OUKA
The University of Osaka
Institutional Knowledge Archive

Title	Random ergodic theorem with finite possible states
Author(s)	Anzai, Hirotada
Citation	Osaka Mathematical Journal. 1950, 2(1), p. 43-49
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/9110
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

Osaka Mathematical Journal,
Vol. 2, No. 1, March, 1950

Random Ergodic Theorem with Finite Possible States

By Hirotada ANZAI

The purpose of this note is to give a special model of random ergodic theorem.[1)]

Let X be the infinite direct product measure space:

$$X = \mathrm{P}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{H}_k,\ x \in X,\ x = (\ldots x_{-1},\ x_0,\ x_1,\ x_2,\ \ldots),\ x_k \in \mathrm{H}_k,$$

$$k = 0,\ \pm 1,\ \pm 2, \ldots .$$

We assume that each component space H_k consists of p points, which are described by p figures; 1, 2, ... p, each having the same probability (measure) $1/p$. We denote the k-component x_k of a point x of X by $\eta_k(x)$. The measure on X is denoted by m. Let σ be the shift transformation of X:

$$\eta_k(\sigma x) = \eta_{k+1}(x), \quad k = 0,\ \pm 1,\ \pm 2, \ldots .$$

It is well-known that σ is an ergodic transformation of strongly mixing type. Let Ω be another probability field (i. e. measure space). In this note we restrict ourselves to the case in which Ω consists of q points; $\Omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_q)$, each having the same a priori probability $1/q$.

Suppose that it is given a family Φ of permutations $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_p$ of Ω. Starting from any point ω_1 of Ω, we take up at random a point from H_1, if it is x_1, we operate T_{x_1} to ω_1, then ω_1 is transferred to $T_{x_1}\omega_1$, at the second step we take up at random a point from H_2, if it is x_2, we operate T_{x_2} to $T_{x_1}\omega_1$, then we arrive at $T_{x_2}T_{x_1}\omega_1$, and so on.

Continuing this process, the transition probabilty that ω_1 is transferred to ω_2 after the elapse of n units of time is given by

$$m\{x \mid \omega_2 = T_{\eta_n(x)} T_{\eta_{n-1}(x)} \cdots T_{\eta_1(x)} \omega_1\}.$$

We can represent any permutation T of Ω in a matrix form of degree q; $T = (\tau_{ij})$, $1 \leqq i,\ j \leqq q$. The i-j element τ_{ij} of T is equal to 1 if $\omega_i = T\omega_j$, $\tau_{ij} = 0$ if $\omega_i \neq T\omega_j$.

1) S. M. ULAM and J. V. NEUMANN: 165. Random ergodic theorems. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 51, No. 9, 1945. p. 660.

Set

$$T_0 = 1/p\ (T_1 + T_2 + \cdots + T_p).$$

T_0 is a Markoff matrix. It is easy to verify that the i-j element $\tau_{ij}^{(n)}$ of T_0^n is equal to $m\{x \mid T_{\eta_n(x)} \cdots T_{\eta_1(x)}\, \omega_j = \omega_i\}$, that is the transition probability that ω_j is transferred to ω_i after the elapse of n units of time. It is a well-known fact that if for some integer n, $\tau_{ij}^{(n)} > 0$ for all i, j, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} T_0^n = Q$ exists and all i-j elements of Q are equal to $1/q$. *In this case the family Φ is said to be strongly mixing.*

Let Ξ be the direct product measure space of X and Ω:

$$\Xi = X \times \Omega, \quad \xi \in \Xi, \quad \xi = (x, \omega), \quad x \in X, \quad \omega \in \Omega.$$

Let φ be the measure preserving transformation of Ξ defined by

$$\varphi(x, \omega) = (\sigma x,\ T_{\eta_1(x)}\, \omega).$$

THEOREM 1. *φ is strongly mixing if and only if Φ is strongly mixing.*

PROOF: Define the functions $f_i(\omega)$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots q$, as follows.

$$f_i(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad \omega = \omega_i \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad \omega \neq \omega_i . \end{cases}$$

