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## 1. Introduction

Concerning the problem ${ }^{(0)}$ of "how to calculate the second homotopy group of the complementary domain of a $2-\mathrm{knot}$ in $R^{4}$," there exist several results in [1], [2] and [3]. Especially, the result by C.H. Giffin in [3] seems to be conclusive, but the proof in his report is so brief that there are some parts which can not be understood straightforwards. In this paper, we will be concerned exclusively about only ribbon 2 -knots ${ }^{(1)}$ which have some nice properties both in the geometrical and in the algebraical sides in the 2-knot theory, see [5], [6], [7] and [8]. First in §3, we will discuss about the second homotopy group of the complementary domain of 2-nodes ( $D^{2}, H^{4}$ ) with the properties defined in (2), (3) and (4) in §2, and we will prove the result $\pi_{2}\left(H^{4}-D^{2}\right)=(0)$ in Theorem (3.4). In §4, we will investigate a relation between the knot-group and the second homotopy group of the complementary doamin of the ribbon 2 -knots, and as a consequence, we will prove the main theorem, Theorem (4.3), of this paper.

## 2. Preliminaries

We may suppose the following (1), (2) (3) and (4) with a slight modification for a ribbon 2-knot $K^{2}$ :
(1) 2-balls $D_{+}^{2}=K^{2} \cap H_{+}^{4}$ and $D_{-}^{2}=K^{2} \cap H_{-}^{4}$ are symmetric each other with respect to the hyperplane $R_{0}^{3(2)}$,
(2) $D_{+}^{2}$ has no minimal point,
(3) all saddle points $p_{i}^{(3)}$ of $D_{+}^{2}$ are at the level $R_{1}^{3}$, and in a small neigh-
(0) See [4], p. 175, Problem 36.
(1) See [6], §4.
(2) $R_{t}^{3}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \mid x_{4}=t\right\}$
$H_{+}^{4}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \mid x_{4} \geqq 0\right\}$
$H_{-}^{4}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \mid x_{4} \leqq 0\right\}$
$H^{4}(J)=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \mid x_{4} \in J\right\}$.
(3) see [4] p. 133.
borhood of each saddle point, $D_{+}^{2}$ is a square $B_{i}^{2}$ at $R_{1}^{3}$ which is called a saddle-band ${ }^{(4)}$, see Fig. (1),
(4) $D_{+}^{2}$ is in a general position with respect to the collection $R_{i}^{3}(t \neq 1)$.


Fig. (1)
For each saddle point $p_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, n)$, we suppose that, for sufficiently small positive numbers $\varepsilon$ and $\delta$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{i} & :\left(x_{1}^{(i)}, x_{2}^{(t)}, 0,1\right) \\
B_{i}^{2}: & \left|x_{1}-x_{1}^{(i)}\right| \leqq 1, \quad\left|x_{2}-x_{2}^{(i)}\right| \leqq 1, \quad x_{3}=0, \quad x_{4}=1 \\
\square_{i}^{2}: & x_{1}=0, \quad\left|x_{2}-x_{1}^{(i)}\right| \leqq 1, \quad x_{3}=0, \quad 1-\varepsilon \leqq x_{4} \leqq 1 \\
\square_{i}^{3}: & \left|x_{1}-x_{1}^{(i)}\right| \leqq \delta, \quad\left|x_{2}-x_{2}^{(i)}\right| \leqq 1, \quad x_{3}=0, \quad 1-\varepsilon \leqq x_{4} \leqq 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\square_{i}^{2}$ is a square and $\widetilde{\square}_{i}^{3}$ is a cube, and $B_{i}^{2} \cap B_{j}^{2}=\phi$ and $\widetilde{\square}_{i}^{3} \cap \widetilde{\square}_{j}^{3}=\phi$ if $i \neq j^{(5)}$.

