

Title	ON HEIGHT ZERO CHARACTERS OF $p$ -SOLVABLE GROUPS
Author(s)	Laradji, Abdellatif
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 2023, 60(4), p. 753-759
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/93058">https://doi.org/10.18910/93058</a>
rights	
Note	

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

## ON HEIGHT ZERO CHARACTERS OF $p$ -SOLVABLE GROUPS

ABDELLATIF LARADJI

(Received May 28, 2021, revised August 29, 2022)

### Abstract

Let  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -solvable group and  $N$  a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Suppose that  $B$  is a  $p$ -block of  $G$  with defect group  $D$  such that  $|D| > |D \cap N|$ . Given  $\mu \in \text{Irr}(N)$ , we show that the set of height zero characters in  $\text{Irr}(B)$  that lie over  $\mu$  is either empty or contains two or more elements.

### 1. Introduction

Fix a prime  $p$  and let  $G$  be a finite group. Let  $B$  be a Brauer  $p$ -block of  $G$  and denote by  $\text{Irr}_0(B)$  the set of ordinary irreducible characters in  $B$  of height zero. If the defect of  $B$  is positive, then a result of Cliff, Plesken and Weiss [1] asserts that  $|\text{Irr}_0(B)| \geq 2$ . (See also [7].)

Now let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$  and suppose  $\mu \in \text{Irr}(N)$ . Let  $\text{Irr}(G|\mu)$  be the set of irreducible characters of  $G$  that lie over  $\mu$ , and write  $\text{Irr}_0(B|\mu) = \text{Irr}_0(B) \cap \text{Irr}(G|\mu)$ . The aim of this paper is to prove a relative version of the above result in case  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable.

**Theorem.** *Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of a  $p$ -solvable group  $G$ , and let  $B$  be a  $p$ -block of  $G$  with defect group  $D$  such that  $|D| > |D \cap N|$ . Let  $\mu \in \text{Irr}(N)$  and suppose  $\text{Irr}_0(B|\mu) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $|\text{Irr}_0(B|\mu)| \geq 2$ .*

### 2. Proof of Theorem

Fix a prime  $p$  and let  $B$  be a  $p$ -block of a group  $G$ . Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$  and let  $\mu \in \text{Irr}(b)$ , where  $b$  is a  $p$ -block of  $N$ . Suppose  $\mu$  is an irreducible constituent of  $\chi_N$ , where  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)$ . By [8, Lemma 2.2], we have  $\text{ht}(\chi) \geq \text{ht}(\mu)$ . If  $\nu$  is any other constituent of  $\chi_N$ , then  $\nu$  is  $G$ -conjugate to  $\mu$  and belongs to a  $G$ -conjugate of  $b$ . Since  $G$ -conjugate blocks of  $N$  have equal defects, the difference  $\text{ht}(\chi) - \text{ht}(\mu)$  is independent of the choice of the constituent  $\mu$ .

If  $\text{ht}(\chi) = \text{ht}(\mu)$ , then the character  $\chi$  is said to be of *relative height zero* with respect to  $N$ . We denote by  $\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$  the set of all those characters in  $\text{Irr}(B) \cap \text{Irr}(G|\mu)$  having relative height zero with respect to  $N$ . It is clear that  $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0(B|\mu)$  if and only if  $\text{ht}(\mu) = 0$  and  $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$ . Now our main theorem is a consequence of the following more general result.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $N \triangleleft G$ , where  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable and let  $B$  be a  $p$ -block of  $G$  with defect group  $D$  such that  $|D| > |D \cap N|$ . Let  $\mu \in \text{Irr}(N)$  and assume  $\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $|\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)| \geq 2$ .*

In order to prove Theorem 2.1, we need a series of preliminary results.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of an arbitrary group  $G$ . Let  $\mu \in \text{Irr}(N)$  and suppose  $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$ , where  $B$  is a  $p$ -block of  $G$ . Let  $T$  be the inertial group of  $\mu$  in  $G$  and let  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(T|\mu)$  be the Clifford correspondent of  $\chi$ . If  $B_0$  is the  $p$ -block of  $T$  to which  $\theta$  belongs, then  $B_0$  and  $B$  have a common defect group,  $\theta \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B_0)$  and  $|\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)| \geq |\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B_0)|$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $b$  be the block of  $N$  such that  $\mu \in \text{Irr}(b)$ . Then both  $B$  and  $B_0$  cover  $b$  by Lemma 5.5.7 of [9]. Next, as  $\theta^G = \chi$ , Lemma 5.3.1(ii) of [9] implies that  $B_0^G$  is defined and  $B_0^G = B$ . By [9, Theorem 5.5.16], we can choose defect groups  $Q$  and  $D_0$  for  $b$  and  $B_0$ , respectively, such that  $Q = D_0 \cap N$ . Then by [9, Lemma 5.3.3], there exists a defect group  $D$  of  $B$  such that  $D_0 \subseteq D$ .

