



Title	Isolation phenomena for quaternionic Yang-Mills connections
Author(s)	Taniguchi, Tadashi
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1998, 35(1), p. 147-164
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/9071">https://doi.org/10.18910/9071</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

# ISOLATION PHENOMENA FOR QUATERNIONIC YANG-MILLS CONNECTIONS

TADASHI TANIGUCHI

(Received December 12, 1994)

## 1. Introduction and statement of results

In this paper, we shall study a certain class of Yang-Mills connections on a quaternionic Kähler manifold, called *quaternionic Yang-Mills connections*.

Our basic setting is the following. Let  $E$  be an associated Riemannian vector bundle of a principal bundle with a compact Lie group  $G$  as the structure group over a compact oriented Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the space of connections on  $E$ . For a connection  $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}$ , we denote by  $d^\nabla$  and  $\delta^\nabla$  the covariant exterior derivative and its formal adjoint respectively acting on  $\text{End}(E)$ -valued  $p$ -forms.

The Yang-Mills energy functional  $YM : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$YM(\nabla) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \|F^\nabla\|^2 dv_g,$$

where  $F^\nabla$  is the curvature of a connection  $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}$ . A connection  $\nabla$  is called a *Yang-Mills connection*, if  $\nabla$  is a critical point of the Yang-Mills energy functional  $YM(\nabla)$ ; namely, if it satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\delta^\nabla F^\nabla = 0.$$

By the Bianchi identity  $d^\nabla F^\nabla = 0$ , the Euler-Lagrange equation is equivalent to

$$\Delta^\nabla F^\nabla = 0,$$

which says that  $F^\nabla$  is harmonic, where  $\Delta^\nabla = d^\nabla \delta^\nabla + \delta^\nabla d^\nabla$ .

Nitta ([6]), Mamone Capria-Salamon ([2]) independently found higher dimensional analogues of the notion of self-dual and anti-self-dual connections on a quaternionic Kähler manifold. A quaternionic Kähler manifold is a Riemannian  $4n$ -manifold whose holonomy group lies in  $Sp(n) \cdot Sp(1)$ ,  $n > 1$ . In the case of  $n = 1$ , we add the condition that  $M$  is Einstein and half-conformally flat. The bundle of 2-forms on a quaternionic Kähler manifold  $(M, g)$  has the following irreducible decomposition as a representation of  $Sp(n) \cdot Sp(1)$ :

$$(1.1) \quad \wedge^2 T^*M = S^2\mathbb{H} \oplus S^2\mathbb{E} \oplus (S^2\mathbb{H} \oplus S^2\mathbb{E})^\perp,$$

where  $\mathbb{H}$  and  $\mathbb{E}$  are the vector bundles associated with the standard representations of  $Sp(1)$  and  $Sp(n)$ , respectively. Corresponding to the decomposition (1.1), we write the curvature  $F^\nabla$  as

$$F^\nabla = F^1 + F^2 + F^3,$$

where  $F^1 \in \Gamma(M; S^2\mathbb{H} \otimes \text{End}(E))$ ,  $F^2 \in \Gamma(M; S^2\mathbb{E} \otimes \text{End}(E))$  and  $F^3 \in \Gamma(M; (S^2\mathbb{H} \oplus S^2\mathbb{E})^\perp \otimes \text{End}(E))$ . A connection  $\nabla$  is said to be  $c_i$ -self-dual ( $i=1, 2$  or  $3$ ) if  $F^j = 0$  for all  $j \neq i$ . In the case of  $n = 1$ , we have  $F^1 = F^+$ ,  $F^2 = F^-$  and  $F^3 = 0$  where  $F^+$  (resp.  $F^-$ ) is the (resp. anti-) self-dual part of the curvature  $F^\nabla$ . We shall confine ourself to the case where  $(M, g)$  is a compact quaternionic Kähler  $4n$ -manifold.

Recall that each  $c_i$ -self-dual connection is a Yang-Mills connection (cf. [6], [2], [3]). Moreover, if  $M$  is compact, a  $c_1$  or  $c_2$ -self-dual connection is minimizing the Yang-Mills energy functional  $YM(\nabla)$  (cf. [3], [2]). As far as we know, there is no example of non-flat  $c_3$ -self-dual connections. If they exist, they are believed to be unstable. Indeed, it is known ([7]) that any non-flat  $c_3$ -self-dual connection over the quaternionic projective space  $\mathbb{H}P^n$  is, if it exists, unstable. Nagatomo ([5]) proved that there is a unique non-flat  $c_1$ -self-dual connection over any simply-connected quaternionic Kähler  $4n$ -manifold with  $n > 1$ .

Let us recall some results on Yang-Mills connections. Bourguignon and Lawson ([1]) discussed gap-phenomena for Yang-Mills connections. They gave explicit  $C^0$ -neighborhoods of the minimal Yang-Mills fields which contain no other Yang-Mills fields up to gauge equivalent. They obtained the following.

**Theorem A.** ([1]) *Let  $\nabla$  be a Yang-Mills connection on  $(S^4, g_0)$ . If the self-dual part  $F^+$  of the curvature of  $\nabla$  satisfies the pointwise inequality  $\|F^+\|^2 < 3$ , then  $F^+ = 0$ . The same is true for the anti-self-dual part  $F^-$  of the curvature of  $\nabla$ .*

They next examined the case where the inequality  $\|F^\nabla\|^2 < 3$  is relaxed on  $(S^4, g_0)$ .

**Theorem B.** ([1]) *Let  $\nabla$  be a Yang-Mills connection on a Riemannian vector bundle  $E$  over  $(S^4, g_0)$ . If  $F^\nabla$  satisfies the pointwise inequality  $\|F^\nabla\|^2 \leq 3$ , then either  $E$  is flat or  $E = E_0 \oplus S$  where  $E_0$  is flat and where  $S$  is one of the 4-dimensional bundles of tangent spinors with the canonical Riemannian connections.*

The purpose of this paper is to generalize these results to quaternionic Kähler manifolds. We introduce the following notion for connections:

**DEFINITION 1.1.** A connection  $\nabla$  on a Riemannian vector bundle over a compact quaternionic Kähler manifold is called a *quaternionic Yang-Mills connection*

if  $\Delta^\nabla(F^\nabla \wedge \Omega^{n-1}) = 0$  where  $\Omega$  is the fundamental 4-form on  $(M, g)$  (See §2).

Note that in the case of  $n = 1$ , the quaternionic Yang-Mills connections are the Yang-Mills connections, and vice versa. It is easy to see that the  $c_1$ -,  $c_2$ - and  $c_3$ -self-dual connections introduced above are quaternionic Yang-Mills connections.

**Proposition 1.1.** *If a connection  $\nabla$  is a quaternionic Yang-Mills connection, then  $\nabla$  is a Yang-Mills connection.*

We shall give a proof of Proposition 1.1 in § 3.