If we investigate the cross-sections of $D_{+}^{2}$ by $R_{t}^{3}(1-\varepsilon \leqq t \leqq 1+\varepsilon)$ for a small positive number $\varepsilon$, we have the following (1), (2), (3) and (4):
(1) $D_{+}^{2} \cap R_{1-\varepsilon}^{3}$ is a ribbon knot $k$ in $R_{1-\varepsilon}^{3}$,
(2) $D_{+}^{2} \cap R_{1+\varepsilon}^{3}$ is a trivial link $k_{0} \cup k_{1} \cup \cdots \cup k_{n}$ in $R_{1+\mathrm{e}}^{3}$,
(3) By the orthogonal projection $\theta$ of $H_{+}^{4}$ onto $R_{1}^{3}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta\left(D_{+}^{2} \cap H^{4}(1,1+\varepsilon]\right) \subset \partial\left(D_{+}^{2} \cap R_{1}^{3}\right)^{(6)} \\
& \theta\left(D_{+}^{2} \cap H^{4}[1-\varepsilon, 1)\right) \subset \partial\left(D_{+}^{2} \cap R_{1}^{3}\right) \\
& \theta\left(\square_{i}^{2}\right)=\square_{i}^{2} \cap B_{i}^{2} \quad(i=1, \cdots, n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(4) The band (square) $B_{i}^{2}$ spans $\theta\left(k_{i}\right)$ and $\theta\left(k_{0}\right)(i=1, \cdots, n)$ coherently on its opposite, parallel edges.

## 3. Surgery

For a PL-map $g^{\prime \prime}$ of $S^{2}$ into $H_{+}^{4}-D_{+}^{2}$, there is a PL-map $g^{\prime}$ of $S^{2}$ into
(4) This is a conventional word.
(5) These coordinate-presentations are not essential.
(6) $\partial X$ means the boundary and $\dot{X}$ the interior of a point set $X$. For convenience'sake, we denote $X-X \cap Y$ by $X-Y$.
$H^{4}[1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon]-D_{+}^{2}$ such that $g^{\prime}\left(S^{2}\right)$ is homotopic to $g^{\prime \prime}\left(S^{2}\right)$ in $H_{+}^{4}-D_{+}^{2}$, since there exist only the maximal points of $D_{+}^{2}$ but no saddle point of $D_{+}^{2}$ in the exterior of $H^{4}[1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon]$. If $g^{\prime}\left(S^{2}\right) \cap\left(\widetilde{\square_{1}} \cup \cdots \cup \widetilde{\square} \widetilde{\square}_{n}\right)=\phi$, we can jump to (3.3) without troubles in the following discussion. If $g^{\prime}\left(S^{2}\right) \cap\left(\widetilde{\square}_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \widetilde{\square}_{n}\right) \neq \phi$, we consider a PL-map $g$ of $S^{2}$ into $H^{4}[1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon]-D_{+}^{2}$ satisfying (1)~(4) mentioned below:
(1) $g\left(S^{2}\right)$ is homotopic to $g^{\prime}\left(S^{2}\right)$ in $H^{4}[1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon]-D_{+}^{2}$,
(2) $g\left(S^{2}\right) \cap \square_{i}^{2}$ consists of at most a finite number of points on $R_{1-\varepsilon}^{3}$ denoted by $q_{1}^{(i)}, \cdots, q_{m_{i}}^{(i)}$, for which $g^{-1}\left(q_{\lambda}^{(i)}\right)$ is just one point, say $\widetilde{q}_{\lambda}^{(i)}$, on $S^{2}$,
(3) there are 2-balls $\tilde{U}_{\lambda}^{(i)}, \tilde{V}_{\lambda}^{(s)}\left(1 \leqq i \leqq n, \lambda=1, \cdots, m_{i}\right)$ on $S^{2}$ such that
(3 $3_{1} \quad \tilde{\tilde{U}}_{\lambda}^{(i)} \supset \tilde{V}_{\lambda}^{(i)} \supset \tilde{V}_{\lambda}^{(i)} \ni \tilde{q}_{\lambda}^{(i)}$,
(3 $\left.3_{2}\right) \tilde{U}_{\lambda}^{(i)} \cap \tilde{T}_{\mu}^{(j)}=\phi$ if either $i \neq j$ or $\lambda \neq \mu$,
$\left(3_{3}\right) g \mid \tilde{U}_{\lambda}^{(i)}$ is an imbedding,
(4) denote $g\left(\tilde{V}_{\lambda}^{(i)}\right)$ by $V_{\lambda}^{(i)}\left(1 \leqq i \leqq n, \lambda=1, \cdots, m_{i}\right)$, then