Since  $\theta$  lies over  $\mu$ , we have  $\text{ht}(\theta) \geq \text{ht}(\mu)$ , and so  $\theta(1)_p = |T : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\theta)} \geq |T : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\mu)}$ . Then  $\chi(1)_p = |G : T|_p \theta(1)_p \geq |G : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\mu)}$ . On the other hand, as  $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$ , we have that  $\chi(1)_p = |G : D|_p p^{\text{ht}(\chi)} = |G : D|_p p^{\text{ht}(\mu)}$ . It follows that  $|D_0| \geq |D|$ . Now, as  $D_0 \subseteq D$ , we conclude that  $D = D_0$ , thereby proving the first assertion. Then we get that  $\theta(1)_p = |T : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\mu)}$ , which implies that  $\text{ht}(\theta) = \text{ht}(\mu)$ . Then  $\theta \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B_0)$ , as needed.

Suppose  $\xi \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B_0)$ . Then  $\text{ht}(\xi) = \text{ht}(\mu)$  and by Theorem 3.3.8 and Lemma 5.3.1 of [9],  $\xi^G \in \text{Irr}(B) \cap \text{Irr}(G|\mu)$ . Next

$$\xi^G(1)_p = |G : T|_p \xi(1)_p = |G : T|_p |T : D|_p p^{\text{ht}(\xi)} = |G : D|_p p^{\text{ht}(\mu)},$$

which shows that  $\xi^G \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$ . So the correspondence  $\xi \mapsto \xi^G$  defines a map from  $\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B_0)$  to  $\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$ . Since this map is injective by [9, Theorem 3.3.8], we conclude that  $|\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)| \geq |\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B_0)|$ . This completes the proof of the Lemma. □

Let  $\pi$  be a prime set with complement  $\pi'$  in the set of all prime numbers. Suppose  $G$  is a (finite)  $\pi$ -separable group. An irreducible character  $\chi$  of  $G$  is said to be  $\pi$ -special if  $\chi(1)$  is a  $\pi$ -number and for every subnormal subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , the determinantal order  $o(\theta)$  of every irreducible constituent  $\theta$  of  $\chi_H$  is a  $\pi$ -number. (See Section 2A in [2].)

By [2, Theorem 2.2], the product of any  $\pi$ -special character of  $G$  times a  $\pi'$ -special character is irreducible. An irreducible character  $\chi$  of  $G$  is said to be  $\pi$ -factored if  $\chi = \alpha\beta$ , where  $\alpha$  is  $\pi$ -special and  $\beta$  is  $\pi'$ -special. If  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  is  $\pi$ -factored, then the  $\pi$ -special and  $\pi'$ -special factors of  $\chi$  are uniquely determined (by Theorem 2.2 in [2]), and are denoted by  $\chi_\pi$  and  $\chi_{\pi'}$ , respectively. In case  $\pi = \{p\}$ , a single prime, we shall simply write  $p$ -special,  $p'$ -special,  $\chi_p$  and  $\chi_{p'}$  instead of  $\{p\}$ -special,  $\{p\}'$ -special,  $\chi_{\{p\}}$  and  $\chi_{\{p\}'}$ , respectively.

Suppose now that  $\chi$  is an arbitrary irreducible character of  $G$ . One can associate with  $\chi$  a canonical pair  $(W, \gamma)$ , where  $W$  is a subgroup of  $G$ ,  $\gamma \in \text{Irr}(W)$  is  $\pi$ -factored and  $\gamma^G = \chi$ . This pair, which turns out to be uniquely determined up to  $G$ -conjugacy, is called a *nucleus* for  $\chi$ . In case  $\chi$  is  $\pi$ -factored, then the pair  $(G, \chi)$  is the single nucleus of  $\chi$ . (See Section 4A in [2] for the precise definition of a nucleus of a character.)

