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Abstract: Porous frameworks composed of non-stoichiometrically mixed multicomponent molecules attract much attention from a functional viewpoint. However, their designed preparation and precise structural characterization remain challenging. Herein, we demonstrate that cocrystallization of tetrakis(4-carboxyphenyl)hexahydropyrene and pyrene derivatives (CP-Hp and CP-Py, respectively) yields non-stoichiometric mixed frameworks through networking via hydrogen bonding. The composition ratio of CP-Hp and CP-Py in the framework was determined by single-crystalline X-ray crystallographic analysis, indicating that the mixed frameworks were formed over a wide range of composition ratios. Furthermore, microscopic Raman spectroscopy on the single crystal indicates that the components are not uniformly distributed such as ideal solid solution, but are done gradationally or inhomogeneously.

Non-stoichiometrically mixed multicomponent cocrystals have been a topic of interest to materials scientists because their functionalities can be fine-tuned and/or confabulated by changing the components and their ratio and because, in some cases, a totally new functionality can be created.[1-3] Thus far, numerous multicomponent organic crystals have been obtained on the basis of supramolecular approaches using non-covalent interactions such as charge transfer (CT) interactions,[4] hydrogen bonds,[5-7] and halogen bonds,[8] as well as dative bonds[9] and dynamic covalent bonds.[10] Such crystals have been used in luminescent materials,[11] selective absorbents,[9] and pharmaceutical products.[12]

In this study, we became interested in porous crystalline framework materials composed of non-stoichiometric multiple components. In a pioneering work, Yaghi and coworkers prepared multicomponent metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) with various terephthalates and demonstrated that the multicomponent MOFs exhibit selective uptake of CO2 over CO, which were not achieved by pristine MOF-5.[5,6] Unlike MOFs, porous molecular crystals formed through hydrogen bonds (known as hydrogen-bonded organic frameworks, HOFs)[13-18] are difficult to construct from a non-stoichiometric mixture of component molecules, although stoichiometric multicomponent HOFs were prepared.[19-24]

In general, HOFs are obtained by simple recrystallization as single crystals with a suitable size and quality for single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. The recrystallization process, however, excludes minor component molecules from the crystalline system even if their molecular sizes and shapes match with each other. Thus far, a handful of non-stoichiometric multicomponent HOFs have been constructed.[4,25] However, a precise crystallographic analysis could not be conducted except for powder X-ray diffraction of bulk precipitates. Constructing well-defined non-stoichiometric cocrystalline frameworks with the desired composition ratio remains challenging.

Herein, we demonstrate, for the first time, the construction and precise crystallographic characterization of non-stoichiometric cocrystalline HOFs. The frameworks are composed of tetrakis(4-carboxyphenyl)hexahydropyrene and pyrene derivatives (CP-Hp and CP-Py, respectively) with various composition ratios (Figure 1). Although their pristine compounds gave no cocrystals, we found that the derivatives possessing four carboxyphenyl groups successfully form mixed frameworks through H-bonding of the peripheral carboxyl groups.[25-29] The composition ratio in the crystals shows a good correlation with that in the initial solution used for recrystallization. Moreover, microscopic Raman spectroscopic analysis of single crystals revealed that both the CP-Hp and CP-Py components are incorporated into the single crystal, and are not distributed uniformly but instead gradationally and/or inhomogeneously. This is the first example to reveal distribution of the nonstoichiometric components in single crystals of HOFs.

CP-Hp and CP-Py,[29] synthesized according to Scheme S1, were recrystallized independently to give single component HOFs CP-Hp-1 and CP-Py-1, respectively (Figure 2a,b).[30] In both structures, the carboxy groups of the molecules form a self-complementary dimer through H-bonds with an O···O distance of 2.61–2.63 Å for CP-Hp-1 and 2.62–2.63 Å for CP-Py-1 to give sql-topological two-dimensional (2D) layered motifs with a rhomboic void. In the void, molecules of 4,6-dimethoxybenzene (4,6-DMB) are accommodated with a host/guest ratio of 1:4 (Figure 2d). The 2D...
Figure 1. Concept of non-stoichiometric cocrystalline frameworks. (a) Spontaneous resolution for component molecules A and B without specific interactions. (b) Solid-solution formation through strong interaction between pristine molecules. (c) Solid-solution formation through hydrogen bonds between modules. (d) Construction of a 2D networked hydrogen-bonded solid-solution framework using hexahydropyrene and pyrene derivatives CP-Hp and CP-Py, respectively.

