



Title	OPTIMAL HARDY-TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR SCHRÖDINGER FORMS
Author(s)	Takeda, Masayoshi
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 2023, 60(4), p. 761–776
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/93059">https://doi.org/10.18910/93059</a>
rights	
Note	

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

# OPTIMAL HARDY-TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR SCHRÖDINGER FORMS

MASAYOSHI TAKEDA

(Received April 14, 2022, revised August 31, 2022)

## Abstract

We give a method to construct a critical Schrödinger form from the subcritical Schrödinger form by subtracting a suitable positive potential. The method enables us to obtain optimal Hardy-type inequalities.

## Contents

1. Introduction .....	761
2. Extended Schrödinger spaces .....	764
3. Probabilistic representation of Schrödinger semigroups .....	765
4. Criticality and Hardy-type inequalities .....	767
References .....	775

## 1. Introduction

In [6], Devyver, Fraas and Pinchover give a method for obtaining *optimal* Hardy weights for second-order non-negative elliptic operators on non-compact Riemannian manifolds, in particular, they show that the criticality of Schrödinger forms is related to the *critical* Hardy weights. In [20] we give a method to construct a critical Schrödinger form from a transient Dirichlet form by subtracting a suitable positive potential. In other words, we give a method to construct critical Hardy weights for a transient Dirichlet form by applying the idea in [6]. In this paper, we will consider subcritical Schrödinger forms instead of transient Dirichlet forms, and extend the method for subcritical Schrödinger forms. As an application, we obtain a method to construct critical Hardy weights for Schrödinger forms. Moreover, we discuss the optimality of Hardy weights in the sense of [6], a stronger notion than the criticality, and give a condition for the critical Hardy weights being optimal ones.

Let  $E$  be a locally compact separable metric space and  $m$  a positive Radon measure on  $E$  with full topological support. Let  $X = (P_x, X_t, \zeta)$  be an  $m$ -symmetric Hunt process. We assume that  $X$  is irreducible and resolvent doubly Feller, in addition, that  $X$  generates a regular Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$  on  $L^2(E; m)$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$  the totality of local Kato measures (Definition 3.1 (1)). For a singed local Kato measure such that the positive (resp. negative) part  $\mu^+$  (resp.  $\mu^-$ ) of  $\mu$  belongs to

$\mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$  ( $\mu \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X) - \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$  in notation), we define a symmetric form by

$$\mathcal{E}^\mu(u, u) = \mathcal{E}(u, u) + \int_E u^2 d\mu, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E).$$

The regularity of  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$  implies that a measure in  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$  is Radon (Remark 3.2) and the form  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E))$  is well-defined. In the sequel, for a symmetric bilinear form  $(a, \mathcal{D}(a))$  we simply write  $a(u)$  for  $a(u, u)$ .

We suppose that  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E))$  is positive semi-definite:

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{E}^\mu(u) \geq 0 \left( \iff \int_E u^2 d\mu^- \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mu^+}(u) \right), \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E).$$

Applying results in [1], we prove in [20] that  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E))$  is closable in  $L^2(E; m)$ . We denote the closure  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$  and call it *Schrödinger form* with potential  $\mu$ . By the Radonness of  $\mu^+$ , we see that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap L^2(E; \mu^+) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^\mu)$  and

$$\mathcal{E}^\mu(u) = \mathcal{E}(u) + \int_E \tilde{u}^2 d\mu, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap L^2(E; \mu^+).$$

Here  $\tilde{u}$  is a quasi-continuous version of  $u$ . In this paper, we always assume that every function  $u$  is represented by its quasi-continuous version if it admits.

The  $L^2$ -semigroup  $T_t^\mu$  generated by  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$  is expressed by Feynman-Kac semigroup ([20, Theorem 4.2]): For a bounded Borel function  $f$  in  $L^2(E; m)$

$$(2) \quad T_t^\mu f(x) = p_t^\mu f(x) \left( := E_x \left( e^{-A_t^\mu} f(X_t) \right) \right), \quad m\text{-a.e. } x.$$

Here  $A_t^\mu = A_t^{\mu^+} - A_t^{\mu^-}$  and  $A_t^{\mu^+}$  (resp.  $A_t^{\mu^-}$ ) is the positive continuous additive functional with Revuz measure  $\mu^+$  (resp.  $\mu^-$ ). We suppose that  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$  is *subcritical*, that is, there exists the Green function  $R^\mu(x, y)$  such that for a positive Borel function  $f$

$$\int_0^\infty p_t^\mu f(x) dt = \int_E R^\mu(x, y) f(y) dm(y), \quad \forall x \in E.$$

Let  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}^\mu(X)$  be the set of local Kato measures such that for any compact set  $K \subset E$

$$(3) \quad R^\mu(1_K \nu) u(x) = \int_E R^\mu(x, y) 1_K(y) d\nu(y) \in L^\infty(E; m).$$

For a non-trivial measure  $\nu$  in  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}^\mu(X)$  define measures  $\nu^\mu$  and  $\mu^\nu$  by

$$(4) \quad \nu^\mu = \frac{\nu}{R^\mu \nu}$$

and

$$(5) \quad \mu^\nu = \mu - \nu^\mu.$$

We will show in Corollary 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 below that  $\mu^\nu$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}(X) - \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$  and  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E))$  is still positive semi-definite

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}(u) = \mathcal{E}^\mu(u) - \int_E u^2 d\nu^\mu \geq 0, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E).$$

In other words, the measure  $\nu^\mu$  is a *Hardy weight* for  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$ . As remarked above,  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E))$  is closable and its closure defines a new Schrödinger form  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}))$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the totality of compact sets of  $E$ . We then obtain the following main result in this paper: If a non-trivial positive measure  $\nu$  in  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}^\mu(X)$  satisfies that

$$(7) \quad \sup_{K \in \mathcal{C}} \iint_{K \times K^c} R^\mu(x, y) \nu(dx) \nu(dy) < \infty,$$

then  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}))$  turns out to be a *critical Schrödinger form*. Here  $K^c$  is the complement of  $K$ . More precisely, the function  $R^\mu \nu$  is a ground state of  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}))$ , that is,  $R^\mu \nu$  belongs to the *extended Schrödinger space*  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu})$  of  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}))$  (see Section 2 for the definition of the extended Schrödinger space) and  $\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}(R^\mu \nu) = 0$ . As a corollary, we see that  $\nu^\mu$  is a *critical Hardy weight* for  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$  in the sense that there exists no non-trivial positive function  $\psi$  such that

$$(8) \quad \int_E u^2 d(\nu^\mu + \psi m) \leq \mathcal{E}^\mu(u), \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E).$$

