

Title	High degree anti-integral extensions of Noetherian domains
Author(s)	Oda, Susumu; Junro, Sato; Yoshida, Ken-ichi
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1993, 30(1), p. 119-135
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/9307">https://doi.org/10.18910/9307</a>
rights	
Note	

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

## HIGH DEGREE ANTI-INTEGRAL EXTENSIONS OF NOETHERIAN DOMAINS

SUSUMU ODA, JUNRO SATO and KEN-ICHI YOSHIDA

(Received January 30, 1991)

**Introduction.** Let  $R$  be a Noetherian integral domain and  $R[X]$  a polynomial ring. Let  $\alpha$  be an element of an algebraic field extension  $L$  of the quotient field  $K$  of  $R$  and let  $\pi: R[X] \rightarrow R[\alpha]$  be the  $R$ -algebra homomorphism sending  $X$  to  $\alpha$ . Let  $\varphi_\alpha(X)$  be the monic minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $K$  with  $\deg \varphi_\alpha(X) = d$  and write  $\varphi_\alpha(X) = X^d + \eta_1 X^{d-1} + \cdots + \eta_d$ . Let  $I_{[\alpha]} := \bigcap_{i=1}^d (R :_R \eta_i)$ . For  $f(X) \in R[X]$ , let  $C(f(X))$  denote the ideal generated by the coefficients of  $f(X)$ . Let  $J_{[\alpha]} := I_{[\alpha]} C(\varphi_\alpha(X))$ , which is an ideal of  $R$  and contains  $I_{[\alpha]}$ . The element  $\alpha$  is called an anti-integral element of degree  $d$  over  $R$  if  $\text{Ker } \pi = I_{[\alpha]} \varphi_\alpha(X) R[X]$ . When  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element over  $R$ ,  $R[\alpha]$  is called an anti-integral extension of  $R$ . In the case  $K(\alpha) = K$ , an anti-integral element  $\alpha$  is the same as an anti-integral element (i.e.,  $R = R[\alpha] \cap R[1/\alpha]$ ) defined in [5]. The element  $\alpha$  is called a super-primitive element of degree  $d$  over  $R$  if  $J_{[\alpha]} \not\subset \mathfrak{p}$  for all primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  of depth one.

For  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ ,  $k(\mathfrak{p})$  denotes the residue field  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $\text{rank}_{k(\mathfrak{p})} R[\alpha] \otimes_R k(\mathfrak{p})$  denotes the dimension as a vector space over  $k(\mathfrak{p})$ . We are interested in characterizing the flatness and the integrality of an anti-integral extension  $R[\alpha]$  of  $R$ . Indeed, among others we obtain the following results:

- (i)  $R[\alpha]$  is flat over  $R$  if and only if  $\text{rank}_{k(\mathfrak{p})} R[\alpha] \otimes_R k(\mathfrak{p}) \leq d$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ ,
- (ii)  $R[\alpha]$  is integral over  $R$  if and only if  $\text{rank}_{k(\mathfrak{p})} R[\alpha] \otimes_R k(\mathfrak{p}) = d$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ .

Thus if an anti-integral extension  $R[\alpha]$  is integral over  $R$ , then  $R[\alpha]$  is flat over  $R$ . Concerning a super-primitive element, we obtain that if  $R$  is a Krull domain and  $\alpha$  is an algebraic element over  $R$ , then  $\alpha$  is a super-primitive element. We also obtain that a super-primitive element is an anti-integral element. More precisely,  $\alpha$  is super-primitive over  $R$  if and only if  $\alpha$  is anti-integral over  $R$  and  $R[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is flat over  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of depth one.

Using these results, we obtain the following:

Let  $\Delta(S)$  denote the set  $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \text{rank}_{k(\mathfrak{p})} S \otimes_R k(\mathfrak{p}) = d\}$ , where  $S$  is an extension of  $R$  of degree  $d$  and let  $Dp_1(R)$  denote the set of all prime ideals of  $R$  of depth one. Assume that  $[L:K] = d$ , and that  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in L$  are anti-integral elements of degree  $d$ , and let  $A = R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$ . If  $\Delta(R[\alpha_i]) \supset Dp_1(R)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ )

and  $Ur(R[\alpha_i]) \supset Dp_1(R)$ , where  $Ur(A)$  denotes the set  $\{p \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid A_p \text{ is unramified over } R_p\}$ , then  $A$  is integral over  $R$ , and  $A_p$  is etale over  $R_p$  for  $p \in \Delta(A)$ . If  $\Delta(A) = \text{Spec}(R)$  in addition to the above assumptions, then  $A$  is integral and etale over  $R$ .

**Notations and Conventions.** Throughout this paper, we use the following notations unless otherwise specified.

$R$ : a Noetherian integral domain,  
 $K := K(R)$ : the quotient field of  $R$ ,  
 $L$ : an algebraic field extension of  $K$ ,  
 $\alpha$ : a non-zero element of  $L$ ,  
 $d = [K(\alpha) : K]$ ,  
 $\varphi_\alpha(X) = X^d + \eta_1 X^{d-1} + \dots + \eta_d$ , the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $K$ .

Let  $\pi: R[X] \rightarrow R[\alpha]$  be an  $R$ -algebra homomorphism defined by  $X \rightarrow \alpha$  and let  $A_{[\alpha]} := \text{Ker } \pi$ . Then  $A_{[\alpha]}$  is a prime ideal of  $R[X]$  with  $A_{[\alpha]} \cap R = (0)$ . By definition,  $A_{[\alpha]} = \{\psi(X) \in R[X] \mid \psi(\alpha) = 0\}$ .

Let  $I_{[\alpha]} := \bigcap_{i=1}^d (R :_R \eta_i)$ , which is an ideal of  $R$ .

For  $f(X) \in K[X]$ ,

$C(f(X)) :=$  the ideal generated by all coefficients of  $f(X)$ ,  
that is,  $C(f(X))$  is the content ideal of  $f(X)$ .

Let  $J_{[\alpha]} := I_{[\alpha]} C(\varphi_\alpha(X))$ , which is an ideal of  $R$  and contains  $I_{[\alpha]}$ .

We also use the following standard notations:

$k(p) :=$  the residue field  $R_p/pR_p$  for  $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$ ,

$Dp_1(R) := \{p \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \text{depth } R_p = 1\}$ ,

$Ht_1(R) := \{p \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \text{ht } p = 1\}$ .

Throughout this paper, all fields, rings and algebras are assumed to be commutative with unity. Our special notations are indicated above and our general reference for unexplained technical terms is [3].

## 1. Anti-Integral Elements and Super-Primitive Elements

We start with the following definition.

**DEFINITION 1.1.** Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R[X]$  with  $I \cap R = (0)$  and let  $f(X) = a_0 X^n + a_1 X^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$  be a polynomial in  $R[X]$ . We say that  $f(X)$  is a *Sharma polynomial* in  $I$  if there does not exist  $t \in R$  with  $t \notin a_0 R$  such that  $ta_i \in a_0 R$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

We give an equivalent condition for a polynomial to be a Sharma polynomial in the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $f(X)$  be a polynomial in  $R[X]$ . Then  $f(X)$  is a Sharma polynomial if and only if  $C(f(X)) \not\subset p$  for any  $p \in Dp_1(R)$ .*

Proof. Let  $f(X) = a_0 X^n + \dots + a_n (a_i \in R)$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $C(f(X)) \subset p$  for some  $p \in Dp_1(R)$ . Then  $a_0 \in p$ , and there exists  $t \in a_0 R$  such that  $p = (a_0 R :_R t)$ . In this case,  $a_i \in p$  implies that  $a_i t \in a_0 R$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), which asserts that  $f(X)$  is not a Sharma polynomial.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that  $f(X)$  is not a Sharma polynomial. Then there exists  $t \in R$  such that  $t \notin a_0 R$ ,  $ta_i \in a_0 R$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Since there exists  $p \in Dp_1(R)$  such that  $(a_0 R :_R t) \subset p$ , we have  $a_i \in (a_0 R :_R t) \subset p$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and obviously  $a_0 \in p$ . So  $C(f(X)) = (a_0, \dots, a_n) \subset p$ , a contradiction. Q.E.D.

**Proposition 1.3.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $A_{[\alpha]}$  is a principal ideal of  $R[X]$ ,
- (ii)  $I_{[\alpha]}$  is a principal ideal of  $R$ ,
- (iii) there exists a Sharma polynomial in  $A_{[\alpha]}$  of degree  $d$ .