$$
V_{\lambda}^{(i)}:\left\{\begin{aligned}
x_{1}^{2}+x_{3}^{2} & \leqq 4 \\
x_{2} & =\frac{1}{1+\lambda} \\
x_{4} & =1-\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Since it is not difficult to see the existence of two deformation retractions $\xi^{\prime}$ and $\xi^{\prime \prime}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\xi^{\prime}: & H^{4}[1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon]-D_{+}^{2} \cup \widetilde{\square}_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \widetilde{\square}_{n} \rightarrow H^{4}[1,1+\varepsilon]-D_{+}^{2} \\
\xi^{\prime \prime}: & H^{4}[1,1+\varepsilon]-D_{+}^{2} \rightarrow R_{1+\varepsilon}^{3}-D_{+}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

we may suppose that the above PL-map $g$ satisfies not only (1) $\sim(4)$ but also (5), (6):
(5) $g \overline{\left(S^{2} \cup \widetilde{U_{\lambda}^{(t)}}\right)} \subset R_{1+8}^{3}-D_{+}^{2}$,
(6) 2-ball $U_{\lambda}^{(i)}=g\left(\widetilde{U_{\lambda}^{(i)}}\right)$ satisfies that the annulus $\overline{U_{\lambda}^{(i)}-V_{\lambda}^{(i)}}$ is given by

$$
\overline{U_{\lambda}^{(i)}-V_{\lambda}^{(j)}}:\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}=4 \\
x_{2}=\frac{1}{1+\lambda} \\
1-\varepsilon \leqq x_{4} \leqq 1+\varepsilon
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $c_{\lambda}^{(i)}$ be the simple closed curve $\partial U_{\lambda}^{(i)}\left(i=1, \cdots, n, \lambda=1, \cdots, m_{i}\right)$. The orientation of $c_{\lambda}^{(i)}$ should be induced by that of $U_{\lambda}^{(i)}$ as a subcomplex of the oriented 2-sphere $S^{2}$, and the orientation of knot $k_{0}$ in $R_{1+\varepsilon}^{3}$ should be induced by that of $D_{+}^{2}$. We classify these simple closed curves $c_{\lambda}^{(i)}$ into two collections
$\Gamma_{+}^{(i)}$ and $\Gamma_{-}^{(i)}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{+}^{(i)}=\left\{c_{\lambda}^{(i)} \mid 1 \leqq \lambda \leqq m_{i}, \text { the linking number } c_{\lambda}^{(i)} \text { and } k_{0}=1\right\} \\
& \Gamma_{-}^{(i)}=\left\{c_{\mu}^{(i)} \mid 1 \leqq \mu \leqq m_{i}, \text { the linking number } c_{\mu}^{(i)} \text { and } k_{0}=-1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $i(i=1, \cdots, n)$.
Lemma (3.1). $\Gamma_{+}^{(i)}$ and $\Gamma_{-}^{(i)}$ contains the same number of circles for each $i(i=1, \cdots, n)$.