In particular, if  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$  is transient and  $\mu \equiv 0$ , then every  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$  satisfies (3) by replacing  $R^\mu(x, y)$  with the 0-resolvent  $R(x, y)$  of  $X$ . Indeed, since  $1_K \nu$  is Green-tight,  $1_K \nu \in \mathcal{K}_\infty(X)$  (Definition 3.1 (2)), the condition (3) is derived from [3, Proposition 2.2]. As a result, for any  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}$  the next Hardy-type inequality follows:

$$(9) \quad \int_E u^2 \frac{d\nu}{R^\nu} \leq \mathcal{E}(u), \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E).$$

The inequality (9) is proved in Fitzsimmons [7] (see also [2]). Moreover, we see that if the measure  $\nu/R^\mu$  is a critical Hardy weight for the Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$  if  $\nu$  satisfies (7) obtained by replacing  $R^\mu(x, y)$  with  $R(x, y)$ .

As stated above, the function  $R^\mu \nu$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu})$  under the condition (7). Lemma 4.3 below tells us that  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^\mu)$  is included in  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu})$  and  $R^\mu \nu$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^\mu)$  in general. If  $\nu$  satisfies the stronger condition than (7),

$$\iint_{E \times E} R^\mu(x, y) \nu(dx) \nu(dy) < \infty,$$

i.e.,  $\nu$  is of finite energy with respect to  $R^\mu$ , then  $R^\mu \nu$  belongs to  $L^2(E; \nu^\mu)$  because

$$\int_E (R^\mu \nu)^2 d\nu^\mu = \int_E R^\mu \nu d\nu = \iint_{E \times E} R^\mu(x, y) \nu(dx) \nu(dy) < \infty.$$

Moreover,  $R^\mu \nu$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^\mu)$  by Lemma 4.8 below. Hence,  $\mathcal{E}^\mu(R^\mu \nu)$  is finite and thus

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}(R^\mu \nu) = 0 \iff \frac{\mathcal{E}^\mu(R^\mu \nu)}{\int_E (R^\mu \nu)^2 d\nu^\mu} = 1.$$

Noting that by (6)

$$(10) \quad \inf_{u \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^\mu)} \frac{\mathcal{E}^\mu(u)}{\int_E u^2 d\nu^\mu} \geq 1,$$

we see  $R^\mu \nu$  is a minimizer for the left hand side of (10). In this case, the Schrödinger form  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}))$  is said to be *positive-critical* ([6, Definition 4.8]).

On the other hand, if  $\nu$  is not of finite energy,

$$(11) \quad \iint_{E \times E} R^\mu(x, y) v(dx) v(dy) = \infty,$$

then  $R^\mu v$  does not belong to  $L^2(E; v^\mu)$  and  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}))$  is *null-critical* in the sense of [6].

The measure  $v^\mu$  is called *optimal at infinity* if for any  $K \in \mathcal{C}$

$$\lambda \int_E u^2 d\nu^\mu \leq \mathcal{E}^\mu(u), \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(K^c),$$

then  $\lambda \leq 1$ . We see from [12, Corollary 3.4] (or [14, Theorem 3]) that if for any  $K \in \mathcal{C}$

$$\iint_{K \times E} R^\mu(x, y) v(dx) v(dy) < \infty,$$

i.e.,  $R^\mu v$  is locally integrable, then the null-criticality implies the optimality at infinity. In generally, if for any  $K \in \mathcal{C}$

$$(12) \quad \iint_{K^c \times E} R^\mu(x, y) v(dx) v(dy) = \infty,$$

then the optimality at infinity holds. Devyver, Fraas and Pinchover [6], where they call a Hardy-type inequality *optimal* if a Hardy weight is critical, null-critical and optimal at infinity. Noting that (12) implies (11), we can conclude that if a measure  $v$  satisfies (3), (7) and (12), then the measure  $v^\mu$  is an optimal Hardy-weight for  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$  in the sense of [6].

## 2. Extended Schrödinger spaces

Let  $E$  be a locally compact separable metric space and  $m$  a positive Radon measure on  $E$  with full topological support. Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$  be a regular Dirichlet form on  $L^2(E; m)$  (c.f. [9, p.6]). We denote by  $u \in \mathcal{D}_{loc}(\mathcal{E})$  if for any relatively compact open set  $D$  there exists a function  $v \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$  such that  $u = v$   $m$ -a.e. on  $D$ . We assume that  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$  is irreducible (c.f. [9, p.40, p.55]).

We call a positive Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $E$  *smooth* if it satisfies

- (i)  $\mu$  charges no set of zero capacity,
- (ii) there exists an increasing sequence  $\{F_n\}$  of closed sets such that
  - a)  $\mu(F_n) < \infty$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,
  - b)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cap}(K \setminus F_n) = 0$  for any compact set  $K$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{S}$  the totality of smooth measures.

For a signed smooth Radon measure  $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^- \in \mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}$  define a symmetric form on  $L^2(E; m)$  by

$$(13) \quad \mathcal{E}^\mu(u, v) = \mathcal{E}(u, v) + \int_E uv d\mu, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E).$$

We assume that  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E))$  is positive semi-definite:

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{E}^\mu(u) \geq 0 \left( \iff \int_E u^2 d\mu^- \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mu^+}(u) \right), \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E).$$

When  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E))$  is closable, we denote by  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$  its closure and call it *Schrödinger form* with potential  $\mu$ .

A densely defined, closed, positive semi-definite symmetric bilinear form  $(a, D(a))$  is said to be *positive preserving* if for  $u \in D(a)$ ,  $|u|$  belongs to  $D(a)$  and  $a(|u|) \leq a(u)$ . It follows from [5, Lemma 1.3.4] that the form  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, D(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$  is positive preserving because  $\mathcal{E}^\mu(|u|) \leq \mathcal{E}^\mu(u)$  for  $u \in D(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$ . As a result, we see from [17, Proposition 2] that  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, D(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$  has the *Fatou property*, i.e., if  $\{u_n\} \subset D(\mathcal{E}^\mu)$  satisfies  $\sup_n \mathcal{E}^\mu(u_n) < \infty$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u \in D(\mathcal{E}^\mu)$   $m$ -a.e., then  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}^\mu(u_n) \geq \mathcal{E}^\mu(u)$ . Hence, following [16], we can define a space  $D_e(\mathcal{E}^\mu)$  in the way similar to the extended Dirichlet space: An  $m$ -measurable function  $u$  with  $|u| < \infty$   $m$ -a.e. is said to be in  $D_e(\mathcal{E}^\mu)$  if there exists an  $\mathcal{E}^\mu$ -Cauchy sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset D(\mathcal{E}^\mu)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u$   $m$ -a.e. We call  $D_e(\mathcal{E}^\mu)$  the *extended Schrödinger space* of  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, D(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$  and the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  an *approximating sequence* of  $u$ . For  $u \in D_e(\mathcal{E}^\mu)$  and an approximating sequence  $\{u_n\}$  of  $u$ , we define

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{E}^\mu(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}^\mu(u_n).$$

We define the criticality and subcriticality of Schrödinger forms in the way similar to the recurrence and transience of Dirichlet forms.