*If one of the above conditions holds, then  $A_{[\alpha]}$  is generated by a Sharma polynomial.*

Proof. (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Let  $f(X)$  be a Sharma polynomial in  $A_{[\alpha]}$  of degree  $d$ . Since  $\deg \varphi_\alpha(X) = d$ , this Sharma polynomial has the least degree. So by [6],  $A_{[\alpha]}$  is principal.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let  $A_{[\alpha]} = f(X)R[X]$ . Then  $f(X)R[X] \supset I_{[\alpha]} \varphi_\alpha(X)R[X]$ . Note that  $A_{[\alpha]} \otimes_R K = f(X)K[X] = \varphi_\alpha(X)K[X]$  and hence  $\deg f(X) = \deg \varphi_\alpha(X) = d$ . Take  $a \in I_{[\alpha]}$ . Then  $a \varphi_\alpha(X) = bf(X)$ . Let  $f(X) = a_0 X^d + \dots + a_d$  with  $a_i \in R$ . Then  $a = ba_0$ , so that  $I_{[\alpha]} \supset a_0 R$  for some  $b \in R$ . Since  $ba_0 \eta_i = a \eta_i = ba_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ), we have  $a_0 \eta_i = a_i \in R$ . Hence  $a_0 \in I_{[\alpha]}$ , which implies that  $I_{[\alpha]} = a_0 R$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Let  $I_{[\alpha]} = bR$ . Then  $I_{[\alpha]} \varphi_\alpha(X)R[X] = b \varphi_\alpha(X)R[X] \subset A_{[\alpha]}$  and  $b \eta_i \in R$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ). Suppose that there exists  $t \notin bR$  with  $t b \eta_i \in bR$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ). Then  $t \eta_i \in R$  and hence  $t \in I_{[\alpha]} = bR$ , a contradiction. Thus  $b \varphi_\alpha(X) \in R[X]$  is a Sharma polynomial of degree  $d$ . Q.E.D.

For later use, we quote the following.

**Lemma 1.4** ([6, Cor. 3]). *Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $I$  a non-zero ideal of a polynomial ring  $R[X]$  such that  $I \cap R = (0)$ . If there exists a polynomial  $f(X) \in I$  such that  $f(X)$  is of the least positive degree in  $I$  and  $C(f(X)) = R$ , then  $I$  is generated by the polynomial  $f(X)$ .*

DEFINITION 1.5. i)  $\alpha \in L$  is called an *anti-integral element* of degree  $d$  over  $R$  if  $A_{[\alpha]} = I_{[\alpha]} \varphi_\alpha(X)R[X]$ . When  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element, we say that  $R[\alpha]$  is an *anti-integral extension* of  $R$ .

ii)  $\alpha \in L$  is called a *super-primitive element* of degree  $d$  over  $R$  if  $J_{[\alpha]} \not\subset p$  for all  $p \in Dp_1(R)$ . When  $\alpha$  is a super-primitive element, we say that  $R[\alpha]$  is a *super-primitive extension* of  $R$ .

REMARK 1.6. i) In [5], we studied the anti-integrality which is defined as follows: An element  $\alpha \in K$  is called anti-integral over  $R$  if  $R = R[\alpha] \cap R[1/\alpha]$  ( $:= R(\alpha)$ ). We knew that  $\alpha$  is anti-integral over  $R$  in this sense if and only if  $A_{[\alpha]}$  has a linear basis, that is,

$$A_{[\alpha]} = \sum (c_i X - d_i) R[X]$$

with  $d_i/c_i = \alpha$  [5, Proof of (1.9)]. The last condition is equivalent to  $A_{[\alpha]} = I_{[\alpha]} \varphi_\alpha(X) R[X]$ , where  $\varphi_\alpha(X) = X - \alpha$ . So  $\alpha \in K$  is anti-integral over  $R$  in this sense if and only if  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element of degree one over  $R$  in the sense of Definition 1.5, that is, the anti-integrality defined in [5] is equivalent to the one defined in (1.5) in the case of degree one.

ii) It is immediate that  $\alpha \in L$  is a super-primitive element of degree  $d$  over  $R$  if and only if  $\alpha$  is a super-primitive element of degree  $d$  over  $R_p$  for any  $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . Thus  $R[\alpha]$  is a super-primitive extension of  $R$  if and only if  $R[\alpha]_p$  is a super-primitive extension of  $R_p$  for all  $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$ , where  $R[\alpha]_p$  denotes the localization  $S^{-1} R[\alpha]$  with  $S = R \setminus p$ .

**Lemma 1.7.** *Let  $f(X)$  be an element of a polynomial ring  $R[X]$  and let  $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . Then  $p \supset C(f(X))$  if and only if  $R_p[X]/f(X) R_p[X]$  is not flat over  $R_p$ .*

Proof. The implication ( $\Leftarrow$ ) follows from [3, (20.F)].

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Since  $C(f(X)) \subset p$ ,  $pR[X]$  contains  $f(X)$ , and hence  $Q = pR[X]/f(X) R[X]$  is a prime ideal of  $B := R[X]/f(X) R[X]$ . Suppose that  $B_p = R_p[X]/f(X) R_p[X]$  is flat over  $R_p$ . Then  $B_Q$  is obtained from  $B_p$  by localizing at  $QB_p$ . So  $\text{depth } B_Q \geq \text{depth } B_p$ , and hence  $\text{depth } B_Q \geq \text{depth } R_p$ . It is easy to see that  $\text{depth } B_{pB} = \text{depth } B_Q$  and  $B_{pB} = R[X]_{pB[X]}/f(X) R[X]_{pB[X]}$ . Since  $R$  is an integral domain, we have  $\text{depth } B_{pB} = \text{depth } R[X]_{pR[X]} - 1 = \text{depth } R_p - 1$ , which is a contradiction.

Q.E.D.

Our almost all main results are based on the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.8.** *Assume that  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element of degree  $d$  over  $R$ . Then for  $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{rank}_{k(p)} R[\alpha] \otimes_R k(p) \leq d$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{rank}_{k(p)} R[\alpha] \otimes_R k(p) < \infty$ ,
- (iii)  $R[\alpha] \otimes_R k(p)$  is not isomorphic to a polynomial ring  $k(p)[T]$ ,
- (iv)  $J_{[\alpha]} \not\subset p$ ,
- (v)  $pR[X] \not\supset A_{[\alpha]}$ ,
- (vi)  $R[\alpha]_p$  is flat over  $R_p$ .

Proof. Since  $\alpha$  is anti-integral,  $A_{[\alpha]} = I_{[\alpha]} \varphi_\alpha(X) R[X]$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (vi): Since  $R_p = (J_{[\alpha]})_p = (I_{[\alpha]})_p C(\varphi_\alpha(X))_p$ ,  $(I_{[\alpha]})_p$  is a principal ideal  $bR_p$ ,

for some  $b \in I_{[\alpha]}$ . So  $(A_{[\alpha]})_p = b\varphi_\alpha(X) R_p[X]$ . It follows that  $R[\alpha]_p \simeq R_p[X]/(A_{[\alpha]})_p = R_p[X]/b\varphi_\alpha(X) R_p[X]$ . Thus  $R[\alpha]_p$  is flat over  $R_p$  by Lemma 1.7 because  $R_p = (J_{[\alpha]})_p = C(b\varphi_\alpha(X))_p$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): By the same argument as above, we have  $R[\alpha]_p \simeq R_p[X]/(A_{[\alpha]})_p = R_p[X]/b\varphi_\alpha(X) R_p[X]$ . Since  $R_p = (J_{[\alpha]})_p = C(b\varphi_\alpha(X))_p$ , there exists  $i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ) such that  $b\eta_i \notin pR_p[X]$ . We take  $i$  minimal among such ones. Then  $b\varphi_\alpha(X) = bX^d + b\eta_1 X^{d-1} + \dots + b\eta_d \equiv b\eta_i X^{d-1} + \dots + b\eta_d \equiv 0 \pmod{pR_p[X]}$ , which means that  $\text{rank}_{k(p)} R[\alpha] \otimes_R k(p) \leq d - i \leq d$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is trivial.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv): Note that  $R[\alpha]_p/pR[\alpha]_p \simeq R_p[X]/(pR[X] + A_{[\alpha]})_p$ . Since  $\text{rank}_{k(p)} R[\alpha] \otimes_R k(p) < \infty$ ,  $(pR[X] + A_{[\alpha]})_p$  contains an element  $f(X) \in R[X]$  such that  $C(f(X))_p = R_p$ . Indeed, if not, we conclude that  $R[\alpha] \otimes_R k(p) \simeq k(p)[T]$ , a polynomial ring, a contradiction. We may assume that  $f(X) \in A_{[\alpha]}$ . So the equality  $(A_{[\alpha]})_p = I_{[\alpha]} \varphi_\alpha(X) R_p[X]$  yields that  $(J_{[\alpha]})_p = (I_{[\alpha]})_p C(\varphi_\alpha(X))_p = R_p$ .