Figure 2. Crystal structures of (a) CP-Hp-1, (b) CP-Py-1, and (c) cocrystal CP-HpPy-1(0.5, 0.506), where yellow-colored parts indicate disordered propylene moieties of hexahydropyrene. (d) Molecules of oDCB accommodated in the voids of CP-Hp-1. (e) Interlayer contacts in the framework of CP-Hp-1. (f) Anisotropic displacement ellipsoid plot for CP-HpPy-1(0.5, 0.506), in which the carbon atoms at the 1,2,3- and 6,7,8-positions are disordered in two structures corresponding to CP-Hp and CP-Py, with occupancies of 0.506 and 0.494, respectively. (g) Composition in single-crystals of CP-HpPy-1(x, y), where x and y denote the molar fraction or occupancy of CP-Hp in the initial solution and the resultant single crystal, respectively. For a certain x, the plots in different colors (i.e., cyan and dark blue) indicate that single crystals were selected from different batches. Red circle symbols in box A denote the cocrystals concomitantly obtained with solvate crystals of CP-Py.

sheets are slip-stacked to give whole structures of CP-Hp-1 and CP-Py-1. Adjacent pyrene or hexahydropyrene moieties are not pi-stacked each other because of the steric effect of peripheral phenylene groups. For example, the inter-layer distance in CP-Hp-1 is 4.91 Å (Figure 2e).

To explore the construction of non-stoichiometric cocrystalline frameworks, CP-Hp and CP-Py were cocrystallized from solutions with the various molar fractions. The obtained single crystals were analyzed crystallographically, and the composition was determined by optimizing the occupancy of the disordered structures.
A single crystal of the cocry stalline framework is henceforth referred to using the notation CP-HpPy-1(x, y) (0 < x < 1, 0 < y < 1), where x and y denote the molar fraction and occupancy of CP-Hp in the initial solution and in the resultant single crystal, respectively. Figure 2c shows the crystal structure of CP-HpPy-1(0.5, 0.506) as a representative example. The molecular arrangement is nearly the same as those for CP-Hp-1 and CP-Py-1; however, the 1,2,3- and 6,7,8-positions in the pyrene core are disordered because of the coexistence of CP-Hp and CP-Py molecules, whose occupancy was optimized to be 0.506 and 0.494, respectively (Figure 2f). In total, 26 single crystals obtained from solutions with different mixing ratios or from different batches with the same mixing ratio were analysed. Detailed data for all the crystals are shown in Figure S1 and Table S2.

If CP-HpPy-1 is an ideal solid-solution crystal, the values of x and y should be uniformly the same. In Figure 2g, the plot shows an approximately proportional relation between the x and y values; more strictly, many of the data points are located in the CP-Hp region values: more strictly, many of the data points are located in the CP-Hp obtained from solutions with different mixing ratios or from an approximately proportional relation between the CP-Hp and CP-Py values: (a) CP-HpPy-1(0.5, 0.506), and CP-Py-1(0.5, 0.334). The former two crystals were picked from one batch, and the other two were from another batch. These results indicate that cocry stallization proceeds in a nonuniform manner, which was also implied by the calculated intermolecular interaction energies (Table S3, Figure S4).

