

Title	The integral Pontrjagin homology of the based loop space on a flag manifold
Author(s)	Grbić, Jelena; Terzić, Svjetlana
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 2010, 47(2), p. 439-460
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/9046">https://doi.org/10.18910/9046</a>
rights	
Note	

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

# THE INTEGRAL PONTRJAGIN HOMOLOGY OF THE BASED LOOP SPACE ON A FLAG MANIFOLD

JELENA GRBIĆ and SVJETLANA TERZIĆ

(Received August 1, 2008, revised January 5, 2009)

## Abstract

The based loop space homology of a special family of homogeneous spaces, flag manifolds of connected compact Lie groups is studied. First, the rational homology of the based loop space on a complete flag manifold is calculated together with its Pontrjagin structure. Second, it is shown that the integral homology of the based loop space on a flag manifold is torsion free. This results in a description of the integral homology. In addition, the integral Pontrjagin structure is determined.

## Contents

1.	Introduction .....	439
2.	Torsion in the homology of loop spaces .....	440
3.	Rational homology .....	442
3.1.	Rational homology of loop spaces. ....	442
3.2.	The loop space on a complete flag manifold. ....	443
4.	Integral Pontrjagin homology .....	451
4.1.	The integral homology of $\Omega(SU(n+1)/T^n)$ . ....	451
4.2.	The integral homology of $\Omega(Sp(n)/T^n)$ . ....	453
4.3.	The integral homology of $\Omega(SO(2n)/T^n)$ and $\Omega(SO(2n+1)/T^n)$ . ....	454
4.4.	The integral homology of $\Omega(G_2/T^2)$ . ....	457
4.5.	The integral homology of $\Omega(F_4/T^4)$ . ....	458
4.6.	The integral homology of $\Omega(E_6/T^6)$ . ....	459

## 1. Introduction

A *complete flag manifold* of a compact connected Lie group  $G$  is a homogeneous space  $G/T$ , where  $T$  is a maximal torus in  $G$ . In this paper we study the integral Pontrjagin homology of the based loop space on a complete flag manifold  $G/T$ .

Compact homogeneous spaces, in particular, flag manifolds play a significant role in many areas of physics and mathematics, such as theory of characteristic classes of fibre bundles, representation theory, string topology and quantum physics. Still there are only few homogeneous spaces for which the integral homology ring of their based loop spaces is known. Some of them are classical simple Lie groups, spheres, and complex projective spaces.

The motivation for our study comes from Borel’s work [2] in which he described the family of compact homogeneous spaces whose cohomology ring is torsion free. In particular, homogeneous spaces  $G/U$  where  $\text{rank } G = \text{rank } U$  stand out as homogeneous spaces which behave nicely under application of algebraic topological techniques. In this case Sullivan minimal model theory together with the Milnor–Moore theorem can be employed to calculate the rational homology ring of their based loop spaces. As one of the main results of our paper (see Theorem 2.1) we prove that the homology of the based loop space on a complete flag manifold is torsion free.

Furthermore, we explicitly calculate the integral Pontrjagin homology ring of the loop spaces on the complete flag manifolds of simple compact Lie groups  $SU(n + 1)$ ,  $Sp(n)$ ,  $SO(2n + 1)$ ,  $SO(2n)$ ,  $G_2$ ,  $F_4$  and  $E_6$  (see Theorems 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6, 4.7).

It is a classical result (see for example [3], or [5]) that the homology of the  $e$ -connected component  $\Omega_0 G$  of the loop space on  $G$  is torsion free for any compact connected Lie group  $G$ . Thus by the use of rational calculations, we show that there is a split extension of algebras

$$1 \rightarrow H_*(\Omega_0 G; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(\Omega(G/T); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(T; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 1$$

and describe the integral Pontrjagin ring structure on  $\Omega(G/T)$  for a simple compact Lie group  $G$ .

Throughout the paper, the loop space on a topological space will mean a based loop space.

**2. Torsion in the homology of loop spaces**

We start by recalling some well known facts about the (co)homology of classical simple compact Lie groups and their based loop spaces (see for example [10]). It is a classical result that for any compact connected Lie group  $G$  of rank  $n$ ,

$$H^*(G; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigwedge (z_1, \dots, z_n), \quad H_*(\Omega_0 G; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[b_1, \dots, b_n]$$

where  $\text{deg}(z_i) = 2k_i - 1$  and  $\text{deg}(b_i) = 2k_i - 2$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $k_i$  are the exponents of the group  $G$ . For simple compact Lie groups, these exponents are established.

For  $G = SU(n + 1)$  or  $G = Sp(n)$ , the integral homology of  $G$  and  $\Omega G$  is torsion free and it is given by

$$H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigwedge (x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad H_*(\Omega G; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$$

where  $\text{deg}(x_i) = 2k_i - 1$ , and  $\text{deg}(y_i) = 2k_i - 2$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Under the rationalisation the integral generators  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  are mapped onto the rational generators  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_n$ , respectively.

For  $G = SO(2n + 1)$  or  $G = SO(2n)$ , the integral homology of  $G$  and  $\Omega G$  has 2-torsion.

Borel [2, Proposition 29.1] proved that the homology of a flag manifold  $G/T$  is torsion free for the classical Lie groups  $G$  and for  $G = G_2$  or  $F_4$ . Using Morse theory, it is proved in [4] that this is true for any compact connected Lie group.

Our first result states that the complete flag manifold of a compact connected Lie group behaves nicely with respect to the loop space homology functor.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The homology of the based loop space on the complete flag manifold of a compact connected Lie group is torsion free.*

We will first show that to prove the theorem it is enough to consider the case when  $G$  is a simple, compact Lie group.

**Proposition 2.2.** *The loop space on the flag manifold of a compact, connected Lie group  $G$  decomposes into a product of the loop spaces on flag manifolds of simple, compact Lie groups.*

Proof. It is a classical result (see Onishchik [12]) that a compact connected Lie group  $G$  can be decomposed into a locally direct product of connected simple normal subgroups. That is,  $G = G_1 \cdots G_k$ , where  $G_i$  is a simple, connected Lie group or a torus,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , such that

$$\dim G_i \cap (G_1 \cdots G_{i-1} \cdot G_{i+1} \cdots G_k) = 0.$$

Let  $\tilde{G}$  be  $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_k$  and  $p: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  defined by  $p(g_1, \dots, g_k) = g_1 \cdots g_k$ . Since  $\text{Ker } p = \bigcup_{i=1}^k G_i \cap (G_1 \cdots \hat{G}_i \cdots G_k)$ , we obtain that  $\text{Ker } p$  is discrete or in other words  $p: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  is a covering. Thus  $\text{Ker } p$  is contained in the center  $Z(\tilde{G})$  of  $\tilde{G}$ . Let  $T = T_1 \times \cdots \times T_k$  be a maximal torus in  $\tilde{G}$ , where  $T_i$  is a maximal torus in  $G_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Then  $\text{Ker } p \subset T$  and therefore

$$G_1/T_1 \times \cdots \times G_k/T_k = \tilde{G}/T = (\tilde{G}/\text{Ker } p)/T = G/T.$$

Hence

$$\Omega(G/T) \simeq \Omega(G_1/T_1) \times \cdots \times \Omega(G_k/T_k). \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let  $G$  be a compact connected Lie group and  $T$  its maximal torus. We have that the complete flag manifold  $G/T$  for any compact connected Lie group  $G$  is homeomorphic to the complete flag manifold  $\tilde{G}/T$  of its universal cover  $\tilde{G}$ . Therefore, we may assume  $G$  to be simply connected. For  $G$  simply connected, it is classical result (see for example [13]) that  $\Omega(G/T)$  has the same homotopy type as

$\Omega(G) \times T$ . To verify this notice that related to the principal fibration as topological spaces. For  $G$  a simple, compact, simply connected Lie group, it is a classical result that the integral homology of  $\Omega G$  is torsion free. Now using homotopy splitting of  $\Omega(G/T)$ , we conclude that the homology of  $\Omega(G/T)$  is torsion free in this case. The statement of the theorem now follows readily from Proposition 2.2.  $\square$

### 3. Rational homology

In this section we calculate the rational homology ring of the loop space on a flag manifold by looking separately at each simple Lie group.

