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Abstract

During welding, deformation is produced as an unavoidable consequence. The inherent deformation method, in which the inherent deformation is introduced into the elastic FEM as the initial strain is one of the effective methods to predict the welding distortion of large structures. However, the values of the inherent deformations for all weld joints included in the structure must be known before hand. Generally, the inherent deformations are influenced by various factors such as materials, welding method, welding condition, joint geometry, thickness of plate and weld length. Thus, it is meaningful to develop a simple method to obtain the inherent deformation. In this report, a simple and efficient method to estimate inherent deformation of typical weld joints is proposed. Further, using the estimated inherent deformation, the welding deformation of joint specimens and large plate structures are predicted.
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1. Introduction

Welding is a key technology for building metal structures such ships, bridges, automobiles. However, it is impossible to avoid distortion due to intrinsic nature of the non-uniform heating and cooling of the weldment. Welding deformation not only degrades the performance but also increases the building cost of the structure. It is also an obstacle for realizing automation and robotization. In order to solve these problems, it is necessary to quantitatively predict and control welding deformations.

Generally, welding deformations are classified into transverse shrinkage, angular distortion, rotational in-plane distortion, longitudinal shrinkage, buckling distortion and longitudinal bending distortion as shown in Fig. 1. In principle, there are four fundamental types of deformation, namely the longitudinal shrinkage, the transverse shrinkage and the angular distortions in two directions and various forms of distortions are produced as their combination. These four types of deformation can be regarded as fundamental components of the inherent deformations due to welding.

When the welding line is long enough, the distribution of the inherent deformation along the welding line can be assumed to be a constant value \(^1\), which is mainly determined by the heat input \(Q\), the thickness of the plate \(h\) and the type of joint\(^2\). As an example, the relationship between angular distortion under the bead on plate welding and the heat input parameter \(Q/h^2\) is shown in Fig. 2. As seen from the figure, the inherent deformation can be regarded as a function of the heat input parameter \(Q/h^2\). Once the inherent deformations are given as functions of the heat input parameter, welding deformation of a large welded structure, like the structure shown in Fig. 3 can be predicted by an elastic finite element method using the concept of inherent deformation\(^3, 4\). However, in the welding assembly of thin plates, the short weld whose length is between 20–200 mm is frequently employed. In this situation, the inherent deformation is affected not only by the heat input parameter \(Q/h^2\) but also by the length of the weld \(L_w\).

In this work, the distribution characteristic of the
inherent deformation along the welding line for a weld with a short length is studied. Then a simple measuring method of welding inherent deformation using inverse analysis 5) is proposed. In the inverse analysis, the inherent deformation is estimated from the three-dimensional coordinates at a small number of selected points before and after the welding. Estimated inherent deformations are used to reproduce the welding deformation of the joint specimen or to predict the distortion of large plate structures. The accuracy of the prediction is examined by comparison with experiments.

2. Distribution characteristic of inherent deformation

When the welding line is shorter than the length of the welded plate, the weld length may have a large influence on the inherent deformation. Therefore, the distribution

characteristic of inherent deformation along the welding line should be studied first. Generally, for the weldment with a long weld length, two methods can be used to obtain the inherent deformation. One is the experimental method, and the other is numerical simulation using the thermal-elastic-plastic finite element method. In order to obtain the detailed information of inherent deformation and to clarify its distribution characteristic along the welding line for short welds, a thermal-elastic-plastic finite element method is employed in this study.

The partial bead welding on a flat plate as shown in Fig. 4 is taken as an example. Half of the model is analyzed due to the symmetry. The dimensions of the model are: \( L=200 \text{ mm} \), \( B=100 \text{ mm} \) and \( h=3.0 \text{ mm} \). The heat input parameter \( Q/h^2 \) is assumed to be 14.8 J/mm². The middle part of the plate is assumed to be welded. The length of the weld is 100 mm in this case. The half plate is uniformly divided into 50 and 4 elements in the welding and the thickness directions. The FEM model consists of 4000 brick elements and 5355 nodes. It has a fine grid in the welding zone. The material of the plate is mild steel, the temperature dependent thermal and mechanical properties are used in the analysis. The problem is solved using ABAQUS employing the “DC3D8” element and “C3D8I” element for thermal and stress analysis, respectively.