Proof. Consider a 2 -node $D_{+}^{(i)}$ in $H^{4}[1-\varepsilon, \infty)$ given by cutting $D_{+}^{2} \cap H^{4}[1-\varepsilon, \infty)$ along an arc $D_{+}^{2} \cap \square_{i}^{2}$ and sewing by the 2 -ball $\square_{i}^{2}$, where we suppose that $D_{+}^{(i)} \supset k_{i}$. Then, since the closed curve $c_{\nu}^{(j)}$ does not link with $k_{i}$ in $R_{1+\varepsilon}^{3}(j \neq i)$, the $2-$ ball $U_{\nu}^{(j)}$ bounded by $c_{\nu}^{(j)}$ is isolated from $D_{+}^{(i)}$ in $H^{4}[1-\varepsilon, \infty)-D_{+}^{(i)}$. Therefore $c_{1}^{(i)}+c_{2}^{(i)}+\cdots+c_{m_{i}}^{(i)}=0$ in $H_{1}\left(H^{4}[1-\varepsilon, \infty)-D_{+}^{(i)}\right)$, as $c_{1}^{(i)} \cup \cdots \cup c_{m_{i}}^{(i)}$ bounds a 2 -complex $g\left(S^{2}-\widetilde{U}_{1}^{(i)} \cup \cdots \cup \widetilde{U}_{m_{i}}^{(i)}\right)$. Since $H_{1}\left(H^{4}[1-\varepsilon, \infty)-D_{+}^{(i)}\right)=(t ;-)$, and either $c_{\lambda}^{(i)}=t$ or $c_{\mu}^{(i)}=-t$ as $c_{\lambda}^{(i)} \in \Gamma_{+}^{(i)}$ or $c_{\mu}^{(i)} \in \Gamma^{(i)}$ respectively, the proof is now completed.

Lemma (3.2). There exists an arc $\tilde{\gamma}$ on a perforated 2 -ball $S^{2}-\cup_{i, \lambda} \stackrel{\circ}{U}_{\lambda}^{(i)}$ satisfying (1) and (2) as follows:
(1) The arc $\gamma=g(\tilde{\gamma})$ spans $c_{\lambda}^{(i)}$ and $c_{\mu}^{(i)}$, where $c_{\lambda}^{(i)} \in \Gamma_{+}^{(i)}$ and $c_{\mu}^{(i)} \in \Gamma_{-}^{(i)}$ $(1 \leqq i \leqq n)$,
(2) The arc $\gamma=g(\tilde{\gamma})$ is on $E_{i}^{2}$, where mutually disjoint 2-balls $E_{0}^{2}, E_{1}^{2}, \cdots, E_{n}^{2}$ satisfy that $\partial E_{i}^{2}=k_{i}(i=0,1, \cdots, n)$ in $R_{1+\varepsilon}^{3}$.

Proof. Let $\Sigma=g\left(S^{2}\right) \cap R_{1+\varepsilon}^{3}=g\left(S^{2}-\bigcup_{i, \lambda} \tilde{U}_{\lambda}^{(i)}\right)$, then $\Sigma \subset R_{1+\varepsilon}^{3}-k_{0} \cup k_{1} \cup \cdots$ $\cup k_{n}, \partial \Sigma=\bigcup_{i, \lambda} c_{\lambda}^{(i)}$. In this case, we may suppose with a slight modification if necessary that $\Sigma \cap E_{i}^{2}$ consists of the curves $\gamma^{\prime}$ s of the following four types:
(1) $\gamma=g(\tilde{\gamma})$ for a closed curve $\tilde{\gamma}$ on $S^{2}$,
(2) $\gamma=g(\tilde{\gamma})$ for an $\operatorname{arc} \tilde{\gamma}$ on $S^{2}$ spanning $\partial \widetilde{U}_{\lambda}^{(i)}$ and $\partial \widetilde{U}_{\nu}^{(i)}$, where either $c_{\lambda}^{(i)}, c_{\nu}^{(i)} \in \Gamma_{+}^{(i)}$ or $c_{\lambda}^{(i)}, c_{\nu}^{(i)} \in \Gamma_{-}^{(i)}$,
(3) $\gamma=g(\tilde{\gamma})$ for an arc $\tilde{\gamma}$ on $S^{2}$ spanning $\partial \widetilde{U}_{\lambda}^{(i)}$ itself.
(4) $\gamma=g(\tilde{\gamma})$ for an $\operatorname{arc} \tilde{\gamma}$ on $S^{2}$ spanning $\partial \widetilde{U}_{\lambda}^{(i)}$ and $\partial \widetilde{U}_{\mu}^{(i)}$, where $c_{\lambda}^{(i)} \in \Gamma_{+}^{(i)}$ and $c_{\mu}^{(i)} \in \Gamma_{-}^{(i)}$.
In the cases (1)~(4), $\gamma$ may be a non-simple curve, but for an imbedding $\psi_{i}$ of $E^{2} \times[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ into $R_{1+\varepsilon}^{3}$ such as $\psi_{i}\left(E^{2} \times 0\right)=E_{\varepsilon}^{2}$, we may suppose that

$$
\psi_{i}^{-1} \cdot \psi_{i}\left(E^{2} \times[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \cap \Sigma\right)=\psi_{i}^{-1}\left(E_{i}^{2} \cap \Sigma\right) \times[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] .
$$

