yang Y, et al. An improved approach to detection of amplitude of 64. 706 low-frequency fluctuation (ALFF) for resting-state fMRI: fractional ALFF. J Neurosci 707 Methods. 2008;172(1):137-41. 708 Zou Q, Ross TJ, Gu H, et al. Intrinsic resting-state activity predicts working memory 65. 709 brain activation and behavioral performance. Hum Brain Mapp. 2013;34(12):3204-15. 710 66. Chao-Gan Y, Yu-Feng Z. DPARSF: a MATLAB toolbox for "Pipeline" data analysis 711 of resting-state fMRI. Front Syst Neurosci. 2010;4:13. 712 Buckner RL, Krienen FM, Castellanos A, et al. The organization of the human 67. 713 cerebellum estimated by intrinsic functional connectivity. J Neurophysiol. 2011;106(5):2322-714 45. 715 68. Habas C, Kamdar N, Nguyen D, et al. Distinct cerebellar contributions to intrinsic connectivity networks. J Neurosci. 2009;29(26):8586-94. 716 717 69. Menon V, Uddin LQ. Saliency, switching, attention and control: a network model of 718 insula function. Brain Structure and Function. 2010;214:655-67. 70. 719 Castellazzi G, Bruno SD, Toosy AT, et al. Prominent Changes in Cerebro-Cerebellar 720 Functional Connectivity During Continuous Cognitive Processing. Front Cell Neurosci. 721 2018;12:331. 722 Bijsterbosch J, Smith S, Forster S, et al. Resting state correlates of subdimensions of 71. 723 anxious affect. J Cogn Neurosci. 2014;26(4):914-26. 724 Baumann O, Mattingley JB. Functional topography of primary emotion processing in 72. 725 the human cerebellum. Neuroimage. 2012;61(4):805-11. 726 73. Xu J, Van Dam NT, Feng C, et al. Anxious brain networks: a coordinate-based 727 activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis of resting-state functional connectivity studies in anxiety. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2019;96:21-30. 728 729 74. Guell X, Goncalves M, Kaczmarzyk JR, et al. LittleBrain: a gradient-based tool for the topographical interpretation of cerebellar neuroimaging findings. PLoS One. 730 731 2019;14(1):e0210028.

- 732 75. Baumann O, Borra RJ, Bower JM, et al. Consensus paper: The role of the cerebellum
 733 in perceptual processes. The Cerebellum. 2015;14:197-220.
- 734 76. Ivry RB, Keele SW. Timing functions of the cerebellum. J Cogn Neurosci.
 735 1989;1(2):136-52.
- 736 77. Killion BE, Weyandt LL. Brain structure in childhood maltreatment-related PTSD
- across the lifespan: a systematic review. Appl Neuropsychol Child. 2020;9(1):68-82.
- 738 78. Phillips JR, Hewedi DH, Eissa AM, et al. The cerebellum and psychiatric disorders.
 739 Front Public Health. 2015;3:66.
- 740 79. D'Angelo E, Casali S. Seeking a unified framework for cerebellar function and
- 741 dysfunction: from circuit operations to cognition. Front Neural Circuits. 2012;6:116.
- 742 80. Ito M. Control of mental activities by internal models in the cerebellum. Nat Rev
 743 Neurosci. 2008;9(4):304-13.
- 744 81. Atzil S, Hendler T, Feldman R. Specifying the neurobiological basis of human
- attachment: brain, hormones, and behavior in synchronous and intrusive mothers.
- 746 Neuropsychopharmacology. 2011;36(13):2603-15.
- Kim P, Strathearn L, Swain JE. The maternal brain and its plasticity in humans. Horm
 Behav. 2016;77:113-23.
- 83. Barlow J, Coren E, Stewart-Brown S. Meta-analysis of the effectiveness of parenting
- programmes in improving maternal psychosocial health. Br J Gen Pract. 2002;52(476):22333.
- 84. Daundasekara SS, Beauchamp JES, Hernandez DC. Parenting stress mediates the
 longitudinal effect of maternal depression on child anxiety/depressive symptoms. Journal of
 Affective Disorders. 2021;295:33-9.
- Pannucci CJ, Wilkins EG. Identifying and avoiding bias in research. Plast Reconstr
 Surg. 2010;126(2):619-25.
- 757 758