The welding distortion is assumed to be caused by four components of inherent deformation, namely longitudinal shrinkage \( \delta_{i}^{L} \), transverse shrinkage \( \delta_{x}^{y} \), longitudinal bending \( \theta_{i}^{L} \) and transverse bending \( \theta_{x}^{y} \). These four components of inherent deformation can be defined by the following equations:

Fig. 1 Types of welding deformation.

Fig. 2 Relationship between angular distortion and \( Q/h^2 \).

Fig. 3 Welding distortion of ship structure calculated by elastic FEM.
\[ \delta_1 = \int e_1 \, dydz / h \]
\[ \delta_2 = \int e_2 \, dydz / h \]
\[ \theta_1 = \int e_1 \, (z - h / 2) / (h^3 / 12) \, dydz \]
\[ \theta_2 = \int e_2 \, (z - h / 2) / (h^3 / 12) \, dydz \] (1)

Where, \( e_1 \) is the plastic strain in the welding direction \( x \), \( e_2 \) is that in the \( y \) direction obtained as the results of the thermal-elastic-plastic FEM analysis.

The distributions of the inherent deformation are shown in Fig. 5. From these figures, it is seen that the distribution of the inherent deformation is fairly uniform in the middle part of the weld and they can be assumed as constant values without significant loss of accuracy.

Fig. 5 Distribution of the inherent deformation.
3. Measurement of Inherent Deformation by Inverse Analysis

If the distribution of the welding inherent deformation is expressed in terms of a small number of parameters, the inherent deformation can be determined through inverse analysis based on the measurement of the deformation at limited locations. In this study, a method of inverse analysis is proposed under the following hypotheses:

(1) The four components, namely longitudinal shrinkage, transverse shrinkage, longitudinal bending and transverse bending are regarded as the components of inherent deformations to be determined.

(2) If the distribution function of each component of the inherent deformation is expressed by n parameters, the total number of parameters is 4n.

(3) The length and the width of the area where the inherent deformation is distributed are known.

(4) The three-dimensional coordinates of m points are measured before and after the welding.

Since the coordinates measured at m points include the rigid body motion, the number of linearly independent relationships is (3m-6). Thus, the necessary condition for determining the inherent deformation is: (3m-6)≥4n.

Based on the above idea, the ten points on the specimen as shown in Fig. 6 are selected. By measuring the three-dimensional coordinates of the 10 points, the inherent deformation can be determined according to the following procedure:

(1) In order to exclude the rigid body motion, the base triangle is defined. The base triangle consists of points 1, 2 and 3 as shown in Fig. 6.

(2) From the coordinates of three vertexes belonging to the base triangle, the stretch of each side gives three independent relations.

(3) Concerning the other measuring points except the points forming the basic triangle, the variations of the distances between each point and the 2 points of the basic triangle give 2(m-3) conditions, similarly, from the variations of the normal distance between each point and the plane defined by the basic triangle, (m-3) conditions can be obtained.

(4) Adding (2) and (3), the number of the total relations becomes (3m-6).

(5) The relations defined in (2) and (3) are basically the equations relating the inherent deformation ai and the deformation of the specimen \( F^m_j \) such as the stretch between two points or the deflection relative to the base triangle, i.e.

\[
F_j(a_i) = F^m_j \tag{2}
\]

where, \( a_i \): components of inherent deformation

(6) Since the relation between the inherent deformation \( a_i \) and the deformation of the specimen \( F_j(a_i) \) is nonlinear, the function \( F_j(a_i) \) is nonlinear. Therefore, the inherent deformation \( a_i \) can not be determined from the measured value \( F^m_j \) by a single step. It must be determined through an iterative process based on the following Taylor expansion.

\[
F_j(a_i + \Delta a_i) = F_j(a_i) + \left( \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial a_i} \right) \Delta a_i = F^m_j \tag{3}
\]

or in matrix form,

\[
\left[ \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial a_i} \right] \{\Delta a_i\} = \{F^m_j - F_j(a_i)\} \tag{4}
\]

where, \( \Delta a_i \) is the correction to the approximate solution at the previous step.