To calculate the rational homology of the based loop space on a complete flag manifold of a classical simple Lie group we will apply Sullivan minimal model theory. Let us start by recalling the key constructions and setting the notation related to the Sullivan minimal model and rational homology of loop spaces which we are going to use in the subsequent sections.

**3.1. Rational homology of loop spaces.** Let  $M$  be a simply connected topological space with the rational homology of finite type. Let  $\mu = (\Lambda V, d)$  be a Sullivan minimal model for  $M$ . Then  $d: V \rightarrow \Lambda^{\geq 2}V$  can be decomposed as  $d = d_1 + d_2 + \dots$ , where  $d_i: V \rightarrow \Lambda^{\geq i+1}V$ . In particular,  $d_1$  is called the *quadratic part* of the differential  $d$ .

The homotopy Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $\mu$  is defined in the following way. Define a graded vector space  $L$  by requiring that

$$sL = \text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{Q})$$

where as usual the suspension  $sL$  is defined by  $(sL)_i = (L)_{i-1}$ . We can define a pairing  $\langle \ ; \ \rangle: V \times sL \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  by  $\langle v; sx \rangle = (-1)^{\deg v} sx(v)$  and extend it to  $(k + 1)$ -linear maps

$$\Lambda^k V \times sL \times \dots \times sL \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

by letting

$$\langle v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k; sx_k, \dots, sx_1 \rangle = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \epsilon_\sigma \langle v_{\sigma(1)}; sx_1 \rangle \dots \langle v_{\sigma(k)}; sx_k \rangle$$

where  $S_k$  is the symmetric group on  $k$  letters and  $v_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{\sigma(k)} = \epsilon_\sigma v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k$ . It is important to notice that  $L$  inherits a Lie bracket  $[ \ , \ ]: L \times L \rightarrow L$  from  $d_1$  uniquely determined by

$$(1) \quad \langle v; s[x, y] \rangle = (-1)^{\deg v+1} \langle d_1 v; sx, sy \rangle \quad \text{for } x, y \in L, v \in V.$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{L}$  the Lie algebra  $(L, [ \ , \ ])$ .

Recall that the graded Lie algebra  $L_M = (\pi_*(\Omega M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}; [ , ])$  is called the *rational homotopy Lie algebra of M*. The commutator  $[ , ]$  is given by the Samelson product. There is an isomorphism between the rational homotopy Lie algebra  $L_M$  and the homotopy Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $\mu$ . Using the theorem in the Appendix of Milnor and Moore [9], it follows that

$$H_*(\Omega M; \mathbb{Q}) \cong U\mathcal{L}$$

where  $U\mathcal{L}$  is the universal enveloping algebra for  $\mathcal{L}$ . Further on,

$$U\mathcal{L} \cong T(L)/\langle xy - (-1)^{\deg x \deg y} yx - [x, y] \rangle.$$

For a more detailed account of this construction see for example [7], Chapters 12 and 16.

As the notion of formality will be important for our calculation we recall it here.

DEFINITION 3.1. A commutative cochain algebra  $(A, d)$  satisfying  $H^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$  is *formal* if it is weakly equivalent to the cochain algebra  $(H(A), 0)$ .

Thus  $(A, d)$  and a path connected topological space  $X$  are formal if and only if their minimal Sullivan models can be computed directly from their cohomology algebras.

REMARK 3.2. There are some known cases of topological spaces for which a minimal model can be explicitly computed and formality proved. Some of them, that are important for us in this work, are the spaces that have so called “good cohomology” in terminology of [1]. Namely, topological space  $X$  is said to have good cohomology if

$$H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[u_1, \dots, u_n]/\langle P_1, \dots, P_k \rangle$$

where the polynomials  $P_1, \dots, P_k$  form the regular sequence in  $\mathbb{Q}[u_1, \dots, u_n]$ , or in other words, the ideal  $\langle P_1, \dots, P_k \rangle$  is a Borel ideal in  $\mathbb{Q}[u_1, \dots, u_n]$ . In this case Bousfield and Gugenheim [1] proved that the minimal model of  $X$  is given by

$$\mu(X) = \mathbb{Q}[u_1, \dots, u_n] \otimes \bigwedge (v_1, \dots, v_k)$$

where  $\deg(v_i) = \deg(P_i) - 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , and the differential  $d$  is given by

$$d(u_i) = 0, \quad d(v_j) = P_j.$$

**3.2. The loop space on a complete flag manifold.** In this section we calculate the rational homology of the loop space on the complete flag manifold of a simple Lie group.

Recall from Borel [2, Section 26] that the rational (as well as integral) cohomology of  $SU(n + 1)/T^n$  is the polynomial algebra on  $n + 1$  variables of degree 2 quotient out

by the ideal generated by the symmetric functions in these variables

$$H^*(SU(n + 1)/T^n; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[u_1, \dots, u_{n+1}]/\langle S^+(u_1, \dots, u_{n+1}) \rangle.$$

It is important to note that the ideal  $\langle S^+(u_1, \dots, u_{n+1}) \rangle$  is a Borel ideal. As a consequence, by Remark 3.2,  $SU(n + 1)/T^n$  is formal. Thus the minimal model for  $SU(n + 1)/T^n$  is the minimal model for the commutative differential graded algebra  $(H^*(M; \mathbb{Q}), d = 0)$  and it is given by  $\mu = (\Lambda V, d)$ , where

$$V = (u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n)$$

and  $\deg(u_k) = 2, \deg(v_k) = 2k + 1$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

The differential  $d$  is defined by

$$(2) \quad d(u_k) = 0, \quad d(v_k) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^{k+1} + (-1)^{k+1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \right)^{k+1}.$$

It is easy to see that the quasi isomorphism  $f: \mu = (\Lambda V, d) \rightarrow (H^*(M; \mathbb{Q}), d = 0)$  is given by the following rule

$$u_i \mapsto u_i, \quad v_i \mapsto 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *The rational homology ring of the loop space on the flag manifold  $SU(n + 1)/T^n$  is*

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} &H_*(\Omega(SU(n + 1)/T^n); \mathbb{Q}) \\ &\cong (T(a_1, \dots, a_n)/\langle a_k^2 = a_p a_q + a_q a_p \mid 1 \leq k, p, q \leq n, p \neq q \rangle) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[b_2, \dots, b_n] \end{aligned}$$

where the generators  $a_i$  are of degree 1 for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and the generators  $b_k$  are of degree  $2k$  for  $2 \leq k \leq n$ .