(vi)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv): Suppose that  $J_{[\alpha]} \subset p$ . Localizing at  $p$ , we may assume that  $R$  is a local ring  $(R, m)$ . Consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow A_{[\alpha]} \rightarrow R[X] \rightarrow R[\alpha] \rightarrow 0.$$

Then  $A_{[\alpha]}$  is flat over  $R$  because  $R[X]$  and  $R[\alpha]$  are flat over  $R$ . The isomorphism  $A_{[\alpha]} = I_{[\alpha]} \varphi_\alpha(X) R[X] \simeq I_{[\alpha]} R[X]$  yields that  $I_{[\alpha]} R[X]$  is flat over  $R[X]$  and hence  $I_{[\alpha]}$  is flat over  $R$ . Since  $R$  is local,  $I_{[\alpha]} = bR$  for some  $b \in I_{[\alpha]}$ . So  $J_{[\alpha]} = bC(\varphi_\alpha(X))$  and  $A_{[\alpha]} = b\varphi_\alpha(X) R[X]$ . So  $C(b\varphi_\alpha(X)) \subset m$ , and hence  $R[\alpha]$  is not flat over  $R$  by Lemma 1.7.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v): Since  $J_{[\alpha]} = I_{[\alpha]} C(\varphi_\alpha(X)) \subset p$ , there exists  $a \in I_{[\alpha]}$  such that  $aC(\varphi_\alpha(X)) = C(a\varphi_\alpha(X)) \subset p$ . Thus  $a\varphi_\alpha(X) \notin pR[X]$  and hence  $A_{[\alpha]} \subset pR[X]$ .

(v)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv): Since  $A_{[\alpha]} = I_{[\alpha]} \varphi_\alpha(X) R[X]$ , there exists  $a \in I_{[\alpha]}$  such that  $C(a\varphi_\alpha(X)) \subset p$ . So  $J_{[\alpha]} = J_{[\alpha]} C(\varphi_\alpha(X)) \subset p$ .

(v)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): There exists  $f(X) \in A_{[\alpha]}$  with  $f(X) \notin pR[X]$ . So  $R[\alpha]/pR[\alpha] = (R/p)[\alpha']$ , where  $\alpha'$  denotes the residue class of  $\alpha$  in  $R[\alpha]/pR[\alpha]$ , and  $f(\alpha') = 0$ . Thus  $\alpha'$  is algebraic over  $R/p$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (v): Suppose that  $A_{[\alpha]} \subset pR[X]$ . Then  $R[\alpha]/pR[\alpha] = (R[X]/A_{[\alpha]})/p(R[X]/A_{[\alpha]}) = R[X]/pR[X] = (R/p)[X]$ , which is a polynomial ring over  $R/p$ .

Q.E.D.

After the definition in [5], we employ the following.

**DEFINITION 1.9.** Let  $A$  be an extension of  $R$  and let  $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . We say that  $A$  is a *blowing-up at  $p$*  or  $p$  is a *blowing-up point* of  $A/R$  if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $pA_p \cap R_p = pR_p$  (equivalently  $pA \cap R = p$ ),
- (ii)  $A_p/pA_p$  is isomorphic to a polynomial ring  $(R_p/pR_p)[T]$ .

Making use of the above definition, we get the following corollary to The-

orem 1.8.

**Corollary 1.10.** *When  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element over  $R$ , the blowing-up locus  $\{p \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid p \text{ is not a blowing-up point of } R[\alpha]\}$  is given by  $V(J_{[\alpha]})$ , and is the same as the non-flat locus  $\{p \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid R[\alpha]_p \text{ is not flat over } R_p\}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 1.8 and Lemma 1.7.

The next proposition gives rise to the relation between Sharma polynomials and the ideal  $A_{[\alpha]}$ .

**Proposition 1.11.**

- (a)  *$R[\alpha]$  is not a blowing-up at any point in  $Dp_1(R)$  if and only if  $A_{[\alpha]}$  contains a Sharma polynomial.*
- (b)  *$R[\alpha]$  is not a blowing-up at any point in  $\text{Spec}(R)$  if and only if there exists a polynomial  $f(X)$  in  $A_{[\alpha]}$  such that  $C(f(X))=R$ .*

*Proof.* (a) Take  $g_0(X) \in A_{[\alpha]} \setminus (0)$ . If  $g_0(X)$  is a Sharma polynomial, then we are done. Suppose that  $g_0(X)$  is not a Sharma polynomial. Let  $\{p_1, \dots, p_t\}$  be the set of all elements in  $Dp_1(R)$  satisfying  $C(g_0(X)) \subset p_i$ . Such  $p_i$  exists by Proposition 1.2. Since  $A_{[\alpha]} \not\subset pR[X]$  for any  $p \in Dp_1(R)$ , there are  $g_i(X) \in A_{[\alpha]}$  such that  $C(g_i(X)) \not\subset p_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq t$ ). Put  $N(0) := \deg(g_0(X))$  and  $N(i) := N(i-1) + \deg(g_i(X)) + 1$  inductively. Let  $f(X) := \sum g_i(X) X^{N(i)}$ . Then  $C(f(X)) = C(g_0(X)) + \dots + C(g_t(X))$ . By the choice of  $p_i$ , there does not exist  $p \in Dp_1(R)$  such that  $C(f(X)) \subset p$ . Hence  $f(X)$  is a Sharma polynomial. Assume that  $A_{[\alpha]}$  contains a Sharma polynomial. Then  $A_{[\alpha]} \not\subset pR[X]$  for any  $p \in Dp_1(R)$  by Proposition 1.2. So a blowing-up does not occur for  $R[\alpha]/R$  on  $Dp_1(R)$ .

(b) Let  $A_{[\alpha]} = (f_1(X), \dots, f_n(X))R[X]$ . Take  $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . Then  $A_{[\alpha]} \not\subset pR[X]$ . So there exists  $i$  such that  $C(f_i(X)) \not\subset p$ . Put  $N(0) = 0$  and  $N(i) = N(i-1) + \deg(f_i(X)) + 1$ , and let  $f(X) = \sum f_i(X) X^{N(i)}$ . Then  $C(f(X)) = C(f_1(X)) + \dots + C(f_n(X)) = R$ . The converse is obvious. Q.E.D.

By the following theorem, we see that a super-primitive element is an anti-integral element.

**Theorem 1.12.** *Under the above notations, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$\alpha$  is a super-primitive element of degree  $d$ ,*
- (ii)  *$\alpha$  is an anti-integral element of degree  $d$  over  $R$  and  $R_p[\alpha]$  is flat over  $R_p$  for all  $p \in Dp_1(R)$ ,*
- (iii)  *$\alpha$  is an anti-integral element of degree  $d$  over  $R$  and  $pR[X] \not\supset A_{[\alpha]}$  for all  $p \in Dp_1(R)$ ,*
- (iv)  *$\alpha$  is an anti-integral element of degree  $d$  over  $R$  and there exists a Sharma polynomial in  $A_{[\alpha]}$ ,*

(v)  $J_{[\alpha]}^{-1} = R$ , where  $J_{[\alpha]}^{-1} := (R :_K J_{[\alpha]})$ .

Proof. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): It is clear that  $I_{[\alpha]} \varphi_\alpha(X) R[X] \subset A_{[\alpha]}$ , and hence  $I_{[\alpha]} R[X] \subset \varphi_\alpha(X)^{-1} A_{[\alpha]}$ . Put  $J = \varphi_\alpha(X)^{-1} A_{[\alpha]}$ . Let  $I_{[\alpha]} R[X] = Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_n$  be an irredundant primary decomposition of the ideal  $I_{[\alpha]} R[X]$  and let  $P_i = \sqrt{Q_i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Assume that  $Q$  (resp.  $P$ ) represents some  $Q_i$  (resp.  $P_i$ ). Since  $I_{[\alpha]}$  is a divisorial ideal of  $R$ ,  $I_{[\alpha]} R[X]$  is a divisorial ideal of  $R[X]$ , and hence  $\text{depth } R[X]_p = 1$ . Put  $p = P \cap R$ . As  $p \supset I_{[\alpha]}$ , we see that  $p \neq (0)$ . Thus we have  $P = pR[X]$  and  $\text{depth}(R_p) = 1$ . Since  $\alpha$  is a super-primitive element,  $J_{[\alpha]} \not\subset p$  by definition. Therefore there exists an element  $a \in I_{[\alpha]}$  such that  $(A_{[\alpha]})_p = a\varphi_\alpha(X) R_p[X]$ . Hence we have  $J_p = aR_p[X] \subset I_{[\alpha]} R_p[X] \subset QR_p[X]$ . Thus we get  $J \subset R[X] \cap QR_p[X] = Q$ , that is,  $J \subset I_{[\alpha]} R[X]$  because  $Q$  (resp.  $P, p$ ) is any  $Q_i$  (resp.  $P_i, p_i := P_i \cap R$ ) for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . This implies that  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element. Hence the assertion follows from Theorem 1.8.