Leaving the points on $\psi_{i}\left(\partial\left(E^{2} \times[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]\right)\right)$ fixed, we can homotopically carry the singularities of type (1), (2), and (3) into three regions $r(+), r(0)$ and $r(-)$ on $E_{i}^{2}$, see Fig. (2) below:


Fig. (2)
where we classify as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma \subset r(+), \text { if it spans } c_{\lambda}^{(i)} \text { and } c_{\gamma}^{(i)} \text { of } \Gamma_{+}^{(i)}, \\
& \gamma \subset r(-), \text { if it spans } c_{\lambda}^{(i)} \text { and } c_{\mu}^{(i)} \text { of } \Gamma_{-}^{(t)}, \\
& \gamma \subset r(0), \text { if } \tilde{\gamma} \text { is a closed curve. }
\end{aligned}
$$

If there exists no singularity of type (4), the trivial knot $\partial r(+)$ links only with $c_{\lambda}^{(i)}$ for $c_{\lambda}^{(i)} \in \Gamma_{+}^{(i)}$. Therefore, $c_{\lambda_{1}}^{(i)}+\cdots+c_{\lambda_{x}}^{(i)}=0$ in $H_{1}\left(R_{1+\varepsilon}^{3}-\partial r(+)\right)=$ $(t ;-)$, where $\left\{c_{\lambda_{1}}^{(i)}, \cdots, c_{\lambda_{x}}^{(i)}\right\}=\Gamma_{+}^{(i)}$, since $c_{\lambda_{1}}^{(i)} \cup \cdots \cup c_{\lambda_{x}}^{(i)}$ bounds a 2-complex $\Sigma \cup\left(\cup \sigma_{j, \lambda}^{(j)}\right)_{j=i, \lambda \neq \lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{x}}^{\ddagger \neq i}$ where the $2-$ ball $\sigma_{\lambda}^{(j)}$ is bounded by $c_{\lambda}^{(j)}$ in $R_{1+\varepsilon}^{3}-\partial r(+)$. Then, we must say that $\Gamma_{+}^{(i)}=\phi$ and necessarily $\Gamma_{-}^{(i)}=\phi$ by (3.1). On the other hand, we have assumed that $g^{\prime}\left(S^{2}\right) \cap\left(\widetilde{\square}_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \widetilde{\square}_{n}\right) \neq \phi$, thus there must be at most one integer $i(1 \leqq i \leqq n)$ for which $\Gamma_{ \pm}^{(i)} \neq \phi$. This is a contradiction, and there must be a singularity $\gamma$ desired in (3.2).

By the result in (3.2), we can modify $\Sigma$ homotopically in $R_{1+\varepsilon}^{3}-k_{0} \cup k_{1} \cup$ $\cdots \cup k_{n}$ leaving the circles $c_{\lambda}^{(i)}$ fixed for all $i$ and $\lambda$ so that there exists a band $J_{\gamma}^{(2)}$ containing $\gamma$ and contained in $\Sigma$, see ( $3_{1}$ ) in Fig. (3). Since $\Sigma$ is an image


Fig. (3)
of a subset of $S^{2}$, there exist an even number of twists on $J_{\gamma}^{2}$, see ( $3_{1}$ ). Nevertheless, it is not so difficult to move $\Sigma$ homotopically in $R_{1-\varepsilon}^{3}-k_{0} \cup k_{1} \cup \cdots \cup k_{n}$ leaving the circles $c_{\lambda}^{(i)}$ fixed so that $J_{\gamma}^{2}$ has no twist, see $\left(3_{2}\right)$ and $\left(3_{3}\right)$.