*Proof.* The underlying vector space of the homotopy Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $\mu$  is given by

$$L = (a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n)$$

where  $\deg(a_k) = 1, \deg(b_k) = 2k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

In order to define Lie brackets we need the quadratic part  $d_1$  of the differential in the minimal model. In this case, using the differential  $d$  defined in (2), the quadratic part  $d_1$  is given by

$$d_1(u_l) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq l \leq n, \quad d_1(v_1) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} u_i u_j, \quad d_1(v_k) = 0 \quad \text{for } k \neq 1.$$

For dimensional reasons, we have

$$[a_k, b_l] = [b_k, b_l] = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k, l \leq n.$$

By the defining property of the Lie bracket stated in (1), we have

$$\langle v_1; s[a_k, a_k] \rangle = \left\langle 2 \sum u_i^2 + 2 \sum u_i u_j; sa_k, sa_k \right\rangle = 2 \langle u_k^2; sa_k, sa_k \rangle = 4$$

and

$$\langle v_1; s[a_k, a_l] \rangle = 2 \langle u_k u_l; sa_k, sa_l \rangle = 2 \quad \text{for } k \neq l$$

resulting in the commutators

$$[a_k, a_l] = 2b_1 \quad \text{for } k \neq l,$$

and

$$[a_k, a_k] = 4b_1.$$

Therefore in the tensor algebra  $T(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n)$ , the Lie brackets above induce the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} a_k a_l + a_l a_k &= 2b_1 & \text{for } 1 \leq k, l \leq n, k \neq l, \\ a_k^2 &= 2b_1 & \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ a_k b_l &= b_l a_k & \text{for } 1 \leq k, l \leq n, \\ b_k b_l &= b_l b_k & \text{for } 1 \leq k, l \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(4) \quad U\mathcal{L} \cong (T(a_1, \dots, a_n) / \langle a_k^2 = a_p a_q + a_q a_p \rangle) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[b_2, \dots, b_n].$$

This proves the theorem. □

The rational cohomology rings for the flag manifolds  $SO(2n + 1)/T^n \cong Spin(2n + 1)/T^n$ ,  $SO(2n)/T^n \cong Spin(2n)/T^n$ , and  $Sp(n)/T^n$  (see for example Borel [2, Section 26]) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(SO(2n + 1)/T^n; \mathbb{Q}) &\cong H^*(Sp(n)/T^n; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[u_1, \dots, u_n] / \langle S^+(u_1^2, \dots, u_n^2) \rangle, \\ H^*(SO(2n)/T^n; \mathbb{Q}) &\cong \mathbb{Q}[u_1, \dots, u_n] / \langle S^+(u_1^2, \dots, u_n^2), u_1 \cdots u_n \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where  $u_i$  is of degree 2 for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .



By Remark 3.2, all the above mentioned complete flag manifolds are formal and therefore their minimal Sullivan model is the minimal model for their cohomology algebra with the trivial differential.

Proceeding in the same way as in the previous theorem, we obtain the following results.

**Theorem 3.2.** *The rational homology ring of the loop space on  $SO(2n + 1)/T^n$  and  $Sp(n)/T^n$  is given by*

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} H_*(\Omega(SO(2n + 1)/T^n); \mathbb{Q}) &\cong H_*(\Omega(Sp(n)/T^n); \mathbb{Q}) \\ &\cong \left( T(a_1, \dots, a_n) \left/ \left\langle \begin{aligned} a_1^2 = \dots = a_n^2, \\ a_k a_l = -a_l a_k \text{ for } k \neq l \end{aligned} \right\rangle \right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[b_2, \dots, b_n] \end{aligned}$$

where the generators  $a_i$  are of degree 1 for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and the generators  $b_k$  are of degree  $4k - 2$  for  $2 \leq k \leq n$ .

Proof. We give just an outline of the proof as it is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. The minimal model for  $SO(2n + 1)/T^n$  is given by  $\mu = (\Delta V, d)$ , where

$$V = (u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n),$$

and  $\deg(u_k) = 2, \deg(v_k) = 4k - 1$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

The differential  $d$  is given by

$$(6) \quad d(u_k) = 0, \quad d(v_k) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^{2k} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Therefore the underlying vector space of the homotopy Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $\mu$  is

$$L = (a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n)$$

where  $\deg(a_k) = 1, \deg(b_k) = 4k - 2$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , and the quadratic part  $d_1$  of the differential  $d$  is given by

$$d_1(u_l) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq l \leq n, \quad d_1(v_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2, \quad d_1(v_k) = 0 \quad \text{for } k \geq 2.$$

The induced Lie brackets on  $L$  are equal to

$$\begin{aligned} [a_k, b_l] &= [b_k, b_l] = 0 && \text{for } 1 \leq k, l \leq n, \\ [a_k, a_k] &= 2b_1 && \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ [a_k, a_l] &= 0 && \text{for } k \neq l. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the following relations in  $U\mathcal{L}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} a_k^2 &= b_1 && \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ a_k a_l + a_l a_k &= 0 && \text{for } k \neq l, \\ a_k b_l &= b_l a_k && \text{for } 1 \leq k, l \leq n, \\ b_k b_l &= b_l b_k && \text{for } 1 \leq k, l \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem follows now at once knowing that  $H_*(\Omega(SO(2n + 1)/T^n); \mathbb{Q}) \cong U\mathcal{L}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *The rational homology ring of the loop space on  $SO(2n)/T^n$  for  $n > 2$  is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} &H_*(\Omega(SO(2n)/T^n); \mathbb{Q}) \\ &\cong \left( T(a_1, \dots, a_n) \left/ \left\langle \begin{array}{l} a_1^2 = \dots = a_n^2, \\ a_k a_l = -a_l a_k \text{ for } k \neq l \end{array} \right. \right. \right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_n] \end{aligned}$$

where the generators  $a_i$  are of degree 1 for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the generators  $b_k$  are of degree  $4k - 2$  for  $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$ , and the generator  $b_n$  is of degree  $2n - 2$ .

*Proof.* To be reader friendly we outline a proof. The minimal model for  $SO(2n)/T^n$  is given by  $\mu = (\Lambda V, d)$ , where

$$V = (u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_n),$$

and  $\deg(u_k) = 2$ ,  $\deg(v_k) = 4k - 1$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$  and  $\deg(v_n) = 2n - 1$ .

The differential  $d$  is given by

$$(7) \quad d(u_k) = 0, \quad d(v_k) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^{2k} \quad \text{and} \quad d(v_n) = u_1 \cdots u_n.$$

Hence the underlying vector space of the homotopy Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $\mu$  is

$$L = (a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_n)$$

where  $\deg(a_k) = 1$ ,  $\deg(b_k) = 4k - 2$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ ,  $\deg(b_n) = 2n - 2$ , and the quadratic part  $d_1$  of the differential  $d$  is given by

$$d_1(u_l) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq l \leq n, \quad d_1(v_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2, \quad d_1(v_k) = 0 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq k \leq n.$$

The induced Lie brackets on  $L$  are equal to

$$\begin{aligned} [a_k, b_l] &= [b_k, b_l] = 0 && \text{for } 1 \leq k, l \leq n, \\ [a_k, a_k] &= 2b_1 && \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ [a_k, a_l] &= 0 && \text{for } k \neq l, \end{aligned}$$

and thus in  $UL$ :

$$\begin{aligned} a_k^2 &= b_1 && \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ a_k a_l + a_l a_k &= 0 && \text{for } k \neq l, \\ a_k b_l &= b_l a_k && \text{for } 1 \leq k, l \leq n, \\ b_k b_l &= b_l b_k && \text{for } 1 \leq k, l \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $H_*(\Omega(SO(2n)/T^n); \mathbb{Q}) \cong UL$ , we have proved the theorem. □

In the theorems that follow we compute the rational homology rings of the based loop space on the complete flag manifolds of the exceptional Lie groups  $G_2$ ,  $F_4$  and  $E_6$ . We refer to [6] and [11] for the Weyl group invariant polynomials which we use for the descriptions of the rational cohomology rings of the complete flag manifolds of these groups. We want also to emphasize that the rational, as well as the integral, cohomology rings of the flag manifolds  $G_2/T^2$ ,  $F_4/T^4$  and  $E_6/T^6$  are thoroughly discussed in [15].