(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv): It is immediate from Theorem 1.8 and Proposition 1.11.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Since  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element,  $A_{[\alpha]} = I_{[\alpha]} \varphi_\alpha(X) R[X]$ . By Proposition 1.11(a),  $A_{[\alpha]} \not\subset pR[X]$  for all  $p \in Dp_1(R)$ . Hence there exists an element  $a(p) \in I_{[\alpha]}$  such that  $f(X) = a(p) \varphi_\alpha(X)$  and  $C(f(X)) \not\subset p$ . Thus  $J_{[\alpha]} \not\subset p$  for any  $p \in Dp_1(R)$ . Therefore  $\alpha$  is a super-primitive element.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (v): Assume that  $J_{[\alpha]} \not\subset p$  for any  $p \in Dp_1(R)$ . Then  $(J_{[\alpha]}^{-1})_p = (R :_K J_{[\alpha]})_p = (R_p :_K (J_{[\alpha]})_p) = (R_p :_K R_p) = R_p$  for any  $p \in Dp_1(R)$ . Since  $J_{[\alpha]}^{-1}$  is a divisorial ideal of  $R$ , we have  $R = \bigcap R_p = \bigcap (J_{[\alpha]}^{-1})_p \supset J_{[\alpha]}^{-1}$ , where  $p$  ranges over prime ideals of depth one. Thus  $R = J_{[\alpha]}^{-1}$ . Conversely, suppose that  $R = J_{[\alpha]}^{-1}$  and  $J_{[\alpha]} \subset p$  for some  $p \in Dp_1(R)$ . Then  $J_{[\alpha]}^{-1} \supset p^{-1}$  and hence  $R = (J_{[\alpha]}^{-1})^{-1} \subset (p^{-1})^{-1} = p$ , a contradiction. Q.E.D.

More equivalent conditions will be seen in the section 2.

By the following result, we see that a super-primitive element is not so special.

**Theorem 1.13.** *Assume that  $R$  is a Krull domain, then any element  $\alpha$  which is algebraic over  $R$  is a super-primitive element over  $R$ .*

Proof. Since  $R$  is a Krull domain,  $Dp_1(R) = Ht_1(R)$ . Take  $p \in Ht_1(R)$ . Then  $R_p$  is a DVR. Let  $v$  denote the valuation corresponding to  $R_p$ . Let  $\varphi_\alpha(X) = X^d + \eta_1 X^{d-1} + \dots + \eta_d$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ . Put  $\eta_0 = 1$ . Then there exists  $j$  such that  $v(\eta_j) \leq v(\eta_i)$  for all  $i$ . Thus  $\eta_i/\eta_j = a_i/b \in R_p$ , where  $b \in R \setminus p, a_i \in R$ . In particular,  $a_j = b \notin p$ . Hence

$$\varphi_\alpha(X) = \eta_j(a_0/\eta_j) X^d + \dots + \eta_j(a_d/\eta_j) \eta_d.$$

Hence  $f(X) := (b/\eta_j) \varphi_\alpha(X) = a_0 X^d + \dots + a_d \in \varphi_\alpha(X) K[X]$ . Since  $a_j = b \notin p$ , we have  $C(f(X)) \not\subset p$ . Since  $\text{deg } f(X) = d$ , we conclude that  $\alpha$  is a super-primitive element over  $R$  by Theorem 1.10. Q.E.D.



Once we find one super-primitive element, we can get many such elements. Indeed we obtain the following.

**Proposition 1.14.** *Assume that  $\alpha$  is a super-primitive element of degree  $d$  over  $R$ . Then for any unit  $u$  of  $R$  and any element  $b \in R$ ,  $\beta = u\alpha + b$  is a super-primitive element of degree  $d$  over  $R$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $u=1$ . It is clear that  $\varphi_\beta(X) = \varphi_\alpha(X-b)$  because  $K(\beta) = K(\alpha)$ ,  $d = \deg \varphi_\alpha(X-b)$  and  $\varphi_\alpha(X-b)$  is monic in  $K[X]$ . We see that  $I_{[\alpha]} \subset I_{[\beta]}$  and  $C(\varphi_\alpha(X)) = C(\varphi_\alpha(X-b)) = C(\varphi_\beta(X))$ . Since  $(J_{[\alpha]})_p = (I_{[\alpha]})_p$ ,  $C(\varphi_\alpha(X))_p = R_p$  for any  $p \in Dp_1(R)$  by Theorem 1.12,  $R_p = (J_{[\alpha]})_p \subset (J_{[\beta]})_p$  and hence  $(J_{[\beta]})_p = R_p$  for any  $p \in Dp_1(R)$ . Thus  $\beta$  is a super-primitive element of degree  $d$  over  $R$  by Theorem 1.12. Q.E.D.

**Proposition 1.15.** *Assume that  $R$  is a local ring containing an infinite field  $k$  and that  $J_{[\alpha]} = R$ . Then there exists an element  $\lambda \in k$  which satisfies that*

- (a)  $1/(\alpha - \lambda)$  belongs to  $R[\alpha]$ ,
- (b)  $1/(\alpha - \lambda)$  is a super-primitive element of degree  $d$  over  $R$ ,
- (c)  $1/(\alpha - \lambda)$  is integral over  $R$ .

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is local, there exists an element  $\lambda$  in  $k$  such that  $I_{[\alpha]} \varphi_\alpha(X + \lambda)$  contains a degree  $d$  polynomial  $g(X)$  in  $R[X]$  of which constant term is 1. Put  $\beta = \alpha - \lambda$ . Then  $g(\beta) = 0$ . Let  $h(X) = X^d g(1/X) \in R[X]$ . Then  $h(1/\beta) = (1/\beta)^d g(\beta) = 0$ . So  $1/\beta$  is integral over  $R$ . Since  $[K(\alpha) : K] = [K(\beta) : K] = d$ , we conclude that  $\varphi_{1/\beta}(X) = h(X) \in R[X]$ . Thus  $I_{[1/\beta]} = R$  and hence  $J_{[1/\beta]} = I_{[1/\beta]} C(\varphi_{1/\beta}(X)) = R$ . In particular,  $1/\beta$  is a super-primitive element of degree  $d$  over  $R$  by Theorem 1.12. Q.E.D.

## 2. Integrality and Flatness of Anti-Integral Extensions

The following result asserts that the integrality of an extension of  $R$  is determined by localizing at prime ideals in  $Dp_1(R)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be an integral domain containing  $R$ . Then  $A$  is integral over  $R$  if and only if  $A_p (= A \otimes_R R_p)$  is integral over  $R_p$  for any  $p \in Dp_1(R)$ .*

*Proof.* The implication  $(\Rightarrow)$  is trivial. Consider the converse and assume that  $A_p$  is integral over  $R_p$  for any  $p \in Dp_1(R)$ . We have only to show that  $\alpha$  is integral over  $R$ . Let  $R'$  be the integral closure of  $R$  in  $K$ . Then  $R'$  is a Krull domain [3, p.144]. It suffices to show that  $\alpha$  is integral over  $R'$ . Let  $R''$  be the integral closure of  $R$  in  $K(A)$  and let  $C = R'' :_{R''} \alpha$ , a denominator ideal of  $R''$ . Then  $K(R'') = K(A)$  and  $C$  is a divisorial ideal of  $R''$ . There exists  $P \in Dp_1(R'') = Ht_1(R'')$  such that  $C \subset P$ . Since  $R''/R'$  is integral and  $R'$  is integrally closed in  $K$ , the Going-Down Theorem holds for  $R''/R'$ . Thus  $P \cap R' \in$

$Ht_1(R')=Dp_1(R')$ . In particular,  $P \cap R'$  is a divisorial ideal of  $R'$ . So  $R'' :_{R'} \alpha = C \cap R' \subset P \cap R' \in Dp_1(R')$ . By [2, (4.6)],  $(P \cap R') \cap R$  is a divisorial ideal of  $R$ . Hence  $R'' :_R \alpha = (C \cap R') \cap R \subset (P \cap R') \cap R \in Dp_1(R)$ . Put  $p = (P \cap R') \cap R$ . Then we have  $p \in Dp_1(R)$  and  $R'' :_R \alpha \subset p$ , which is a contradiction. Q.E.D.

The integrality of anti-integral extensions is characterized as follows:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Assume that  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element of degree  $d$  over  $R$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $R[\alpha]$  is integral over  $R$ ,
- (ii)  $\varphi_\alpha(X) \in R[X]$ ,
- (iii)  $I_{[\alpha]} = R$ ,
- (iv)  $\text{rank}_{k(q)} R[\alpha] \otimes_R k(q) = d$  for any  $q \in Dp_1(R)$ ,
- (v)  $\text{rank}_{k(q)} R[\alpha] \otimes_R k(q) = d$  for any  $q \in \text{Spec}(R)$ .

