

Title	On Hasse-Schmidt higher derivations
Author(s)	Saymeh, Sadi Abu
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1986, 23(2), p. 503-508
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/9183
rights	
Note	

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

ON HASSE-SCHMIDT HIGHER DERIVATIONS

SADI ABU-SAYMEH

(Received March 19, 1985)

Let k be a field of characteristic zero and let A be a commutative k -algebra. A higher derivation D of A over k is a sequence

$$\underline{D} = \{D_0, D_1, D_2, \dots\}$$

of additive k -endomorphisms D_i 's such that D_0 is the identity map of A and $D_n(ab) = \sum_{m=0}^n D_m(a) D_{n-m}(b)$ for every $a, b \in A$. This interesting notion of higher derivations was introduced by H. Hasse and F.K. Schmidt in [1].

In this paper we shall prove that a higher derivation \underline{D} of A over k is represented uniquely by a certain sequence of derivations of A over k .

Let n, r be positive integers such that $n \geq r$. We shall denote by $P_{n,r}$ the set of ordered partitions of n into r -positive integers, i.e.,

$$P_{n,r} = \{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \mid \sum_{i=1}^r n_i = n, n_i \in \mathbb{N}_+\}.$$

It is easily seen that the cardinality $|P_{n,r}|$ of the set $P_{n,r}$ is given by

$$|P_{n,r}| = \binom{n-1}{r-1}.$$

Proposition 1. Let $\underline{D} = (D_0, D_1, D_2, \dots)$ be a higher derivation on A and let $\delta_n (n \geq 1)$ be defined by the equations

$$\delta_n = \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{r} \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in P_{n,r}} D_{n_1} D_{n_2} \dots D_{n_r}.$$

Then we have

- (i) $\delta_n (n = 1, 2, \dots)$ is a k -derivation,
- (ii) $D_n = \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in P_{n,r}} \delta_{n_1} \delta_{n_2} \dots \delta_{n_r}.$

Proof. (i) For $n=1$ we have $\delta_1 = D_1$ which is clearly k -derivation.

For $n \geq 2$, and $a, b \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n(ab) &= D_n(ab) + \sum_{r=2}^n \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{r} \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in P_{n,r}} D_{n_1} D_{n_2} \cdots D_{n_r}(ab) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n D_m(a) D_{n-m}(b) + \sum_{r=2}^n \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{r} \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in P_{n,r}} \sum_{0 \leq m_i \leq n_i} \\ &\quad \cdot D_{m_1} D_{m_2} \cdots D_{m_r}(a) D_{n_1-m_1} D_{n_2-m_2} \cdots D_{n_r-m_r}(b) \\ &= a\delta_n(b) + \delta_n(a)b + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} D_m(a) D_{n-m}(b) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=2}^n \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{r} \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in P_{n,r}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m_i \leq n_i \\ \text{and at least one } 0 < m_i < n_i}} \\ &\quad \cdot D_{m_1} D_{m_2} \cdots D_{m_r}(a) D_{n_1-m_1} D_{n_2-m_2} \cdots D_{n_r-m_r}(b). \end{aligned}$$

Hence to prove the assertion it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} D_m(a) D_{n-m}(b) + \sum_{r=2}^n \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{r} \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in P_{n,r}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m_i \leq n_i \\ \text{and at least one } 0 < m_i < n_i}} \\ \cdot D_{m_1} D_{m_2} \cdots D_{m_r}(a) D_{n_1-m_1} \cdots D_{n_r-m_r}(b) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $h(e, s)$ be the coefficients of $D_{i_1} D_{i_2} \cdots D_{i_e}(a) D_{j_1} D_{j_2} \cdots D_{j_s}(b)$ in the reduced expression of the left hand side where l_i 's and j_i 's are positive integers such that $l_1 + \cdots + l_e + j_1 + \cdots + j_s = n$. Such a term can occur only if $r = e, e+1, \dots, e+s$. Hence if $e \geq s \geq 1$ then it is seen without essential difficulty that we have

$$h(e, s) = \sum_{p=0}^s \frac{(-1)^{e+p+1}}{e+p} \binom{e+p}{e} \binom{e}{s-p}.$$

The sum correspond to the case $r = e, \dots, r = e+s$ respectively and $\binom{e+p}{e}$ is the number of times one can select e number of m_i 's to be equal to l_i 's and setting the other p -number of m_i 's to be zero, while $\binom{e}{s-p}$ is the number of times one can select $s-p$ numbers of $(n_i - m_i)$'s to be equal to the j 's. Since

$$\frac{1}{e+p} \binom{e+p}{p} = \frac{1}{e} \binom{e+p-1}{p}.$$

Then we get

$$h(e, s) = \frac{(-1)^{e+1}}{e} \sum_{p=0}^s (-1)^p \binom{e+p-1}{p} \binom{e}{s-p}.$$

Setting $s-p=q$ we obtain

$$h(e, s) = \frac{(-1)^{e+s+1}}{e} \sum_{q=0}^s (-1)^q \binom{e+s-q-1}{s-q} \binom{e}{q}.$$

Hence $h(e, s)=0$ by [2, identity (35) p. 41]. Similarly $h(e, s)=0$ if $s > e \geq 1$. Hence δ_n is a k -derivation.