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, D(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$  be a positive semi-definite Schrödinger form.

(1)  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, D(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$  is said to be *subcritical* if there exists a bounded function  $g$  in  $L^1(E; m)$  strictly positive  $m$ -a.e. such that

$$(16) \quad \int_E |u| g dm \leq \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^\mu(u)}, \quad u \in D_e(\mathcal{E}^\mu).$$

(2)  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, D(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$  is said to be *critical* if there exists a function  $\phi$  in  $D_e(\mathcal{E}^\mu)$  strictly positive  $m$ -a.e. such that  $\mathcal{E}^\mu(\phi) = 0$ . The function  $\phi$  is said to be the *ground state*.

Define the operator  $G^\mu$  by

$$G^\mu f(x) = \int_0^\infty T_t^\mu f(x) dt \quad (\leq +\infty)$$

for a positive function  $f$ . Here  $T_t^\mu$  is the  $L^2$ -semigroup on  $L^2(E; m)$  generated by  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, D(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$ .

**Lemma 2.2** ([20, Lemma 2.3]). *Let  $g$  be the function in Definition 2.1 (1). Then  $G^\mu g$  belongs to  $D_e(\mathcal{E}^\mu)$ .*

**REMARK 2.3.** It is recently proved in [15, Theorem A.3] that if the semigroup  $T_t^\mu$  is expressed using a density  $p_t^\mu(x, y)$ ,  $T_t^\mu f(x) = \int_E p_t^\mu(x, y) f(y) dm(y)$ , then  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, D(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$  is subcritical or critical.

**REMARK 2.4.** We see from the inequality (16) that if  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, D(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$  is subcritical, then  $(D(\mathcal{E}^\mu), \mathcal{E}^\mu(\cdot, \cdot))$  is a Hilbert space.

### 3. Probabilistic representation of Schrödinger semigroups

Let  $X = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \{P_x\}_{x \in E}, \{X_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \zeta)$  be the symmetric Hunt process generated by  $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ , where  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  is the augmented filtration and  $\zeta$  is the lifetime of  $X$ . Denote by  $\{p_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  and  $\{R_\alpha\}_{\alpha \geq 0}$  the semigroup and resolvent of  $X$ :

$$p_t f(x) = E_x(f(X_t)), \quad R_\alpha f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} p_t f(x) dt.$$

Then  $p_t f(x) = T_t f(x)$  *m*-a.e.,  $R_\alpha f(x) = \int_0^\infty T_t f(x) dt$  *m*-a.e., where  $T_t$  is the  $L^2$ -semigroup on  $L^2(E; m)$  generated by  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ . In the sequel, we assume that  $X$  satisfies, in addition, the next condition:

**Feller Property (F).** For each  $t > 0$ ,  $p_t(C_\infty(E)) \subset C_\infty(E)$  and for each  $f \in C_\infty(E)$  and  $x \in E$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} p_t f(x) = f(x)$ , where  $C_\infty(E)$  is the space of continuous functions on  $E$  vanishing at infinity.

**Resolvent Strong Feller Property (RSF).** For each  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $R_\alpha(\mathcal{B}_b(E)) \subset C_b(E)$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_b(E)$  (resp.  $C_b(E)$ ) is the space of bounded Borel (resp. continuous) functions on  $E$ .

Following [11], a Hunt process is said to be *resolvent doubly Feller* if it enjoys both the Feller property and resolvent strong Feller property. We see from (RSF) that the resolvent kernel  $R_\alpha(x, dy)$  of  $X$  has a non-negative jointly measurable density  $R_\alpha(x, y)$  with respect to  $m$ : For  $x \in E$  and  $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$

$$R_\alpha f(x) = \int_E R_\alpha(x, y) f(y) m(dy).$$

Moreover,  $R_\alpha(x, y)$  is  $\alpha$ -excessive in  $x$  and in  $y$  ([9, Lemma 4.2.4]). We simply write  $R(x, y)$  for  $R_0(x, y)$  ( $:= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} R_\alpha(x, y)$ ). For a measure  $\mu$ , we define the  $\alpha$ -potential of  $\mu$  by

$$R_\alpha \mu(x) = \int_E R_\alpha(x, y) \mu(dy), \quad \alpha \geq 0.$$

Let  $S_{00}$  be the set of positive Borel measures  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$  and  $R_1 \mu$  is bounded. We call a Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $E$  *smooth measure in the strict sense* if there exists a sequence  $\{E_n\}$  of Borel sets increasing to  $E$  such that for each  $n$ ,  $1_{E_n} \mu \in S_{00}$  and for any  $x \in E$

$$P_x(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{E \setminus E_n} \geq \zeta) = 1,$$

where  $\sigma_{E \setminus E_n}$  is the first hitting time of  $E \setminus E_n$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{S}^1$  the set of smooth measures in the strict sense.

**DEFINITION 3.1.** Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{S}^1$ .

(1)  $\mu$  is said to be in the *Kato class* of  $X$  ( $\mathcal{K}(X)$  in abbreviation) if

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \|R_\alpha \mu\|_\infty = 0.$$

$\mu$  is said to be in the *local Kato class* ( $\mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$  in abbreviation) if for any compact set  $K$ ,  $1_K \cdot \mu$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ . (2) Suppose that  $X$  is transient. A measure  $\mu$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{K}_\infty(X)$  if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a compact set  $K = K(\epsilon)$

$$\sup_{x \in E} \int_{K^c} R(x, y) \mu(dy) < \epsilon.$$

$\mu$  in  $\mathcal{K}_\infty(X)$  is called *Green-tight*.