Set

$$F(x, \omega) = f_i(\omega),\ G(x, \omega) = f_j(\omega).$$

Then we have $F(\varphi^n(x, \omega)) = f_i(T_{\eta_n(x)} T_{\eta_{n-1}(x)} \cdots T_{\eta_1(x)}\, \omega)$.
Assume that φ is strongly mixing, then we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int F(\varphi^n \xi)\ G(\xi) d\xi = \int F(\xi) d\xi \int G(\xi) d\xi$$

$$= \int f_i(\omega) d\omega \int f_j(\omega) d\omega = 1/q \cdot 1/q = 1/q^2$$

The integral of the left hand side of the above equality is

$$\int F(\varphi^n \xi)\ G(\xi) d\xi = \int \left\{ \int \cdots \int f_i(T_{\eta_n(x)} T_{\eta_{n-1}(x)} \cdots T_{\eta_1(x)}\, \omega)\ dx \right\} f_j(\omega) d\omega^{2)}$$

$$= 1/q\ \ m\{x \mid \omega_i = T_{\eta_n(x)} T_{\eta_{n-1}(x)} \cdots T_{\eta_1(x)}\, \omega_j\} = 1/q\ \ \tau_{ij}^{(n)}.$$

Therefore we obtain the equality $\lim_{n\to\infty} 1/q\ \tau_{ij}^{(n)} = 1/q^2$, that is, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tau_{ij}^{(n)} = 1/q$. This shows that Φ is strongly mixing.

Conversely assume that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tau_{ij}^{(n)} = 1/q$ for all i, j.

2) In Ω, each point has the positive measure $1/q$, Following the usual custom we should replace the integral notation $\int d\omega$ by the summation notation $\sum$. But, for the sake of simplicity, we use the integral notation.

Set

$$\xi_j(\eta) = \exp(2\pi ij\eta/p),\ j = 0, 1, 2, \dots p-1,\ \eta \in \mathrm{H}_k.$$

Obviously $\{\zeta_j(\eta)\}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots p-1$, are the complete orthonormal system of $L^2(\mathrm{H}_k)$ for any k.

Hence $\{\zeta_{k_1}(\eta_{i_1}(x))\,\zeta_{k_2}(\eta_{i_2}(x)) \dots \zeta_{k_s}(\eta_{i_s}(x))\}$, $0 \leqq s < \infty$, $-\infty < i_1, \dots i_s < \infty$, $0 \leqq k_1, \dots k_s \leqq p-1$, are the complete orthonormal system of $L^2(X)$. We denote this system by Ψ.

In order to prove that φ is strongly mixing, it is sufficient to show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \iint g(\sigma^n x)\, f_i(T_{\eta_n(x)} \cdots T_{\eta_1(x)}\omega)\, h(x)\, f_j(\omega)\,dx\,d\omega = 1/q^2 \int g(x)dx \int h(x)dx \tag{1}$$

holds for any $g(x)$, $h(x) \in \Psi$.

If $g(x) \equiv 1$, and $h(x) \equiv 1$, then the integral of the left hand side of (1) is equal to $1/q\ \tau_{ij}^{(n)}$, which tends to $1/q^2$ as $n \to \infty$, therefore the equality (1) holds. In general if

$$g(x) = \zeta_{k_1}(\eta_{i_2}(x)) \dots \zeta_{k_s}(\eta_{i_s}(x))$$
$$h(x) = \zeta_{l_1}(\eta_{j_1}(x)) \dots \zeta_{l_r}(\eta_{j_r}(x)),$$

then the integral of the left hand side of (1) is

$$1/q \int \zeta_{k_1}(\eta_{i_1+n}(x)) \dots \zeta_{k_s}(\eta_{i_s+n}(x))\, f_i(T_{\eta_n(x)} \cdots T_{\eta_1(x)}\omega_j) \cdot \zeta_{l_1}(\eta_{j_1}(x)) \dots \zeta_{l_r}(\eta_{j_r}(x))\,dx. \tag{2}$$

Suppose $i_1 > i_2 > \dots > i_s$ and $j_1 > j_2 > \dots > j_r$. There is no loss of generality in assuming that $i_s < 0$, $j_1 > 0$, $j_r < 0$. We may consider n to be sufficiently large that $i_s + n > j_1 > 0$.

Set

$$E^{j_1, j_1-1, \dots j_r+1, j_r}_{\eta_{j_1}, \eta_{j_1-1}, \dots \eta_{j_r+1}, \eta_{j_r}} = \{x \mid \eta_{j_1}(x) = \eta_{j_1},\ \eta_{j_1-1}(x) = \eta_{j_1-1}, \dots \eta_{j_r+1}(x) = \eta_{j_r+1},\ \eta_{j_r}(x) = \eta_{j_r}\}.$$

Then the sets $E^{j_1, j_1-1, \dots, j_r+1, j_r}_{\eta_{j_1}, \eta_{j_1-1}, \dots, \eta_{j_r+1}, \eta_{j_r}}$ and $E^{i_1+n, i_1-1+n, \dots, i_s+n}_{\eta_{i_1}, \eta_{i_1-1}, \dots, \eta_{i_s}}$ are mutually stochastically independent for any

$$1 \leqq \eta_{j_1}, \dots, \eta_{j_r}, \eta_{i_1}, \dots, \eta_{i_s} \leqq p.$$