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $N \triangleleft G$ , where  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable and let  $\mu \in \text{Irr}(N)$  be  $G$ -invariant. Choose a nucleus  $(W, \gamma)$  for  $\mu$  and let  $S = N_G((W, \gamma))$  be the stabilizer of  $(W, \gamma)$  in  $G$ . Then  $G = NS$  and  $W = N \cap S$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 3.6 of [4]. □

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $G$  be an arbitrary group with normal subgroup  $N$ , and let  $\mu \in \text{Irr}(N)$  be  $G$ -invariant. Suppose  $G = NS$  for a subgroup  $S$  and write  $W = N \cap S$ . Assume  $\gamma \in \text{Irr}(W)$  is  $S$ -invariant and  $\gamma^N = \mu$ . Then*

- (a) *Character induction defines a bijection from  $\text{Irr}(S|\gamma)$  onto  $\text{Irr}(G|\mu)$ .  
Furthermore, assuming  $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$  where  $B$  is a  $p$ -block of  $G$ , if  $\theta$  is the character in  $\text{Irr}(S|\gamma)$  such that  $\theta^G = \chi$  and  $B_0$  is the  $p$ -block of  $S$  to which  $\theta$  belongs, we have*
- (b)  $\theta \in \text{Irr}_0^\gamma(B_0)$ ;
- (c)  $B_0$  has a defect group  $D_0$  contained in a defect group  $D$  of  $B$  and  $|D : D \cap N| = |D_0 : D_0 \cap W|$ ;
- (d)  $|\text{Irr}_0^\gamma(B_0)| \leq |\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)|$ .

Proof. Part (a) follows from Lemma 2.11(b) in [2].

Now suppose  $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$  where  $B$  is a  $p$ -block of  $G$ . Let  $\theta$  be the character in  $\text{Irr}(S|\gamma)$  such that  $\theta^G = \chi$  and let  $B_0$  be the  $p$ -block of  $S$  to which  $\theta$  belongs.

Since  $\theta^G = \chi$ , [9, Lemma 5.3.1] tells us that  $B_0^G$  is defined and equals  $B$ . Then by Lemma 5.3.3 of [9],  $B_0$  has a defect group  $D_0$  contained in some defect group  $D$  of  $B$ .

As  $\text{ht}(\chi) = \text{ht}(\mu)$ , we have  $\chi(1)_p = |G : D|_p p^{\text{ht}(\chi)} = |G : D|_p p^{\text{ht}(\mu)}$ . Also, since  $\theta$  lies over  $\gamma$ , we have  $\text{ht}(\theta) \geq \text{ht}(\gamma)$ , and so  $\theta(1)_p = |S : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\theta)} \geq |S : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\gamma)}$ . It follows that  $|G : D|_p p^{\text{ht}(\mu)} \geq |G : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\gamma)}$ , as  $\chi(1)_p = |G : S|_p \theta(1)_p$ . Therefore,

$$(1) \quad p^{\text{ht}(\mu)} \geq |D : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\gamma)}.$$

Let  $b$  be the block of  $N$  to which  $\mu$  belongs, and let  $b_0$  be the block of  $W$  to which  $\gamma$  belongs. Since  $\mu$  is invariant in  $G$  and  $\gamma$  is invariant in  $S$ , we have that  $b$  is  $G$ -stable and  $b_0$  is  $S$ -stable. It follows by [9, Theorem 5.5.16(ii)] that  $D \cap N$  is a defect group of  $b$ , and  $D_0 \cap W$  is a defect group of  $b_0$ . Therefore,  $\mu(1)_p = |N : D \cap N|_p p^{\text{ht}(\mu)}$  and  $\gamma(1)_p = |W : D_0 \cap W|_p p^{\text{ht}(\gamma)}$ . Since  $\mu = \gamma^N$ , we have  $\mu(1)_p = |N : W|_p \gamma(1)_p$ , and hence  $|N : D \cap N|_p p^{\text{ht}(\mu)} = |N : D_0 \cap W|_p p^{\text{ht}(\gamma)}$ . Therefore,

$$(2) \quad p^{\text{ht}(\mu)} = |D \cap N : D_0 \cap W|_p p^{\text{ht}(\gamma)}.$$