The x-y balance in CP-HpPy-1 is also perturbed by precipitation of another crystalline form (Figures S2, S3). When cocry stallization was conducted at lower temperature, another solvate of CP-Py [named CP-Py(DMF)] was concomitantly precipitated. The formation of CP-Py(DMF) consumed CP-Py, resulting in increase of the molar fraction of CP-Hp in the mother which yielded CP-HpPy-1 with a y value substantially larger than the corresponding x value, such as CP-HpPy-1(0.5, 0.767) lying in box A in Figure 2g. Therefore, temperature control is essential. Notably, the cell parameters for CP-HpPy-1(x, y) continuously change from those for CP-Py-1 to those for CP-Hp-1 as the y value increases from 0 to 1 (Figure 3). The lengths of the a and b axes of the unit cell decrease from 7.3723(2) to 7.3469(3) Å and from 15.6634(4) to 15.5682(6) Å, respectively. Similarly, the length of the c axis and the β angle for the unit cell increase from 25.1288(6) to 25.7065(9) Å and from 94.144(2)° to 95.106(3)°, respectively. The unit-cell volume increases by 1.2%, from 2894.2(1) to 2928.6(2) Å³. These changes are likely attributable to the stacking manner of the molecules. The distance between the two stacked molecules increases as the y value increases from 0 to 1 (Figure 3f). When y is 0 (i.e., CP-Py-1), adjacent CP-Py molecules are in contact between the sp²-carbon atom of the pyrene moiety and the peripheral phenylene ring with a C-H···C(sp²) distance of 2.83–2.85 Å (Figure 3g, bottom). However, when y is 1 (i.e., CP-Hp-1), the contact is formed between the methylene parts of the hexahydro pyrene moiety and the peripheral phenylene ring, and no contact is observed between the sp²-carbon atom of the hexahydro pyrene moiety and the peripheral phenylene ring because of the steric hindrance. The co-crystals have a weighted average structure of CP-Hp-1 and CP-Py-1, which is often observed in solid-solution crystals.[31]

To investigate the effects of the peripheral carboxy phenyl groups on cocry stall formation, the pristine compounds: 1,2,3,6,7,8-hexahydro pyrene and pyrene were cocry stallized. They gave intrinsic crystals composed of either compound, instead of cocry stallar or solid solutions (Figures SS, S6). These results demonstrate that H-bonding modules play crucial roles in the formation of the non-stoichiometric cocry stalline frameworks.
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Figure 4. Microscopic Raman spectroscopic analysis of single crystals of the cocrystalline framework CP-HpPy-1. (a) Theoretical spectra for molecules of CP-Hp and CP-Py calculated at the DFT B3LYP/6-31G(d) level. (b) Observed spectra of single crystals of CP-Hp-1 and CP-Py-1. Observed spectra of single crystals of (c) CP-HpPy-1(x = 0.3), (d) CP-HpPy-1(x = 0.5), and (e) CP-HpPy-1(x = 0.7), where spectra 1, 2, 3, and 4 were recorded at different positions numbered on the photographs of the single crystal shown at the top.

To determine whether molecules of CP-Hp and CP-Py are distributed uniformly or inhomogeneously in the crystals, microscopic FT-IR and Raman spectroscopy were conducted on single crystals of the frameworks (Figures 4 and S7–S10). Particularly, Raman spectroscopy provided precise information about the component distribution in the cocrystals. The excitation wavelength was 532 nm, which was not overlapped with UV-vis absorption bands of either CP-Hp or CP-Py to allow quantitative comparison of the peaks. The beam spot size for the measurement was approximately 1 μm. Theoretical spectrum of CP-Hp shows several prominent peaks in 2900–3100 cm\(^{-1}\) and a sharp signal at 1602 cm\(^{-1}\), whereas that of CP-Py shows peaks in 3071–3097 cm\(^{-1}\) and split bands in 1568–1602 cm\(^{-1}\) (Figure 4a). In the experimental spectrum of a single crystal of CP-Hp-1, the predicted peaks in 2900–3100 cm\(^{-1}\) (marked with a red solid circle) appear with weak intensity. In addition, a broad band at 2800 cm\(^{-1}\), which does not appear in the calculated spectrum for the molecule, was observed, and is likely due to a packing effect in the crystal (Figure 4b, top). In the case of CP-Py-1, the predicted peaks are well reproduced in the observed spectrum; they are marked by a blue circle and triangle (Figure 4b, bottom). We therefore conducted characterization of cocrystals CP-HpPy-1 on the basis of these characteristic peaks as follows. Raman spectra were recorded at different four positions in each single crystal of CP-HpPy-1(x = 0.3), CP-HpPy-1(x = 0.5), and CP-HpPy-1(x = 0.7) (Figure 4c–e). In the spectrum of CP-HpPy-1(x = 0.3), the most characteristic peaks are ascribable to CP-Py, although weak but clearly discernible peaks due to CP-Hp are observed with different intensities in 2900–3100 cm\(^{-1}\) (e.g., see signals marked with a red solid circle in the spectra corresponding to positions 1 and 4). In the case of CP-HpPy-1(x = 0.5), differences in the spectra recorded at four positions are more prominent: the spectrum corresponding to position 1 mainly shows peaks due to CP-Hp, whereas that corresponding to position 3 shows predominantly CP-Py peaks, and the peaks at the other two positions are spectral features of both compounds. Similarly, in the case of CP-HpPy-1(x = 0.7), no clear contribution of CP-Py was observed in the spectrum corresponding to position 3. These results indicate that single crystals of the cocrystalline framework CP-HpPy-1 are not composed of homogeneously distributed CP-Hp and CP-Py molecules but have gradational and/or inhomogeneous composition ratio (Figure 5). This is
consistent with the observed nonuniform co-crystallization behavior.