Wolf ([9]) classified the compact simply-connected quaternionic Kähler symmetric spaces, called *Wolf spaces*. The only examples of the Wolf spaces are the following.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbb{H}P^n, & Gr_2(\mathbb{C}^{n+2}), & Gr_4(\mathbb{R}^{n+4}), & \frac{G_2}{SO(4)}, \\ \frac{F_4}{Sp(3) \cdot Sp(1)}, & \frac{E_6}{SU(6) \cdot Sp(1)}, & \frac{E_7}{Spin(12) \cdot Sp(1)}, & \frac{E_8}{E_7 \cdot Sp(1)}. \end{array}$$

From now on, we suppose that  $(M, g)$  is a Wolf space. Note that the Riemannian curvature operator  $R$  acting on  $\wedge^2 TM$  has also a splitting  $R = R_1 + R_2 + R_3$  with respect to the decomposition (1.1). By ([4]) we can write the curvature operator  $R_i$  as  $R_i = \mu_i I_{\wedge^2 TM}$  where  $\mu_i$  ( $i = 1$  or  $2$ ) is a positive constant. Since  $R_3$  is negative semi-definite, we put  $\mu_3 = 0$ . We set  $\lambda_i = s/(2n) - 2\mu_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$  or  $3$ ) where  $s$  is the scalar curvature of  $(M, g)$ . Then we shall state the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\nabla$  be a quaternionic Yang-Mills connection on a Wolf space  $(M, g)$ , ( $n \geq 1$ ), and assume  $F^3 = 0$ , i.e., the  $c_3$ -self-dual part  $F^3$  of the curvature of  $\nabla$  vanishes.*

(1) *If the  $c_1$ -self-dual part  $F^1$  of the curvature of  $\nabla$  satisfies the pointwise inequality*

$$\|F^1\|^2 < \frac{n(4n-1)\lambda_1^2}{16(2n-1)^2},$$

*then  $F^1 = 0$ , that is,  $\nabla$  is a  $c_2$ -self-dual connection.*

(2) *If the  $c_2$ -self-dual part  $F^2$  of the curvature of  $\nabla$  satisfies the pointwise inequality*

$$\|F^2\|^2 < \frac{n(4n-1)\lambda_2^2}{16(2n-1)^2},$$

*then  $F^2 = 0$ , that is,  $\nabla$  is a  $c_1$ -self-dual connection.*

Theorem 1.1 for  $M = \mathbb{H}P^1$  coincides with Theorem A. It seems that the assumption  $F^3 = 0$  is necessary to get the generalization of Theorem A. We next show that the  $c_3$ -self-dual connections can be characterized as follows if they exist.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\nabla$  be a quaternionic Yang-Mills connection on a Wolf space  $(M, g)$ ,  $(n \geq 1)$ . If the  $c_1$ -self-dual part  $F^1$  and the  $c_2$ -self-dual part  $F^2$  of the curvature of  $\nabla$  respectively satisfy the pointwise inequalities*

$$\|F^1\|^2 < \frac{n(4n-1)\lambda_1^2}{16(2n-1)^2}, \quad \|F^2\|^2 < \frac{n(4n-1)\lambda_2^2}{16(2n-1)^2},$$

*then  $F^1 = F^2 = 0$ , that is,  $\nabla$  is a  $c_3$ -self-dual connection.*

To generalize Theorem B, we suppose that the base manifold  $M$  is a quaternionic projective space  $(\mathbb{H}P^n, g_0)$ . Let  $g_0$  be the Riemannian metric on  $\mathbb{H}P^n$  with the scalar curvature  $s = 4n(2n-1)(n+2)$ . With respect to this metric  $g_0$ , we calculate  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  of Theorem 1.1. Then we can read Theorem 1.1 as follows.

**Corollary 1.1.** *Let  $\nabla$  be a quaternionic Yang-Mills connection on  $(\mathbb{H}P^n, g_0)$ ,  $(n \geq 1)$ , and assume that  $F^3 = 0$ .*

(1) *If  $F^1$  satisfies the pointwise inequality*

$$\|F^1\|^2 < n(4n-1),$$

*then  $F^1 = 0$ , that is,  $\nabla$  is a  $c_2$ -self-dual connection.*

(2) *If  $F^2$  satisfies the pointwise inequality*

$$\|F^2\|^2 < \frac{n(4n-1)(n+1)^2}{4},$$

*then  $F^2 = 0$ , that is,  $\nabla$  is a  $c_1$ -self-dual connection.*

Using Corollary 1.1, we examine what happens when the inequality  $\|F^\nabla\|^2 < n(4n-1)$  is relaxed on  $(\mathbb{H}P^n, g_0)$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\nabla$  be a quaternionic Yang-Mills connection on a Riemannian vector bundle  $E$  with any structure group  $G$  over  $(\mathbb{H}P^n, g_0)$ ,  $(n \geq 1)$ , and assume that  $F^3 = 0$ . If  $F^\nabla$  satisfies the pointwise inequality  $\|F^\nabla\|^2 \leq n(4n-1)$ , then either  $E$  is a flat vector bundle or  $E = E_0 \oplus \mathbb{H}$ , where  $E_0$  is a flat vector bundle and where  $\mathbb{H}$  is the tautological quaternion line bundle.*

In the case of  $n = 1$ , Theorem 1.3 coincides with Theorem B. We next obtain the following theorem in which the assumption of  $F^3 = 0$  is not necessary.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $\nabla$  be a quaternionic Yang-Mills connection on a Wolf space  $(M, g)$ ,  $(n \geq 2)$ .*

(1) *If  $F^1$ ,  $F^2$  and  $F^3$  satisfy the pointwise inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} \|F^1\| &< \frac{\lambda_1}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ \|F^3\| &< \frac{\lambda_3}{\sqrt{2}} - (n+3)\|F^1\| - \|F^2\|, \end{aligned}$$

*then  $F^1 = F^3 = 0$ , that is,  $\nabla$  is a  $c_2$ -self-dual connection. Moreover if  $\nabla$  is non-flat, then the  $c_2$ -self-dual part  $F^2$  satisfies*

$$\frac{\lambda_2}{\sqrt{2}} \leq \|F^2\| < \frac{\lambda_3}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

(2) *If  $F^1$ ,  $F^2$  and  $F^3$  satisfy the pointwise inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} \|F^2\| &< \frac{\lambda_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ \|F^3\| &< \frac{\lambda_3}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{3n+4}{n}\|F^2\| - \|F^1\|, \end{aligned}$$

*then  $F^2 = F^3 = 0$ , that is,  $\nabla$  is a  $c_1$ -self-dual connection. Moreover if  $\nabla$  is non-flat, then the  $c_1$ -self-dual part  $F^1$  satisfies*

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{\sqrt{2}} \leq \|F^1\| < \frac{\lambda_3}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we fix notation. Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact quaternionic Kähler  $4n$ -manifold, and  $P$  a principal  $G$ -bundle over  $(M, g)$  with a compact Lie group  $G$  as structure group. We denote by  $\mathfrak{g}$  the Lie algebra of  $G$ . For a faithful orthogonal representation  $\rho : G \rightarrow O(N)$ , we consider a Riemannian vector bundle  $E = P \times_\rho \mathbb{R}^N$  associated with  $P$  by  $\rho$ . Each connection on  $P$  corresponds to a connection  $\nabla$  on  $E$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the set of the connections on  $E$ . To each connection  $\nabla$  on  $E$ , the curvature  $F^\nabla$ , given by the formula  $F_{X,Y}^\nabla = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X,Y]}$  for tangent vectors  $X, Y$ , is a 2-form on  $M$  with values in the bundle  $\mathfrak{so}_E$  whose fibre  $\mathfrak{so}_{E,x}$ ,  $x \in M$ , consists of skew-symmetric endomorphisms of the fibre  $E_x$  of  $E$ . The pointwise norm of  $F^\nabla$  at each point  $x$  is given by