Now, in view of (1), we get that  $|D_0 : D_0 \cap W| \geq |D : D \cap N|$ , and consequently

$$(3) \quad |N : W| \geq |DN : D_0W|.$$

Since  $W = N \cap S$ , and  $D_0 \subseteq S$ , we have  $D_0W = D_0(N \cap S) = (D_0N) \cap S$ . Also, as  $G = NS$ , it is clear that  $G = (D_0N)S$ . Therefore,  $|G| = |D_0N||S| |(D_0N) \cap S|^{-1} = |D_0N||S| |D_0W|^{-1}$ . Now since  $|G| = |N||S||W|^{-1}$ , we conclude that

$$(4) \quad |N : W| = |D_0N : D_0W|.$$

Using (3) now, it follows that  $|D_0N| \geq |DN|$ . On the other hand, we know that  $D_0 \subseteq D$ . Therefore  $D_0N = DN$ , and hence, in light of (4), we get that  $|N : W| = |DN : D_0W|$ . Then  $|D : D \cap N| = |D_0 : D_0 \cap W|$ , which finishes the proof of (c).

Next, using (2), we have that

$$(5) \quad p^{\text{ht}(\mu)} = |D : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\gamma)}.$$

Now  $\chi(1)_p = |G : D|_p p^{\text{ht}(\mu)} = |G : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\gamma)}$ , and thus, as  $\chi(1)_p = |G : S|_p \theta(1)_p$  and  $\theta(1)_p = |S : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\theta)}$ , it follows that  $p^{\text{ht}(\theta)} = p^{\text{ht}(\gamma)}$ , which clearly proves (b).

Finally, we show (d). Suppose  $\xi \in \text{Irr}_0^\gamma(B_0)$ . Then  $\text{ht}(\xi) = \text{ht}(\gamma)$ . Also, by (a),  $\xi^G \in \text{Irr}(G|\mu)$ . Since  $B_0^G = B$ , we have that  $\xi^G \in \text{Irr}(B)$  (by [9, Lemma 5.3.1]), and so  $\xi^G(1)_p = |G : D|_p p^{\text{ht}(\xi^G)}$ . On the other hand, we also have  $\xi^G(1)_p = |G : S|_p \xi(1)_p$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} p^{\text{ht}(\xi^G)} &= (|S|_p)^{-1} |D| \xi(1)_p = (|S|_p)^{-1} |D| |S : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\xi)} \\ &= |D : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\xi)} = |D : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\gamma)} = p^{\text{ht}(\mu)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is (5). We have thus shown that  $\xi^G \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$ . Now, in light of (a), part (d) of the Lemma follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $N \triangleleft G$ , where  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable and let  $\mu$  be a  $G$ -invariant  $p$ -factored character of  $N$ . Let  $B$  be a  $p$ -block of  $G$  of maximal defect such that  $\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $|\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)| = |\text{Irr}_0^{\mu_{p'}}(B)|$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B) \neq \emptyset$  and  $B$  has maximal defect, Theorem 2.3 in [8] implies that  $\mu$  extends to  $PN$  for some Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ . Then, by Theorem 4.1 in [6],  $\mu_p$  extends to a  $p$ -special character  $\delta$  of  $G$ , and the correspondence  $\theta \mapsto \delta\theta$  defines a bijection from  $\text{Irr}(G|\mu_{p'})$  onto  $\text{Irr}(G|\mu)$ . Now to prove the assertion of the lemma, it suffices to show that the above bijection maps  $\text{Irr}_0^{\mu_{p'}}(B)$  onto  $\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$ .

Let  $M = O_{p'}(G)$ . Since  $\delta$  is  $p$ -special, then the irreducible constituents of  $\delta_M$  are all  $p$ -special, and so, as  $M$  is a  $p'$ -group, they must all be the principal character  $1_M$  of  $M$ . It follows by [10, Theorem 10.20] that  $\delta$  belongs to the principal block of  $G$ .

Suppose  $\theta \in \text{Irr}_0^{\mu_{p'}}(B)$ . Then  $\text{ht}(\theta) = \text{ht}(\mu_{p'}) = 0$ , as  $\mu_{p'}(1)$  is a  $p'$ -number. Now, since  $B$  has maximal defect, it follows that  $\theta(1)$  is a  $p'$ -number. Then, in view of [11, Lemma 2.9], we have  $\delta\theta \in \text{Irr}(B)$ . Next, by [11, Lemma 2.10] (for instance),  $\mu$  belongs to a block of  $N$  of maximal defect. Then

$$p^{\text{ht}(\delta\theta)} = (\delta\theta)(1)_p = \delta(1) = \mu_p(1) = \mu(1)_p = p^{\text{ht}(\mu)},$$

and thus  $\delta\theta \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$ .

