

Title	The add-on effectiveness and safety of iguratimod in patients with rheumatoid arthritis who showed an inadequate response to tocilizumab
Author(s)	Ebina, Kosuke; Miyama, Akira; Tsuboi, Hideki et al.
Citation	Modern Rheumatology. 2019, 29(4), p. 581–588
Version Type	АМ
URL	https://hdl.handle.net/11094/93253
rights	This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

1	Original Article
2	
3	Title:
4	The add-on effectiveness and safety of iguratimod in patients with rheumatoid arthritis who
5	showed an inadequate response to tocilizumab
6	
7	Authors:
8	Kosuke Ebina ^{1)*} , Akira Miyama ¹⁾ , Hideki Tsuboi ²⁾ , Shoichi Kaneshiro ²⁾ , Masataka Nishikawa ³⁾ ,
9	Hajime Owaki ³ , Shigeyoshi Tsuji ⁴ , Makoto Hirao ¹ , Yuki Etani ¹ , Atsushi Goshima ³ , Jun
10	Hashimoto ⁵⁾ , Hideki Yoshikawa ¹⁾
11	
12	Affiliations:
13	1) Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, 2-2
14	Yamada-oka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan
15	2) Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Osaka Rosai Hospital, 1179-3 Nagasone-cho, Sakai
16	591-8025, Japan
17	3) Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Japan Community Healthcare Organization, Osaka
18	Hospital, 4-2-78 Fukushima, Fukushima Ward, Osaka City, Osaka 553-0003, Japan
	1

19	4) Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, National Hospital Organization Osaka Minami Medical
20	Center, 2-1 Kidohigashi, Kawachinagano, Osaka 586-8521, Japan
21	5) Department of Rheumatology, National Hospital Organization Osaka Minami Medical
22	Center, 2-1 Kidohigashi, Kawachinagano, Osaka 586-8521, Japan
23	
24	*Corresponding author:
25	Kosuke Ebina, MD, PhD, Assistant Professor
26	Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine,
27	2-2 Yamada-oka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan
28	Phone: +81-6-6879-3552; Fax: +81-6-6879-3559
29	E-mail: k-ebina@umin.ac.jp
30	
31	This article contains 4 figures and 1 table.
32	
33	Funding:
34	The authors declare they have no specific funding for this study.
35	
36	

37 Abstract

38 Objectives

- 39 To evaluate the effectiveness of add-on iguratimod (IGU) in patients with rheumatoid arthritis
- 40 (RA) who showed an inadequate response to tocilizumab (TCZ), especially patients who were
 41 intolerant of an effective dose of methotrexate (MTX).

42 Methods

Thirty-one patients with RA (22 women, age 62.4 years, disease duration 13.8 years, prior TCZ duration 35.7 months, 25 intravenous [8 mg/kg/4 weeks] and 6 subcutaneous [162 mg/2 weeks] TCZ treatments, concomitant MTX 8.5 mg/week [35.5%], and prednisolone (PSL) 4.3 mg/day [25.8%]) who showed an inadequate response to TCZ (disease activity score assessing 28 joints with C-reactive protein [DAS28-CRP] 2.9, clinical disease activity index [CDAI] 15.0, 28 secondary inadequate responders) were treated with additional IGU (final dose 41.7 mg/day) and enrolled in this 24-week, multicenter, retrospective study. Results Twenty-nine patients (93.5%) continued the treatment for 24 weeks (1 dropped out for pneumonia and 1 for digestive symptoms). TCZ and the concomitant dose and rate of conventional synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (csDMARDs) (MTX, salazosulfapyridine, and tacrolimus) were not significantly changed during this period. Outcome

55	measures improved significantly, as follows: DAS28-CRP from 2.9 to 1.7 (P < 0.001); CDAI
56	from 15.0 to 6.0 (P < 0.001); modified Health Assessment Questionnaire from 0.8 to 0.6 (P <
57	0.05); and rheumatoid factor from 382.1 to 240.3 IU/mL (P < 0.001). Using the EULAR criteria,
58	64.5% achieved a moderate response, and 51.6% achieved ACR 20 at 24 weeks.
59	Conclusions
60	Adding IGU to inadequate responders to TCZ may be a promising and safe complementary
61	treatment option.
62	
63	Keywords:
64	Iguratimod, Inadequate response, Rheumatoid arthritis, Tocilizumab
65	
66	
67	
68	
69	
70	
71	
72	
	4