$$\|F^\nabla\|^2 = \sum_{i < j} \|F_{e_i, e_j}^\nabla\|^2,$$

where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{4n}\}$  is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space  $T_x M$ ,  $x \in M$ , and the inner product of the fibre  $\mathfrak{so}_{E,x}$  is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \langle A, B \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(A \circ B)$$

for  $A, B \in \mathfrak{so}_{E,x}$ . There exists a subbundle  $\mathfrak{g}_E$  of  $\mathfrak{so}_E$  corresponding to a bundle  $\mathfrak{g}_P = P \times_{Ad} \mathfrak{g}$  through  $\rho$ . Let  $A^p(\mathfrak{g}_E)$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq 4n$ , be the space of  $\mathfrak{g}_E$ -valued  $p$ -forms on  $M$ . We get the exterior differential  $d^\nabla : A^p(\mathfrak{g}_E) \longrightarrow A^{p+1}(\mathfrak{g}_E)$  and the adjoint operator  $\delta^\nabla : A^p(\mathfrak{g}_E) \longrightarrow A^{p-1}(\mathfrak{g}_E)$  corresponding to  $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}$ .  $\Delta^\nabla = d^\nabla \delta^\nabla + \delta^\nabla d^\nabla$  is the *Laplacian* for  $\mathfrak{g}_E$ -valued  $p$ -forms. There is another second order operator  $\nabla^* \nabla$ , called the *rough Laplacian*, acting on  $\mathfrak{g}_E$ -valued differential forms. It is given by the formula  $\nabla^* \nabla \varphi = -\sum_{j=1}^{4n} (\nabla_{e_j}^2 \varphi)$ ,  $\varphi \in A^p(\mathfrak{g}_E)$ , where  $\nabla_{X,Y}^2 = \nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_{D_X Y}$ .

The bundle of 2-forms on a quaternionic Kähler manifold  $M$  has the following irreducible decomposition as a representation of  $Sp(n) \cdot Sp(1)$ :

$$(2.2) \quad \wedge^2 T^* M = S^2 \mathbb{H} \oplus S^2 \mathbb{E} \oplus (S^2 \mathbb{H} \oplus S^2 \mathbb{E})^\perp,$$

where  $\mathbb{H}$  and  $\mathbb{E}$  are the vector bundles associated to the standard representations of  $Sp(1)$  and  $Sp(n)$ , respectively. A connection whose  $\mathfrak{g}_E$ -valued curvature 2-form lies in  $S^2 \mathbb{H}$ ,  $S^2 \mathbb{E}$  or  $(S^2 \mathbb{H} \oplus S^2 \mathbb{E})^\perp$  is called a  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  or  $c_3$ -self-dual connection respectively. Corresponding to the decomposition (2.2), we write the curvature  $F^\nabla$  as

$$F^\nabla = F^1 + F^2 + F^3.$$

In the case of  $n = 1$ , corresponding to the fact that  $SO(4) = Sp(1) \cdot Sp(1)$ ,  $\wedge^2 T^* M$  is decomposed as

$$(2.3) \quad \wedge^2 T^* M = \wedge_+^2 \oplus \wedge_-^2.$$

A connection whose  $\mathfrak{g}_E$ -valued curvature 2-form lies in  $\wedge_+^2$  or  $\wedge_-^2$  is called a self-dual or anti-self-dual connection respectively. Corresponding to the decomposition (2.3), we write the curvature  $F^\nabla$  as

$$F^\nabla = F^+ + F^-.$$

The associated bundles  $\mathbb{H}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}$  for this case are precisely the half-spinor bundles of  $M$ . The vector bundle  $S^2 \mathbb{H}$  is a subbundle of  $\text{End}(TM)$  of real rank 3. Locally  $S^2 \mathbb{H}$  has a basis  $\{I, J, K\}$  satisfying

$$I^2 = J^2 = -1, \quad IJ = -JI = K.$$

The metric  $g$  on  $M$  satisfies  $g(IX, IY) = g(JX, JY) = g(KX, KY) = g(X, Y)$  for all  $X, Y \in T_x M$ . Local 2-forms  $\{\omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K\}$  are defined by

$$\omega_I(X, Y) = g(IX, Y), \quad \omega_J(X, Y) = g(JX, Y), \quad \omega_K(X, Y) = g(KX, Y).$$

$\{\omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K\}$  is a local orthogonal frame of  $S^2\mathbb{H}$ . We define a global 4-form  $\Omega$  by

$$\Omega = \omega_I \wedge \omega_I + \omega_J \wedge \omega_J + \omega_K \wedge \omega_K.$$

$\Omega$  is a nondegenerate and parallel form on  $M$ , called the *fundamental 4-form* on  $M$ . A connection  $\nabla$  on the quaternionic Kähler  $4n$ -manifold  $(M, g)$  is a  $c_i$ -self-dual connection ( $i = 1, 2$  or  $3$ ) if and only if its curvature  $F^\nabla$  satisfies

$$(2.4) \quad *F^\nabla = c_i F^\nabla \wedge \Omega^{n-1},$$

where  $*$  is the Hodge star operator and  $c_1 = (6n)/((2n+1)!)$ ,  $c_2 = -1/((2n-1)!)$  and  $c_3 = 3/((2n-1)!)$  ([3]). Note that the equation (2.4) can be viewed as the self-dual or anti-self-dual equation on a oriented Riemannian 4-manifold.

Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact quaternionic Kähler  $4n$ -manifold. At each point, we consider  $F^\nabla$  as a linear map

$$F^\nabla : \wedge^2 TM \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_E.$$

In  $\wedge^2 TM$  we have the identities

$$(2.5) \quad [e_i \wedge e_j, e_k \wedge e_l] = \delta_{il} e_k \wedge e_j + \delta_{jl} e_i \wedge e_k + \delta_{ik} e_j \wedge e_l + \delta_{jk} e_l \wedge e_i$$

for all  $i, j, k, l$ , where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{4n}\}$  is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space  $T_x M$ . For any  $\varphi$  in  $A^2(\mathfrak{g}_E)$ , the Bochner-Weitzenböck formula is

$$\langle \Delta^\nabla \varphi, \varphi \rangle - \langle \nabla^* \nabla \varphi, \varphi \rangle = \langle \varphi \circ \left( \frac{s}{2n} I - 2R \right), \varphi \rangle - \rho(\varphi),$$

where

$$\rho(\varphi) = \langle \kappa(\varphi), \varphi \rangle = \langle [F^\nabla, \varphi], \varphi \rangle, \quad \kappa(\varphi)_{X,Y} = \sum_{i=1}^{4n} \{ [F_{e_i, X}^\nabla, \varphi_{e_i, Y}] - [F_{e_i, Y}^\nabla, \varphi_{e_i, X}] \}$$

and  $R$  is the Riemannian curvature operator acting on  $\wedge^2 TM$ .