Therefore we have

$$m\left(E^{i_1+n, i_1-1+n, \dots, i_s+n}_{\eta_{i_1}, \eta_{i_1-1}, \dots, \eta_{i_s}} \cap E^{j_1, j_1-1, \dots, j_r}_{\eta_{j_1}, \eta_{j_1-1}, \dots, \eta_{j_r}}\right) = m\left(E^{i_1+n, i_1-1+n, \dots, i_s+n}_{\eta_{i_1}, \eta_{i_1-1}, \dots, \eta_{i_s}}\right)\, m\left(E^{j_1, j_1-1, \dots, j_r}_{\eta_{j_1}, \eta_{j_1-1}, \dots, \eta_{j_r}}\right)$$

The value of the integral (2) on the set

$$E^{i_1+n,\, i_1-1+n,\, \cdots,\, i_s+n}_{\eta_{i_1},\, \eta_{i_1-1},\, \cdots,\, \eta_{i_s}} \cap E^{j_1,\, j_1-1,\quad \cdots,\, j_r}_{\eta_{j_1},\, \eta_{j_1-1},\, \cdots,\, \eta_{j_r}}$$

is

$$(3)\qquad 1/q\ m\left(E^{i_1+n,\, i_1-1+n,\, \cdots,\, i_s+n}_{\eta_{i_1},\, \eta_{i_1-1},\quad \cdots,\, \eta_{i_s}}\right)\ m\left(E^{i_1,\, j_2-1,\quad \cdots,\, j_r}_{\eta_{j_1},\, \eta_{j_1-1},\, \cdots,\, \eta_{j_r}}\right)$$
$$\cdot \zeta_{k_1}(\eta_{i_1}) \cdots \zeta_{k_s}(\eta_{i_s})\, \zeta_{\iota_1}(\eta_{j_1}) \cdots \zeta_{\iota_r}(\eta_{j_r})$$
$$\cdot \int f_i\left(T_{\eta_0} T_{\eta_{-1}} \cdots T_{\eta_{i_s}} T_{\eta_{i_s-1+n}(x)} \cdots T_{\eta_{j_1+1}(x)} T_{\eta_{j_1}} \cdots T_{\eta_1} \omega_j\right) dx$$

The value of the integral in (3) indicates the transition probability that the point $T_{\eta_{j_1}} \cdots T_{\eta_{j_r}} \omega_j$ is transferred to the point $T^{-1}_{\eta_{i_s}} \cdots T^{-1}_{\eta_0} \omega_i$ after the elapse of $n-1+i_s-j_1$ units of time, which tends to $1/q$ as $n \to \infty$ by our assumption. Therefore the left hand side of (1) exists and is equal to

$$1/q^2 \sum_i \sum_j m\left(E^{i_1+n,\, \cdots,\, i_s+n}_{\eta_{i_1},\quad \cdots,\, \eta_{i_s}}\right)\ m\left(E^{j_1,\quad \cdots,\, j_r}_{\eta_{j_1},\, \cdots,\, \eta_{j_r}}\right)$$
$$\cdot \zeta_{k_1}(\eta_{i_1}) \cdots \zeta_{k_s}(\eta_{j_s})\ \zeta_{\iota_1}(\eta_{j_1}) \cdots \zeta_{\iota_r}(\eta_{j_r})$$
$$= 1/q^2 \int g(\sigma^n x) dx \int h(x) dx = 1/q^2 \int g(x) dx \int h(x) dx\,.$$

This is the required result.

THEOREM 2. *φ is ergodic if and only if Ω contains no Φ-invariant subset except Ω and the empty set.*

PROOF: If Ω contains a non-trivial Φ-invariant subset A, then $X \times A$ is a non-trivial φ-invariant subset of Ξ, therefore φ is not ergodic.

Conversely assume that $F(x, \omega)$ is a φ-invariant function, which is not a constant:

$$(4)\qquad F(x, \omega) \equiv F(\sigma x,\ T_{\eta_1(x)} \omega).$$

In order to conclude the existence of a non-trivial Φ-invariant subset of Ω, it is sufficient to show that $F(x, \omega)$ is a function depending only on the variable ω. If $F(x, \omega)$ depends only on the variable x, then we may conclude from (4) immediately that $F(x, \omega)$ is a constant. Let δ be the least positive value of

$$\int |F(x, \omega_i) - F(x, \omega_j)|^2\ dx, \quad 1 \leqq i,\ j \leqq q\,.$$

Let h be the order of the permutation group $[\Phi]$ of Ω generated by Φ, h is at most $q!$. Let ε be a positive number such that

$$(5)\qquad 6h(1+9ph)\varepsilon < \delta\,.$$

By the definition of $L^2(X)$, it is easy to conclude the existence of a function $G(x, \omega)$ and a positive number n such that

$$\int |F(x,\omega)-G(x,\omega)|^2\, dx < \varepsilon \qquad \text{for all } \omega \in \Omega, \tag{6}$$