73 Introduction

74	Tocilizumab (TCZ) is a humanized anti-interleukin-6 receptor (IL-6R) monoclonal antibody
75	that has been widely used for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) [1, 2]. The European
76	League against Rheumatism (EULAR) announced a 2016 update to the 2013 recommendations
77	for the management of RA, in which TCZ is considered as efficacious and safe as tumor
78	necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) inhibitors, and it should be considered as a first-line biological
79	disease-modifying antirheumatic drug (bDMARD) [3]. Although the EULAR recommendations
80	support the use of all bDMARDs in combination with methotrexate (MTX), TCZ is
81	recommended as one of the first-line bDMARDs in patients with contraindications or
82	intolerance to MTX [3, 4]. This depends on the evidence that, among all bDMARDs, only TCZ
83	was shown to be superior as monotherapy over MTX or other conventional synthetic DMARDs
84	(csDMARDs) [1, 5]. In addition, TCZ also showed good efficacy and retention either with or
85	without MTX for RA patients who responded inadequately to csDMARDs and/or TNF- α
86	inhibitors [6].
87	However, some patients show an inadequate response to TCZ. In such cases, the EULAR
88	recommendations indicate changing TCZ to another bDMARD with another mode of action or
89	add-on therapy with csDMARDs [3, 4]. To date, however, there is no reliable evidence for
90	choosing alternative bDMARDs or adding-on specific csDMARDs other than MTX for patients
	5

Iguratimod (IGU), also known as T-614, is a novel csDMARD that was introduced in clinical settings in 2012 in Japan. Via inhibition of nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-κB), IGU inhibits the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin-1 (IL-1), IL-6, IL-8, IL-17, TNF- α , and interferon- γ , in vitro (in synovial cells and monocytic cell lines) and in vivo [7-12]. In addition, IGU inhibits IL-6-induced IL-17 and matrix-metalloprotease 3 (MMP-3) expressions in human synovial fibroblasts from patients with RA [13], and also reduces immunoglobulin (Ig) production by human B lymphocytes [14]. Concerning combination therapy with bDMARDs, only one study demonstrated the effects of add-on IGU in patients who showed inadequate responses to bDMARDs, mainly TNF-inhibitors [15]. Thus, we hypothesized that adding IGU may be a promising complementary therapy for patients with an inadequate response to TCZ, especially in patients who are intolerant to an adequate dose of MTX, and the effectiveness and safety of this combination therapy were examined in this 24-week, multicenter, retrospective study. Methods Patients All of the patients participated in this study fulfilled the following criteria; 1) meet the 1987 RA

91 who previously had an inadequate response to TCZ.

109	classification criteria of the American College of Rheumatology [16]; 2) patients who showed
110	an inadequate response to TCZ followed by additional administration of IGU from February
111	2014 to August 2017 in four hospitals associated with the Osaka University Graduate School of
112	Medicine; 3) patients who could follow up at least 24 weeks after IGU administration, were
113	retrospectively selected without any other selection bias. Finally, thirty-one patients participated
114	in this retrospective study. TCZ was injected subcutaneously every 2 weeks at a dose of 162 mg
115	or infused every 4 weeks at a dose of 8 mg/kg in accordance with drug labeling and the TCZ
116	therapy guidelines of the Japan College of Rheumatology (JCR) [17]. An inadequate response
117	to TCZ was defined as having all of the following conditions, according to the previous report
118	[18]; 1) TCZ was used at the same dose for at least 8 weeks prior to IGU induction; 2) clinical
119	disease activity index (CDAI) score > 2.8 (more than low disease activity) [19, 20] at IGU
120	induction; 3) either tender joint count and swollen joint count more than 6, or the same or
121	increased compared to those at 4 to 8 weeks prior to IGU induction. Primary non-responder was
122	defined as patients who showed inadequate response to TCZ within 3 months after initiation,
123	and secondary non-responder as more than 3 months after initiation. The patients were treated
124	with IGU 25 mg/day at baseline, and it was then increased to 50 mg/day depending on each
125	physician's decision, without changing the dosage of TCZ. Effectiveness and safety were
126	evaluated at 8, 16, and 24 weeks after IGU induction.