For  $\varphi = F^\nabla$ , this formula implies that

$$(2.6) \quad \langle \Delta F^\nabla, F^\nabla \rangle - \langle \nabla^* \nabla F^\nabla, F^\nabla \rangle = \langle F^\nabla \circ \left( \frac{s}{2n} I - 2R \right), F^\nabla \rangle - \rho(F^\nabla),$$

where

$$(2.7) \quad \rho(F^\nabla) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{4n} \langle [F_{e_i, e_j}^\nabla, F_{e_j, e_k}^\nabla], F_{e_k, e_i}^\nabla \rangle.$$

We now examine the term  $\rho$  given by (2.7). We now introduce an inner product on the bundle  $\mathfrak{g}_E$  as follows. Recall that we have  $\mathfrak{g}_E \subseteq \mathfrak{so}_E$ , the bundle of skew-symmetric endomorphisms of  $E$ . Given two endomorphisms  $A$  and  $B$  of  $E_x$ , we



define  $\langle A, B \rangle := 1/2 \operatorname{tr}(^t A \circ B)$ . There is a natural bundle isomorphism  $\wedge^2 E \simeq \mathfrak{so}_E$  determined by the requirement that

$$(u \wedge v)(w) = \langle u, w \rangle v - \langle v, w \rangle u$$

for  $u, v, w \in E_x$ . The elements  $\{\xi_i \wedge \xi_j\}_{i < j}$  form an orthonormal basis of  $(\mathfrak{so}_E)_x$  whenever  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)$  is an orthonormal basis of  $E_x$ . In particular, there is a canonical isometry  $\wedge^2 TM \simeq \mathfrak{so}_M$ . We have also  $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \wedge^2 T_x M \simeq \mathfrak{so}(N)$ . For any Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with a fixed  $Ad$ -invariant inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , we have the associated fundamental 3-form  $\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}$  given by  $\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(X, Y, Z) = \langle [X, Y], Z \rangle$  for  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\Phi_{\wedge^2 TM}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \langle [\alpha, \beta], \gamma \rangle$  for  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \wedge^2 TM$ . We may rewrite (2.7) as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(F^\nabla) &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{4n} \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}_E}(F_{e_i, e_j}^\nabla, F_{e_j, e_k}^\nabla, F_{e_k, e_i}^\nabla) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{4n} (F^\nabla * \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}_E})(e_i \wedge e_j, e_j \wedge e_k, e_k \wedge e_i) \\ &= (F^\nabla * \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}_E}, \Phi_{\wedge^2 TM}), \end{aligned}$$

where, for notational convenience, we define the inner product in  $\wedge^3(\wedge^2 T^*M)$  by  $(\Phi, \Psi) = \sum_{U,V,W} \Phi(U, V, W) \Psi(U, V, W)$ , where  $U, V$  and  $W$  are an orthonormal basis of  $\wedge^2 TM$ . Therefore, we have the following basic result. Let  $F^\nabla$  be a curvature 2-form on  $E$  and let  $\lambda$  be the minimal eigenvalue of the operator  $(s/2n)I - 2R$  on 2-forms over a compact quaternionic Kähler manifold  $M$ . Then

$$(2.8) \quad \langle \nabla^* \nabla F^\nabla, F^\nabla \rangle - \langle \Delta^\nabla F^\nabla, F^\nabla \rangle \leq -\{\lambda \|F^\nabla\|^2 - (F^\nabla * \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}_E}, \Phi_{\wedge^2 TM})\}.$$

At each point  $x \in M$ , we want to estimate  $(F_x^\nabla * \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}_E}, \Phi_{\wedge^2 T_x M})$  in terms of  $\|F^\nabla\|^2$  where  $F_x^\nabla : \mathfrak{so}(4n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is a linear map and where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is any Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{so}(N)$ . Recall that an inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is induced from the canonical one on  $\mathfrak{so}(N)$  defined by  $\langle A, B \rangle = -(1/2) \operatorname{tr}(\rho(A) \cdot \rho(B))$ . Consequently  $F_x^\nabla * \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}} = F_x^\nabla * \Phi_{\mathfrak{so}(N)}$ . Therefore, in the argument of this paper, we can ignore  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

The norm  $\|\cdot\|$  induced by the inner product (2.1) has the property that

$$(2.9) \quad \|[A, B]\| \leq \sqrt{2} \|A\| \cdot \|B\|$$

for all  $A, B$  in which the equality holds if and only if the pair  $A, B$  is orthogonally equivalent to the following matrices:

$$(2.10) \quad \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{i} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{j} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right),$$

where

$$i = \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & -t & 0 & 0 \\ t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -t \\ 0 & 0 & t & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad j = \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & 0 & -t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t \\ t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -t & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

We shall also state the following result, which is used in proving our theorems.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $S = ((s_{ij}))$  be a symmetric  $4n \times 4n$  matrix with  $s_{ij} \geq 0$  and  $s_{ii} = 0$ . If  $\text{tr} S^2 = (4n(4n-1)\lambda^2)/((4n-2)^2 2^2)$  for any positive real number  $\lambda$ , then*

$$\text{tr} S^3 \leq \frac{4n(4n-1)\lambda^3}{(4n-2)^2 2^3}$$

*with equality holding if and only if  $s_{ij} = (\lambda)(4(2n-1))$ ,  $i \neq j$ .*

The proof of Lemma 2.1 is entirely similar to the argument for Lemma (5.14) in [1].

Denoting  $F_{e_i, e_j}^\alpha$  by  $F_{ij}^\alpha$ , we have the following.

**Proposition 2.1** ([3]). *Let  $F^1$ ,  $F^2$  and  $F^3$  be respectively the  $c_1$ -self-dual,  $c_2$ -self-dual and  $c_3$ -self-dual parts.*

(1) *The  $c_1$ -self-dual part  $F^1$  satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} F_{4k+1, 4k+2}^1 &= F_{4k+3, 4k+4}^1 = F_{4l+1, 4l+2}^1 = F_{4l+3, 4l+4}^1, \\ F_{4k+1, 4k+3}^1 &= F_{4k+4, 4k+2}^1 = F_{4l+1, 4l+3}^1 = F_{4l+4, 4l+2}^1, \\ F_{4k+1, 4k+4}^1 &= F_{4k+2, 4k+3}^1 = F_{4l+1, 4l+4}^1 = F_{4l+2, 4l+3}^1, \\ F_{4p+1, 4q+1}^1 &= F_{4p+2, 4q+2}^1 = F_{4p+3, 4q+3}^1 = F_{4p+4, 4q+4}^1 = 0, \\ &(\forall k, l, p, q), \\ F_{4p+\alpha, 4q+\beta}^1 &= 0, \quad (\forall p \neq q, \forall \alpha, \beta). \end{aligned}$$

(2) *The  $c_2$ -self-dual part  $F^2$  satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} F_{4k+1, 4k+2}^2 &= -F_{4k+3, 4k+4}^2, \\ F_{4k+1, 4k+3}^2 &= F_{4k+2, 4k+4}^2, \\ F_{4k+1, 4k+4}^2 &= -F_{4k+2, 4k+3}^2, \\ F_{4p+1, 4q+1}^2 &= F_{4p+2, 4q+2}^2 = F_{4p+3, 4q+3}^2 = F_{4p+4, 4q+4}^2, \\ F_{4p+1, 4q+2}^2 &= -F_{4p+2, 4q+1}^2 = -F_{4p+3, 4q+4}^2 = F_{4p+4, 4q+3}^2, \\ F_{4p+1, 4q+3}^2 &= F_{4p+2, 4q+4}^2 = -F_{4p+3, 4q+1}^2 = -F_{4p+4, 4q+2}^2, \\ F_{4p+1, 4q+4}^2 &= -F_{4p+2, 4q+3}^2 = F_{4p+3, 4q+2}^2 = -F_{4p+4, 4q+1}^2, \\ &(\forall k), \quad (0 \leq p < q \leq n-1). \end{aligned}$$

(3) The  $c_3$ -self-dual part  $F^3$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F_{4k+1,4k+2}^3 &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F_{4k+1,4k+3}^3 = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F_{4k+1,4k+4}^3 = 0, \\
F_{4p+1,4q+2}^3 + F_{4q+1,4p+2}^3 &= F_{4p+3,4q+4}^3 + F_{4q+3,4p+4}^3, \\
F_{4p+1,4q+3}^3 + F_{4q+1,4p+3}^3 &= -(F_{4p+2,4q+4}^3 + F_{4q+2,4p+4}^3), \\
F_{4p+1,4q+4}^3 + F_{4q+1,4p+4}^3 &= F_{4p+2,4q+3}^3 + F_{4q+2,4p+3}^3, \\
\sum_{\alpha=1}^4 F_{4p+\alpha,4q+\alpha}^3 &= 0, \\
&(\forall p, q).
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.1 follows from the argument for Theorem 2.2 in [3].