Since Eq. (4) is a set of simultaneous equations consisting of (3m-6) equations which is larger than the number of unknowns 4n. The unknowns \( \Delta a_i \) can be determined as a least square solution through the following equation.

\[
\left[ \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial a_i} \right] \{\Delta a_i\} = \left[ \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial a_i} \right]^T \{F^m_j - F_j(a_i)\} \tag{5}
\]

4. Inherent Deformations in Simple Weld Joints

Using the coordinates measured before and after the welding, the inherent deformation in three simple weld joints, namely bead on plate welding, lap joint and fillet joint shown in Fig. 7 are estimated by the inverse analysis described above. The welding conditions for these specimens are shown in Table 1. To examine the validity of the estimated inherent deformations, the welding deformation produced by these inherent deformations is computed as a forward analysis and compared with the measured values. As an example of computed results, the distribution of the deflection in bead on plate welding is shown Fig. 8. The deformations calculated from the measured coordinates \( F^m_j \) and those from the forward analysis \( F_j(a_i) \) are compared in Fig. 9.
The same plots are shown for the lap joint and the filet joint in Figs. 10-13. As seen from Figs. 9, 11, 13, the measurement and forward analysis show good agreement. This proves the accuracy of the estimated inherent deformation determined by the proposed inverse analysis.

5. Prediction of Welding Distortion in Large Plate Structures

5.1 Test Models

In order to verify the effectiveness of the proposed method, two experimental models shown in Fig. 14 are fabricated and their welding distortions are measured. Model A consists of a skin plate and two longitudinal stiffeners, and model B consists of a skin plate, three longitudinal stiffeners and two transverse stiffeners. The thickness of both the skin plate and the transverse stiffener is 9 mm, and that of the longitudinal stiffener is 12 mm. The steel used for the models is SM400A. The welding is done by CO$_2$ gas metal arc welding using flux-cored wire. In the experiments, effects of gap between skin plate and the longitudinal stiffeners produced by geometrical error of the stiffener on the final welding distortion is also examined. Thus, four experiments, A-1, A-2, B-1 and B-2 are made. In case A-1 and case B-1, all members have no geometrical error, so there is no initial gap. In case A-2 and case B-2, there are initial gaps between the longitudinal stiffeners and the skin plate. The size of the gap is the maximum at both ends and it is approximately 10 mm. As an example, the distributions of the initial gaps in case B-2 are shown schematically in Fig. 15.

In case A-1 and case B-1, all members are tack welded at first, then the final welding is performed. In case A-2 and case B-2, the initial gaps are closed by pulling the skin plate to the longitudinal stiffener, then all the members are tack welded and the final welding is done. The welding conditions for Model A and Model B are shown in Table 2.

5.2 Determination of inherent deformation

The structure models Model A and Model B are assembled by three types of fillet joints, namely joints between longitudinal stiffener and skin plate (LS-joint), between transverse stiffener and skin plate (TS-joint) and that between longitudinal and transverse stiffeners (LT-joint). The inherent deformations of these three joints are determined by two methods. One is the proposed inverse analysis and the other is thermal-elastic-plastic analysis using ABAQUS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weld joint</th>
<th>Welding Parameters</th>
<th>$Q/h^2$ (J/mm$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Welding Current (A)</td>
<td>Arc Voltage (V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bead welding</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lap joint</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fillet joint</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7 Typical welded joint.
Fig. 8 Predicted deflection of bead on plate.

Fig. 9 Comparison of deformation between measurement and forward analysis (bead welding).

Fig. 10 Predicted deflection of lap joint.

Fig. 11 Comparison of deformation between measurement and forward analysis (lap joint).

Fig. 12 Predicted deflection of fillet joint.

Fig. 13 Comparison of deformation between measurement and forward analysis (fillet joint).
Table 2  Welding parameters for three fillet weld joints.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weld joint</th>
<th>Current (A)</th>
<th>Voltage (V)</th>
<th>Speed (mm/s)</th>
<th>Leg Length (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L-S</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-S</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-T</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 14  Test specimen of model A and model B

Fig. 15 Initial gap in Model B-2.

Fig. 16 Fillet weld joint specimen for estimating inherent deformation.

Fig. 17 Deformation of fillet joints computed using ABAQUS.
### Table 3  Inherent deformation of fillet joints.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Welding position</th>
<th>Tendon Force(N)</th>
<th>Transverse shrinkage(mm)</th>
<th>Angular Distortion(rad)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L-S</td>
<td>Inverse Analysis</td>
<td>Skin stiffener</td>
<td>260400</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.0240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FEM</td>
<td>Skin stiffener</td>
<td>222790</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.0275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-S</td>
<td>Inverse Analysis</td>
<td>Skin stiffener</td>
<td>185470</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.0266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FEM</td>
<td>Skin stiffener</td>
<td>192418</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.0260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-T</td>
<td>Inverse Analysis</td>
<td>Skin stiffener</td>
<td>189470</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Model A-1
(b) Model A-2

Fig. 18 Predicted deflection.
Fig. 19 Lines for comparison.