128 Main outcome variable and study factors

129	Disease activity was assessed by monitoring serum C-reactive protein (CRP), serum matrix
130	metalloproteinase-3 (MMP-3), rheumatoid factor (RF). Other parameters such as white blood
131	cell (WBC) count, lymphocyte count, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), and liver
132	function parameters (AST and ALT) were also monitored. As for composite measures, the
133	tender joint count (TJC) 28, swollen joint count (SJC) 28, patient's global assessment of disease
134	activity (Pt-GA, 100 mm), physician's global assessment of disease activity (Ph-GA, 100 mm),
135	disease activity score of 28 joints (DAS28) with CRP (DAS28-CRP) [21], and the clinical
136	disease activity index (CDAI) score were evaluated over time. As for physical disability, the
137	modified Health Assessment Questionnaire (mHAQ) scores [22] were also monitored. The
138	missing data was less than 2.6% for all parameters, respectively.
139	DAS28-CRP was divided into four categories: remission \leq (2.3); low disease activity (> 2.3 and
140	\leq 2.7); moderate disease activity (> 2.7 and \leq 4.1); and high disease activity (> 4.1). CDAI was
141	divided into four categories: remission (≤ 2.8); low disease activity (> 2.8 and ≤ 10); moderate
142	disease activity (> 10 and \leq 22); and high disease activity (> 22) [20]. Observation points were
143	set to the following five time points: 4-8 weeks prior to the start of IGU (before IR); at the start
144	of IGU (baseline); 8, 16, and 24 weeks after the start of IGU. Clinical responses were defined by
	8

145	the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) 20% improvement criteria [23] and EULAR
146	response criteria [21]. All adverse events occurring during the follow-up period were also
147	examined.
148	
149	Procedures
150	This observational study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards of the
151	Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the ethical review board of the Osaka University
152	Graduate School of Medicine (approval number, 15300). The board waived the requirement for
153	patients' informed consent by showing the information on the homepage of the institute and
154	also because of the anonymous nature of the data.
155	
156	Statistical analysis
157	Longitudinal changes of each parameter before and after IGU administration at each time point
158	were examined by the Wilcoxon signed-rank test or chi-squared test. The data of patients who
159	dropped out from this combination therapy was calculated as missing value. Statistical data are
160	expressed as means \pm standard error (SE), and P values < 0.05 were considered significant. All
161	statistical analyses were carried out with IBM SPSS version 19 software (IBM, Armonk, NY,
162	USA).
	9

163	
164	Results
165	Demographic data and concomitant medications
166	Patients' clinical characteristics at baseline and 24 weeks are shown in Table 1. Thirty-one
167	patients (22 women) had inadequate responses to TCZ, and they were then treated with add-on
168	IGU [mean dose 25 mg/day at baseline and 41.7 mg/day (20 patients were treated by 50
169	mg/day) at 24 weeks. Their mean age was 62.4 years, and disease duration was 13.8 years. IGU
170	was started at 35.7 months after the initiation of TCZ. Twenty-five patients were treated with
171	intravenous TCZ infusion (8 mg/kg/month), and 6 were treated with subcutaneous TCZ
172	injection (162 mg/2 weeks). TCZ was introduced as the first biologic in 14 patients, and 17
173	were bio-switched. With respect to concomitant csDMARDs, mean dose and usage rates of
174	combined MTX were 8.5 mg/week (0-12) and 35.5% at baseline, and 8.0 mg/week (0-12) and
175	35.5% at 24 weeks, respectively. There were 20 patients without MTX combination, and the
176	reasons assessed by each attending physician were history of interstitial pneumonia (n=7), renal
177	dysfunction (n=3), digestive symptom by MTX (n=3), history of malignancy (n=3), liver
178	dysfunction (n=2), history of MTX-associated lymphoproliferative disorders (n=1), and allergic
179	to MTX (n=1), respectively. Likewise, 4 patients (12.9%) received tacrolimus (TAC), and 3
180	patients (9.7%) received salazosulfapyridine (SASP). No significant changes in the mean doses
	10