**Theorem 3.4.** *The rational homology ring of the loop space on  $G_2/T^2$  is given by*

$$H_*(\Omega(G_2/T^2); \mathbb{Q}) \cong (T(a_1, a_2)/\langle a_1 a_2 + a_2 a_1 = a_1^2 = a_2^2 \rangle) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[b_5]$$

where  $\deg b_5 = 10$ , and  $\deg a_1 = \deg a_2 = 1$ .

*Proof.* Recall that

$$H^*(G_2/T^2; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[u_1, u_2, u_3]/\langle P_1, P_2, P_6 \rangle$$

where  $P_1 = \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i$ ,  $P_2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i^2$ ,  $P_6 = \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i^6$  and  $\deg u_1 = \deg u_2 = \deg u_3 = 2$ . Therefore the minimal model is  $\Lambda V = \Lambda(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_5)$  where  $\deg v_1 = 3$ ,  $\deg v_5 = 11$ , and the differential  $d$  is given by  $d(u_1) = d(u_2) = 0$ ,  $d(v_1) = 2(u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_1 u_2)$ ,  $d(v_5) = u_1^6 + u_2^6 + (u_1 + u_2)^6$ . Thus

$$d_1(u_1) = d_1(u_2) = d_1(v_5) = 0, \quad d_1(v_1) = 2(u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_1 u_2).$$

In the homotopy Lie algebra  $L = (a_1, a_2, b_1, b_5)$  the induced commutator relations are given by

$$[a_i, b_j] = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, j = 1, 5, \quad [b_i, b_j] = 0 \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 5,$$

$$[a_1, a_2] = 2b_1, \quad [a_1, a_1] = [a_2, a_2] = 4b_1.$$

Hence the following relations in  $U\mathcal{L}$  hold:

$$a_i b_j - b_j a_i = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, j = 1, 5,$$

$$b_1 b_5 = b_5 b_1,$$

$$a_1 a_2 + a_2 a_1 = 2b_1,$$

$$a_1^2 = a_2^2 = 2b_1. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 3.5.** *The rational homology ring of the loop space on  $F_4/T^4$  is given by*

$$H_*(\Omega(F_4/T^4); \mathbb{Q})$$

$$\cong \left( T(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) / \left\langle \begin{array}{l} a_1^2 = \dots = a_4^2, \\ a_i a_j = -a_j a_i \text{ for } i \neq j \end{array} \right\rangle \right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[b_5, b_7, b_{11}]$$

where  $\deg a_i = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ ,  $\deg b_5 = 10$ ,  $\deg b_7 = 14$ , and  $\deg b_{11} = 22$ .

Proof. The rational cohomology algebra of  $F_4/T^4$  is

$$H^*(F_4/T^4; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4] / \langle P_2, P_6, P_8, P_{12} \rangle$$

where  $\deg u_i = 2$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ , and

$$P_k = u_1^k + u_2^k + u_3^k + u_4^k + \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} (\pm u_1 \pm u_2 \pm u_3 \pm u_4)^k$$

for  $k = 2, 6, 8, 12$ . For degree reasons, the only relevant generator for determining  $d_1$  is  $P_2 = 3(u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2 + u_4^2)$ . Therefore we have

$$V = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, v_2, v_6, v_8, v_{12}), \quad \text{where } \deg v_k = 2k - 1$$

and the quadratic part of  $d$  is given by

$$d_1(u_i) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 4, \quad d_1(v_j) = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 6, 8, 12,$$

and

$$d_1(v_2) = 3(u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2 + u_4^2).$$

This determines the homotopy Lie algebra

$$L = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, b_1, b_5, b_7, b_{11})$$

where  $\deg a_i = 1$ ,  $\deg b_1 = 2$ ,  $\deg b_5 = 10$ ,  $\deg b_7 = 14$ , and  $\deg b_{11} = 22$  with the Lie brackets given by

$$\begin{aligned} [a_i, b_j] &= [b_l, b_j] = 0 && \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq 4 \text{ and } j, l = 1, 5, 7, 11, \\ [a_i, a_j] &= 0 && \text{for } i \neq j, \\ [a_i, a_i] &= 6b_1 && \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 4. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that in  $U\mathcal{L}$  for every possible  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $a_i$  and  $b_j$  commute as well as  $b_i$  and  $b_j$  does. Also the additional relations in  $U\mathcal{L}$  hold:

$$a_1^2 = a_2^2 = a_3^2 = a_4^2 = 3b_1, \quad \text{and} \quad a_i a_j + a_j a_i = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

The statement of the theorem now follows directly. □

**Theorem 3.6.** *The rational homology ring of the loop space on  $E_6/T^6$  is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} &H_*(\Omega(E_6/T^6); \mathbb{Q}) \\ &\cong \left( T(a_1, \dots, a_5, a) \left/ \left\langle \begin{aligned} a^2 &= a_k^2 = a_p a_q + a_q a_p \text{ for } 1 \leq k, p, q \leq 5, p \neq q \\ a a_i &= -a_i a \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 5 \end{aligned} \right\rangle \right. \right) \\ &\otimes \mathbb{Q}[b_4, b_5, b_7, b_8, b_{11}], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\deg a_i = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ ,  $\deg a = 1$ , and  $\deg b_j = 2j$  for  $j = 4, 5, 7, 8, 11$ .

*Proof.* The rational cohomology of  $E_6/T^6$  is

$$H^*(E_6/T^6; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6, u] / \langle P_1, P_2, P_5, P_6, P_8, P_9, P_{12} \rangle$$

where  $\deg u_i = 2$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 6$ ,  $\deg u = 2$ , and

$$P_k = \sum_{i=1}^6 (u_i \pm u)^k + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 6} (-1)^k (u_i + u_j)^k$$

for  $k = 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12$ , and  $P_1 = \sum_{i=1}^6 u_i$ . It follows that

$$V = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u, v_2, v_5, v_6, v_8, v_9, v_{12})$$

and  $d_1$  is determined only by

$$P_2 = 12 \left( u_1^2 + \dots + u_5^2 + u^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 5} u_i u_j \right).$$

In a similar fashion as before we obtain that

$$L = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a, b_1, b_4, b_5, b_7, b_8, b_{11}),$$

where  $\deg a_i = \deg a = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ , and  $\deg b_j = 2j$  for  $j = 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11$ . The commutators are

$$[a_i, a] = [a_i, b_j] = [a, b_j] = [b_l, b_j] = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 5 \quad \text{and } j, l = 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11,$$

$$[a_i, a_j] = 12b_1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq 5, i \neq j, \quad \text{and } [a_i, a_i] = [a, a] = 24b_1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 5.$$

The last three commutator relations imply the following relations in  $UL$ :

$$a^2 = a_i^2 = a_k a_l + a_l a_k = 12b_1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, l, k \leq 5, k \neq l.$$

This directly implies the statement of the theorem. □

#### 4. Integral Pontrjagin homology

In this section we study the integral Pontrjagin ring structure of  $\Omega(G/T)$ , where  $G$  is a simple Lie group. We make use of the rational homology calculations for  $\Omega(G/T)$  from the previous section and the results from [3], [11] and [16] on integral homology of the identity component  $\Omega_0 G$  of the loop space on  $G$ . Recall that  $H_*(\Omega_0 G; \mathbb{Q})$  is primitively generated for a compact connected Lie group  $G$ .