Proof. Since  $\alpha$  is anti-integral,  $A_{[\alpha]} = I_{[\alpha]} \varphi_\alpha(X) R[X]$ . So the equivalence of (i), (ii) and (iii) are immediate because  $R[X]/A_{[\alpha]} \simeq R[\alpha]$ , and implications (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (v)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) are obvious.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Suppose that  $I_{[\alpha]} \subset p$  for some  $p \in Dp_1(R)$ . Since  $J_{[\alpha]} = I_{[\alpha]} C(\varphi_\alpha(X)) \not\subset p$  by Theorem 1.8,  $(I_{[\alpha]})_p$  is an invertible ideal of  $R_p$  and hence  $(I_{[\alpha]})_p$  is a principal ideal  $bR_p$  of  $R_p$  for some  $b$ . So  $(A_{[\alpha]})_p = (I_{[\alpha]})_p \varphi_\alpha(X) R_p[X] = (b\varphi_\alpha(X)) R_p[X]$ . Since  $I_{[\alpha]} \subset p$ ,  $b\varphi_\alpha(X) \in R_p[X]$  is not monic. Hence either  $R[\alpha] \otimes_R k(p) \simeq k(p)[T]$ , a polynomial ring or  $\text{rank}_{k(p)} R[\alpha] \otimes_R k(p) < d$ , a contradiction.

Q.E.D.

By the above theorem, we see that the obstruction of integrality of anti-integral extensions is given by  $I_{[\alpha]}$ . Namely, we obtain the following.

**Corollary 2.3.** *Assume that  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element over  $R$ . Then  $V(I_{[\alpha]}) = \{p \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid R[\alpha]_p \text{ is not integral over } R_p\}$ .*

Proof. The integrality is a local-global property. So our conclusion follows from Theorem 2.2. Q.E.D.

**REMARK 2.4.** Let  $R$  be a Noetherian normal domain and let  $\alpha$  be an element in a field  $L$  containing  $R$ . If  $\alpha$  is integral over  $R$ , then it is a super-primitive element over  $R$ . Indeed, when  $\varphi_\alpha(X) \in K[X]$  denotes the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $R$ , it is known that  $\alpha$  is integral over  $R$  if and only if  $\varphi_\alpha(X)$  belongs to  $R[X]$  ([4, (9.2)]. Since  $R$  is normal,  $p \in Dp_1(R) \Rightarrow ht(p) = 1 \Rightarrow R_p$  is a DVR. As  $R[\alpha]$  is a finite  $R$ -module,  $R[\alpha]_p$  is free over  $R_p$  for any  $p \in Dp_1(R)$ . By Theorem 1.10,  $\alpha$  is a super-primitive element over  $R$ . Moreover  $R[\alpha]$  is flat over  $R$  by Theorems 1.8 and 3.2 because  $R[\alpha]/R$  is super-primitive, integral and flat.

Summing up the results in the preceding argument, we obtain the following:

Assume that  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element of degree  $d$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal of  $R$ . Then

- (1)  $R[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is flat over  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  if and only if  $\text{rank}_{k(\mathfrak{p})} R[\alpha] \otimes_R k(\mathfrak{p}) \leq d$ ,
- (2)  $R[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is integral over  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  if and only if  $\text{rank}_{k(\mathfrak{p})} R[\alpha] \otimes_R k(\mathfrak{p}) = d$ .

In particular, we conclude:

**Corollary 2.5.** *Assume that  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element of degree  $d$ . If  $R[\alpha]$  is integral over  $R$ , then  $R[\alpha]$  is flat over  $R$ .*

In view of Proposition 1.11, we extend Theorem 1.8 to the following.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Assume that  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element of degree  $d$  over  $R$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $R[\alpha]$  is flat over  $R$ ,
- (ii)  $J_{[\alpha]} = R$ ,
- (iii)  $\text{rank}_{k(\mathfrak{p})} R[\alpha] \otimes_R k(\mathfrak{p}) < \infty$  for any  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ ,
- (iv)  $\text{rank}_{k(\mathfrak{p})} R[\alpha] \otimes_R k(\mathfrak{p}) \leq d$  for any  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ ,
- (v)  $R[\alpha]$  is not a blowing-up at any point in  $\text{Spec}(R)$ ,
- (vi)  $R[\alpha]$  is quasi-finite over  $R$ ,
- (vii)  $A_{[\alpha]}$  contains a polynomial  $f(X)$  with  $C(f(X)) = R$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 1.8 and Proposition 1.11 (b).

**REMARK 2.7.** Let  $A$  be over-ring of  $R$  (i.e.,  $R \subset A$  and  $K(A) = K$ ). If  $A$  is integral and flat over  $R$  on  $D\mathfrak{p}_1(R)$ , then  $A = R$ . Indeed, it is known that  $R = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in D\mathfrak{p}_1(R)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . For  $\mathfrak{p} \in D\mathfrak{p}_1(R)$ ,  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is integral, flat over  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  by the assumption. So  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a free  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of rank one. Thus  $A_{\mathfrak{p}} = R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and hence  $R = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in D\mathfrak{p}_1(R)} R_{\mathfrak{p}} \supset A$ .

Relating to this remark, we have the following.

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $\alpha$  be an algebraic element over  $R$ . If  $R[\alpha]$  is integral and flat at any point in  $D\mathfrak{p}_1(R)$ , then  $R[\alpha]$  is a free  $R$ -module and  $\alpha$  is a super-primitive element over  $R$ .*

*Proof.* First, we shall show that  $I_{[\alpha]} = R$ . Suppose that  $I_{[\alpha]} \neq R$ . Since  $I_{[\alpha]}$  is a divisorial ideal of  $R$ , there exists  $\mathfrak{p} \in D\mathfrak{p}_1(R)$  such that  $I_{[\alpha]} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $R[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is integral over  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  by assumption,  $R[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a flat extension of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . As  $R[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is flat over  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ,  $R[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a free  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of rank  $d$ . We want to show that  $R[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{p}} = R_{\mathfrak{p}} + R_{\mathfrak{p}}\alpha + \cdots + R_{\mathfrak{p}}\alpha^{d-1}$ . For this purpose, we have only to show that  $1', \alpha', \dots, \alpha'^{d-1} \in R[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{p}}$  are linearly independent over  $k(\mathfrak{p})$ , where  $\alpha'$  denotes its residue class in  $R[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Suppose the contrary. Then  $R[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{p}} = k(\mathfrak{p})[\alpha'] = k(\mathfrak{p}) + k(\mathfrak{p})\alpha' + \cdots + k(\mathfrak{p})\alpha'^s$  for some  $s < d$ . But  $R[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a free  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of rank  $d$ , which asserts that  $\text{rank}_{k(\mathfrak{p})} R[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{p}} = d$ ,

a contradiction. Thus we have shown that  $R[\alpha]_p = R_p + R_p \alpha + \dots + R_p \alpha^{d-1}$ . So we have a relation:  $\alpha^d = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \alpha + \dots + \lambda_{d-1} \alpha^{d-1}$  ( $\lambda_i \in R_p$ ). Since the minimal polynomial  $\varphi_\alpha(X)$  of  $\alpha$  is unique, we have  $\varphi_\alpha(X) = X^d - \lambda_{d-1} X^{d-1} - \dots - \lambda_0$ . So  $I_{[\alpha]} \not\subset p$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\varphi_\alpha(X) \in R[X]$ , which implies that  $A_{[\alpha]} = \varphi_\alpha(X) R[X]$  and  $R[\alpha]$  is a free  $R$ -module. Since  $C(\varphi_\alpha(X)) = R$ , we conclude that  $J_{[\alpha]} = R$ . By Theorem 1.12,  $\alpha$  is a super-primitive element over  $R$ . Q.E.D.

Now we consider a certain over-ring of  $R$  which is seen in [5].

**DEFINITION 2.9.** Let  $J$  be a fractional ideal of  $R$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}(J) := J :_K J$ , which is an over-ring of  $R$ .

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $J$  be a divisorial ideal of  $R$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}(J) = R$  if and only if  $\mathcal{R}(J^{-1}) = R$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $J$  is divisorial,  $(J^{-1})^{-1} = J$ . So we have only to prove one of the implications. Assume that  $\mathcal{R}(J) = R$ . The implication  $\mathcal{R}(J^{-1}) \supset R$  is obvious. Take  $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}(J^{-1})$ . Then  $\lambda J^{-1} \subset J^{-1}$ . Thus  $R : \lambda J^{-1} \supset R : J^{-1} = (J^{-1})^{-1} = J$ . On the other hand, we have  $R : \lambda J^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} R : J^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} (R : J^{-1}) = \lambda^{-1} (J^{-1})^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} J$ . Thus  $\lambda^{-1} J \supset J$ , which shows that  $J \supset \lambda J$ , and hence  $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}(J) = R$ . Q.E.D.