### 3. Some properties of quaternionic Kähler manifolds

In this section, we prepare a few propositions. First, we shall give a proof of Proposition 1.1.

**Proof of Proposition 1.1.** We see that  $d^\nabla(F^\nabla \wedge \Omega^{n-1}) = 0$  by  $d^\nabla F^\nabla = 0$  and  $d\Omega = 0$ . Hence if  $M$  is compact, then the connection  $\nabla$  satisfies  $\Delta^\nabla(F^\nabla \wedge \Omega^{n-1}) = 0$  if and only if  $\delta^\nabla(F^\nabla \wedge \Omega^{n-1}) = 0$ . We shall prove that  $\nabla$  satisfies  $\delta^\nabla F^\nabla = 0$  if  $\delta^\nabla(F^\nabla \wedge \Omega^{n-1}) = 0$ . We take an orthonormal frame field  $\{e_i; i = 1, 2, \dots, 4n\}$  such that  $Ie_{4k+1} = e_{4k+2}$ ,  $Je_{4k+1} = e_{4k+3}$ ,  $Ke_{4k+1} = e_{4k+4}$ , ( $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ), and denote the dual frame by  $\{\theta^i; i = 1, 2, \dots, 4n\}$ . The vector bundle  $S^2\mathbb{H}$  has the following frame field,  $\{\omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_I &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\theta^{4k+1} \wedge \theta^{4k+2} + \theta^{4k+3} \wedge \theta^{4k+4}), \\
\omega_J &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\theta^{4k+1} \wedge \theta^{4k+3} + \theta^{4k+4} \wedge \theta^{4k+2}), \\
\omega_K &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\theta^{4k+1} \wedge \theta^{4k+4} + \theta^{4k+2} \wedge \theta^{4k+3}).
\end{aligned}$$

The fundamental 4-form is  $\Omega = \omega_I \wedge \omega_I + \omega_J \wedge \omega_J + \omega_K \wedge \omega_K$ . Using the orthonormal frame  $\{\theta^i; i = 1, 2, \dots, 4n\}$ , we can write the curvature 2-form  $F^\nabla$  as  $F^\nabla = \sum_{i < j} F_{ij} \theta^i \wedge \theta^j$ . From  $\Omega^{n-1} = ((2n-1)!/6) * \Omega$  ([3]),  $\delta^\nabla(F^\nabla \wedge \Omega^{n-1}) = 0$  is equivalent to  $\delta^\nabla(F^\nabla \wedge * \Omega) = 0$ . It is easy to see that the quaternionic Yang-Mills equation  $\delta^\nabla(F^\nabla \wedge * \Omega) = 0$  is equivalent to

$$\nabla_i F_{ij} = 0, \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, 4n).$$

On the other hand, the Yang-Mills equation  $\delta^\nabla F^\nabla = 0$  is equivalent to

$$\sum_i \nabla_i F_{ij} = 0, \quad (j = 1, \dots, 4n).$$

Therefore, if  $\nabla$  satisfies  $\delta^\nabla(F^\nabla \wedge \Omega^{n-1}) = 0$ , then  $\delta^\nabla F^\nabla = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $F^1$ ,  $F^2$  and  $F^3$  be respectively the  $c_1$ -self-dual,  $c_2$ -self-dual and  $c_3$ -self-dual parts of the curvature  $F^\nabla$  on a compact quaternionic Kähler manifold. Then the following are equivalent:*

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta^\nabla(F^\nabla \wedge \Omega^{n-1}) = 0;$$

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta^\nabla \left( \frac{c_\alpha - c_\gamma}{c_\alpha} F^\alpha + \frac{c_\beta - c_\gamma}{c_\beta} F^\beta \right) = 0$$

for any permutation  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  of  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ .

**Proof.** Let

$$(3.3) \quad F^\nabla = F^\alpha + F^\beta + F^\gamma$$

denote the curvature, for any  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  as above. From (3.3), we have

$$(3.4) \quad c_\gamma F^\nabla \wedge \Omega^{n-1} = c_\gamma F^\alpha \wedge \Omega^{n-1} + c_\gamma F^\beta \wedge \Omega^{n-1} + c_\gamma F^\gamma \wedge \Omega^{n-1}.$$

Hence, we get

$$(3.5) \quad c_\gamma * (F^\nabla \wedge \Omega^{n-1}) = \frac{c_\gamma}{c_\alpha} F^\alpha + \frac{c_\gamma}{c_\beta} F^\beta + F^\gamma.$$

It follows from (3.3) and (3.5) that

$$(3.6) \quad \left(1 - \frac{c_\gamma}{c_\alpha}\right) F^\alpha + \left(1 - \frac{c_\gamma}{c_\beta}\right) F^\beta = F^\nabla - c_\gamma * (F^\nabla \wedge \Omega^{n-1}).$$

Applying  $d^\nabla$  and  $\delta^\nabla$  to (3.6), respectively, and using Bianchi identity  $d^\nabla F^\nabla = 0$  and  $d\Omega^{n-1} = 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d^\nabla \left[ \left(1 - \frac{c_\gamma}{c_\alpha}\right) F^\alpha + \left(1 - \frac{c_\gamma}{c_\beta}\right) F^\beta \right] &= -c_\gamma * \delta^\nabla(F^\nabla \wedge \Omega^{n-1}), \\ \delta^\nabla \left[ \left(1 - \frac{c_\gamma}{c_\alpha}\right) F^\alpha + \left(1 - \frac{c_\gamma}{c_\beta}\right) F^\beta \right] &= \delta^\nabla F^\nabla. \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 1.1,  $\nabla$  fullfills  $\delta^\nabla F^\nabla = 0$  if it satisfies  $\delta^\nabla(F^\nabla \wedge \Omega^{n-1}) = 0$ . Hence, (3.1) and (3.2) are equivalent. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.1.  $\square$

In the case  $n = 1$ , we conclude that the following three conditions are equivalent ([1]):

$$(1) \quad \delta^\nabla F^\nabla = 0, \quad (2) \quad \Delta^\nabla F^+ = 0, \quad (3) \quad \Delta^\nabla F^- = 0.$$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $F^1$  and  $F^2$  be respectively the  $c_1$ -self-dual and  $c_2$ -self-dual parts. Then for vectors  $X, Y \in T_x M$ , the quantity*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{4n} F_{e_j, X}^1 \cdot F_{e_j, Y}^2$$