##### 4.1. The integral homology of $\Omega(SU(n + 1)/T^n)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *The integral Pontrjagin homology ring of the loop space on  $SU(n + 1)/T^n$  is*

$$H_*(\Omega(SU(n + 1)/T^n); \mathbb{Z})$$

$$\cong (T(x_1, \dots, x_n) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_n]) \left/ \left\langle \begin{array}{l} x_k^2 = x_p x_q + x_q x_p = 2y_1 \\ \text{for } 1 \leq k, p, q \leq n, p \neq q \end{array} \right\rangle \right.$$

where the generators  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are of degree 1, and the generators  $y_i$  are of degree  $2i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

*Proof.* It is well known that if  $G$  is a simply connected Lie group, then  $\pi_2(G/T) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\dim T}$  and  $\pi_3(G/T) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Let

$$W: \pi_2(G/T) \otimes \pi_2(G/T) \rightarrow \pi_3(G/T)$$

denote the pairing given by the Whitehead product. In what follows, we identify  $H_1(T, \mathbb{Z})$  with  $\pi_2(G/T)$  and  $H_2(\Omega G, \mathbb{Z})$  with  $\pi_3(G/T)$  via natural homomorphisms. Thus since

there is no torsion in homology, and using the rational homology result (3), we obtain that there is a split extension of algebras

$$1 \rightarrow H_*(\Omega SU(n + 1); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(\Omega(SU(n + 1)/T^n); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(T^n; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 1$$

with the extension given by  $[\alpha, \beta] = W(\alpha, \beta) \in H_2(\Omega SU(n + 1); \mathbb{Z})$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in H_1(T^n; \mathbb{Z})$ .

We explain the extension of the algebra in more detail. Notice that there is a monomorphism of two split extensions of algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & H_*(\Omega SU(n + 1); \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_*(\Omega(SU(n + 1)/T^n); \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_*(T^n; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & H_*(\Omega SU(n + 1); \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H_*(\Omega(SU(n + 1)/T^n); \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H_*(T^n; \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & 1. \end{array}$$

Denote by  $\bar{c}_2, \dots, \bar{c}_{n+1}$  the universal transgressive generators in  $H^*(SU(n + 1); \mathbb{Z})$  which map to the symmetric polynomials  $c_2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} x_i x_j, \dots, c_{n+1} = x_1 \cdots x_n x_{n+1}$  generating  $H^*(BSU(n + 1); \mathbb{Z})$ . The elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}$  are the integral generators of  $H_*(T^n; \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i = 0$ . Now let  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  be the integral generators of  $H_*(\Omega SU(n + 1); \mathbb{Z})$  obtained by the transgression of the elements from  $H_*(SU(n + 1); \mathbb{Z})$  which are the Poincare duals of  $\bar{c}_2, \dots, \bar{c}_{n+1}$ . Further, the subspace of primitive elements in  $H_*(\Omega SU(n + 1); \mathbb{Z})$  is spanned by the elements  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  which can be expressed in terms of  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  using the Newton formula

$$(8) \quad \sigma_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i-1} \sigma_{k-i} y_i + (-1)^{k-1} k y_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

The integral elements  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  rationalise to the elements  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in H_*(\Omega SU(n + 1); \mathbb{Q})$ . The generators  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  in  $H_*(T^n; \mathbb{Q})$  are the rationalised images of the integral generators  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $H_*(T^n; \mathbb{Z})$ . To decide the integral extension, we consider the rational Pontrjagin ring structure (3) of  $\Omega(SU(n + 1)/T^n)$ . Looking at the above commutative diagram of the algebra extensions, we conclude that the integral elements

$$\begin{aligned} x_k x_l + x_l x_k - 2\sigma_1 & \text{ for } 1 \leq k, l \leq n, k \neq l, \\ x_k^2 - 2\sigma_1 & \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ x_k \sigma_l - \sigma_l x_k & \text{ for } 2 \leq k, l \leq n, \\ \sigma_k \sigma_l - \sigma_l \sigma_k & \text{ for } 2 \leq k, l \leq n \end{aligned}$$

from  $H_*(\Omega(SU(n + 1)/T^n); \mathbb{Z})$  map to zero in  $H_*(\Omega(SU(n + 1)/T^n); \mathbb{Q})$ . As the map between the algebra extensions is a monomorphism, we conclude that these integral

elements are zero. Using that there is no torsion in homology and Newton formula (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_k x_l + x_l x_k &= 2y_1 && \text{for } 1 \leq k, l \leq n, k \neq l, \\ x_k^2 &= 2y_1 && \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ x_k y_l - y_l x_k &= 0 && \text{for } 2 \leq k, l \leq n, \\ y_k y_l - y_l y_k &= 0 && \text{for } 2 \leq k, l \leq n \end{aligned}$$

which completely describes the integral Pontrjagin ring of  $\Omega(SU(n + 1)/T^n)$  and finishes the proof. □

**4.2. The integral homology of  $\Omega(Sp(n)/T^n)$ .**

**Theorem 4.2.** *The integral Pontrjagin homology ring of the based loop space on  $Sp(n)/T^n$  is*

$$\begin{aligned} &H_*(\Omega(Sp(n)/T^n); \mathbb{Z}) \\ &\cong \left( T(x_1, \dots, x_n) \left/ \left\langle \begin{array}{l} x_1^2 = \dots = x_n^2, \\ x_k x_l = -x_l x_k \text{ for } k \neq l \end{array} \right. \right. \right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y_2, \dots, y_n] \end{aligned}$$

where the generators  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are of degree 1, and the generators  $y_i$  are of degree  $4i - 2$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n$ .

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 4.1. Denote by  $\bar{c}_1, \dots, \bar{c}_n$  the universal transgressive generators in  $H^*(Sp(n); \mathbb{Z})$  which map to the generators  $c_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2, \dots, c_n = x_1^2 \cdots x_n^2$  of  $H^*(BSp(n); \mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  be the generators in  $H_*(\Omega Sp(n); \mathbb{Z})$  obtained by the transgression of the elements in  $H_*(Sp(n); \mathbb{Z})$  which are the Poincare duals of  $\bar{c}_1, \dots, \bar{c}_n$ . Recall from [3] that the subspace of the primitive elements in  $H_*(\Omega Sp(n); \mathbb{Z})$  is spanned by the elements  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  given by

$$(9) \quad \sigma_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i-1} \sigma_{k-i} y_i + (-1)^{k-1} k y_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

The integral elements  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  rationalise to the generators  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  of  $H_*(\Omega Sp(n); \mathbb{Q})$  given in (5). The generators  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  of  $H_*(T^n; \mathbb{Q})$  are the rationalised images of the integral generators  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $H_*(T^n; \mathbb{Z})$ . Therefore we conclude that in  $H_*(\Omega(Sp(n)/T^n); \mathbb{Z})$  the following integral elements are zero:

$$\begin{aligned} x_k x_l + x_l x_k - \sigma_1 & \text{ for } 1 \leq k, l \leq n, k \neq l, \\ x_k^2 - \sigma_1 & \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ x_k \sigma_l - \sigma_l x_k & \text{ for } 2 \leq k, l \leq n, \\ \sigma_k \sigma_l - \sigma_l \sigma_k & \text{ for } 2 \leq k, l \leq n. \end{aligned}$$



Since there is no torsion in homology, going back to Newton formula (9), we obtain the same relations between  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  which determine the integral Pontrjagin ring structure on  $\Omega(Sp(n)/T^n)$ . □

**4.3. The integral homology of  $\Omega(SO(2n)/T^n)$  and  $\Omega(SO(2n+1)/T^n)$ .** As mentioned before,  $SO(m)$  is not simply connected and the cohomology of  $SO(m)$  and the homology of  $\Omega SO(m)$  are not torsion free, namely, they have 2-torsion. Nevertheless, since  $SO(m)/T \cong Spin(m)/T$ , where  $T$  is a maximal torus, the rational homology calculations enable us to prove the following.