By these arguments, we extend Theorem 1.12 to the following.

**Theorem 2.11.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\alpha$  is a super-primitive element over  $R$ ,
- (ii) for each  $p \in Dp_1(R)$ , there exists  $f(X) \in A_{[\alpha]}$  with  $(A_{[\alpha]})_p = f(X) R_p[X]$ ,
- (iii) for each  $p \in Dp_1(R)$ , there exists  $a \in I_{[\alpha]}$  with  $(I_{[\alpha]})_p = aR_p$ ,
- (iv)  $\mathcal{R}(I_{[\alpha]}) = R$ .

*Proof.* Denote the degree of  $\alpha$  by  $d$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Since  $J_{[\alpha]} = I_{[\alpha]} C(\varphi_\alpha(X)) \not\subset p$  for any  $p \in Dp_1(R)$ , there exists  $a \in I_{[\alpha]}$  with  $f(X) := a\varphi_\alpha(X) \in pR[X]$ . Note that  $(A_{[\alpha]})_p \cap R_p[X] = (A_{[\alpha]})_p$  and  $f(X) \in (A_{[\alpha]})_p$ . By Proposition 1.2,  $f(X)$  is a Sharma polynomial of degree  $d$  in  $R_p[X]$ . So  $(A_{[\alpha]})_p = f(X) R_p[X]$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Suppose that  $(A_{[\alpha]})_p = f(X) R_p[X]$ . Then  $\deg f(X) = d$ . Let  $a$  be the leading coefficient of  $f(X)$ . Then  $\varphi_\alpha(X) = (1/a)f(X)$  by the uniqueness of the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ . So  $f(X) = a\varphi_\alpha(X) R[X]$ , and hence  $a \in I_{[\alpha]}$ . Since  $(A_{[\alpha]})_p = f(X) R_p[X]$ ,  $(I_{[\alpha]})_p = aR_p$ .

(iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv): We know that  $\mathcal{R}(I_{[\alpha]}) = R$  if and only if  $\mathcal{R}(I_{[\alpha]}^{-1}) = R$  by Lemma 2.10. So apply a result of [5, (3.2)] and we conclude that (iii) and (iv) are equivalent.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Since  $(I_{[\alpha]})_p$  is a principal ideal of  $R_p$  for any  $p \in Dp_1(R)$ , there exists  $f(X) \in A_{[\alpha]}$  such that  $\deg f(X) = d$  and  $(A_{[\alpha]})_p = f(X) R_p[X]$ . Since  $f(X)$  is a

Sharma polynomial in  $R_p[X]$  by Proposition 1.2 and  $\text{depth } R_p = 1$ ,  $C(f(X)) \not\subset p$ . Thus  $J_{[\alpha]} \not\subset p$  for any  $p \in Dp_1(R)$  and hence  $\alpha$  is a super-primitive element over  $R$  by definition. Q.E.D.

### 3. Vanishing Points and Blowing-Up Points

Assume that  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element over  $R$ . For  $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$ ,  $\text{rank}_{k(p)} R[\alpha] \otimes_R k(p) < \infty$  if and only if  $R[\alpha]_p$  is flat over  $R_p$  by Theorem 2.2. So it may be natural to ask when  $\text{rank}_{k(p)} R[\alpha] \otimes_R k(p)$  is infinite or zero.

Let  $\alpha$  be an element which is algebraic over  $R$ . Recall that  $\varphi_\alpha(X) = X^d + \eta_1 X^{d-1} + \cdots + \eta_d$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $K$ , where  $d = [K(\alpha) : K]$  and  $J_{[\alpha]} := I_{[\alpha]} C(\varphi_\alpha(X)) = I_{[\alpha]} + I_{[\alpha]} \eta_1 + \cdots + I_{[\alpha]} \eta_d$ . Define  $B_{[\alpha]} := I_{[\alpha]} + I_{[\alpha]} \eta_1 + \cdots + I_{[\alpha]} \eta_{d-1}$ , which is an ideal of  $R$ .

We use this notation throughout §3.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Assume that  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element over  $R$  and let  $A = R[\alpha]$ . For  $q \in \text{Spec}(R)$ , the following are equivalent:*

- i)  $qA_q = A_q$ ,
- ii)  $qA \cap R \not\subset q$ ,
- iii)  $q \supset B_{[\alpha]}$  and  $q \not\supset I_{[\alpha]} \eta_d$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Since  $qA_q = A_q$ , there exist  $a_i \in q, \beta_i \in A$  and  $s_i \in R \setminus q$  such that  $1 = \sum a_i \beta_i / s_i$ . Put  $s = \prod s_i$ . Then  $s = \sum a_i \beta_i b_i \in qA \cap R$  with  $s \notin q$ , where  $s \beta_i / s_i = b_i \in A$ . Thus  $qA \cap R \not\subset q$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Take  $s \in qA \cap R$  with  $s \notin q$ . Then  $s \in qA_q$  and  $s$  is invertible in  $A_q$ . Thus  $qA_q = A_q$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Take  $a \in I_{[\alpha]}$  with  $a \eta_d \notin q$ . Put  $f(X) = a \varphi_\alpha(X)$  and  $a \eta_i = b_i, a = b_j$ , so that  $f(X) = b_0 X^d + b_1 X^{d-1} + \cdots + b_d$ . Since  $f(\alpha) = 0, b_0 \alpha^d + b_1 \alpha^{d-1} + \cdots + b_d = 0$ . Noting that  $b_d \notin q, b_d$  is a unit in  $A_q$ . Since  $b_0, \dots, b_{d-1} \in q, b_d \in qA \subset qA_q$ . Thus  $qA_q = A_q$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Since  $qA_q = A_q, 1 = b_0 + b_1 \alpha + \cdots + b_n \alpha^n$  for some  $b_i \in qR_q$ . Put  $f(x) = b_n X^n + \cdots + b_1 X + b_0 - 1$ . Then  $f(\alpha) = 0$  and  $b_0 - 1$  is a unit in  $R_q$ . The kernel of  $R_q[X] \rightarrow R[\alpha]_q$  is  $(I_{[\alpha]})_q \varphi_\alpha(X) R_q[X]$ . So  $f(X) \in (I_{[\alpha]})_q \varphi_\alpha(X) R_q[X]$  and  $C(f(X))_q = R_q$ . Thus it follows that  $(J_{[\alpha]})_q = (I_{[\alpha]})_q C(\varphi_\alpha(X))_q = R_q$ , which means that  $R[\alpha]_q$  is flat over  $R_q$  by Theorem 1.8. So  $(I_{[\alpha]})_q \varphi_\alpha(X) R_q[X]$  is an invertible ideal of  $R_q[X]$ . Hence  $(I_{[\alpha]})_q$  is a principal ideal of  $R_q$ . Let  $(I_{[\alpha]})_q = aR_q$ . We shall show that all of  $a, a\eta_1, \dots, a\eta_{d-1}$  belong to  $qR_q$ . Note that  $f(X) \in a\varphi_\alpha(X) R_q[X]$  because  $f(\alpha) = 0$ . So there exists  $h(X) \in R_q[X]$  such that  $f(X) = a\varphi_\alpha(X) h(X)$ . We have  $-1 \equiv a\varphi_\alpha(X) h(X) \pmod{qR_q[X]}$ . Thus  $a\eta_i, a \in qR_q$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq d-1$  and  $a\eta_d \notin qR_q$ . Therefore  $I_{[\alpha]}, I_{[\alpha]} \eta_1, \dots, I_{[\alpha]} \eta_{d-1} \subset q$  and  $I_{[\alpha]} \eta_d \not\subset q$ . Q.E.D.

**DEFINITION 3.2.** Let  $A$  be an extension of  $R$  and let  $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . We say

that  $p$  is a *vanishing point* of  $A/R$  if  $pA_p = A_p$ .

Recall that  $A$  is a *blowing-up* at  $p$  or  $p$  is a *blowing-up point* of  $A/R$  if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- i)  $pA_p \cap R_p = pR_p$  (equivalently  $pA \cap R = p$ , cf. Lemma 3.1),
- ii)  $A_p/pA_p$  is isomorphic to a polynomial ring  $(R_p/pR_p)[T]$ .