(7) $G(x, \omega)$ does not depend on the value of $\eta_k(x)$ for $|k| > n$,

$$\begin{cases} \int |F(x,\omega)-F(Vx,\omega)|^2\, dx < \varepsilon & \text{for all } \omega \in \Omega, \\ \int |F(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\omega)-F(\sigma^{2n+1}Vx,\omega)|^2\, dx < \varepsilon & \text{for all } \omega \in \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

where V is any measure preserving transformation of X satisfying the equalities $\eta_k(x) = \eta_k(Vx)$ for all $|k| \leq n$.

Let S_1 and S_2 be elements of $[\Phi]$.

Set

$$A(S_1) = \{x \mid T^{\eta_n(x)} T^{\eta_{n-1}(x)} \cdots T^{\eta_1(x)} = S_1\}$$

$$A'(S_2) = \{x \mid T^{\eta_{2n+1}(x)} T^{\eta_{2n}(x)} \cdots T^{\eta_{n+2}(x)} = S_2\} = \sigma^{n+1}(A(S_2))$$

$$A(S_1, S_2) = A(S_1) \cap A'(S_2), \quad B_\eta = \{x \mid \eta_{n+1}(x) = \eta\}.$$

Let η' and η'' be any mutually different integers between 1 and p. Let V be a measure preserving transformation of X such that

$$V\{x \mid \eta_{n+1}(x) = \eta'\} = \{x \mid \eta_{n+1}(x) = \eta''\},$$

$$V\{x \mid \eta_{n+1}(x) = \eta''\} = \{x \mid \eta_{n+1}(x) = \eta'\},$$

and $\eta_k(x) = \eta_k(Vx)$ for all $k \neq n+1$.

$Vx \in A(S_1, S_2)$ if and only if $x \in A(S_1, S_2)$.

From (4) we obtain

$$F(x,\omega) \equiv F(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ T^{\eta_{2n+1}(x)} \cdots T^{\eta_{n+2}(x)} T^{\eta_{n+1}(x)} T^{\eta_n(x)} \cdots T^{\eta_1(x)}\omega). \tag{9}$$

If $x \in A(S_1, S_2)$, then from (9) we have

$$F(x,\omega) = F(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2 T^{\eta_{n+1}(x)} S_1\omega) \tag{10}$$

If $x \in A(S_1, S_2) \cap B_{\eta'}$, then from (10) we have

$$\begin{cases} F(x,\omega) = F(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2 T^{\eta'} S_1\omega) \\ F(Vx,\omega) = F(\sigma^{2n+1}Vx,\ S_2 T^{\eta''} S_1\omega). \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

From (11) and (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{A(S_1,S_2)\cap B_{\eta'}} |F(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2T^{\eta'}S_1\omega) - F(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2T^{\eta''}S_1\omega)|^2\, dx \\ &< 2\int_{A(S_1,S_2)\cap B_{\eta'}} |F(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2T^{\eta'}S_1\omega) - F(\sigma^{2n+1}Vx,\ S_2T^{\eta''}S_1\omega)|^2\, dx \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$$+ 2\int_X |F(\sigma^{2n+1}Vx,\ S_2T\eta''S_1\omega)-F(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2T\eta''S_1\omega)|^2\,dx$$

$$< 2\int_{A(S_1,S_2)\cap B_{\eta'}} |F(x,\omega)-F(Vx,\omega)|^2dx\ +\ 2\varepsilon < 4\varepsilon\,.$$

From (6) and (12) we have

$$\text{(13)}\qquad \int_{A(S_1,S_2)\cap B_{\eta'}} |G(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2T\eta'S_1\omega)-G(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2T\eta''S_1\omega)|^2\,dx$$

$$< 3\int_X |G(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2T\eta'S_1\omega)-F(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2T\eta'S_1\omega)|^2\,dx$$

$$+ 3\int_{A(S_1,S_2)\cap B_{\eta'}} |F(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2T\eta'S_1\omega)-F(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2T\eta''S_1\omega)|^2\,dx$$

$$+ 3\int_X |F(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2T\eta''S_1\omega)-G(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2T\eta''S_1\omega)|^2\,dx$$

$$< 3(\varepsilon+4\varepsilon+\varepsilon) = 18\varepsilon\,.$$

The set $B_{\eta'}$ is stochastically independent of the set $A(S_1,S_2)$ and of the functions appearing in the left hand side of (13), therefore the left hand side of (13) ie equal to

$$\text{(14)}\qquad m(B_{\eta'})\int_{A(S_1,S_2)} |G(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2T\eta'S_1\omega)-G(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2T\eta''S_1\omega)|^2\,dx$$

$$= 1/p\int_{A(S_1)\cap A'(S_2)} |G(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2T\eta'S_1\omega)-G(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2T\eta''S_1\omega)|^2\,dx\,.$$