**Theorem 4.3.** *The integral Pontrjagin homology ring of the based loop space on  $SO(2n+1)/T^n$  is given by*

$$H_*(\Omega(SO(2n+1)/T^n); \mathbb{Z}) \cong (T(x_1, \dots, x_n) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, 2y_n, \dots, 2y_{2n-1}])/I$$

where  $I$  is generated by

$$\begin{aligned} &x_1^2 - y_1, \quad x_i^2 - x_{i+1}^2 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ &x_k x_l + x_l x_k \quad \text{for } k \neq l, \\ &y_i^2 - 2y_{i-1}y_{i+1} + \dots \pm 2y_{2i} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\deg x_i = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\deg y_i = 2i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 2n-1$ ,  $\deg 2y_i = 2i$  for  $n \leq i \leq 2n-1$ , and  $y_0 = 1$ .

REMARK 4.1. Before proving Theorem 4.3, let us recall the ring structure of  $H_*(\Omega_0 SO(2n+1); \mathbb{Z})$ . It is proved in [3] that the algebra  $H_*(\Omega_0 SO(2n+1); \mathbb{Z})$  is generated by the classes  $y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, 2y_n, \dots, 2y_{2n-1}$  which satisfy the relations

$$y_i^2 - 2y_{i-1}y_{i+1} + 2y_{i-2}y_{i+2} - \dots \pm 2y_{2i} = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1$$

where  $\deg y_i = 2i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,  $\deg 2y_i = 2i$  for  $n \leq i \leq 2n-1$ , and  $y_0 = 1$ . For  $[(n+1)/2] \leq i \leq n-1$ , these relations express  $2y_{2i}$  in terms of  $y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, 2y_n, \dots, 2y_{2i-1}$  and thus eliminate  $2y_{2i}$  as generators. For  $1 \leq i \leq [(n+1)/2] - 1$ , the relations above imply new relations on the generators  $y_{2i}$ , that is,  $2y_{2i} = \pm(y_i^2 - 2y_{i-1}y_{i+1} + \dots \pm 2y_1y_{2i-1})$ . This implies that the elements  $y_{2i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq [(n+1)/2] - 1$  are generators only in the homology of  $\Omega_0 SO(2n+1)$  with coefficients where 2 is not invertible. Consider the rational elements  $p_k$  defined by the recursion formula

$$(10) \quad p_k - p_{k-1}y_1 + \dots \pm ky_k = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq 2n-1 \quad \text{where } p_0 = 1.$$

The relations in  $H_*(\Omega_0 SO(2n+1); \mathbb{Z})$  imply that only  $p_1, p_3, \dots, p_{2n-1}$  are non zero. According to [3] the elements  $p_1, p_3, \dots, p_{2[n/2]-1}, 2p_{2[n/2]+1}, \dots, 2p_{2n-1}$  span the subspace of primitive elements in  $H_*(\Omega_0 SO(2n+1); \mathbb{Z})$ . These elements are obtained by transgressing the elements in  $H_*(SO(2n+1); \mathbb{Z})$  which are the Poincare duals of the

universal transgressive generators  $\bar{\sigma}_1, \dots, \bar{\sigma}_n$  in  $H^*(SO(2n + 1); \mathbb{Z})$ . The generators  $\bar{\sigma}_1, \dots, \bar{\sigma}_n$  map to the symmetric polynomials  $\sigma_i(x_1^2, \dots, x_n^2)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  generating the free part in  $H^*(BSO(2n + 1); \mathbb{Z})$ . In this way we see that  $p_1, p_3, \dots, 2p_{2[n/2]+1}, \dots, 2p_{2n-1}$  rationalise to the rational generators  $b_i$  in  $H_*(\Omega_0SO(2n + 1); \mathbb{Q})$  (see Theorem 3.2).

REMARK 4.2. If we denote the generators of  $H_*(\Omega_0SO(2n + 1); \mathbb{Z})$  by  $y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n, \dots, y_{2n-1}$ , then the relations are slightly more complicated and they are given by

$$y_i^2 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{i, n-1-i\}} (-1)^k y_{i-k} y_{i+k} + \sum_{k=n-i}^i (-1)^k y_{i-k} y_{i+k} = 0$$

where  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ .

Proof. Recall that  $SO(2n + 1)/T^n \cong Spin(2n + 1)/T^n$  implying that  $\Omega(SO(2n + 1)/T^n) \cong \Omega(Spin(2n + 1)/T^n)$ . It is known that  $\Omega Spin(2n + 1) \cong \Omega_0SO(2n + 1)$ , see for example [10]. Consider the morphism of two extensions of algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_*(\Omega_0SO(2n + 1); \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_*(\Omega(SO(2n + 1)/T^n); \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_*(T^n; \mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_*(\Omega_0SO(2n + 1); \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H_*(\Omega(SO(2n + 1)/T^n); \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H_*(T^n; \mathbb{Q}). \end{array}$$

By Remark 4.1, we have that all the generators  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  of  $H_*(\Omega_0SO(2n + 1); \mathbb{Q})$  are in the rationalisation of the integral elements  $p_1, p_3, \dots, p_{2[n/2]-1}, 2p_{2[n/2]+1}, \dots, 2p_{2n-1}$  of  $H_*(\Omega_0SO(2n + 1); \mathbb{Z})$ . Since the map between two algebra extensions is a monomorphism, we conclude that in  $H_*(\Omega(SO(2n + 1)/T^n); \mathbb{Z})$  the following relations hold

$$\begin{aligned} x_k x_l + x_l x_k &= p_1 && \text{for } 1 \leq k, l \leq n, k \neq l, \\ x_k^2 &= p_1 && \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ x_k p_{2l-1} &= p_{2l-1} x_k && \text{for } 2 \leq k, l \leq n, \\ p_{2k-1} p_{2l-1} &= p_{2l-1} p_{2k-1} && \text{for } 2 \leq k, l \leq n \end{aligned}$$

as these elements map to zero in  $H_*(\Omega(SO(2n + 1)/T^n); \mathbb{Q})$ . Note that  $p_1 = y_1$ , which gives that  $y_1 = x_1^2$  in  $H_*(\Omega(SO(2n + 1)/T^n); \mathbb{Z})$ .

The fact that differs this case from the case of  $SU(n + 1)$  or  $Sp(n)$  is that these integral elements  $p_1, \dots, 2p_{2n-1}$  that map onto rational generators, do not produce all the generators in  $H_*(\Omega_0SO(2n + 1); \mathbb{Z})$ . Nevertheless, since there is no torsion in homology, we can also deduce from the rational homology calculations that there is a split extension of algebras

$$1 \rightarrow H_*(\Omega_0SO(2n + 1); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(\Omega(SO(2n + 1)/T^n); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(T; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 1.$$

We have that  $y_{2i-1}$  survive as the generators in  $H_*(\Omega(SO(2n + 1)/T^n); \mathbb{Z})$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n$  using the relations coming from  $H_*(\Omega_0SO(2n + 1); \mathbb{Z})$  and the fact that the integral elements  $p_3, \dots, 2p_{2n-1}$  rationalise to the generators  $b_2, \dots, b_n$  in  $H_*(\Omega(SO(2n + 1)/T^n); \mathbb{Q})$ .