Now let  $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$ . Then  $\chi = \delta\eta$  for some  $\eta \in \text{Irr}(G|\mu_{p'})$ . Since  $\text{ht}(\chi) = \text{ht}(\mu)$ , we have  $\chi(1)_p = \mu(1)_p$ . It follows that  $\eta(1)$  is a  $p'$ -number, as  $\delta(1) = \mu(1)_p$ . Now [11, Lemma 2.9] tells us that  $\eta \in \text{Irr}(B)$ . Finally, since  $\text{ht}(\eta) = 0 = \text{ht}(\mu_{p'})$ , we conclude that  $\eta \in \text{Irr}_0^{\mu_{p'}}(B)$ . The proof of the lemma is now complete.  $\square$

Suppose  $\mu$  is a  $p'$ -special character of a normal subgroup  $N$  of a  $p$ -solvable group  $G$ . Two characters  $\chi, \chi' \in \text{Irr}(G|\mu)$  are said to be linked if they are linked in the sense of Brauer, i.e., if there is  $\varphi \in \text{IBr}(G)$  such that the decomposition numbers  $d_{\chi\varphi}$  and  $d_{\chi'\varphi}$  are nonzero. The equivalence classes defined by the transitive extension of this linking are called *relative blocks of  $G$  with respect to  $(N, \mu)$*  (see [3, Section 3]). In particular, if  $B$  is any block of  $G$  covering the block of  $N$  to which  $\mu$  belongs, then  $\text{Irr}(B) \cap \text{Irr}(G|\mu)$  is a union of some relative blocks with respect to  $(N, \mu)$ .

We should mention that a notion of defect group associated with a relative block was introduced in [3, Section 4]. The defect groups of a relative block form a single  $G$ -conjugacy class of  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ .

If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a relative block of  $G$  with respect to  $(N, \mu)$  and  $D$  is a defect group of  $\mathcal{B}$ , then the relative height (with respect to  $(N, \mu)$ ) of  $\chi \in \mathcal{B}$  is defined as  $h_\mu(\chi) = \chi(1)_p |D| (|G|_p)^{-1}$ . It turns out that  $h_\mu(\chi) = p^n$ , where  $n$  is some nonnegative integer. (See [3, Section 4].)

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of a  $p$ -solvable group  $G$  such that  $|G : N|_p > 1$ , and let  $\mu \in \text{Irr}(N)$  be  $p'$ -special. Let  $B$  be a  $p$ -block of  $G$  of maximal defect and suppose  $\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $|\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)| \geq 2$ .*

Proof. Let  $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$  and let  $b$  be the block of  $N$  to which  $\mu$  belongs. Since  $\mu$  has  $p'$ -degree,  $b$  has maximal defect and  $\text{ht}(\mu) = 0$ . Therefore  $\text{ht}(\chi) = 0$ , and so, as  $B$  has maximal defect, the character  $\chi$  has  $p'$ -degree.

Now let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the relative block of  $G$  with respect to  $(N, \mu)$  such that  $\chi \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then, if  $D$  is a defect group of  $\mathcal{B}$ , we have  $|D|(|G|_p)^{-1} = h_\mu(\chi) = p^n$  for some integer  $n \geq 0$ . It follows that  $D$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ .

By Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.7 of [3], there exist a group  $H$ , a block  $A$  of  $H$  and a bijection  $\Psi$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  onto  $\text{Irr}(A)$  such that  $h_\mu(\theta) = p^{\text{ht}(\Psi(\theta))}$  for every  $\theta \in \mathcal{B}$ . Also, [3, Theorem 4.2] implies that  $\mathcal{B}$  has a defect group  $D'$  such that the quotient group  $(D'N)/N$  is isomorphic to some defect group  $\tilde{D}$  of  $A$ . Since  $D'$ , being  $G$ -conjugate to  $D$ , is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , we get that  $|\tilde{D}| = |G : N|_p > 1$ . It follows that  $|\text{Irr}_0(A)| \geq 2$ .