| | Mean | SD | Range | % |
|--|-------|------|---------|------|
| Age (years) | 35.6 | 4.3 | 27-43 | |
| Right-handed | | | | 84.8 |
| Completed at least 12 years of education | | | | 100 |
| Married (non-divorced, non-widowed) | | | | 100 |
| Number of family members | 4.6 | 1.1 | 3-7 | |
| Number of children | 2 | 0.8 | 1-4 | |
| Months since last childbirth | 31 | 1.7 | 1-69 | |
| Living above the relative poverty line | | | | 100 |
| State-Trait Anxiety Inventory: Trait Score | 42.6 | 9.5 | 25-63 | |
| Beck Depression Inventory-II Score | 11.3 | 6.1 | 2-23 | |
| Adult/Adolescent Sensory Profile Score (total) | 141 | 23.8 | 95-214 | |
| Quadrant scores Low Registration | 31.4 | 6.8 | 22-55 | |
| Sensation Seeking | 40.2 | 5.7 | 32-55 | |
| Sensory Sensitivity | 36.6 | 9.1 | 18-61 | |
| Sensation Avoiding | 32.9 | 8.8 | 20-53 | |
| Modality-specific subscales Visual | 24.6 | 4.8 | 17-33 | |
| Auditory | 24.6 | 6.3 | 15-43 | |
| Touch | 31.2 | 7.2 | 20-55 | |
| Taste/smell | 17.5 | 3.9 | 10-24 | |
| Movement (vestibular/proprioceptive) | 17.4 | 3.4 | 11-27 | |
| Activity level | 25.8 | 4.6 | 17-37 | |
| Parenting Stress Index Score (total) | 193.5 | 40.7 | 118-302 | |
| Child Domain Score | 86.3 | 18.7 | 51-122 | |
| Parent Domain Score | 107.3 | 25.6 | 64-180 | |

Table 1. Participants' demographic characteristics and psychological questionnaires score (n = 27).

Table 2. The correlations between psychological questionnaires score

| | | Correlation | | | | | | | | | |
|----|---|-------------|--------|--------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|--------|----|
| | Psychological Questionnaires | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 1 | State-Trait Anxiety Inventory: Trait Score | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Beck Depression Inventory- II Score | .608** | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Adult/Adolescent Sensory Profile score(total) | .537** | .176 | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Low Registration | .478* | .152 | .760** | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Sensation Seeking | 016 | 105 | .512** | .394* | | | | | | |
| 6 | Sensory Sensitivity | .563** | .223 | .902** | .597** | .213 | | | | | |
| 7 | Sensory Avoiding | .507** | .194 | .844** | .406* | .207 | .799** | | | | |
| 8 | Parenting Stress Index Score(total) | .681** | .748** | .434* | .514** | .155 | .316 | .345 | | | |
| 9 | Child Domain Score | .484* | .674** | .375 | .351 | .153 | .257 | .373 | .888** | | |
| 10 | Parent Domain Score | .729** | .698** | .416* | .560** | .135 | .314 | .276 | .942** | .681** | |

** *P* < .01, * *P* < .05

Figure Legend

Figure 1 (A) Scatterplot showing the relation between trait scores from the STAI and AASP total scores. (B) Scatterplots showing the relation between PSI scores and AASP total scores. STAI, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; AASP, Adult/Adolescent Sensory Profile; PSI, Parenting Stress Index.

Figure 2 Brain regions with *significantly increased resting-state network activities*, measured as fractional amplitude of low-frequency fluctuations (fALFF) using a fast Fourier transform. The main cluster is in the left cerebellum, lobule VI; Talairach's coordinates x = -30, y = -60, z = -24; cluster size = 80 voxels; Z = 4.06, family-wise error-corrected cluster level. Color scale represents *t*-values in the range 0–5.

Figure 3 Path model of the mediation effect of resting-state activity (fALFF values) in the left cerebellum, lobule VI, on the relationship between degree of trait anxiety, measured as the trait scores of the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), and sensory modulation (AASP total scores). fALFF, fractional amplitude of low-frequency fluctuations; AASP, Adult/Adolescent Sensory Profile, SE, standard error; β , Standardized partial regression coefficient; *, *P* < .05; **, *P* < .01; n.s., not significant.