**REMARK 3.2.** It is known in [19, Theorem 3.1] that for a measure  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  and  $\alpha > 0$

$$(17) \quad \int_E u^2 d\mu \leq \|R_\alpha \mu\|_\infty \mathcal{E}_\alpha(u), \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}).$$

By the regularity of  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$  and the inequality (17), a measure  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is Radon, and so is a measure  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$ . As a result,  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap L^2(E; \mu^+) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^\mu)$  and

$$\mathcal{E}^\mu(u) = \mathcal{E}(u) + \int_E u^2 d\mu, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap L^2(E; \mu^+).$$

If  $\mu \in \mathcal{K}_\infty(X)$ , then  $\|R\mu\|_\infty < \infty$  by [3, Proposition 2.2] and [11, Lemma 4.1], and the equation (17) is meaningful for  $\alpha = 0$ :

$$(18) \quad \int_E u^2 d\mu \leq \|R\mu\|_\infty \mathcal{E}(u), \quad u \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}).$$

We denote by  $A_t^\mu$  the PCAF corresponding to  $\mu \in S^1$ .

**Theorem 3.3** ([20, Theorem 4.2]). *Let  $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^- \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X) - \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$ . If  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E))$  is positive semi-definite, then it is closable. Moreover, the semigroup  $T_t^\mu$  generated by the closure  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$  is expressed as*

$$T_t^\mu f(x) = p_t^\mu f(x) = E_x \left( e^{-A_t^\mu} f(X_t) \right) \text{ m-a.e.}$$

**REMARK 3.4.** By [9, Theorem 4.2.4], the transition semigroup  $p_t$  of  $X$  is expressed using transition probability density  $p_t(x, y)$ , as a result,  $T_t^\mu$  is also expressed by a kernel  $p_t^\mu(x, y)$  by Theorem 3.3. Hence, as discussed in Remark 2.3,  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$  is either critical or subcritical.

#### 4. Criticality and Hardy-type inequalities

We maintain the setting in Section 3 and fix a measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X) - \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$ . Though this section, we assume that  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$  is positive semi-definite and subcritical. By the subcriticality of  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$ ,  $(\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^\mu), \mathcal{E}^\mu(\cdot, \cdot))$  becomes a Hilbert space. The  $\alpha$ -order resolvent kernel  $\{R_\alpha^\mu(x, y)\}_{\alpha > 0}$  of  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$  can be constructed in the same manner as [9, Lemma 4.2.4] and the Green kernel, i.e., 0-order resolvent kernel  $R^\mu(x, y)$  is defined by  $R^\mu(x, y) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} R_\alpha^\mu(x, y)$ . The potential of a positive measure  $\nu$  is defined by

$$R^\mu \nu(x) = \int_E R^\mu(x, y) \nu(dy).$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\nu$  be a non-trivial positive measure in  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$ . Then for any compact set  $K$*

$$\inf_{x \in K} R^\mu \nu(x) > 0.$$

Proof. For any compact set  $K$ , take a relatively compact domain  $G$  such that  $K \subset G$  and  $\nu(G) > 0$ . Consider the subprocess  $X^{\mu^+} = (\{P_x^{\mu^+}\}_{x \in E}, \{X_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \zeta)$  defined by

$$P_x^{\mu^+}(B; t < \zeta) = \int_{B \cap \{t < \zeta\}} e^{-A_t^{\mu^+}} dP_x, \quad B \in \mathcal{F}_t.$$

Then  $X^{\mu^+}$  has Properties (F) and (RSF) by [13, Corollary 6.1], and so the part process  $X^{\mu^+, G}$  of  $X^{\mu^+}$  on  $G$  has Property (RSF) by [13, Theorem 3.1]. Furthermore,  $X^{\mu^+, G}$  is irreducible

because  $G$  is a domain.

Since the measure  $\nu^G$ , the restriction of  $\nu$  to  $G$ , is in the Green-tight Kato class of  $X^{\mu^+, G}$ ,  $\nu^G \in \mathcal{K}_\infty(X^{\mu^+, G})$ ,  $R^{\mu^+, G}\nu (= R^{\mu^+, G}\nu^G)$  is bounded by [3, Proposition 2.4] on  $G$ . Moreover it is continuous on  $G$ . Indeed, by Property (RSF) of  $X^{\mu^+, G}$ ,  $R_\alpha^{\mu^+, G}(R^{\mu^+, G}\nu) \in C_b(G)$  and  $\|R_\alpha^{\mu^+, G}\nu\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  because of  $\nu^G \in \mathcal{K}(X^{\mu, G})$ . Hence,  $R^{\mu^+, G}\nu \in C_b(G)$  because the resolvent equation implies

$$\|R^{\mu^+, G}\nu - \alpha R_\alpha^{\mu^+, G}(R^{\mu^+, G}\nu)\|_\infty = \|R_\alpha^{\mu^+, G}\nu\|_\infty \rightarrow 0, \alpha \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the irreducibility and  $\nu(G) > 0$ ,  $R^{\mu^+, G}\nu(x) > 0$  for each  $x \in E$ , and thus  $\inf_{x \in K} R^{\mu^+, G}\nu(x) > 0$ . On account of  $R^\mu\nu(x) \geq R^{\mu^+, G}\nu(x)$ , we have this lemma.  $\square$

By Lemma 4.1, we have the next corollary.

**Corollary 4.2.** *For a non-trivial positive measure  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$ , the measure  $\nu/R^\mu\nu$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$ .*

We define the subclass  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}^\mu(X)$  of  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$  by

$$\mathcal{K}_{loc}^\mu(X) = \{\nu \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X) \mid \text{For any } K \subset C, \|R^\mu(1_K\nu)\|_\infty < \infty\},$$

where  $C$  is the totality of compact sets of  $E$ . If  $\mu = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}^\mu(X)$  equals  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$  because  $1_K\nu \in \mathcal{K}_\infty(X)$  and  $\|R(1_K\nu)\|_\infty < \infty$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $\nu$  be a non-trivial measure in  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}^\mu(X)$ . Then*

$$\int_E \phi^2 \frac{d\nu}{R^\mu\nu} \leq \mathcal{E}^\mu(\phi), \quad \phi \in D(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E).$$