(ii) We use induction on n . For $n=1$ we have $\delta_1=D_1$. Since

$$D_n = \delta_n + \sum_{r=2}^n \frac{(-1)^r}{r} \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in P_{n,r}} D_{n_1} D_{n_2} \cdots D_{n_r} \text{ for } n \geq 2,$$

and the induction assumption on n implies that

$$D_{n_i} = \sum_{s=1}^{n_i} \frac{1}{s!} \sum_{(n_{i1}, \dots, n_{is}) \in P_{n_i,s}} \delta_{n_{i1}} \delta_{n_{i2}} \cdots \delta_{n_{is}}$$

for every $1 \leq n_i < n$.

Hence after collecting similar terms we get

$$D_n = \delta_n + \sum_{r=2}^n \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in P_{n,r}} \left[\sum_{p=2}^r \frac{(-1)^p}{p} \sum_{(m_1, \dots, m_p) \in P_{r,p}} \frac{1}{(m_1)! \cdots (m_p)!} \right] \delta_{n_1} \delta_{n_2} \cdots \delta_{n_r}.$$

On the other hand the coefficient of χ^r (for every $r \geq 2$) in the Taylor's series expansion of $\chi = \ln[1+(e^x-1)]$ is

$$\frac{1}{r!} - \sum_{p=2}^r \frac{(-1)^p}{p} \sum_{(m_1, \dots, m_p) \in P_{r,p}} \frac{1}{(m_1)! \cdots (m_p)!} = 0.$$

Hence

$$D_n = \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in P_{n,r}} \delta_{n_1} \delta_{n_2} \cdots \delta_{n_r}.$$

A higher derivation $\underline{D} = \{D_n; n \geq 0\}$ is called iterative if it satisfies the condition

$$D_i D_j = \binom{i+j}{i} D_{i+j}$$

for any pair of integers (i, j) . Then we have the

Corollary. *Let \underline{D} be a higher derivation of A over k and $\{\delta_n, n=1, 2, \dots\}$ be a corresponding sequence of derivations defined in Proposition 1. Then \underline{D} is*

iterative if and only if $\delta_n=0$ for all $n \geq 2$.

Proposition 2. Let $\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of k -derivations on A and set

$$D_n = \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in P_{n,r}} \delta_{n_1} \delta_{n_2} \dots \delta_{n_r} \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Then we have

(i) $D = \{D_0 = id, D_1, D_2, \dots\}$

is a higher derivation, and

(ii) $\delta_n = \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{r} \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in P_{n,r}} D_{n_1} D_{n_2} \dots D_{n_r}.$

Proof. (i) The assertion is clear for $n=1$.

Next we show that $D_n(ab) = \sum_{m=0}^n D_m(a) D_{n-m}(b)$ for every $a, b \in A$ and $n \geq 2$.

For convenience let δ_m^0 stands for the identity mapping and δ_m^1 stands for δ_m . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(ab) &= \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in P_{n,r}} \delta_{n_1} \delta_{n_2} \dots \delta_{n_r}(ab) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in P_{n,r}} \sum_{0 \leq e_i \leq 1} \delta_{n_1}^{e_1} \delta_{n_2}^{e_2} \dots \delta_{n_r}^{e_r}(a) \delta_{n_1}^{1-e_1} \delta_{n_2}^{1-e_2} \dots \delta_{n_r}^{1-e_r}(b) \\ &= aD_n(b) + D_n(a) \cdot b + \sum_{r=2}^n \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in P_{n,r}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq e_i \leq 1 \\ \text{such that} \\ 1 \leq e_1 + \dots + e_r \leq r-1}} \delta_{n_1}^{e_1} \dots \delta_{n_r}^{e_r}(a) \cdot \delta_{n_1}^{1-e_1} \dots \delta_{n_r}^{1-e_r}(b) \\ &= aD_n(b) + D_n(a) \cdot b + \sum_{r=2}^n \sum_{(t,s) \in P_{r,2}} \frac{1}{(t+s)!} \binom{t+s}{t} \\ &\quad \sum_{(m^1, \dots, m_t, l_1, \dots, l_s) \in P_{n,t+s}} \delta_{m_1} \delta_{m_2} \dots \delta_{m_t}(a) \cdot \delta_{l_1} \delta_{l_2} \dots \delta_{l_s}(b). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\binom{t+s}{t}$ is the number of ways of selecting t number of e_i 's equal to one in the expression