By Lemma 3.1, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Assume that  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element over  $R$  and let  $A=R[\alpha]$ . Then the set of vanishing points (i.e.,  $\{q \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid qA_q = A_q\}$ ) is given by  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{d-1} V(I_{[\alpha]} \eta_i) \setminus V(I_{[\alpha]} \eta_d)$ , where  $\eta_0=1$ .*

**Proposition 3.4.** *Assume that  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element of degree  $d$  over  $R$  and let  $A=R[\alpha]$ . Consider the following conditions:*

- (i)  $A$  is flat over  $R$ ,
- (ii)  $J_{[\alpha]}=R$ ,
- (iii) If  $pA_p = A_p$  for  $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$ , then  $pA = A$ .

*Then we have implications (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). If moreover  $R$  is a local ring and  $\sqrt{B_{[\alpha]}} \not\subset I_{[\alpha]} \eta_d$ , then (i), (ii) and (iii) are equivalent to each other.*

*Proof.* (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) was proved in Proposition 2.6. (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Take  $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$  and assume that  $pA_p = A_p$ . Then  $p \supset B_{[\alpha]} = I_{[\alpha]} + I_{[\alpha]} \eta_1 + \dots + I_{[\alpha]} \eta_{d-1}$  and  $p \not\subset I_{[\alpha]} \eta_d$  by Lemma 3.1. Take  $a \in I_{[\alpha]}$  and put  $f(X) = a\varphi_\alpha(X) = aX^d + a\eta_1 X^{d-1} + \dots + a\eta_d$ . Since  $f(\alpha) = 0$ , we get  $a\eta_d \in pA$  and hence  $I_{[\alpha]} \eta_d \subset pA$ . So  $J_{[\alpha]} = B_{[\alpha]} + I_{[\alpha]} \eta_d \subset pA$ . Since  $J_{[\alpha]} = R$ , we conclude that  $pA = A$ . We will show the last part. Since  $\sqrt{B_{[\alpha]}} \not\subset I_{[\alpha]} \eta_d$ , there exists  $q \in \text{Spec}(R)$  such that  $q \supset B_{[\alpha]}$  but  $q \not\subset I_{[\alpha]} \eta_d$ . Thus  $qA_q = A_q$  and so  $qA = A$ . Let  $m$  denote the maximal ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that  $m \supset J_{[\alpha]}$ . Then we have  $A/mA \simeq (R/m)[T]$ , a polynomial ring (cf. Theorem 1.8). Hence  $mA \neq A$ . But  $q \subset m$  implies that  $mA = A$ , a contradiction. Thus  $J_{[\alpha]} = R$ . Q.E.D.

**REMARK 3.5.** Let the notation be the same as in Proposition 3.4.

- (i) When  $d=1$  (i.e.,  $\alpha$  is an element of  $K$ ), then (i), (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 3.4 are equivalent.
- (2)  $pA \cap R = p$  if and only if there exists  $P \in \text{Spec}(A)$  such that  $P \cap R = p$ .

**REMARK 3.6.** Let the notation be the same as in Lemma 3.1. If  $B_{[\alpha]} \subset q$ , then  $q$  is either a vanishing point (i.e.,  $I_{[\alpha]} \eta_d \not\subset q$ ) or a blowing-up point (i.e.,  $I_{[\alpha]} \eta_d \subset q$ ). So if  $\sqrt{J_{[\alpha]}}$  contains  $\sqrt{B_{[\alpha]}}$  properly, there exists a vanishing point. Thus  $\text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  is not surjective.

**Proposition 3.7.** *Assume that  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element of degree  $d$  over  $R$  and let  $A=R[\alpha]$ . Then  $\text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  is surjective if and only if  $\sqrt{J_{[\alpha]}} = \sqrt{B_{[\alpha]}}$ .*

Proof. ( $\Rightarrow$ ): Since  $J_{[\alpha]} \supset B_{[\alpha]}$ ,  $\sqrt{J_{[\alpha]}} \supset \sqrt{B_{[\alpha]}}$ . If  $B_{[\alpha]} \subset q$  for some  $q \in \text{Spec}(R)$ , there exists  $Q \in \text{Spec}(A)$  such that  $Q \cap R = q$  because  $\text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  is surjective. So  $qA_q \neq A_q$ , which means that  $q$  is not a vanishing point. Thus by Remark 3.6,  $q$  is a blowing-up point, that is,  $q \supset J_{[\alpha]}$ . Therefore  $\sqrt{J_{[\alpha]}} = \sqrt{B_{[\alpha]}}$ . ( $\Leftarrow$ ): Suppose that  $\text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  is not surjective. There exists  $q \in \text{Spec}(R)$  such that  $qA_q = A_q$ . So  $q \supset \sqrt{B_{[\alpha]}} = \sqrt{J_{[\alpha]}} \supset J_{[\alpha]} \supset I_{[\alpha]} \eta_d$ , a contradiction. Q.E.D.

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let the notation be the same as in Proposition 3.7 and let  $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$  satisfy  $pA_p = A_p$ . If  $q \supset pA \cap R$ , then  $q$  is a blowing-up point.*

Proof. Since  $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$  satisfies  $pA_p = A_p$ , we have  $p \supset B_{[\alpha]}$ . Thus  $\eta_d I_{[\alpha]} \subset \alpha^d I_{[\alpha]} + \cdots + \eta_{d-1} \alpha I_{[\alpha]} \subset B_{[\alpha]} A \subset pA$ . So  $q \supset pA \cap R \supset B_{[\alpha]} + I_{[\alpha]} \eta_d = J_{[\alpha]}$ , which means that  $q$  is a blowing-up point. Q.E.D.

REMARK 3.9. Let  $k$  be a field,  $a, b$  indeterminates and  $R = k[a, b]$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a root of an equation  $aX^2 + bX + a = 0$  and put  $A = R[\alpha]$ . Then  $J_{[\alpha]} = (a, b)R$  and  $\text{grade}((a, b)R) = 2$  so that  $\alpha$  is a super-primitive element by Theorem 1.12. In this case,  $J_{[\alpha]} = B_{[\alpha]} = (a, b)R$ . Thus  $\text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  is surjective, but not flat. Hence the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) in Proposition 3.4 does not necessarily hold.

**Theorem 3.10.** *Assume that  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element over  $R$  and let  $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . If  $R[\alpha]$  is not a blowing-up at  $q$ , then  $\text{depth } R[\alpha]_q = \text{depth } R_q$  for  $Q \in \text{Spec}(R[\alpha])$  with  $Q \cap R = q$ .*

Proof. Since  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element over  $R$  and  $q$  is not a blowing-up point,  $R[\alpha]_q$  is flat over  $R_q$  by Theorem 1.8. Since  $R[\alpha]_q$  is obtained from  $R[\alpha]_q$  by localizing at  $QR[\alpha]_q$ ,  $R[\alpha]_q$  is flat over  $Rq$ . So we have  $\text{depth } R_q \leq \text{depth } R[\alpha]_q$ . As  $q$  is not a blowing-up point, there exists  $a \in I_{[\alpha]}$  such that  $a\varphi_\alpha(X)R_q[X] = (A_{[\alpha]})_q$ . Put  $f(X) := a\varphi_\alpha(X)$ . Since  $Q \in \text{Spec}(R[\alpha])$ , there exists  $P \in \text{Spec}(R[X])$  such that  $P \supset A_{[\alpha]}$  and  $Q = P/A_{[\alpha]}$ . Then  $Q_q = P_q/(A_{[\alpha]})_q = P_q/f(X)R_q[X]$ . So  $QR[\alpha]_q = PR[X]_p/f(X)R[X]_p$  implies that  $\text{depth } R[\alpha]_q = \text{depth } R[X]_p - 1$ . Now since  $P \cap R = q$ , we have  $P \supset pR[X]$ . Suppose that  $P = qR[X]$ . Then  $qR[X] = P \supset A_{[\alpha]}$ , which asserts that  $q$  is a blowing-up point. So we have  $P \neq qR[X]$ . Since  $PR_q[X]/qR_q[X] (\subset k(P)[X]) \neq 0$ , we have  $PR_q[X] = qR_q[X] + g(X)R_q[X]$  for some  $g(X) \in R[X]_q R[X]$ . Hence  $\text{depth } R[X]_p \leq \text{depth } R[X]_q R[X] + 1$ . We obtain that  $\text{depth } R[\alpha]_q \leq \text{depth } R_q$  because  $\text{depth } R[X]_q R[X] = \text{depth } R_q$ . Thus  $\text{depth } R_q = \text{depth } R[\alpha]_q$ . Q.E.D.

#### 4. Unramifiedness and Etaleness of Super-Primitive Extensions

The following result can be proved by using [1, VI (6.8)] but we give a direct proof. If  $\alpha$  is super-primitive and integral over  $R$ ,  $R[\alpha]$  is finite, flat over

$R$  (cf. Proposition 1.11).