181	and prescription rates of MTX, TAC, and SASP were observed throughout the study. No
182	patients were treated by other csDMARDs. On the other hand, the mean dose of PSL (usage rate
183	of 25.8% throughout this period) was significantly decreased from 4.3 mg/day (0-5) at baseline
184	to 2.3 mg/day (0-5) (P = 0.036) at 24 weeks.
185	
186	Adverse events
187	Of all of the patients, 29 (87.1%) continued the combination treatment until 24 weeks. One
188	patient discontinued due to pneumonia, and 1 discontinued for digestive symptoms. During the
189	follow-up period, 2 patients (6.5%) developed leukopenia (< 3500/ μ L) and lymphopenia (<
190	1000/µL), and 3 patients (9.7%) showed levels of AST (maximum 71 U/L) and ALT (maximum
191	149 U/L) exceeding the reference values, although these patients could continue the
192	combination treatment by decreasing IGU or other concomitant csDMARDs or PSL. No
193	significant changes were observed in the mean WBC, lymphocyte count, eGFR, and liver
194	function parameters (AST and ALT) throughout the study.
195	
196	Effectiveness
197	Fig. 1 shows the longitudinal changes in laboratory parameters. The data at 4-8 weeks prior to
198	IGU initiation are shown as representative data before an inadequate response (IR) to TCZ. The
	11

mean serum CRP level (mg/dL) (Fig. 1a), MMP-3 level (ng/mL) (Fig. 1b), and RF level (IU/mL) (Fig. 1c) significantly improved from 8-16 weeks after IGU treatment. Fig. 2 shows longitudinal changes in clinical variables associated with disease activity. The mean TJC (Fig. 2a), SJC (Fig. 2b), Pt-GA (Fig. 2c), and Ph-GA (Fig. 2d) significantly improved from 8 weeks after IGU treatment. Fig. 3 a-b shows longitudinal changes in composite measures of disease activity. The mean DAS28-CRP (Fig. 3a) and CDAI (Fig. 3b) significantly improved from 8 weeks after IGU treatment. As for physical function, the mean mHAQ score significantly improved after 24 weeks of IGU therapy (Fig. 3c). Fig. 4 shows longitudinal changes in disease activity distribution and treatment response. Based on DAS28-CRP, 58.1% of patients had moderate or high disease activity at baseline, which decreased to 6.5% at 24 weeks (Fig. 4a). With the CDAI, 67.7% of patients had moderate or high disease activity at baseline, which decreased to 12.9% at 24 weeks (Fig. 4b). The patients with high disease activity (CDAI>22) at baseline tended to achieve lower rate of low disease activity (CDAI≤10) at 24 weeks compared to the patients with lower than moderate disease activity (CDAI ≤ 22) at baseline (60.0 vs. 84.6%; P=0.20), although didn't reach statistical significance. Concerning the EULAR treatment response, 51.6% of patients showed a moderate response at 8

217	weeks, which increased to 64.5% at 24 weeks, although no patients reached good response
218	during this period (Fig. 4c). Finally, the percentages of patients who achieved ACR 20 were
219	32.3%, 45.2%, and 51.6% at 8 weeks, 16 weeks, and 24 weeks, respectively (Fig. 4d).
220	With respect to the difference in baseline backgrounds between EULAR moderate responder
221	(n=20) and non-responder (n=9), responder group showed higher baseline DAS28-CRP (3.2 vs.
222	2.1; P<0.001) and CDAI (18.0 vs. 9.0; P<0.001) compared to non-responder group. This may be
223	partially because EULAR treatment response correlates with the decreased amount of
224	DAS28-CRP. Of note, responder group was treated with higher dose of TCZ compared to
225	non-responder group (447.0 vs. 375.3 mg/4 weeks; P=0.01), suggesting add-on IGU may be
226	more effective when combined with higher dose of TCZ.
227	In regards to the response to IGU between with and without MTX combination,
228	MTX-combination group (n=11) tended to show higher rate of low disease activity (CDAI≤10)
229	(90.9 vs. 75.0%; P=0.28), EULAR moderate response (72.7 vs. 60.0%; P=0.48), and ACR20
230	(54.5 vs. 45.0%; P=0.61) compared to non-MTX-combination group (n=20) at 24 weeks,
231	although didn't reach statistical significance.
232	Concerning the difference in the response to IGU between primary and secondary
233	non-responders to TCZ, 100.0% (3/3) of primary non-responders and 78.6% (22/28) of
234	secondary non-responders achieved low disease activity (CDAI≤10) at 24 weeks. Likewise,
	13