Fluorescence spectra of crystalline bulk samples of CP-Py-1, CP-Hp-1, and CP-HpPy-1(x = 0.5) were measured (Figure 6). The spectrum of CP-Hp-1 shows a broad fluorescence band at 389 nm with a quantum yield ($\Phi_q$) of 0.035, whereas that of CP-Py-1 shows clear vibration structures at 402, 423, and 450 nm ($\Phi_q$ = 0.11). CP-HpPy-1(x = 0.5) shows fluorescence bands at 383, 402, and 423 nm with $\Phi_q$ of 0.055. These bands are ascribable to those of CP-Hp-1 and CP-Py-1, although a band with the highest energy was sharpened and slightly blue-shifted in the case of CP-HpPy-1(x = 0.5).

**Conclusion**

Structurally precise non-stoichiometric cocrystalline HOFs were developed from hydroprene and pyrene derivatives CP-Hp and CP-Py possessing four carboxy groups. The frameworks were constructed through networking by directional hydrogen bonding of peripheral carboxy groups. The precise composition and structure of the cocrystalline frameworks was determined on the basis of single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. Furthermore, microscopic Raman spectroscopy on a single crystal of the frameworks firstly indicates that they are not ideal solid solutions with the uniform distribution of the components but are non-stoichiometric cocrystal with gradational and/or inhomogeneous distribution. These results are important for the development of new functional porous materials with nonstoichiometric components.

**Acknowledgements**

This work is supported by KAKENHI (JP21K18961, JP21H01919, JP21H05485, and JP22H05461) from JSPS and MEXT Japan. I.H. thanks Tokuyama Science Foundation, Hoansha Foundation, and Nagase Science and Technology Foundation for their financial support. I.H. also thanks the Multidisciplinary Research Laboratory System for Future Developments (MRL), Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University. The authors thank the Cybermedia Center, Osaka University, for use of the Supercomputer for Quest to Unsolved Interdisciplinary Datascience (SQUID). The authors acknowledge Ms. R. Miyake for HR-MS analysis. The authors deeply appreciate the reviewers for fruitful comments.

**Keywords:** solid solution • cocrystal • hydrogen-bonded framework • reticular chemistry • crystal engineering


[30] Deposition numbers 2206158 (for CP-Hp-1), 2206159 (for CP-Py-1), 2206160 (for CP-HpPy-1(0.5, 0.506)), 2206161 (for CP-Py(DMF)) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service.

Proof-of-concept non-stoichiometric cocrystalline frameworks are formed using hexahydropyrene and pyrene derivatives with hydrogen-bonding modules, CP-Hp and CP-Py. The structures and composition ratio were determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Microscopic Raman spectroscopy on a single crystal showed that components are distributed gradationally and/or not homogenously in the crystal.
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