**Proposition 4.1.** *Assume that  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element which is integral over  $R$ . Then  $R[\alpha]$  is unramified over  $R$  if and only if  $R[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is unramified over  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for any  $\mathfrak{p} \in D\mathfrak{p}_1(R)$ .*

Proof. Since  $A := R[\alpha]$  is integral over  $R$ ,  $\varphi_{\omega}(X) \in R[X]$  by Theorem 2.2. For a polynomial  $f$ , we denote the derivative of  $f$  by  $f'$ . Then  $\varphi'_{\omega}(\alpha) = d\alpha^{d-1} + (d-1)\eta_1\alpha^{d-2} + \dots + \eta_{d-1}$  and let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . Then  $\varphi'_{\omega}(\alpha)A \not\subset P$  for any  $P \in \text{Spec}(A)$  with  $P \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$  if and only if  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is unramified over  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  (cf. [1, VI (6.12)]). Suppose that  $\varphi'_{\omega}(\alpha)A \neq A$ . Then there exists  $P \in \text{Ht}_1(A)$  such that  $\varphi'_{\omega}(\alpha) \in P$ . Put  $\mathfrak{p} = P \cap R$ . Then  $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$  implies  $\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$  because  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is flat over  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Thus  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is unramified over  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  by the assumption. Hence  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is unramified over  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , which is a contradiction. So  $\varphi'_{\omega}(\alpha)A = A$ , which means that  $A$  is unramified over  $R$ . Q.E.D.

REMARK 4.2. Let the notation be the same as in Proposition 4.1 and its proof. Let  $B = A[1/\alpha]$ . Then for  $P \in \text{Spec}(B)$ ,  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is unramified over  $R_{R \cap B}$  if and only if  $P \not\supset \varphi'_{\omega}(\alpha)B$ . Indeed, let  $P \subset B$  be a prime ideal and put  $Q = P \cap A$  and  $\mathfrak{p} = P \cap R$ . When  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}/R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is ramified,  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}/R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is ramified. So  $\varphi'_{\omega}(\alpha) \in Q \subset P$ . Conversely, if  $\varphi'_{\omega}(\alpha) \in P$ , then  $Q = P \cap A \ni \varphi'_{\omega}(\alpha)$ . So  $B_{\mathfrak{p}} = A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is ramified over  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

It is known that the purity of branch locus holds for a finite flat extension [1]. The following is a result similar to this fact.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Assume that  $\alpha$  is a super-primitive element which is flat over  $R$  and that  $R$  contains an infinite field  $k$ . Then  $R[\alpha]$  is unramified over  $R$  if and only if  $R[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is unramified over  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for any  $\mathfrak{p} \in D\mathfrak{p}_1(R)$ .*

Proof. We have only to consider the case that  $R$  is a local ring. So we may assume that  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a local ring. If  $A := R[\alpha]$  is integral over  $R$ , we have shown this in Proposition 4.1. Assume that  $A$  is not integral over  $R$ . Since  $\int_{[\omega]} = R$  by Theorem 2.2, replacing  $\alpha$  by  $\alpha - \lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in k$ , we may assume by Proposition 1.14, that  $\alpha$  satisfies that

- (a)  $1/\alpha \in R[\alpha]$ ,
- (b)  $1/\alpha$  is a super-primitive element of degree  $d$  over  $R$ ,
- (c)  $1/\alpha$  is integral over  $R$ .

Hence we have

$$R \subset R[1/\alpha] \subset R[\alpha, 1/\alpha] = R[\alpha] = A.$$

Apply Remark 4.2 to  $B = R[1/\alpha][(1/\alpha)^{-1}] = A$ . We conclude that for  $P \in \text{Spec}(A)$ ,  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is unramified over  $R_{P \cap R}$  if and only if  $P \not\supset \varphi'_{[\omega]}(1/\alpha)A$ . In the



same way as in the proof of Proposition 4.1, the assumption that  $A_p$  is unramified over  $R_p$  for any  $p \in Dp_1(R)$  yields that  $R[\alpha]$  is unramified over  $R$ . Q.E.D.

As a consequence of Propositions 4.1 and 4.3, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Assume that  $\alpha$  is a super-primitive element over  $R$  and that  $R$  contains an infinite field  $k$ . Then there exist  $p_1, \dots, p_t \in Dp_1(R)$  ( $t$  may be 0) such that the non-etale locus of  $R[\alpha]$  is given by  $V(J_{[\alpha]}) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^t V(p_i)$ .*

EXAMPLE 4.5. Let  $k$  be a field,  $a, b$  indeterminates and  $R = k[a, b]$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a root of an equation  $aX^2 + bX + a = 0$  and put  $A = R[\alpha]$ . Then  $J_{[\alpha]} = (a, b)R$ . Assume that  $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$  and  $p \not\supset J_{[\alpha]}$ . When  $a \notin p$ ,  $(2\alpha + b/c)A_p$  is the ramification locus. When  $a \in p$  and  $b \notin p$ ,  $(\alpha + 1)A_p$  is the ramification locus.

DEFINITION 4.6. Let  $A$  be an extension of  $R$  with  $[K(A):K] = d$ . Define

$$\Delta(A) := \{q \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \text{rank}_{k(q)} A \otimes_R k(q) = d\}.$$

It is easy to see that when  $\alpha$  is a super-primitive element of degree  $d$  over  $R$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(R[\alpha]) &\supset Dp_1(R) \\ &\Leftrightarrow R[\alpha] \text{ is integral over } R \\ &\Rightarrow R[\alpha] \text{ is flat over } R. \end{aligned}$$

When  $A$  is a finitely generated extension of  $R$ , define:

$$Ur(A) := \{p \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid A_p \text{ is unramified over } R_p\},$$

which is an open set of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ .

Under these preparations, we finally obtain the following.

**Theorem 4.7.** *Assume that  $[L:k] = d$ , and that  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in L$  are super-primitive elements of degree  $d$ , and let  $A = R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$ . If  $\Delta(R[\alpha_i]) \supset Dp_1(R)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and  $Ur(R[\alpha_j]) \supset Dp_1(R)$  for some  $j$ , then  $A$  is integral over  $R$ , and  $A_p$  is etale over  $R_p$  for any  $p \in \Delta(A)$ . If  $\Delta(A) = \text{Spec}(R)$  in addition to the preceding assumptions, then  $A$  is integral and etale over  $R$ .*

Proof. The assumption  $Dp_1(R) \subset \Delta(R[\alpha_i])$  implies that  $\alpha_i$  is integral over  $R$  and  $\Delta(R[\alpha_i]) = \text{Spec}(R)$  by Theorem 2.2, and hence  $A$  is integral over  $R$ . Take  $p \in \Delta(A)$ . Then  $p \in \Delta(R[\alpha_j])$  and  $R[\alpha_j]$  is finite, flat over  $R$  as was shown in Theorem 1.8. Thus  $R[\alpha_j]_q$  is an  $R_p$ -free module of rank  $d$ . Since  $Ur(R[\alpha_j]) \supset Dp_1(R)$ ,  $R[\alpha_j]$  is unramified over  $R$  by Proposition 4.1. Hence  $pR[\alpha_j]_p$  is a radical ideal. Noting that  $A$  is integral over  $R[\alpha_j]$ , we have  $pA_p \cap R[\alpha_j]_p =$

$pR[\alpha_j]_p$ . Thus  $R[\alpha_j]_p/pR[\alpha_j]_p \subset A_p/pA_p$ . As both of those sides have the same dimension  $d$  as vector spaces over  $k(p)$ , we have  $R[\alpha_j]_p/pR[\alpha_j]_p = A_p/pA_p$ , which means that  $A_p = R[\alpha_j]_p + pA_p$ . By Nakayama's lemma, we get  $A_p = R[\alpha_j]_p$ . Therefore  $A_p$  is unramified and flat (i.e., etale) over  $R_p$  for any  $p \in \Delta(A)$ .

Q.E.D.

---

### References

- [1] A. Altman and S. Kleiman: Introduction to Grothendieck duality theory, Lecture Notes in Math. 146, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [2] R. Fossum: The Divisor Class Group of a Krull Domain, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [3] H. Matsumura: Commutative Algebra, Benjamin, New York, 1970.
- [4] H. Matsumura: Commutative Ring Theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [5] S. Oda and K. Yoshida: *Anti-integral extensions of Noetherian domains*, Kobe J. Math. 5 (1988), 43-56.
- [6] P. Sharma: *A note on ideals in polynomial rings*, Arch. Math. 37 (1981), 325-329.

SUSUMU ODA  
 Uji-Yamada High School,  
 Uruguchi, Ise, Mie 516 JAPAN

JUNRO SATO and KEN-ICHI YOSHIDA  
 Department of Applied Mathematics  
 Okayama University of Science  
 Ridai-cho, Okayama 700 JAPAN