Consider a surgery on $g\left(S^{2}\right)$ in the following figure, Fig. (4).


Fig. (4)
In Fig. (4), the boundary circles of a 2 -surface $M_{t}^{2}(1-\varepsilon \leqq t \leqq 1+\varepsilon)$ are the circles $U_{\lambda}^{(i)} \cap R_{t}^{3}$ and $U_{\mu}^{(i)} \cap R_{t}^{3}$, therefore $\underset{1-\varepsilon \leqq t \leqq 1+\varepsilon}{\cup} \partial M_{t}^{2} \cup V_{\lambda}^{(i)} \cup V_{\mu}^{(i)}=$ $U_{\lambda}^{(i)} \cup U_{\mu}^{(i)}$. Let $B_{1-\varepsilon}^{\prime 3}$ and $B_{1-\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime 3}$ be the two 3-balls bounded by the 2 -spheres $V_{\lambda}^{(i)} \cup M_{1-\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}$ and $V_{\mu}^{(i)} \cup M_{1-\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime 2}$ in the level $R_{1-\varepsilon}^{3}$, then the 3 -manifold $B_{1-\varepsilon}^{\prime 3} \cup B_{1-\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime 3}$ $\cup_{1-\varepsilon \leq t \leq 1+\varepsilon}^{\cup} M_{t}^{2}$ is a 3-ball $X_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ for which we may suppose that $\partial X_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}=$ $U_{\lambda}^{(i)} \cup U_{\mu}^{(i)} \cup M_{1+\varepsilon}^{2}, M_{1+\varepsilon}^{2}=J_{\gamma}^{2} \cup Y_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}$, where $Y_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}=\overline{M_{1+\varepsilon}^{2}-J_{\gamma}^{2}}$. Then, there is a PL-map $f^{\prime}$ of $S^{2}$ into $H^{4}[1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon]-D_{+}^{2}$ satisfying the followings:
(1) $f^{\prime}\left(S^{2}\right)$ is homotopic to $g\left(S^{2}\right)$ in $H^{4}[1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon]-D_{+}^{2}$,
(2) $f^{\prime}\left|S^{2}-\widetilde{U}_{\lambda}^{(i)} \cup \widetilde{U}_{\mu}^{(i)}=g\right| S^{2}-\widetilde{U}_{\lambda}^{(i)} \cup \widetilde{U}_{\mu}^{(i)}$,
(3) $f^{\prime}\left(S^{2}\right)=g\left(S^{2}-\widetilde{U}_{\lambda}^{(i)} \cup \widetilde{U}_{\mu}^{(i)} \cup \tilde{J}_{\gamma}^{2}\right) \cup Y_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}$,
where $\tilde{J}_{\gamma}^{2}$ is a neighborhood of $\tilde{\gamma}$ such as $g\left(\tilde{J}_{\gamma}^{2}\right)=J_{\gamma}^{2}$.
Repeating these processes, we have finally a PL-map $f$ of $S^{2}$ into $H^{4}[1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon]-D_{+}^{2}$ such that $f\left(S^{2}\right)$ is homotopic to $g^{\prime \prime}\left(S^{2}\right)$ in $H^{4}[1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon]-D_{+}^{2}$ and that $f\left(S^{2}\right) \subset R_{1+\varepsilon}^{3}-k_{0} \cup k_{1} \cup \cdots \cup k_{n}$.

Here, we want to get the consideration above into shape.
Lemma (3.3). For any PL-map $g^{\prime \prime}$ of $S^{2}$ into $H_{+}^{4}-D_{+}^{2}$, there is a PL-map $f$ of $S^{2}$ into $H_{+}^{4}-D_{+}^{2}$ satisfying (1) and (2) below:
(1) $f\left(S^{2}\right)$ is homotopic to $g^{\prime \prime}\left(S^{2}\right)$ in $H_{+}^{4}-D_{+}^{2}$,
(2) $f\left(S^{2}\right) \subset R_{1+\varepsilon}^{3}-D_{+}^{2} \cap R_{1+\varepsilon}^{3}$.