235	85.7% (12/14) of bio-naïve and 76.5% (13/17) of bio-switched patients achieved low disease
236	activity (CDAI ≤ 10) at 24 weeks. There was no significant difference in the rate of achieving
237	low disease activity between the groups.
238	
239	Discussion
240	To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the efficacy and safety of
241	adding IGU to RA patients who showed an inadequate response to TCZ. It has been reported
242	that formation of anti-drug antibodies (ADAs) against bDMARDs is strongly linked to
243	subtherapeutic serum drug levels and lack of clinical response [24]. To minimize the
244	immunogenicity and likelihood of ADA formation of bDMARDs, high drug dosing, short
245	interval administration, and combination with csDMARDs are advocated [24]. However,
246	concerning TCZ, the proportion of ADA development following TCZ-SC or TCZ-IV treatment
247	was relatively low (1.5% and 1.2%, respectively), and ADA development was not associated
248	with loss of efficacy, suggesting the low immunogenicity of TCZ [25]. From these observations,
249	the precise mechanisms of the inadequate response to TCZ still remain unclear, unlike for
250	TNF-inhibitors. However, a recent study demonstrated that, in patients with an inadequate
251	response to TCZ-SC every other week, shortening the dosing interval to every week improved
252	efficacy with acceptable tolerability, suggesting that inadequate response to TCZ may be
	14

partially due to a lack of drug dosing [26], although adding doses and shortening intervals of TCZ is sometimes associated with an increased risk of infection, as well as the economic burden [26]. Concerning concomitant csDMARD medications with TCZ, post-marketing surveillance demonstrated that the combination with MTX was a positive indicator, while the combination with PSL was a negative indicator of EULAR good response achievement [27]. In addition, we have previously reported the efficacy and safety of adding low-dose TAC in patients with RA who showed an inadequate response to TCZ [18]. In this study, patients were treated at a relatively low rate (35.5%) and dose (8.5 mg/week) of MTX, and a low rate (12.9%) and dose (2.0 mg/day) of TAC, which did not change significantly throughout the study. This may be due to the patients' background characteristics and comorbidities. In such situations, adding IGU showed good efficacy and retention in those with an inadequate response to TCZ. The efficacy of adding-on IGU to TCZ might be explained by several mechanisms. First, previous reports demonstrated that IGU inhibited IL-1 beta and IL-6 production from a lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-stimulated human monocytic cell line [11], and it also inhibited NF-kB activation and TNF-a production from a rat macrophage cell line [8]. Moreover, a recent report showed that IGU markedly decreased IL-6-induced IL-17 and MMP-3 levels in synovial fibroblasts from RA patients, as well as MTX [13]. These mechanisms may synergistically

271	enhance the anti-inflammatory effects of TCZ, especially those who are not tolerant to an
272	adequate dose of MTX. In addition, IGU inhibited immunoglobulin production by cultured B
273	cells and decreased the high level of human IgG observed in mice engrafted with human RA
274	tissue [14], which may had led to the significant decrease of the serum RF titer in the present
275	study. Bloom et al. demonstrated that IGU selectively inhibits macrophage migration inhibitory
276	factor (MIF) both in vitro and in vivo, which may synergistically enhance the effect of
277	glucocorticoids, leading to its steroid-sparing effects, suggesting the reason for the significant
278	decrease in the PSL dose in the present study [28].
279	Concerning pain reduction, IGU inhibits cyclooxygenase-2, which provides a synergistic
280	short-term action against pain and inflammation [29], and a recent report showed that IGU
281	exerts an anti-allodynic effect in the rat model of neuropathic pain [30], which may also have
282	contributed to the rapid decrease in tender joints in the present study.
283	Concerning bone metabolism, we have previously demonstrated that IGU stimulates
284	osteoblastic differentiation in vitro and in vivo [31]. Moreover, IGU decreased RANKL
285	expression in IL-6-induced RA synoviocytes [13], and it inhibited ovariectomy-induced
286	osteoclastogenesis and bone loss by inhibiting RANKL signaling (PPAR-γ/c-Fos pathway) [32].
287	These positive effects on bone metabolism may contribute to the inhibition of bone erosion,
288	although they should be confirmed in further human studies.