Proof. Let  $\{K_n\}$  be a increasing sequence of compact sets such that  $K_n \subset \mathring{K}_{n+1}$  and  $K_n \uparrow E$ . We fix the sequence  $\{K_n\}$ . For  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , define  $\mu_n^\epsilon = \mu^+ - \epsilon\mu_n^-$ , where  $\mu_n^-(\cdot) := \mu^-(K_n \cap \cdot)$ . The positive semi-definiteness of  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, D(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E))$  implies that

$$\epsilon \int_E \phi^2 d\mu_n^- \leq \epsilon \mathcal{E}^{\mu^+}(\phi),$$

and

$$(19) \quad (1 - \epsilon) \mathcal{E}^{\mu^+}(\phi) \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mu^+}(\phi) - \epsilon \int_E \phi^2 d\mu_n^- = \mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^\epsilon}(\phi) \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mu^+}(\phi),$$

which implies

$$(20) \quad D_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^\epsilon}) = D_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^+}) (\subset D_e(\mathcal{E})).$$

Let  $\nu_m = \nu(\cdot \cap K_m)$ . We may suppose that  $\nu_1$  is non-trivial and  $R^{\mu_n^\epsilon}\nu_1(x)$  is bounded below by a positive constant on each compact set  $K \subset E$ . Noting  $\nu_m \in \mathcal{K}_\infty(X)$ , we see from (18) and (19) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E |\phi| d\nu_m &\leq \nu(K_m)^{1/2} \left( \int_E \phi^2 d\nu_m \right)^{1/2} \leq \nu(K_m)^{1/2} \|R\nu_m\|_\infty^{1/2} \cdot \mathcal{E}(\phi)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \mathcal{E}^{\mu^+}(\phi)^{1/2} \leq C' \mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^\epsilon}(\phi)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $R^{\mu_n^\epsilon}\nu_m$  belongs to  $D_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^\epsilon})$  and

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^\epsilon}(R^{\mu_n^\epsilon}\nu_m, \phi) = \int_E \phi d\nu_m = \int_E R^{\mu_n^\epsilon}\nu_m \cdot \phi \frac{d\nu_m}{R^{\mu_n^\epsilon}\nu_m},$$

which implies

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^\epsilon - \nu_m / R^{\mu_n^\epsilon}\nu_m}(R^{\mu_n^\epsilon}\nu_m, \phi) = 0, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}(E) \cap C_0(E).$$

Note that  $R^{\mu_n^\epsilon}\nu_m$  is in  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E})$  by (20) and in  $L^\infty(E, m)$  by  $R^{\mu_n^\epsilon}\nu_m \leq R^\mu\nu_m$ . Moreover, it is bounded below by a positive constant on each compact set by Lemma 4.1. We then see from Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6 below that

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^\epsilon - \nu_m / R^{\mu_n^\epsilon}\nu_m}(\phi) \geq 0, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E),$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^\epsilon}(\phi) - \int_E \phi^2 \frac{d\nu_m}{R^\mu\nu} \geq \mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^\epsilon}(\phi) - \int_E \phi^2 \frac{d\nu_m}{R^{\mu_n^\epsilon}\nu_m} = \mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^\epsilon - \nu_m / R^{\mu_n^\epsilon}\nu_m}(\phi) \geq 0.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^\epsilon}(\phi) - \int_E \phi^2 \frac{d\nu_m}{R^\mu\nu} &\xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^\epsilon}(\phi) - \int_E \phi^2 \frac{d\nu}{R^\mu\nu} \\ &\xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{E}^{\mu_1}(\phi) - \int_E \phi^2 \frac{d\nu}{R^\mu\nu} \\ &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}^\mu(\phi) - \int_E \phi^2 \frac{d\nu}{R^\mu\nu}, \end{aligned}$$

we have this lemma.  $\square$

Lemma 4.3 leads us to an extension of the inequality (17).

**Corollary 4.4.** *It holds that*

$$\int_E \phi^2 d\nu \leq \|R^\mu\nu\|_\infty \mathcal{E}^\mu(\phi), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E).$$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}) \cap L^\infty(E; m)$  is bounded below by a positive constant on each compact set. Then  $\varphi/u$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$  for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$ .*

Proof. Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$  and suppose that  $u \geq c > 0$  on  $\text{supp}[\varphi]$ . Let  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$  be an approximating sequence of  $u$ . We may suppose  $\sup_n \|u_n\|_\infty \leq \|u\|_\infty$ . Then since by [9, Theorem 1.4.2 (ii)]

$$\mathcal{E}(u_n\varphi)^{1/2} \leq \|u_n\|_\infty \mathcal{E}(\varphi)^{1/2} + \|\varphi\|_\infty \mathcal{E}(u_n)^{1/2},$$

we have  $\sup_n \mathcal{E}(u_n\varphi) < \infty$ . On account of [18, 1.6.1'],  $u\varphi$  is in  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E})$  and so in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$  because  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}) \cap L^2(E; m) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ .

Since for  $(x, y) \in \text{supp}[\varphi] \times \text{supp}[\varphi]$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\varphi(x)}{u(x)} \right| &\leq c^{-1} |\varphi(x)| \\ \left| \frac{\varphi(x)}{u(x)} - \frac{\varphi(y)}{u(y)} \right| &\leq 2c^{-1} |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| + c^{-2} |u(x)\varphi(x) - u(y)\varphi(y)|, \end{aligned}$$

we have this lemma by the same argument as in the proof of [9, Theorem 6.3.2].  $\square$

[8, Theorem 10.2] yields the next lemma.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^- \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X) - \mathcal{K}(X)$  and  $u \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}) \cap L^\infty(E; m)$  be a function bounded below by a positive constant on each compact. If  $u$  satisfies  $\mathcal{E}^\mu(u, \varphi) = 0$  for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$ , then  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$  is positive semi-definite.*

Proof. The function  $u$  is a *generalized eigenfunction* corresponding to the *generalized eigenvalue* 0 in [8, Definition 9.1]. Note that by Lemma 4.5,  $\varphi/u$  is a bounded function in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^\mu)$  with compact support. Then, applying [8, Theorem 10.2], we have

$$\mathcal{E}^\mu(\varphi) = \mathcal{E}^\mu(u(\varphi/u)) = \int_{E \times E} u(x)u(y)d\Gamma(\varphi/u) \geq 0,$$

where  $\Gamma(\varphi/u)$  is the positive measure on  $E \times E$  defined in [8, Subsection 3.2].  $\square$

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}^\mu(X)$  and  $\nu_m = \nu(\cdot \cap K_m)$ . Then  $R^\mu \nu_m$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^\mu)$  for any  $m$ .*