$$\delta_{n_1}^{e_1} \delta_{n_2}^{e_2} \dots \delta_{n_r}^{e_r}(a) \cdot \delta_{n_1}^{1-e_1} \delta_{n_2}^{1-e_2} \dots \delta_{n_r}^{1-e_r}(b) \quad \text{where } r=t+s.$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^n D_m(a) D_{n-m}(b) &= aD_n(b) + D_n(a)b \\ &+ \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \left[\left(\sum_{t=1}^m \frac{1}{t!} \sum_{(m_1, \dots, m_t) \in P_{m,t}} \delta_{m_1} \delta_{m_2} \dots \delta_{m_t}(a) \right) \right. \\ &\left. \left(\sum_{s=1}^{n-m} \frac{1}{s!} \sum_{(l_1, \dots, l_s) \in P_{n-m,s}} \delta_{l_1} \delta_{l_2} \dots \delta_{l_s}(b) \right) \right] \\ &= aD_n(b) + D_n(a) \cdot b + \sum_{r=2}^n \sum_{(t,s) \in P_{r,2}} \frac{1}{t!s!} \\ &\sum_{(m_1, \dots, m_t, l_1, \dots, l_s) \in P_{n,t+s}} \delta_{m_1} \delta_{m_2} \dots \delta_{m_t}(a) \cdot \delta_{l_1} \delta_{l_2} \dots \delta_{l_s}(b). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1}{(t+s)!} \binom{t+s}{t} = \frac{1}{t!s!}$ we have $D_n(ab) = \sum_{m=0}^n D_m(a) D_{n-m}(b)$

(ii) Since $\underline{D} = \{D_0, D_1, D_2, \dots\}$ is a higher derivation we can associate to \underline{D} a sequence of derivations $\{\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \dots\}$ by Proposition 1(i). From Proposition 1(ii) it follows that

$$D_n = \delta'_n + \sum_{r=2}^n \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in P_{n,r}} \delta'_{n_1} \delta'_{n_2} \dots \delta'_{n_r}.$$

On the other hand

$$D_n = \delta_n + \sum_{r=2}^n \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in P_{n,r}} \delta_{n_1} \delta_{n_2} \dots \delta_{n_r}$$

by definition of D_n . Since $\delta_1 = D_1 = \delta'_1$ we get easily $\delta_n = \delta'_n$ by induction on n .

The following theorem follows from Propositions 1 and 2.

Theorem. *There is a one to one correspondence between the set of ordered sequences of k -derivations on A and the set of higher derivations on A in such a way if $\{\delta_n: n \geq 0, \delta_0$ identity, δ_n is a k -derivation $\}$ and the higher derivation $\underline{D} = \{D_n: n \geq 0\}$ correspond, then*

$$D_n = \sum_{p=1}^n \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_p) \in P_{n,p}} \delta_{n_1} \delta_{n_2} \dots \delta_{n_p}$$

and

$$\delta_n = \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{r} \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in P_{n,r}} D_{n_1} D_{n_2} \dots D_{n_r}$$

for every $n \geq 1$ and $D_0 = \delta_0$.

Corollary. *Let $D_{A/k}$ be the set of all higher derivations on A . Let $\underline{D}, \underline{E} \in$*

$D_{A/k}$ correspond respectively to the sequences $\{\delta_{1,n}: n \geq 0\}$ and $\{\delta_{2,n}: n \geq 0\}$ of k -derivations on A . Then $D_{A/k}$ is a Lie algebra with respect to the operations $\alpha D + \underline{E} = \underline{L}$ and $[\underline{D}, \underline{E}] = \underline{G}$ where $\alpha \in k$ and \underline{L} is the higher derivation corresponding to the sequence $\{\delta_0, \alpha\delta_{1,n} + \delta_{2,n}: n \geq 1\}$ and \underline{G} is the higher derivation corresponding to the sequence $\{\delta_0, [\delta_{1,n}, \delta_{2,n}] = \delta_{1,n}\delta_{2,n} - \delta_{2,n}\delta_{1,n}: n \geq 1\}$ respectively.

Proof. It follows easily from the fact that the set of k -derivations on A is a Lie algebra.

References

- [1] H. Hasse and F.K. Schmidt: *Noch eine Begründung der Theorie der höheren Differentialquotienten in einem algebraischen Funktionenkörper einer Unbestimmten*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **177** (1937), 215–237.
- [2] N. Vilenkin: *Combinatorics*, Academic Press, New York, 1971.

Department of Mathematics
Yarmouk University
Irbid, Jordan