The set $A(S_1)$ is stochastically independent of the set $A'(S_2)$ and of the functions in (14), therefore (14) is equal to

$$\text{(15)}\qquad 1/p\ m(A(S_1))\int_{A'(S_2)} |G(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2T\eta'S_1\omega)-G(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2T\eta''S_1\omega)|^2\,dx\,.$$

Let S_1 be an element of $[\Phi]$ such that $m(A(S_1)) \geqq 1/h$, then we have from the inequality (13) and (15),

$$\text{(16)}\qquad \int_{A'(S_2)} |G(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2T\eta'S_1\omega)-G(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2T\eta''S_1\omega)|^2\,dx < 18ph\ \varepsilon\,.$$

From (6) and (16) we have

$$\text{(17)}\qquad \int_{A'(S_2)} |F(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2T\eta'S_1\omega)-F(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ S_2T\eta''S_1\omega)|^2\,dx$$

$$< 3(\varepsilon+18ph\,\varepsilon+\varepsilon) = 6(1+9ph)\ \varepsilon\,.$$

Summing up (17) over all $S_2 \in [\Phi]$, we obtain

$$(18)\qquad \int |F(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ T_{\eta_{2n+1}(x)}\cdots T_{\eta_{n+2}(x)}T_{\eta'}S_1\omega) - F(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ T_{\eta_{2n+1}(x)}\cdots T_{\eta_{n+2}(x)}T_{\eta''}S_1\omega)|^2\,dx < 6h(1+9ph)\,\varepsilon .$$

Since $F(\sigma^{2n+1}x,\ T_{\eta_{2n+2}(x)}\cdots T_{\eta_{n+2}(x)}\omega)$
$=F(\sigma^n(\sigma^{n+1}x),\ T_{\eta_n(\sigma^{n+1}x)}\cdots T_{\eta_1(\sigma^{n+1}x)}\omega)$,

by replacing the variable $\sigma^{n+1}x$ in the right hand side of (18) by x, and by making use of (5), we obtain

$$(19)\qquad \int |F(\sigma^n x,\ T_{\eta_n(x)}T_{\eta_{n-1}(x)}\cdots T_{\eta_1(x)}T_{\eta'}S_1\omega) - F(\sigma^n x,\ T_{\eta_n(x)}T_{\eta_{n-1}(x)}\cdots T_{\eta_1(x)}T_{\eta''}S_1\omega)|^2\,dx < \delta .$$

Since $F(x,\omega)$ is a φ-invariant function,

$$F(\sigma^n x,\ T_{\eta_n(x)}T_{\eta_{n-1}(x)}\cdots T_{\eta_1(x)}\omega) \equiv F(x,\omega).$$

Therefore

$$\int |F(x, T_{\eta'}S_1\omega) - F(x, T_{\eta''}S_1\omega)|^2\,dx < \delta .$$

By the definition of δ, we have

$$F(x, T_{\eta'}S_1\omega) \equiv F(x, T_{\eta''}S_1\omega).$$

Since η' and η'' are arbitrary, we obtain

$$F(x, T_1\omega) \equiv F(x, T_2\omega) \equiv \cdots \equiv F(x, T_p\omega).$$

Therefore

$$F(\sigma x,\ T_1\omega) \equiv F(\sigma x,\ T_2\omega) \equiv \cdots \equiv F(\sigma x,\ T_p\omega) \equiv F(x,\omega)$$

Let r be the order of T_1, then we have

$$F(\sigma^r x,\ T^r{}_1\omega) \equiv F(\sigma^r x,\omega) \equiv F(x,\omega).$$

From the ergodicity of σ^r, we can conclude that $F(x,\omega)$ depende only on the variable ω. This completes the proof of the theorem.

The extension of our results to the general case in which each component space H_k is the continuum of [0, 1]-interval with the usual Lebesgue measure and Φ is a family of measure preserving transformations of an arbitrary measure space Ω was made by S. KAKUTANI.

(Received December 24, 1949)