*is symmetric in  $X$  and  $Y$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{4n}\}$  be an orthonormal frame field of  $T_x M$ . Substituting  $X = e_{4k+1}$ ,  $Y = e_{4k+2}$  into  $\sum_{j=1}^{4n} F_{e_j, X}^1 \cdot F_{e_j, Y}^2$  and using Proposition 2.1, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{4n} F_{e_j, e_{4k+1}}^1 \cdot F_{e_j, e_{4k+2}}^2 &= F_{e_{4k+3}, e_{4k+1}}^1 \cdot F_{e_{4k+3}, e_{4k+2}}^2 + F_{e_{4k+4}, e_{4k+1}}^1 \cdot F_{e_{4k+4}, e_{4k+2}}^2 \\ &= F_{e_{4k+2}, e_{4k+4}}^1 \cdot F_{e_{4k+1}, e_{4k+4}}^2 + F_{e_{4k+3}, e_{4k+2}}^1 \cdot F_{e_{4k+3}, e_{4k+1}}^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{4n} F_{e_j, e_{4k+2}}^1 \cdot F_{e_j, e_{4k+1}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for each  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ . This completes the proof of Proposition 3.2.  $\square$

The following is the key of the proofs of the theorems.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $F^1$ ,  $F^2$  and  $F^3$  be respectively the  $c_1$ -self-dual,  $c_2$ -self-dual and  $c_3$ -self-dual parts. Then*

- (1)  $[F^1, F^2]_{X, Y} = 0$ ,
- (2)  $[F^2, F^3]_{X, Y} \in (S^2 \mathbb{H}_x \oplus S^2 \mathbb{E}_x)^\perp \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ ,
- (3)  $[F^1, F^3]_{X, Y} \in (S^2 \mathbb{H}_x \oplus S^2 \mathbb{E}_x)^\perp \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ ,

*where  $[F^\alpha, F^\beta]_{X, Y} = \sum_{j=1}^{4n} \{[F_{e_j, X}^\alpha, F_{e_j, Y}^\beta] - [F_{e_j, Y}^\alpha, F_{e_j, X}^\beta]\}$  for all  $X, Y \in T_x M$ ,  $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3$ .*

**Proof.** (1) From Proposition 3.2,  $X$  and  $Y$  are symmetric. Hence,  $[F^1, F^2]_{X, Y} = 0$ .

(2) From the properties of the Killing form, we have

$$(3.7) \quad \langle [A, B], C \rangle = \langle A, [B, C] \rangle$$

for any  $A, B, C \in \wedge^2 T_x^* M \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ . Using Proposition 2.1, we see that  $[F^1, F^1]_{X, Y} \in S^2 \mathbb{H}_x \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $[F^2, F^2]_{X, Y} \in S^2 \mathbb{E}_x \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  and  $[F^3, F^3]_{X, Y} \in \wedge^2 T_x^* M \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  and note that

$[F^\alpha, F^\beta] = [F^\beta, F^\alpha]$ . Putting  $A = F^1$ ,  $B = F^2$  and  $C = F^3$  in (3.7) and using  $[F^1, F^2]_{X,Y} = 0$ , we get

$$(3.8) \quad \langle F^1, [F^2, F^3] \rangle = 0.$$

Putting  $A = F^1$ ,  $B = F^3$  and  $C = F^2$  in (3.7), we have

$$(3.9) \quad \langle [F^1, F^3], F^2 \rangle = \langle F^1, [F^3, F^2] \rangle.$$

Putting  $A = F^1$ ,  $B = F^1$  and  $C = F^3$  in (3.7), we get  $\langle [F^1, F^1], F^3 \rangle = \langle F^1, [F^1, F^3] \rangle$ . From  $[F^1, F^1]_{X,Y} \in S^2\mathbb{H}_x \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ , we have

$$(3.10) \quad \langle F^1, [F^1, F^3] \rangle = 0.$$

Putting  $A = F^2$ ,  $B = F^2$  and  $C = F^3$  in (3.7), we get  $\langle [F^2, F^2], F^3 \rangle = \langle F^2, [F^2, F^3] \rangle$ . From  $[F^2, F^2]_{X,Y} \in S^2\mathbb{E}_x \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ , we have

$$(3.11) \quad \langle F^2, [F^2, F^3] \rangle = 0$$

From (3.8) and (3.11), we conclude that

$$[F^2, F^3]_{X,Y} \in (S^2\mathbb{H}_x \oplus S^2\mathbb{E}_x)^\perp \otimes \mathfrak{g}.$$

(3) From (3.8) and (3.9), we get

$$(3.12) \quad \langle [F^1, F^3], F^2 \rangle = 0.$$

From (3.10) and (3.12), we conclude that

$$[F^1, F^3]_{X,Y} \in (S^2\mathbb{H}_x \oplus S^2\mathbb{E}_x)^\perp \otimes \mathfrak{g}$$

These complete the proof of Proposition 3.3. □

The proof of the following Proposition 3.4 is analogous to that of Proposition (5.6) in [1].

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $F_x^\nabla : \mathfrak{so}(4n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{so}(N)$  be a linear map and  $\lambda$  be a positive real number.*

(I) *If  $\|F^\nabla\|^2 \leq (n(4n-1)\lambda^2)/(16(2n-1)^2)$ , then*

$$(3.13) \quad (F_x^{\nabla*} \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \Phi_{\wedge^2 T_x M}) \leq \lambda \|F^\nabla\|^2.$$

(II) *Putting  $\lambda = 4(2n-1)$ , we have the following:*

*If  $\|F^\nabla\|^2 \leq n(4n-1)$ , then*

$$(3.14) \quad (F_x^{\nabla*} \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \Phi_{\wedge^2 T_x M}) \leq 4(2n-1) \|F^{\nabla}\|^2.$$

The equality holds if and only if there is an orthogonal splitting  $\mathbb{R}^N = S_0 \oplus S_1$  ( $\dim S_1 = 4$ ) with respect to which  $F_x^{\nabla} = 0 \oplus \sigma$  where  $\sigma$  is a representation  $\sigma : \mathfrak{sp}(1) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{so}(4)$ .

**Proof.** We shall prove the inequality for  $\|F^{\nabla}\|^2 = (n(4n-1)\lambda^2)/(16(2n-1)^2)$ . Let  $\{e_i \wedge e_j\}_{i < j}$  be the orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{so}(4n) \cong \wedge^2 T_x M$ . Then  $\|F^{\nabla}\|^2 = \sum_{i < j} \|F_x^{\nabla}(e_i \wedge e_j)\|^2$  and  $(F_x^{\nabla*} \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \Phi_{\wedge^2 T_x M}) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{4n} \langle [F_x^{\nabla}(e_i \wedge e_j), F_x^{\nabla}(e_j \wedge e_k)], F_x^{\nabla}(e_k \wedge e_i) \rangle$ . We now denote  $F_x^{\nabla}(e_i \wedge e_j)$  by  $F_{ij}$ . We introduce the  $4n \times 4n$ -symmetric matrix  $S = ((s_{ij}))$  with non-negative entries  $s_{ij} = \sqrt{2} \|F_{ij}\|$ . By the assumption,  $\text{tr} S^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{4n} s_{ij}^2 = 4 \sum_{i < j} \|F_{ij}\|^2 = (4n(4n-1)\lambda^2)/((4n-2)^2 2^2)$ . By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\text{tr} S^3 = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{4n} s_{ij} s_{jk} s_{ki} \leq \frac{4n(4n-1)\lambda^3}{(4n-2)^2 2^3}.$$

Therefore, using (2.9), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (F_x^{\nabla*} \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \Phi_{\wedge^2 T_x M}) &\leq \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{4n} |\langle [F_{ij}, F_{jk}], F_{ki} \rangle| \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{4n} \|[F_{ij}, F_{jk}]\| \cdot \|F_{ki}\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{4n} \sqrt{2} \|F_{ij}\| \cdot \|F_{jk}\| \cdot \|F_{ki}\| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{4n} s_{ij} s_{jk} s_{ki} \leq \lambda \|F^{\nabla}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we complete the proof of (I).