Therefore, in order to verify the above splitting we need to show that the generators  $y_{2i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq [(n + 1)/2]$  in  $H_*(\Omega_0SO(2n + 1); \mathbb{Z})$  survive as generators in  $H_*(\Omega(SO(2n + 1)/T^n); \mathbb{Z})$ . We prove this by induction on  $i$ . If  $y_2$  is not a generator in  $H_*(\Omega(SO(2n + 1)/T^n); \mathbb{Z})$ , then it can be expressed as

$$y_2 = \alpha x_1^4 + \sum_{i=2}^n \beta_i x_1^3 x_i + \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} \gamma_{ij} x_1^2 x_i x_j + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k < l \leq n} \delta_{ijkl} x_i x_j x_k x_l,$$

where  $\alpha, \beta_i, \gamma_{ij}, \delta_{ijkl}$  are integers. On the other hand, in  $H_*(\Omega_0SO(2n + 1); \mathbb{Z})$  we have that  $2y_2 = y_1^2$  which translates to  $2y_2 = x_1^4$  in  $H_*(\Omega(SO(2n + 1)/T); \mathbb{Z})$ . This implies that  $\beta_i = \gamma_{ij} = \delta_{ijkl} = 0$ , and  $2\alpha = 1$ , which is impossible since  $\alpha$  is an integer. In the same way, assuming that  $y_{2i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k < [(n + 1)/2]$  are generators in  $H_*(\Omega(SO(2n + 1)/T^n); \mathbb{Z})$ , we prove that  $y_{2(k+1)}$  is a generator as well. If it were not, we would have

$$y_{2(k+1)} = \alpha y_{k+1}^2 + P(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_2, \dots, y_{2k+1})$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $P$  is a polynomial with integer coefficients which does not contain  $y_{k+1}^2$ . On the other hand, in the relation  $2y_{2(k+1)} = \pm(y_{k+1}^2 - 2y_k y_{k+2} - \dots \pm 2y_1 y_{2k+1})$  in  $H_*(\Omega_0SO(2n + 1); \mathbb{Z})$ , when translating to  $H_*(\Omega(SO(2n + 1)/T^n); \mathbb{Z})$  we have by the inductive hypothesis that  $y_{k+1}^2$  can not be eliminated. This implies that the coefficient  $\alpha$  satisfies  $2\alpha = \pm 1$  which is impossible.

We are left with a verification of the commutator relations in  $H_*(\Omega(SO(2n + 1)/T^n); \mathbb{Z})$ . Since  $2y_2 = x_1^4$ , we have  $2y_2 x_i = x_1^4 x_i = x_i x_1^4 = 2x_i y_2$ , that is,  $y_2 x_i = x_i y_2$ . Now by induction on  $k$ , we prove that  $y_k x_j = x_j y_k$  for an arbitrary  $y_k$ . For  $k$  odd, relation (10) together with the inductive hypothesis gives that  $x_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  commutes with  $y_k$ . Let  $k$  be even. Since  $\deg y_i$  is even for any  $i$ , each monomial in the polynomial  $P(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_2, \dots, y_{k-1}) = 2y_k$  contains even number of generators  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Using now the inductive hypothesis, we have that every  $x_i$  commutes with  $P$  and thus with  $y_k$ . □

**Theorem 4.4.** *The integral Pontrjagin homology ring of the based loop space on  $SO(2n)/T^n$  is given by*

$$H_*(\Omega(SO(2n)/T^n); \mathbb{Z}) \cong (T(x_1, \dots, x_n) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_{n-2}, y_{n-1} + z, y_{n-1} - z, 2y_n, \dots, 2y_{2(n-1)}])/I$$

where  $I$  is generated by

$$\begin{aligned} &x_1^2 - y_1, x_i^2 - x_{i+1}^2 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n - 1, \\ &x_k x_l + x_l x_k \quad \text{for } k \neq l, \\ &y_i^2 - 2y_{i-1} y_{i+1} + 2y_{i-2} y_{i+2} - \dots \pm 2y_{2i} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n - 2, \\ &(y_{n-1} + z)(y_{n-1} - z) - 2y_{n-1} y_{n+1} + \dots \pm 2y_{2(n-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\deg x_i = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\deg y_i = 2i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$ ,  $\deg(y_{n-1} + z) = \deg(y_{n-1} - z) = 2(n - 1)$ ,  $\deg 2y_i = 2i$  for  $n \leq i \leq 2(n - 1)$  and  $y_0 = 1$ .

REMARK 4.3. Recall from [3] that the algebra  $H_*(\Omega_0SO(2n); \mathbb{Z})$  is generated by the elements  $y_1, \dots, y_{n-2}, y_{n-1} + z, y_{n-1} - z, 2y_n, \dots, 2y_{2(n-1)}$  which satisfy the relations

$$y_i^2 - 2y_{i-1}y_{i+1} + 2y_{i-2}y_{i+2} - \dots \pm 2y_{2i} = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n - 2,$$

$$(y_{n-1} + z)(y_{n-1} - z) - 2y_{n-1}y_{n+1} + \dots \pm 2y_{2(n-1)} = 0.$$

As in previous case, these relations eliminate  $2y_{2i}$  as generators for  $[(n + 1)/2] \leq i \leq n - 2$ , while for  $1 \leq i \leq [(n + 1)/2] - 1$ , they induce new relations on  $y_{2i}$  implying that  $y_{2i}$  are generators only in the homology of  $\Omega_0SO(2n)$  with coefficients where 2 is not invertible. The subspace of primitive elements in  $H_*(\Omega_0SO(2n); \mathbb{Z})$  is spanned by the elements  $p_1, p_3, \dots, p_{n-2}, 2z, 2p_n, \dots, 2p_{2(n-1)-1}$  for  $n$  odd and by the elements  $p_1, p_3, \dots, p_{n-1}, 2z, 2p_{n+1}, \dots, 2p_{2(n-2)+1}$  for  $n$  even. These primitive generators are obtained by transgressing the elements in  $H_*(SO(2n); \mathbb{Z})$  which are the Poincare duals of the universal transgressive generators  $\bar{\sigma}_1, \dots, \bar{\sigma}_{n-1}, \bar{\lambda}$  in  $H^*(SO(2n); \mathbb{Z})$ . The generators  $\bar{\sigma}_1, \dots, \bar{\sigma}_{n-1}, \bar{\lambda}$  map to the polynomials  $\sigma_i(x_1^2, \dots, x_n^2)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$  and  $\lambda = x_1 \cdots x_n$  which generate the free part in  $H^*(BSO(2n); \mathbb{Z})$ .

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 4.3. □

**4.4. The integral homology of  $\Omega(G_2/T^2)$ .**

**Theorem 4.5.** *The integral Pontrjagin homology ring of  $\Omega(G_2/T^2)$  is given by*

$$H_*(\Omega(G_2/T^2); \mathbb{Z}) \cong (T(x_1, x_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y_1, y_2, y_5]) / \langle x_1x_2 + x_2x_1 = x_1^2 = x_2^2 = 2y_1, 2y_2 = x_1^4 \rangle,$$

where  $\deg x_1 = \deg x_2 = 1$ ,  $\deg y_2 = 4$ , and  $\deg y_5 = 10$ .

REMARK 4.4. The integral homology algebra of  $\Omega G_2$  has the following form [3]:

$$H_*(\Omega G_2; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[y_1, y_2, y_5] / \langle 2y_2 - y_1^2 \rangle,$$

with  $\deg y_1 = 2$ ,  $\deg y_2 = 4$ , and  $\deg y_5 = 10$ .