Now let  $\zeta$  be any character in  $\text{Irr}_0(A)$ . Then  $\Psi^{-1}(\zeta) \in \mathcal{B}(\subseteq \text{Irr}(B))$  and  $h_\mu(\Psi^{-1}(\zeta)) = 1$ . It follows that  $\Psi^{-1}(\zeta)$  has  $p'$ -degree, and hence, as a character of the block  $B$ ,  $\Psi^{-1}(\zeta)$  is of height zero. Now, being in  $\mathcal{B}$ , the character  $\Psi^{-1}(\zeta)$  lies over  $\mu$ , and we have  $\Psi^{-1}(\zeta) \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$ . Finally, since  $|\text{Irr}_0(A)| \geq 2$  and  $\Psi^{-1}$  is a bijection from  $\text{Irr}(A)$  onto  $\mathcal{B}$ , it follows that  $|\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)| \geq 2$ , as needed to be shown.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We proceed by induction on  $|G|$ . Let  $M = \text{O}_{p'}(G)$  and write  $L = MN$ . Next let  $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$ , and choose a character  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(L)$  lying under  $\chi$  and over  $\mu$ . Let  $b$  be the block of  $L$  to which  $\theta$  belongs. Since  $\text{ht}(\chi) \geq \text{ht}(\theta) \geq \text{ht}(\mu)$  and  $\text{ht}(\chi) = \text{ht}(\mu)$ , it is clear that  $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0^\theta(B)$  and  $\theta \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(b)$ .

Choose a block  $b_0$  of  $M$  covered by  $b$ , and let  $\nu$  be the unique character in  $\text{Irr}(b_0)$ . Then both  $\theta$  and  $\chi$  lie over  $\nu$ . Next, let  $T$  be the inertial group of  $\nu$  in  $G$ . Then  $T$  is the inertial group of  $b_0$ , also.

First, suppose  $T < G$ . Let  $B'$  and  $b'$  be the respective Fong-Reynolds correspondents of  $B$  and  $b$  with respect to  $b_0$ . Next, choose a defect group  $D'$  of  $B'$ . By [9, Theorem 5.5.10],  $D'$  is a defect group of  $B$ , and so  $|D'| > |D' \cap N|$ . Also, as  $L/N$  is a  $p'$ -group, we have that  $D' \cap L = D' \cap N$ , and it follows that  $|D'| > |D' \cap (T \cap L)|$ .

By [9, Theorem 5.5.10], there is a unique character  $\theta' \in \text{Irr}(b')$  such that  $(\theta')^L = \theta$  and  $\text{ht}(\theta') = \text{ht}(\theta)$ . Similarly, there is a unique character  $\chi' \in \text{Irr}(B')$  such that  $(\chi')^G = \chi$  and  $\text{ht}(\chi') = \text{ht}(\chi)$ . Since  $\chi'$  and  $\theta'$  both lie over  $\nu$ , and  $\chi$  lies over  $\theta$ , it follows by [5, Lemma 2.6] that  $\chi'$  lies over  $\theta'$ . Now, as  $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0^\theta(B)$ , we get that  $\chi' \in \text{Irr}_0^{\theta'}(B')$ . Therefore, in particular,  $\text{Irr}_0^{\theta'}(B') \neq \emptyset$ .

Since  $T < G$  and  $|D'| > |D' \cap (T \cap L)|$ , the inductive hypothesis guarantees that  $|\text{Irr}_0^{\theta'}(B')| \geq 2$ . It follows by [9, Theorem 5.5.10] and [5, Lemma 2.6] that  $|\text{Irr}_0^\theta(B)| \geq 2$ . Now, as  $\theta \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(b)$ , we conclude that  $|\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)| \geq 2$ , as desired.

We may now assume that  $T = G$ . Since  $\chi$  lies over  $\nu$  and  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)$ , Theorem 10.20 in [10] tells us that the defect groups of  $B$  are the Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ .

Let  $I$  be the inertial group of  $\mu$  in  $G$  and let  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(I|\mu)$  be the Clifford correspondent of  $\chi$ . Next, let  $B_0$  be the block of  $I$  to which  $\theta$  belongs. Then by Lemma 2.2,  $B$  and  $B_0$  have

a common defect group  $D_0$ ,  $\theta \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B_0)$  and  $|\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)| \geq |\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B_0)|$ . Also, note that  $D_0$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $I$  and that  $|D_0| > |D_0 \cap N|$ .