We next prove (II). Putting  $\lambda = 4(2n-1)$  in (I), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (F_x^{\nabla*} \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \Phi_{\wedge^2 T_x M}) &\leq \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{4n} |\langle [F_{ij}, F_{jk}], F_{ki} \rangle| \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{4n} \|[F_{ij}, F_{jk}]\| \cdot \|F_{ki}\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{4n} \sqrt{2} \|F_{ij}\| \cdot \|F_{jk}\| \cdot \|F_{ki}\| \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{4n} s_{ij}s_{jk}s_{ki} \leq 4(2n-1)\|F^\nabla\|^2.$$

Suppose now that we have the equality in each line. From the last line we see that  $s_{ij} = 1$ , and so  $\|F_{ij}\| = (1/\sqrt{2})$  for  $i \neq j$ . From the first and second lines we conclude that, when  $i, j, k$  are mutually distinct,  $[F_{ij}, F_{jk}] = tF_{ki}$  where  $t > 0$ . Taking the inner product with  $F_{ki}$  and using the equality in each line we see that  $t = 1$ . Hence, we have

$$(3.15) \quad [F_{ij}, F_{jk}] = F_{ki}$$

for all  $i, j, k$  distinct. This equation has a number of consequences. Setting  $\alpha_{ijkl} = [F_{ij}, F_{kl}]$ , we have  $\alpha_{ijkl} = -\alpha_{jikl}$ ,  $\alpha_{ijkl} = -\alpha_{ijlk}$ ,  $\alpha_{ijkl} + \alpha_{iklj} + \alpha_{iljk} = 0$ ,  $\alpha_{ijkl} = \alpha_{klij}$ . However, from the definition we see  $\alpha_{ijkl} = -\alpha_{klij}$ , and so we conclude that

$$(3.16) \quad [F_{ij}, F_{kl}] = 0$$

for  $i, j, k, l$  distinct. Comparing (3.15) and (3.16) with (2.5) we conclude that  $F_x^\nabla : \mathfrak{so}(4n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(N)$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism. Finally, we observe that by (2.9) each pair  $(F_{ij}, F_{jk})$  for  $i, j, k$  distinct is conjugate to a pair of matrices of (2.10). In particular, each of the endomorphisms  $F_{ij}$  is supported in the same 4-dimensional subspace. Therefore, we conclude that  $F_{ij} : \mathfrak{so}(4n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(4)$  is also a Lie algebra homomorphism. This homomorphism is injective. To see this directly we note that if  $i, j, k, l$  are mutually distinct, then it is easy to see that  $\langle F_{ij}, F_{kl} \rangle = 0$ . The matrices  $\{F_{ij}\}_{i < j}$  are orthogonal. Hence  $F_{ij}$  is injective. Therefore,  $F_{ij} : \mathfrak{so}(4n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(4)$  reduce the Lie algebra homomorphism  $F_{ij} : \mathfrak{sp}(1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(4)$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{so}(4n) = \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n) \oplus (\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n))^\perp$ . This completes the proof of Proposition 3.4.  $\square$

#### 4. Proof of theorems

In this section, we shall give the proofs of theorems stated in Introduction.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We shall rewrite the Bochner-Weitzenböck formula (2.4).

$$(4.1) \quad \langle \Delta^\nabla \varphi, \varphi \rangle - \langle \nabla^* \nabla \varphi, \varphi \rangle = \langle \varphi \circ \left( \frac{s}{2n} I - 2R \right), \varphi \rangle - \rho(\varphi),$$

where  $\rho(\varphi) = \langle [F^\nabla, \varphi], \varphi \rangle$  for any  $\varphi \in A^2(\mathfrak{g}_E)$ . We put  $A = (c_1 - c_2)/(c_1)$  and  $B = (c_3 - c_2)/(c_3)$ . Substituting  $\varphi = AF^1 + BF^3$  into (4.1) and using Proposition 3.1, we have

$$(4.2) \quad -\|\nabla(AF^1 + BF^3)\|^2 = A^2\lambda_1\|F^1\|^2 + B^2\lambda_3\|F^3\|^2 - \rho(AF^1 + BF^3),$$



where  $\lambda_i = ((s/(2n))I - 2R_i)_{X,Y} = s/(2n) - 2\mu_i$ ,  $X, Y \in T_x M$ . We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(AF^1 + BF^3) &= \langle [F^\nabla, AF^1 + BF^3], AF^1 + BF^3 \rangle \\ &= A^2 \{ \langle [F^1, F^1], F^1 \rangle + \langle [F^2, F^1], F^1 \rangle + \langle [F^3, F^1], F^1 \rangle \} \\ &\quad + AB \{ \langle [F^1, F^1], F^3 \rangle + \langle [F^2, F^1], F^3 \rangle + \langle [F^3, F^1], F^3 \rangle \} \\ &\quad + AB \{ \langle [F^1, F^3], F^1 \rangle + \langle [F^2, F^3], F^1 \rangle + \langle [F^3, F^3], F^1 \rangle \} \\ &\quad + B^2 \{ \langle [F^1, F^3], F^3 \rangle + \langle [F^2, F^3], F^3 \rangle + \langle [F^3, F^3], F^3 \rangle \}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 3.3, we get

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho(AF^1 + BF^3) &= A^2 \langle [F^1, F^1], F^1 \rangle + (2AB + B^2) \langle [F^3, F^1], F^3 \rangle \\ &\quad + B^2 \langle [F^2, F^3], F^3 \rangle + B^2 \langle [F^3, F^3], F^3 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since we assume  $F^3 = 0$ , (4.2) implies that

$$(4.4) \quad -\|\nabla F^1\|^2 = \lambda_1 \|F^1\|^2 - \langle [F^1, F^1], F^1 \rangle.$$

By Proposition 3.4 (I), if  $\|F^1\|^2 < (n(4n-1)\lambda_1^2)/(16(2n-1)^2)$ , then  $\langle [F^1, F^1], F^1 \rangle < \lambda_1 \|F^1\|^2$ . Hence, the right hand side of (4.4) is non-negative. On the other hand, the left hand side of (4.4) is non-positive. This is a contradiction. This implies  $F^1 = 0$ . The same statement is true for  $F^2$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** From (4.2), (4.3) and using (2.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\|\nabla(AF^1 + BF^3)\|^2 &= A^2 \{ \lambda_1 \|F^1\|^2 - \langle [F^1, F^1], F^1 \rangle \} \\ &\quad + B^2 \{ \lambda_3 \|F^3\|^2 - (n+3) \langle [F^3, F^1], F^3 \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle [F^2, F^3], F^3 \rangle - \langle [F^3, F^3], F^3 \rangle \} \\ &\geq A^2 \{ \lambda_1 \|F^1\|^2 - \sqrt{2} \|F^1\|^3 \} \\ &\quad + B^2 \{ \lambda_3 \|F^3\|^2 - \sqrt{2}(n+3) \|F^1\| \|F^3\|^2 \\ &\quad - \sqrt{2} \|F^2\| \|F^3\|^2 - \sqrt{2} \|F^3\|^3 \} \\ &= A^2 \{ (\lambda_1 - \sqrt{2} \|F^1\|) \|F^1\|^2 \} \\ &\quad + B^2 \{ (\lambda_3 - \sqrt{2}(n+3) \|F^1\| - \sqrt{2} \|F^2\| - \sqrt{2} \|F^3\|) \|F^3\|^2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if

$$\lambda_1 - \sqrt{2} \|F^1\| > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_3 - \sqrt{2}(n+3) \|F^1\| - \sqrt{2} \|F^2\| - \sqrt{2} \|F^3\| > 0,$$

we see that  $F^1 = F^3 = 0$ . When  $F^1 = F^3 = 0$ , moreover, from the second inequality stated above, we have  $\|F^2\| < \lambda_3/\sqrt{2}$ . On the other hand, from the Bochner-