289	There are several limitations to this study. First, this study lacked a control group, such as
290	adding-on other DMARDs, and was not a randomized, comparative study. Second, side effects
291	such as infection, liver dysfunction, and cutaneous symptom may be major concerns when
292	combining IGU and TCZ, and these adverse effects might have been underestimated due to the
293	small numbers of patients and the short duration of follow-up. Third, 4 patients (12.9%) were
294	started to add-on IGU within 6 months after TCZ initiation, and the effects of IGU may be
295	overestimated in such cases. Fourth, relatively high rate of comorbidities (such as interstitial
296	pneumonia and renal dysfunction) and low rate of MTX combination may affect the results.
297	Fifths, whether this combination therapy protects the joints from radiographic damage should be
298	evaluated in prospective, randomized, large-cohort, and longer-duration studies.
299	In conclusion, the results of this retrospective study demonstrated that add-on use of IGU can be
300	considered an effective complementary therapy for TCZ-refractory RA patients, especially those
301	who are intolerant of an effective dose of MTX or other csDMARDs such as TAC, or TCZ
302	loading.
303	
304	Conflict of interest
305	K.E., M.H., and H.Y. received research grants from Astellas, Daiichi Sankyo, Eisai, and
306	Mitsubishi Tanabe. K.E. received speaker fees from Abbvie, Astellas, Asahi-Kasei, Chugai,
	17

307	Daiichi Sankyo, Eli Lily, Eisai, Mitsubishi Tanabe, Ono Pharmaceutical, and UCB Japan. H.T.
308	received speaker fees from Chugai, Mitsubishi Tanabe, Bristol-Myers Squibb and Eisai, and
309	received research grants from Chugai and Ayumi. S.K. received speaker fees from
310	Bristol-Myers Squibb, Chugai, Otsuka, and Takeda. M.N. received travel fees from Abbie. H.O.
311	received speaker fees from Bristol Meyers, Ayumi and Chugai, and moderator fees from
312	Astellas, Phyzer, Abbvie, Mitsubishi Tanabe, Bristol Meyers and Eisai. S.T. received speaker
313	fees from Abbvie, Asahi-Kasei, Chugai, Daiichi Sankyo, Eli Lily, Eisai, Mitsubishi Tanabe,
314	Celgene and Novartis Pharma K.K. M.H. received speaker fees from Astellas, Bristol Mayers,
315	Pfizer, Ono Pharmaceutical, and UCB Japan. J.H. received speaker fees from Astellas,
316	Asahi-Kasei, Ayumi, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Chugai, Daiichi Sankyo, Eli Lily, Eisai, Hisamitsu,
317	Mitsubishi Tanabe, MSD, Taisho-Toyama, and Teijin Pharmaceuticals. A.M., Y.E., A.G.
318	declare they have no conflict of interest.
319	
320	
321	
322	
323	
324	
	18

325 Figure Legends

Figure 1. Changes in clinical laboratory variables at each time point following iguratimod
initiation.
Mean values of (a) CRP, (b) MMP-3, and (c) RF. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001. Bars
indicate standard error. IR, inadequate response; CRP, C-reactive protein; MMP-3, matrix
metalloproteinase-3; RF, rheumatoid factor.
Figure 2. Changes in clinical variables at each time point following iguratimod initiation.
Mean values of (a) TJC, (b) SJC, (c) Pt-GA, and (d) Ph-GA. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P <

0.001. Bars indicate standard error. IR, inadequate response; TJC, tender joint count; SJC,

335 swollen joint count; Pt-GA, patient's global assessment of disease activity; Ph-GA, physician's

336 global assessment of disease activity.

Figure 3. Changes in composite measures of disease activity and physical disability at each

time point following iguratimod initiation.