Choose a nucleus  $(W, \gamma)$  for  $\mu$  and let  $S$  be the stabilizer of  $(W, \gamma)$  in  $I$ . Then  $\mu = \gamma^N$  and by Lemma 2.3, we have  $I = NS$  and  $W = N \cap S$ . Next, by Lemma 2.4(a), there is a unique character  $\xi \in \text{Irr}(S|\gamma)$  such that  $\xi^I = \theta$ . Let  $B_1$  be the block of  $S$  to which  $\xi$  belongs. Since  $\theta \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B_0)$ , Lemma 2.4 implies that  $\xi \in \text{Irr}_0^\gamma(B_1)$ ,  $B_1$  has a defect group  $D_1$  with  $|D_1 : D_1 \cap W| = |D_0 : D_0 \cap N|$ , and  $|\text{Irr}_0^\gamma(B_1)| \leq |\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B_0)|$ . We claim that  $D_1$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $S$ .

Since  $D_0$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $I$ , we have

$$|D_1 : D_1 \cap W| = |D_0 : D_0 \cap N| = |D_0 N : N| = |D_0 N|_p / |N|_p = |I|_p / |N|_p.$$

Since  $I = NS$  and  $W = N \cap S$ , we have that  $S/W \cong I/N$ , and hence  $|I|_p / |N|_p = |S|_p / |W|_p$ . It follows that

$$(1) \quad |D_1 : D_1 \cap W| = |S|_p / |W|_p.$$

Let  $A$  be the block of  $W$  to which  $\gamma$  belongs. Since  $\gamma$  is  $p$ -factored, [11, Lemma 2.10] tells us that the defect groups of  $A$  are the Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $W$ . Next, as  $\xi$  lies over  $\gamma$ , the block  $B_1$  covers  $A$  and [9, Theorem 5.5.16(ii)] implies that  $|D_1 \cap W| = |W|_p$ . It follows from (1) that  $|S|_p = |D_1|$ , thus proving our claim.

Since  $\gamma$  is an  $S$ -invariant  $p$ -factored character of the normal subgroup  $W$  of  $S$ ,  $\text{Irr}_0^\gamma(B_1) \neq \emptyset$  and  $B_1$  has maximal defect, then, in light of Lemma 2.5, we have  $|\text{Irr}_0^{\gamma p'}(B_1)| = |\text{Irr}_0^\gamma(B_1)| > 0$ . Furthermore, as  $|S : W|_p = |D_0 : D_0 \cap N| > 1$ , Lemma 2.6 says that  $|\text{Irr}_0^{\gamma p'}(B_1)| \geq 2$ . Finally,

$$|\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)| \geq |\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B_0)| \geq |\text{Irr}_0^\gamma(B_1)| = |\text{Irr}_0^{\gamma p'}(B_1)| \geq 2,$$

and the proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author would like to thank the referee for his or her valuable comments and suggestions.

---

## References

- [1] G.H. Cliff, W. Plesken and A. Weiss: *Order-theoretic properties of the center of a block*; in The Arcata Conference on Representations of Finite Groups, Arcata, Calif., 1986, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **47** (1987), 413–420.
- [2] I.M. Isaacs: *Characters of Solvable Groups*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 2018.
- [3] A. Laradji: *Relative  $\pi$ -blocks of  $\pi$ -separable groups*, J. Algebra **220** (1999), 449–465.
- [4] A. Laradji: *Relative  $\pi$ -blocks of  $\pi$ -separable groups, II*, J. Algebra **237** (2001), 521–532.
- [5] A. Laradji: *Brauer characters and the Harris-Knörr correspondence in  $p$ -solvable groups*, J. Algebra **324** (2010), 749–757.
- [6] A. Laradji: *Relative partial characters and relative blocks of  $p$ -solvable groups*, J. Algebra **439** (2015), 454–469.
- [7] G.O. Michler: *Trace and defect of a block idempotent*, J. Algebra **131** (1990), 496–501.
- [8] M. Murai: *Normal subgroups and heights of characters*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **36** (1996), 31–43.
- [9] H. Nagao and Y. Tsushima: *Representations of Finite Groups*, Academic Press, London, New York, 1989.

- [10] G. Navarro: *Characters and Blocks of Finite Groups*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1998.
- [11] M. Slattery: *Pi-blocks of pi-separable groups, II*, *J. Algebra* **124** (1989), 236–269.

Department of Mathematics  
College of Sciences  
King Saud University  
P.O. Box 2455, Riyadh 11451  
Saudi Arabia  
e-mail: alaradji@ksu.edu.sa