Proof. Since for  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$

$$\int_E |\phi| d\nu_m \leq \nu(K_m)^{1/2} \left( \int_E \phi^2 d\nu_m \right)^{1/2} \leq \mu(K_m)^{1/2} \|R^\mu \nu_m\|_\infty^{1/2} \mathcal{E}^\mu(\phi)^{1/2}$$

by Corollary 4.4 and  $\|R^\mu \nu_m\|_\infty < \infty$  by  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}^\mu(X)$ , we have this lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.8.** *If  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}^\mu(X)$  is of finite energy with respect to  $R^\mu(x, y)$ ,*

$$(21) \quad \iint_{E \times E} R^\mu(x, y) \nu(dx) \nu(dy) < \infty,$$

*then  $R^\mu \nu$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^\mu)$ .*

Proof. Since  $R^\mu \nu_m \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^\mu) \uparrow R^\mu \nu(x)$  for any  $x \in E$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_m \mathcal{E}^\mu(R^\mu \nu_m) &= \sup_m \int_E R^\mu \nu_m d\nu_m = \sup_m \iint_{K_m \times K_m} R^\mu(x, y) \nu(dx) \nu(dy) \\ &\leq \iint_{E \times E} R^\mu(x, y) \nu(dx) \nu(dy) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By Banach-Saks Theorem (cf.[4, Theorem A.4.1]) there exists a subsequence  $\{K_{m_l}\} \subset \{K_m\}$  such that

$$\frac{R^\mu \nu_{m_1} + R^\mu \nu_{m_2} + \cdots + R^\mu \nu_{m_l}}{l} = R^\mu \left( \frac{(1_{K_{m_1}} + 1_{K_{m_2}} + \cdots + 1_{K_{m_l}})}{l} \nu \right) \longrightarrow R^\mu \nu$$

with  $\mathcal{E}^\mu$ -strongly, and thus Lemma 4.7 implies this lemma.  $\square$

For  $\mu \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X) - \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}^\mu(X)$ , define

$$(22) \quad \nu^\mu = \frac{\nu}{R^\mu \nu}, \quad \mu^\nu = \mu - \nu^\mu.$$

Then  $\mu^\nu$  is in  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}(X) - \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$  by Corollary 4.2 and  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E))$  is positive semi-definite by Lemma 4.3. Hence by [20, Theorem 4.2] we can define the Schrödinger form with potential  $\mu^\nu$ , the closure  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}))$  of  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E))$  and its extended Schrödinger space  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu})$ .

**Lemma 4.9.** *If  $u \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^+})$ , then*

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^v}(u) = \mathcal{E}^\mu(u) - \int_E u^2 d\nu^\mu.$$

Proof. Noting  $u \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E})$ , there exists an  $\mathcal{E}^{\mu^+}$ -Cauchy sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  q.e. Since  $\mathcal{E}^{\mu^v}(u) \leq \mathcal{E}^\mu(u) \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mu^+}(u)$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$ ,  $\{u_n\}$  is also an approximating sequence of  $u$  in  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^\mu)$  and  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^v})$ . In particular,  $u$  is in  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^\mu) \subset \mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^v})$ , and thus  $u \in L^2(E; \nu^\mu)$  by Lemma 4.3. Hence we have

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^v}(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}^{\mu^v}(u_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mathcal{E}^\mu(u_n) - \int_E u_n^2 d\nu^\mu \right) = \mathcal{E}^\mu(u) - \int_E u^2 d\nu^\mu. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 4.10.** *It holds that*

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^v}(R^\mu \nu_m) = \mathcal{E}^\mu(R^\mu \nu_m) - \int_E (R^\mu \nu_m)^2 d\nu^\mu.$$

Proof. Let  $\{\epsilon_n\}$  be a positive sequence such that  $\epsilon_n \uparrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and denote by  $\mu'_n$  the measure  $\mu_n^{\epsilon_n}$  defined in Lemma 4.3. Put  $u_n = R^{\mu'_n} \nu_m$ . Then  $u_n$  is in  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^+})$  as shown in the proof of Lemma 4.3. Since

$$\mathcal{E}^\mu(u_n) \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mu'_n}(u_n) = \int_E u_n d\nu_m \leq \int_E R^\mu \nu_m d\nu_m < \infty,$$

There exists a subsequence  $\{u_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{u_n\}$  such that

$$v_k := \frac{u_{n_1} + u_{n_2} + \cdots + u_{n_k}}{k} \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^+})$$

is an approximating sequence of  $R^\mu \nu_m$  in  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^\mu)$  and  $v_k(x) \uparrow R^\mu \nu_m(x)$  for any  $x \in E$ .

Noting that  $\{v_k\}$  is also an approximating sequence of  $R^\mu \nu_m$  in  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^v})$ , we have by Lemma 4.9

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^v}(R^\mu \nu_m) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}^{\mu^v}(v_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mathcal{E}^\mu(v_k) - \int_E v_k^2 d\nu^\mu \right) = \mathcal{E}^\mu(R^\mu \nu_m) - \int_E (R^\mu \nu_m)^2 d\nu^\mu. \quad \square$$

Let  $\mathcal{K}_C^\mu$  be the set of measures in  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}^\mu(X)$  satisfying (7). For  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}_C^\mu$  there exists a sequence  $\{K_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset C$  such that  $K_m \uparrow E$  and

$$(23) \quad \sup_m \iint_{E \times E} R^\mu(x, y) \nu_m(dx) \nu_m^c(dy) < \infty,$$

where  $\nu_m^c(A) = \nu(K_m^c \cap A)$ . If a measures  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}^\mu(X)$  of finite energy with respect to  $R^\mu$ , then it satisfies (23).

**Lemma 4.11.** *If  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}_C^\mu$ , then  $R^\mu \nu$  is in  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^v})$ .*

Proof. For  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}_C^\mu$

$$\int_E R^\mu \nu_m d\nu = \int_E R^\mu \nu_m d\nu_m + \int_E R^\mu \nu_m d\nu_m^c < \infty$$

because

$$\int_E R^\mu \nu_m d\nu_m = \mathcal{E}^\mu(R^\mu \nu_m) < \infty$$

by Lemma 4.7.