(a) along Line-1
(b) along Line-2

Fig. 20 Comparison of deflection between experiments and prediction using inherent deformation.
Fig. 21 Predicted deflection.

Fig. 22 Lines for comparison.

Fig. 23 Comparison of deflection along Line-3 between experiment and prediction (Model B-1).

Fig. 24 Comparison of deflection along Line-3 between experiment and prediction (Model B-2).

Fig. 25 Comparison of deflection along Line-2 between experiment and prediction (Model B-1).

Fig. 26 Comparison of deflection along Line-2 between experiment and prediction (Model B-2).
Three joint models, namely LS-joint, TS-joint and LT-joint shown in Fig. 16, are made. The inherent deformations are determined from three-dimensional coordinates measured before and after welding using inverse analysis. The welding deformations computed for the same joints by the thermal-elastic-plastic FEM are shown in Fig. 17. The inherent deformations estimated using the two methods are summarized in Table 3. Though the convergence in the inverse analysis is not reached for the LT-joint due to the poor accuracy of the coordinate measurements, generally good correlation is observed between the inherent deformations estimated by two methods.

5.3 Predicted deformation of model A

Using the inherent deformation shown in Table 3, the welding deformations of Model A-1 and A-2 are predicted by the inherent deformation method. Fig. 18 shows the vertical deflections of the models A-1 and A-2. For the quantitative comparison, the deflections along Line-1 and Line-2 defined in Fig. 19 are plotted. Fig. 20 shows the deflection along Line-1 and Line-2. The welding deformations predicted using the inherent deformations estimated by the thermal-elastic-plastic FEM and the inverse analysis coincide fairly well with each other. The difference is about 1 mm. The deflections measured by experiment are plotted as solid circles. The deflection predicted using the inherent deformation estimated by the inverse analysis is closer to the experiments compared to that by thermal-elastic-plastic FEM. This proves that the inherent deformation estimated by the inverse analysis can be used to predict the welding deformation of Model A with reasonable accuracy.

5.4 Predicted deformation of model B

The welding distortions of Model B are also predicted in the same manner as in the case of Model A. Fig. 21 shows the vertical deflections of the models B-1 and B-2. For the quantitative comparison, the deflections along Line-3 and Line-2 defined in Fig. 22 are plotted. Fig. 23 and 24 show the deflections along Line-3 in Model B-1 and Model B-2. As in the case of Model A-1, the welding deformations predicted using the inherent deformations estimated by the thermal-elastic-plastic FEM and the inverse analysis coincide fairly well with each other. The difference is approximately 1 mm. The deflections measured by experiment are plotted as solid circles. As seen from the figures, the predicted deflections along Line-3 agree very well with the experiment.

Similarly, the deflections along Line-2 are plotted in Figs. 25-26. The difference between the two predicted deflections is small. Though the agreement between the predictions and the measurement is generally good, relatively large discrepancy is observed in the area 1200 < x < 2500 mm. Also the measured deflections show unsymmetrical distribution whereas the predicted deflections are symmetric from the symmetry of the problem. Except for this, the inherent deformation method gives reasonable prediction. Comparing the two methods for estimating the inherent deformation, the proposed inverse analysis results in a better prediction.

6. Conclusions

The distribution of the inherent deformation for the partial bead on plate welding is clarified using the thermal-elastic-plastic FEM analysis. Based on this knowledge, a simple method to estimate the inherent deformation by inverse analysis is proposed and applied to compute deformations of typical weld joints and large plate structures. Through the present work the following conclusions are drawn.

(1) Though the values of the inherent deformations vary along the welding line in the partial welding as in the case of full welding, their variations are small in the middle part.

(2) The distribution of inherent deformation can be approximated by uniformly distributed shrinkage and bending in the longitudinal and transverse directions.

(3) The inherent deformations of three typical weld joints, namely bead welding, lap joint and fillet join, are estimated using the inverse analysis and the welding deformations of joints are reproduced through forward analysis with sufficient accuracy.

(4) The proposed inverse analysis is applied to estimate the inherent deformations of fillet joints in large plate structures and the welding deformation of the structures are predicted using the estimated inherent deformations.

(5) Good agreements between the predicted and measured deformations prove that the inherent deformation method employing the inverse analysis is an effective method to predict welding deformations of large welded plate structures.
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