Weitzenböck formula for  $F^\nabla = F^2$  and using Proposition 3.3 and (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \Delta^\nabla F^2, F^2 \rangle - \|\nabla F^2\|^2 &= \lambda_2 \|F^2\|^2 - \langle [F^2, F^2], F^2 \rangle \\ &\geq (\lambda_2 - \sqrt{2} \|F^2\|) \|F^2\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Delta^\nabla F^2 = 0$ , we have

$$-\|\nabla F^2\|^2 \geq (\lambda_2 - \sqrt{2} \|F^2\|) \|F^2\|^2.$$

If  $\|F^2\| < \lambda_2/\sqrt{2}$ , then  $F^2 = 0$ . Thus if  $F^2 \neq 0$ , then  $\lambda_2/\sqrt{2} \leq \|F^2\|$ . Consequently, if  $\nabla$  is a non-flat, then the  $c_2$ -self-dual part  $F^2$  satisfies  $\lambda_2/\sqrt{2} \leq \|F^2\| < \lambda_3/\sqrt{2}$ , where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$  always satisfy  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3$  on  $(M, g)$ . The same argument can be applied to (2) of Theorem 1.4.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** We put  $A = (c_1 - c_3)/c_1$  and  $B = (c_2 - c_3)/c_2$ . Substituting  $\varphi = AF^1 + BF^2$  into the Bochner-Weitzenböck formula (4.1) and using Proposition 3.1, we have

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned}-\|\nabla(AF^1 + BF^2)\|^2 &= A^2\{\lambda_1 \|F^1\|^2 - \langle [F^1, F^1], F^1 \rangle\} \\ &\quad + B^2\{\lambda_2 \|F^2\|^2 - \langle [F^2, F^2], F^2 \rangle\}.\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.4 (I), if

$$\|F^1\|^2 < \frac{n(4n-1)\lambda_1^2}{16(2n-1)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|F^2\|^2 < \frac{n(4n-1)\lambda_2^2}{16(2n-1)^2}$$

then

$$\langle [F^1, F^1], F^1 \rangle < \lambda_1 \|F^1\|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle [F^2, F^2], F^2 \rangle < \lambda_2 \|F^2\|^2.$$

Hence, the right hand side of (4.5) is non-negative. Meanwhile, the left hand side of (4.5) is non-positive. This is a contradiction. This implies  $F^1 = F^2 = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Corollary 1.1.** Let  $\mathbb{H}P^n = Sp(n+1)/Sp(n) \times Sp(1)$  be the quaternionic projective space. Let  $sp(n+1) = sp(n) + sp(1) + \mathfrak{m}$  be the orthogonal decomposition of  $sp(n+1)$  with respect to Killing form  $B$ . We identify  $\mathfrak{m}$  with the tangent space of  $\mathbb{H}P^n$  at the origin in a natural manner. Let  $g_0$  denote the invariant Riemannian metric on  $\mathbb{H}P^n$  defined by  $-2(2n-1)(n+2)B|_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . The Ricci tensor of  $(\mathbb{H}P^n, g_0)$  is given by  $Ric(X, Y) = (2n-1)(n+2)g_0(X, Y)$  for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}$ . Accordingly, the scalar curvature is given by  $s = 4n(2n-1)(n+2)$ . Corresponding to the decomposition (2.2), we can write the Riemannian curvature operator  $R$  as  $R = R_1 + R_2 + R_3$ . From [4] and for this metric  $g_0$  we know

$$R_1 = n(2n-1)I, \quad R_2 = (2n-1)I, \quad R_3 = 0.$$

We have  $\lambda_1 = (s/(2n)I - 2R_1)_{X,Y} = (s/(2n)I - 2n(2n-1)I)_{X,Y} = 4(2n-1)$ . In the same way, we have  $\lambda_2 = 2(2n-1)(n+1)$ . Substituting  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  into Theorem 1.1, we get Corollary 1.1.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** When  $\|F^\nabla\|^2 \leq n(4n-1)$ , by the Bochner-Weitzenböck formula (4.1) and Proposition 3.4 (II), we conclude that  $F^\nabla = 0$ . Hence  $E$  is flat bundle. When  $\|F^\nabla\|^2 \equiv n(4n-1)$ , we get  $\nabla F^\nabla = 0$ . Proposition 3.4 (II) implies that there is an orthogonal splitting  $E = E_0 \oplus S$  where  $E_0$  is flat, where  $S$  is a 4-dimensional bundle. By Corollary 1.1 (2) and  $\nabla F^\nabla = 0$ ,  $F^\nabla : \wedge^2 TM \longrightarrow P \times_{Ad} \mathfrak{so}(4)$  reduces to  $F^\nabla : S^2\mathbb{H} \longrightarrow P \times_{Ad} \mathfrak{so}(4)$ . This implies that the connection  $\nabla$  is a  $c_1$ -self-dual connection. The vector bundle  $\mathbb{H}$  on any simply-connected quaternionic Kähler manifold with non-zero scalar curvature admits a unique  $c_1$ -self-dual connection ([5]). The vector bundle  $\mathbb{H}$ , only when  $M = \mathbb{H}P^n$ , is globally defined ([8]). Consequently  $S \cong \mathbb{H}$ , hence  $E = E_0 \oplus \mathbb{H}$ .  $\square$

---

### References

- [1] J.P. Bourguignon and H.B. Lawson: *Stability and isolation phenomena for Yang-Mills fields*, Commun. Math. Phys. **79** (1981), 189–230.
- [2] M. Mamone Capria and S.M. Salamon: *Yang-Mills fields on quaternionic spaces*, Nonlinearity **1** (1988), 517–530.
- [3] K. Galicki and Y.S. Poon: *Duality and Yang-Mills fields on quaternionic Kähler manifold*, J. Math. Phys. **32** (1991), 1263–1268.
- [4] S. Kobayashi, Y. Ohnita and M. Takeuchi: *On instability of Yang-Mills connections*, Math. Z. **193** (1986), 165–189.
- [5] Y. Nagatomo: *Rigidity of  $c_1$ -self-dual connections on quaternionic Kähler manifolds*, J. Math. Phys. **33** (1992), 4020–4025.
- [6] T. Nitta: *Vector bundles over quaternionic Kähler manifolds*, Tohoku. Math. J. **40** (1988), 425–440.
- [7] Y. Ohnita and Y. Pan: *On weakly stable Yang-Mills fields over positively pinched manifolds and certain symmetric spaces*, Kodai. Math. J. **13** (1990), 317–332.
- [8] S. Salamon: *Quaternionic Kähler manifolds*, Invent. Math. **67** (1982), 143–171.
- [9] J.A. Wolf: *Complex homogeneous contact manifolds and quaternionic symmetric spaces*, J. Math. Mech. **14** (1965), 1033–1047.

Department Mathematics  
Keio University  
Yokohama 223-0061, Japan