By Lemma 4.10 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}(R^\mu \nu_m) &= \mathcal{E}^\mu(R^\mu \nu_m) - \int_E (R^\mu \nu_m)^2 d\nu^\mu \\ &= \int_E R^\mu \nu_m d\nu_m - \int_E (R^\mu \nu_m)^2 d\nu^\mu \\ &= \int_E R^\mu \nu_m d\nu - \int_E R^\mu \nu_m d\nu_m^c - \int_E \frac{(R^\mu \nu_m)^2}{R^\mu \nu_m + R^\mu \nu_m^c} d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side equals

$$\begin{aligned} (24) \quad &\int_E \left( \frac{R^\mu \nu_m (R^\mu \nu_m + R^\mu \nu_m^c) - (R^\mu \nu_m)^2}{R^\mu \nu_m + R^\mu \nu_m^c} \right) d\nu - \int_E R^\mu \nu_m d\nu_m^c \\ &= \int_E \frac{R^\mu \nu_m R^\mu \nu_m^c}{R^\mu \nu_m + R^\mu \nu_m^c} d\nu - \int_E R^\mu \nu_m d\nu_m^c \\ &= \int_E \frac{R^\mu \nu_m R^\mu \nu_m^c}{R^\mu \nu_m + R^\mu \nu_m^c} d\nu_m + \int_E \left( \frac{R^\mu \nu_m R^\mu \nu_m^c}{R^\mu \nu_m + R^\mu \nu_m^c} - R^\mu \nu_m \right) d\nu_m^c. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{R^\mu \nu_m R^\mu \nu_m^c}{R^\mu \nu_m + R^\mu \nu_m^c} \leq R^\mu \nu_m^c, \quad \frac{R^\mu \nu_m R^\mu \nu_m^c}{R^\mu \nu_m + R^\mu \nu_m^c} \leq R^\mu \nu_m,$$

the right hand side of (24) is less than or equal to  $\int_E R^\mu \nu_m^c d\nu_m$ . Therefore, we see from (23) that

$$\sup_m \mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}(R^\mu \nu_m) \leq \sup_m \int_E R^\mu \nu_m^c d\nu_m < \infty.$$

Since  $R^\mu \nu_m \rightarrow R^\mu \nu$ , this lemma follows from Lemma 4.7.  $\square$

The next lemma is obtained in the same argument as in [20, Lemma 5.3].

**Lemma 4.12.** *For  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}_C^\mu$*

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}(R^\mu \nu, \varphi) = 0, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E).$$

Proof. Since  $\sup_m \mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}(R^\mu \nu_m) < \infty$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{K_{m_l}\} \subset \{K_m\}$  such that

$$R^\mu \left( \frac{(1_{K_{m_1}} + 1_{K_{m_2}} + \dots + 1_{K_{m_l}})}{l} \nu \right) \longrightarrow R^\mu \nu$$

$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}$ -strongly.

Let  $\phi_l := (1_{K_{m_1}} + 1_{K_{m_2}} + \dots + 1_{K_{m_l}})/l$ . For a fixed  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$  we can assume  $\text{supp}[\varphi] \subset K_{m_1}$ . By the same argument as in Lemma 4.10, we have

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}(R^\mu(\phi_l \nu) + \varphi) = \mathcal{E}^\mu(R^\mu(\phi_l \nu) + \varphi) - \int_E (R^\mu(\phi_l \nu) + \varphi)^2 d\nu^\mu,$$

and thus

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}(R^\mu(\phi_l\nu), \varphi) = \mathcal{E}^\mu(R^\mu(\phi_l\nu), \varphi) - \int_E R^\mu(\phi_l\nu)\varphi d\nu^\mu.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}(R^\mu\nu, \varphi) &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}(R^\mu(\phi_l\nu), \varphi) \\ &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mathcal{E}^\mu(R^\mu(\phi_l\nu), \varphi) - \int_E R^\mu(\phi_l\nu)\varphi d\nu^\mu \right).\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $R^\mu(\phi_l\nu) \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^\mu)$  by Lemma 4.7. Then since

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}^\mu(R^\mu(\phi_l\nu), \varphi) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_E \varphi \phi_l d\nu = \int_E \varphi d\nu$$

and by the monotone convergence theorem

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_E R^\mu(\phi_l\nu)\varphi d\nu^\mu = \int_E R^\mu\nu \cdot \varphi \frac{d\nu}{R^\mu\nu} = \int_E \varphi d\nu,$$

we have this lemma.  $\square$

The next theorem is an extension of [20, Theorem 5.4].

**Theorem 4.13.** *If  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}_C^\mu$ , then  $R^\mu\nu$  is a ground state of  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}))$ , consequently,  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$  is critical.*

Proof. Since  $R^\mu\nu$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu})$ , there exists a sequence  $\{\varphi_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$  such that  $\varphi_n$  converges  $\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}$ -strongly to  $R^\mu\nu$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}(R^\mu\nu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}(R^\mu\nu, \varphi_n) = 0$$

by Lemma 4.12.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.14.** *There exists no non-trivial positive function  $\psi$  such that*

$$(25) \quad \int_E u^2 d(\nu^\mu + \psi m) \leq \mathcal{E}^\mu(u, u), \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E).$$

Proof. If (25) holds, then

$$\int_E u^2 \psi dm \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}(u) = 0, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E).$$

Since  $R^\mu\nu$  is in  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu})$ , there exists an approximating sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$ . We then have

$$\int_E (R^\mu\nu)^2 \psi dm \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E u_n^2 \psi dm \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}(u_n) = \mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}(R^\mu\nu) = 0,$$

and so  $\psi = 0$   $m$ -a.e. because  $R^\mu\nu > 0$  by the irreducibility of  $X$ .  $\square$

Corollary 4.14 tells us that  $\nu^\mu$  is a *critical* Hardy weight for  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$  ([6], [10]).

A Hardy weight  $\nu^\mu$  is called *optimal at infinity* if for any  $K \in \mathcal{C}$

$$\lambda \int_E u^2 d\nu^\mu \leq \mathcal{E}^\mu(u), \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(K^c),$$

then  $\lambda \leq 1$ .

**Lemma 4.15.** *If  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}_C^\mu$  satisfies that*

$$(26) \quad \iint_{K^c \times E} R^\mu(x, y) \nu(dx) \nu(dy) = \infty \text{ for any } K \in \mathcal{C},$$

*then  $\nu^\mu$  is optimal at infinity.*

Proof. Denote  $h = R^\mu \nu$ . Since  $h$  is a ground state of  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}))$  by Theorem 4.13,  $h$  is  $p_t^{\mu^\nu}$ -invariant,  $p_t^{\mu^\nu} h = h$ , where  $p_t^{\mu^\nu}$  is the semigroup associated with  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}))$ . Denote by  $(\mathcal{E}^h, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^h))$  the Dirichlet form generated by  $h$ -transform of  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}))$ :

$$\mathcal{E}^h(u) = \mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}(uh), \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^h) = \{u \mid uh \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu})\}.$$

Since  $h$  is in  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu})$ , there exists a sequence  $\{h_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$  such that  $0 \leq h_n \uparrow h$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}(h - h_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $\{g_n := h_n/h\}$  is an approximating sequence of  $1 \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^h)$ .