Proof. Consider a morphism of two extensions of algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_*(\Omega G_2; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_*(\Omega(G_2/T^2); \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_*(T^2; \mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_*(\Omega G_2; \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H_*(\Omega(G_2/T^2); \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H_*(T^2; \mathbb{Q}). \end{array}$$

In  $H_*(\Omega G_2; \mathbb{Q})$  the generators  $b_1$  and  $b_5$  are the rationalisations of the integral elements  $y_1$  and  $y_5$  in  $H_*(\Omega G_2; \mathbb{Z})$ . It follows that the relations between  $x_1, x_2$  and between  $y_1, y_5$  in  $H_*(\Omega(G_2/T^2); \mathbb{Z})$  are lifted from the relations on their rationalisations. We further show that there is a split extensions of algebras

$$1 \rightarrow H_*(\Omega G_2; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(\Omega(G_2/T^2); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(T^2; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 1.$$

To deduce the splitting above, we use that there is no torsion in the corresponding homologies. We first need to show that the generator  $y_2 \in H_*(\Omega G_2; \mathbb{Z})$  survives as a generator in  $H_*(\Omega(G_2/T^2); \mathbb{Z})$ . If it were not, we would have that  $y_2 = \alpha x_1^4 + \beta x_1^3 x_2$ , and that  $2y_2 = x_1^4$  using the relations in  $H_*(\Omega G_2; \mathbb{Z})$ . This would imply that  $2\alpha = 1$  which is impossible since  $\alpha$  is an integer. Since  $2y_2 = x_1^4$  and there is no torsion in homology, using already established relations, we get that  $y_2$  commutes with other generators in  $H_*(\Omega(G_2/T^2); \mathbb{Z})$ . □

**4.5. The integral homology of  $\Omega(F_4/T^4)$ .**

**Theorem 4.6.** *The integral Pontrjagin homology ring of  $\Omega(F_4/T^4)$  is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} &H_*(\Omega(F_4/T^4); \mathbb{Z}) \\ &\cong (T(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y_1, y_2, y_3, y_5, y_7, y_{11}])/I \end{aligned}$$

where  $I = \langle x_i^2 = 3y_1, 1 \leq i \leq 4, x_i x_j = x_j x_i, i \neq j, 2y_2 = x_1^4, 3y_3 = x_1^2 y_2 \rangle$ , where  $\deg x_i = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ , and  $\deg y_i = 2i$  for  $i = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11$ .

REMARK 4.5. The integral homology algebra  $H_*(\Omega F_4; \mathbb{Z})$  is computed in [16] and it is given by

$$H_*(\Omega F_4; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[y_1, y_2, y_3, y_5, y_7, y_{11}]/\langle y_1^2 - 2y_2, y_1 y_2 - 3y_3 \rangle.$$

Proof. As in the previous cases, we first prove that there is a split extension of algebras

$$1 \rightarrow H_*(\Omega F_4; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(\Omega(F_4/T^4); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(T^4; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 1.$$

Since there is no torsion in homology, the rational homology calculations for  $\Omega(F_4/T^4)$  gives that it is enough to prove that  $y_2$  and  $y_3$  survive as generators in  $H_*(\Omega(F_4/T^4); \mathbb{Z})$ . If  $y_2$  were not a generator in  $H_*(\Omega(F_4/T^4); \mathbb{Z})$ , we would have  $y_2 = \alpha x_1^4 + \sum_{i=2}^4 \alpha_i x_1^3 x_i + \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq 4} \alpha_{ij} x_1^2 x_i x_j + \beta x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4$  for some  $\alpha, \alpha_i, \alpha_{ij}, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ . On the other hand, the relation  $2y_2 = y_1^2$  from  $H_*(\Omega F_4; \mathbb{Z})$  becomes  $2y_2 = x_1^4$  in  $H_*(\Omega(F_4/T^4); \mathbb{Z})$ . This implies that  $2\alpha = 1$  which is impossible. In the similar way we prove that  $y_3$  is also a generator in  $H_*(\Omega(F_4/T^4); \mathbb{Z})$ . If it were not, we would have  $y_3 = \alpha x_1^6 + \sum_{i=2}^4 \alpha_i x_1^5 x_i + \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq 4} x_1^4 x_i x_j + \beta x_1^3 x_2 x_3 x_4 + \delta x_1^2 y_2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \delta_{ij} x_i x_j y_2$ . From  $H_*(\Omega F_4; \mathbb{Z})$ , we also have that  $3y_3 = x_1^2 y_2$ . This together leads to  $3\delta = 1$  which is impossible. □

**4.6. The integral homology of  $\Omega(E_6/T^6)$ .**

REMARK 4.6. The integral homology algebra  $H_*(\Omega E_6; \mathbb{Z})$  is described in [11] and it is given by

$$H_*(\Omega E_6; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_7, y_8, y_{11}] / \langle y_1^2 - 2y_2, y_1y_2 - 3y_3 \rangle,$$

where  $\deg y_i = 2i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11$ .

Using the same argument as for the previous cases, we deduce the integral Pontrjagin homology of the based loop space on  $E_6/T^6$ .

**Theorem 4.7.** *The integral Pontrjagin homology ring of  $\Omega(E_6/T^6)$  is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} &H_*(\Omega(E_6/T^6); \mathbb{Z}) \\ &\cong (T(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_7, y_8, y_{11}]) / I \end{aligned}$$

where  $I = \langle x_k^2 = x_p x_q + x_q x_p = 12y_1$  for  $1 \leq k, p, q \leq 6, 2y_2 = x_1^4, 3y_3 = x_1^2 y_2 \rangle$  and where  $\deg x_i = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 6$ , and  $\deg y_i = 2i$  for  $i = 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The authors would like to take this opportunity to thank Professor Ralph Cohen for his helpful suggestions and kind encouragement.

---

**References**

- [1] A.K. Bousfield and V.K.A.M. Gugenheim: On PL de Rham Theory and Rational Homotopy Type, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **8**, 1976.
- [2] A. Borel: *Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie compacts*, Ann. of Math. (2) **57** (1953), 115–207.
- [3] R. Bott: *The space of loops on a Lie group*, Michigan Math. J. **5** (1958), 35–61.
- [4] R. Bott and H. Samelson: *The cohomology ring of  $G/T$* , Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **41** (1955), 490–493.
- [5] R. Bott and H. Samelson: *Applications of the theory of Morse to symmetric spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 964–1029.
- [6] H.S.M. Coxeter: *The product of the generators of a finite group generated by reflections*, Duke Math. J. **18** (1951), 765–782.
- [7] Y. Félix, S. Halperin and J.-C. Thomas: *Rational Homotopy Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **205**, Springer, New York, 2001.
- [8] M.L. Mehta: *Basic sets of invariant polynomials for finite reflection groups*, Comm. Algebra **16** (1988), 1083–1098.
- [9] J.W. Milnor and J.C. Moore: *On the structure of Hopf algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **81** (1965), 211–264.
- [10] M. Mimura and H. Toda: *Topology of Lie Groups, I, II*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs **91**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.

- [11] M. Nakagawa: *The space of loops on the exceptional Lie group  $E_6$* , Osaka J. Math. **40** (2003), 429–448.
- [12] A.L. Onishchik: *Topologiya Tranzitivnykh Grupp Preobrazovaniy (Topology of Transitive Transformation Groups)*, Fizmatlit “Nauka”, Moscow, 1995, (Russian).
- [13] L. Smith: *Cohomology of  $\Omega(G/U)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 399–404.
- [14] S. Terzić: *Real cohomology of generalized symmetric spaces*, Fundam. Prikl. Mat. **7** (2001), 131–157, (Russian).
- [15] H. Toda and T. Watanabe: *The integral cohomology ring of  $F_4/T$  and  $E_6/T$* , J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **14** (1974), 257–286.
- [16] T. Watanabe: *The homology of the loop space of the exceptional group  $F_4$* , Osaka J. Math. **15** (1978), 463–474.

Jelena Grbić  
School of Mathematics  
University of Manchester  
Manchester M13 9PL  
United Kingdom  
e-mail: jelena.grbic@manchester.ac.uk

Svjetlana Terzić  
Faculty of Science  
University of Montenegro  
Podgorica  
Montenegro  
e-mail: sterzic@ac.me