Theorem (3.4). $\quad \pi_{2}\left(H_{+}^{4}-D_{+}^{2}\right)=(0)$.
Proof. By the sphere-theorem ${ }^{(7)}$ for 1-links (, for 3-manifolds,)

[^0]$\pi_{2}\left(R_{1+\varepsilon}^{3}-D_{+}^{2} \cap R_{1+\varepsilon}^{3}\right)$ is generated ${ }^{(8)}$ by a collection of mutually disjoint nonsingular 2 -spheres $s_{1}^{2}, s_{2}^{2}, \cdots, s_{n}^{2}$ in $R_{1+\varepsilon}^{3}$, where a 2 -sphere $s_{i}^{2}$ is the boundary surface of a regular neighborhood of the 2 -ball $E_{i}^{2}$ in $R_{1+\varepsilon}^{3}(i=1, \cdots, n)$. Since there is no saddle point of $D_{+}^{2}$ in $H^{4}[1+\varepsilon, \infty)$, we can easily contract the 2 -sphere $s_{i}^{2}$ to a point through $H^{4}[1+\varepsilon, \infty)-D_{+}^{2}(i=1, \cdots, n)$. On the other hand, by (3.3), an arbitrary element $s$ of $\pi_{2}\left(H_{+}^{4}-D_{+}^{2}\right)$ can be represented by the elements of $\pi_{2}\left(R_{1+\varepsilon}^{3}-D_{+}^{2} \cap R_{1+\varepsilon}^{3}\right)^{(9)}$ which are contractible in $H_{+}^{4}-D_{+}^{2}$ as already mentioned. The proof is thus complete.

## 4. Covering spaces

Let $u: W \rightarrow R^{4}-K^{2}$ be a universal covering for the complementary domain of a ribbon $2-\mathrm{knot} K^{2}$ in $R^{4}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{+}=u \mid W_{+}: W_{+} \rightarrow H_{+}^{4}-D_{+}^{2} \\
& u_{-}=u \mid W_{-}: W_{-} \rightarrow H_{-}^{4}-D_{-}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

are both universal, since $K^{2}$ is symmetric with respect to the hyperplane $R_{0}^{3}$, and the inclusion-induced homomorphism of $\pi_{1}\left(H_{+}^{4}-D_{+}^{2}\right)$ into $\pi_{1}\left(R^{4}-K^{2}\right)$ is onto as the 2 -node $\left(D_{+}^{2}, H_{+}^{4}\right)$ has no minimal point. By (3.4) and the Hurewicz theorem, we have the followings:
$(*)\left\{\begin{array}{l}H_{1}\left(W_{+}\right)=(0), \quad H_{2}\left(W_{+}\right)=\pi_{2}\left(W_{+}\right)=\pi_{2}\left(H_{+}^{4}-D_{+}^{2}\right)=(0), \\ H_{1}\left(W_{-}\right)=(0), \quad H_{2}\left(W_{-}\right)=\pi_{2}\left(W_{-}\right)=\pi_{2}\left(H_{-}^{4}-D_{-}^{3}\right)=(0), \\ H_{2}(W)=\pi_{2}(W)=\pi_{2}\left(R^{4}-K^{2}\right)^{(10)} .\end{array}\right.$
Consider the next Mayer-Vietoris sequence:


By the relations in (*), we have the following:
Lemma (4.1). $\quad \pi_{2}\left(R^{4}-K^{2}\right)=H_{1}\left(W_{+} \cap W_{-}\right)$.
Now, we will consider the relation between $\pi_{1}\left(R_{0}^{3}-k\right)$ and $H_{1}\left(W_{+} \cap W_{-}\right)$, where $k=K^{2} \cap R_{0}^{3}$ is a $1-\mathrm{knot}$ in $R_{0}^{3}$.