Suppose that there exist  $F \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for any  $u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(F^c)$

$$(27) \quad \mathcal{E}^\mu(u) \geq (1 + \epsilon) \int_{F^c} u^2 d\nu^\mu, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(F^c).$$

Let  $G_1, G_2$  be relatively compact open set such that  $F \subset G_1 \subset \overline{G}_1 \subset G_2 \subset \overline{G}_2 \subset E$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a function in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$  such that  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ ,  $\varphi(x) = 1$  on  $x \in \overline{G}_1$  and  $\text{supp}[\varphi] \subset G_2$ . Put  $\psi = (1 - \varphi)$ . Then  $h_n \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(F^c)$ , and so by (27)

$$(28) \quad \epsilon \int_E (h_n \psi)^2 \frac{d\nu}{h} \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}(h_n \psi).$$

Then we have by [9, Theorem 1.4.2 (ii)]

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}(h_n \psi) = \mathcal{E}^h\left(\frac{h_n}{h} \psi\right) \leq 2 \left( \mathcal{E}^h(h_n/h) + \mathcal{E}^h(\psi) \right),$$

and so

$$\sup_n \int_E (h_n \psi)^2 \frac{d\nu}{h} \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon} \left( \sup_n \mathcal{E}^h(h_n/h) + \mathcal{E}^h(\psi) \right) < \infty$$

on account of (28). Hence

$$\int_{\overline{G}_2^c} h d\nu = \int_{\overline{G}_2^c} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (h_n \psi)^2 \frac{d\nu}{h} \leq \varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E (h_n \psi)^2 \frac{d\nu}{h} < \infty,$$

and thus

$$\iint_{\overline{G}_2^c \times E} R^\mu(x, y) d\nu(x) d\nu(y) = \int_{\overline{G}_2^c} h d\nu < \infty,$$

which is contradictory to (26).  $\square$

If  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}_C^\mu$  satisfies the inequality (26), then the ground state  $R^\mu \nu$  of  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^\nu}))$  does not belong to  $L^2(E; \mu^\nu)$  and so  $\nu^\mu$  is a null-critical Hardy weight for  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$ . Therefore, we have

**Theorem 4.16.** *If  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}_C^\mu$  satisfies*

$$\iint_{K^c \times E} R^\mu(x, y) \nu(dx) \nu(dy) = \infty \text{ for any } K \in \mathcal{C},$$

*then the measure  $\nu^\mu$  defined in (22) is a optimal Hardy weight for  $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^\mu))$ .*

**REMARK 4.17.** The measure  $\nu(dx) := |x|^{-(d+\alpha)/2} dx$  satisfies (26) with respect to the Green kernel  $|x - y|^{\alpha-d}$ ,  $\alpha < d$ , the 0-resolvent of the symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process because  $(|y|^{\alpha-d} * |y|^{-(d+\alpha)/2})(x) = C|x|^{(\alpha-d)/2}$  and  $|x|^{(\alpha-d)/2} \cdot |x|^{-(d+\alpha)/2} = |x|^{-d}$ ; however  $\nu$  satisfies (23) ([20, Example 5.6]). Hence  $\nu$  is an optimal Hardy weight for the Dirichlet form of symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.** The author would like to thank the referee for a useful comment on Lemma 4.15.

---

## References

- [1] S. Albeverio and Z-M. Ma: *Perturbation of Dirichlet forms-lower semiboundedness, closability, and form cores*, J. Funct. Anal. **99** (1991), 332–356.
- [2] J. Cao, A. Grigor'yan and L. Liu: *Hardy's inequality and Green function on metric measure spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **281** (2021), Paper No. 109020, 78 pp.
- [3] Z.-Q. Chen: *Gaugeability and conditional gaugeability*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), 4639–4679.
- [4] Z.-Q. Chen and M. Fukushima: *Symmetric Markov Processes, Time Change, and Boundary Theory*, London Math. Soc. Monographs Series **35**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2012.
- [5] E.B. Davies: *Heat Kernels and Spectral Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [6] B. Devyver, M. Fraas and Y. Pinchover: *Optimal Hardy weight for second-order elliptic operator: an answer to a problem of Agmon*, J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), 4422–4489.
- [7] P.J. Fitzsimmons: *Hardy's inequality for Dirichlet forms*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **250** (2000), 548–560.
- [8] R.L. Frank, D. Lenz and D. Wingert: *Intrinsic metrics for non-local symmetric Dirichlet forms and applications to spectral theory*, J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), 4765–4808.
- [9] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima and M. Takeda: *Dirichlet Forms and Symmetric Markov Processes*, 2nd ed., Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2011.
- [10] M. Keller, Y. Pinchover and F. Pogorzelski: *Criticality theory for Schrödinger operators on graphs*, J. Spectr. Theory **10** (2020), 73–114.
- [11] D. Kim and K. Kuwae: *Analytic characterizations of gaugeability for generalized Feynman-Kac functionals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **369** (2017), 4545–4596.
- [12] H. Kovarik and Y. Pinchover: *On minimal decay at infinity of Hardy-weights*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **22** (2020), Paper No. 1950046, 18 pp.
- [13] M. Kurniawaty, K. Kuwae and K. Tsuchida: *On the doubly Feller property of resolvent*, Kyoto J. Math. **57** (2017), 637–654.
- [14] Y. Miura: *Optimal Hardy inequalities for Schrödinger operators based on symmetric stable processes*, J. Theoret. Probab. **36** (2023), 134–166.
- [15] M. Schmidt: *(Weak) Hardy and Poincaré inequalities and criticality theory*, Dirichlet forms and related topics, Springer Proc. Math. Stat. **394** Springer, Singapore, 2022, 421–460.
- [16] B. Schmuland: *Extended Dirichlet spaces*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. **21** (1999), 146–152.
- [17] B. Schmuland: *Positivity preserving forms have the Fatou property*, Potential Anal. **10** (1999), 373–378.
- [18] M. Silverstein: *Symmetric Markov processes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **426**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.
- [19] P. Stollmann and J. Voigt: *Perturbation of Dirichlet forms by measures*, Potential Anal. **5** (1996), 109–138.

- [20] M. Takeda and T. Uemura: *Criticality of Schrödinger forms and recurrence of Dirichlet forms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **376** (2023), 4145–4171.

Department of Mathematics  
Kansai University  
Yamatecho, Suita, 564–8680  
Japan  
e-mail: mtakeda@kansai-u.ac.jp