Lemma (4.2). If $\pi_{1}\left(R^{4}-K^{2}\right)$ is torsion free, then $H_{1}\left(W_{+} \cap W_{-}\right)=\mathcal{K} / \mathcal{K}^{(1)}$, where the subgroup $\mathcal{K}$ of $\pi_{1}\left(R_{0}^{3}-k\right)$ is the kernel of the inclusion-induced homo-
(8) Consider $\pi_{1}\left(R_{1+\varepsilon}^{3}-D_{+}^{2}\right)$ as an operator.
(9) Consider $\pi_{1}\left(H_{+}^{4}-D_{+}^{2}\right)$ as an operator.
(10) "=" means isomorphic to.
morphism $i_{*}$ of $\pi_{1}\left(R_{0}^{3}-k\right)$ onto $\pi_{1}\left(R^{4}-K^{2}\right)^{(11)}$.
Proof. Let $u_{0}=u \mid W_{+} \cap W_{-}: W_{0} \rightarrow R_{0}^{3}-k$, where $W_{0}=W_{+} \cap W_{-}$. Then $u_{0}$ is also a covering which is not always universal. Therefore $\pi_{1}\left(W_{0}\right)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup $\mathcal{H}$ of $\pi_{1}\left(R_{0}^{3}-k\right)$, so we have $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{K}$ by the facts that $\pi_{1}(W)=1$ and the homomorphism $i_{*}$ is onto ${ }^{(12)}$. Abelianize $\mathcal{K}$ by the commutator subgroup $\mathcal{K}^{(1)}$ of $\mathcal{K}$, and we have (4.2).

By (4.1) and (4.2), we have
Theorem (4.3). For a ribbon $2-k n o t \quad K^{2}$, if $\pi_{1}\left(R^{4}-K^{2}\right)$ is torsion free, then $\pi_{2}\left(R^{4}-K^{2}\right)=\mathcal{K} / \mathcal{K}^{(1)}$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is defined in (4.2).

Question. If $\pi_{1}\left(R^{4}-K^{2}\right)$ is not torsion free, the subgroup $\mathcal{K}$ will be the subgroup of $\pi_{1}\left(R_{0}^{3}-k\right)$ generated by all the elements with finite orders of $i_{*}\left(\pi_{1}\left(R_{0}^{3}-k\right)\right.$ ), therefore we have a question: "Is $\pi_{1}\left(R^{4}-K^{2}\right)$ torsion free for a ribbon 2-knot?"

Remark. If a ribbon 2-knot $K^{2}$ satisfies that $\pi_{1}\left(R^{4}-K^{2}\right)=(t:-)$, then $\pi_{2}\left(R^{4}-K^{2}\right)=(0)$.

Proof. By the result in [8], for a ribbon 2-knot $K^{2}$ and the cross-sectional knot $k=K^{2} \cap R_{0}^{3}$, where the 2 -nodes ( $D_{ \pm}^{2}, H_{ \pm}^{4}$ ) for the 2-balls $D_{ \pm}^{2}=K^{2} \cap H_{ \pm}^{4}$ satisfy the properties in §2, the Alexander polynomials satisfy that

$$
\Delta_{k}(t)=\Delta_{K}(t) \cdot \Delta_{K}(\bar{t})
$$

Therefore, if $\pi_{1}\left(R^{4}-K^{2}\right)=(t ;-), \Delta_{K}(t)=1$, and necessarily $\Delta_{k}(t)=1$, then by the theorem $(4,9,1)$ in [11], p. 46, $\mathscr{S S}^{(1)}=\mathscr{G H}^{(2)}$ for $\mathscr{G}=\pi_{1}\left(R_{0}^{3}-k\right)$. On the other hand, since $\pi_{1}\left(R^{4}-K^{2}\right)=(t ;-)=\mathscr{( S} / \mathscr{G}^{(1)}$, the kernel $\mathcal{K}$ of $i_{*}$ surely coincides with ${ }^{(5)}{ }^{(1)}$. Thus, we have

$$
\pi_{2}\left(R^{4}-K^{2}\right)=\left(\mathscr{S}^{(1)} /\left(\mathscr{S}^{(2)}=\left(\mathscr{S}^{(1)} / \mathscr{S}^{(1)}=(0) .\right.\right.\right.
$$
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[^0]:    (7) See [9], [10].