340 Mean values of (a) DAS28-CRP, (b) CDAI, and (c) mHAQ. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P

341 0.001. Bars indicate standard error. IR, inadequate response; DAS28-CRP, disease activity

342 score assessing 28 joints with C-reactive protein; CDAI, clinical disease activity index; mHAQ,

343 modified Health Assessment Questionnaire.

Figure 4. Changes in distribution of disease activity and clinical responses at each time point
following iguratimod initiation.

(a) Distribution of DAS28-CRP. Disease activity was defined as follows: remission \leq (2.3); low disease activity (> 2.3 and \leq 2.7); moderate disease activity (> 2.7 and \leq 4.1); and high disease

349 activity (> 4.1).

(b) Distribution of CDAI. Disease activity was defined as follows: remission (≤ 2.8); low

351 disease activity (> 2.8 and \leq 10); moderate disease activity (> 10 and \leq 22); and high disease

352 activity (> 22).

353 (c) Response to treatment according to the EULAR criteria.

(d) Response to treatment according to the ACR 20% criteria.

355 DAS28-CRP, disease activity score assessing 28 joints with C-reactive protein; CDAI, clinical

disease activity index; ACR20, American College of Rheumatology 20% improvement criteria.

361 References

Nishimoto N, Hashimoto J, Miyasaka N, Yamamoto K, Kawai S, Takeuchi T, et al. Study of
 active controlled monotherapy used for rheumatoid arthritis, an IL-6 inhibitor (SAMURAI): evidence of
 clinical and radiographic benefit from an x ray reader-blinded randomised controlled trial of tocilizumab.
 Ann Rheum Dis. 2007;66(9):1162-7.

Smolen JS, Beaulieu A, Rubbert-Roth A, Ramos-Remus C, Rovensky J, Alecock E, et al.
 Effect of interleukin-6 receptor inhibition with tocilizumab in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (OPTION study): a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised trial. Lancet. 2008;371(9617):987-97.

370 3. Smolen JS, Landewe R, Bijlsma J, Burmester G, Chatzidionysiou K, Dougados M, et al.
371 EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis with synthetic and biological
372 disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: 2016 update. Ann Rheum Dis. 2017;76(6):960-77.

373 4. Smolen JS, Landewe R, Breedveld FC, Buch M, Burmester G, Dougados M, et al. EULAR
374 recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis with synthetic and biological
375 disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: 2013 update. Ann Rheum Dis. 2014;73(3):492-509.

Jones G, Sebba A, Gu J, Lowenstein MB, Calvo A, Gomez-Reino JJ, et al. Comparison of
tocilizumab monotherapy versus methotrexate monotherapy in patients with moderate to severe
rheumatoid arthritis: the AMBITION study. Ann Rheum Dis. 2010;69(1):88-96.

379 6. Izumi K, Kaneko Y, Yasuoka H, Seta N, Kameda H, Kuwana M, et al. Tocilizumab is clinically,
380 functionally, and radiographically effective and safe either with or without low-dose methotrexate in
381 active rheumatoid arthritis patients with inadequate responses to DMARDs and/or TNF inhibitors: a
382 single-center retrospective cohort study (KEIO-TCZ study) at week 52. Mod Rheumatol.
383 2015;25(1):31-7.

384 7. Aikawa Y, Tanuma N, Shin T, Makino S, Tanaka K, Matsumoto Y. A new anti-rheumatic drug,
 385 T-614, effectively suppresses the development of autoimmune encephalomyelitis. J Neuroimmunol.
 386 1998;89(1-2):35-42.

387 8. Aikawa Y, Yamamoto M, Yamamoto T, Morimoto K, Tanaka K. An anti-rheumatic agent T-614
 388 inhibits NF-kappaB activation in LPS- and TNF-alpha-stimulated THP-1 cells without interfering with
 389 IkappaBalpha degradation. Inflamm Res. 2002;51(4):188-94.

390 9. Du F, Lu LJ, Fu Q, Dai M, Teng JL, Fan W, et al. T-614, a novel immunomodulator, attenuates
391 joint inflammation and articular damage in collagen-induced arthritis. Arthritis Res Ther.
392 2008;10(6):R136.

393 10. Kawakami A, Tsuboi M, Urayama S, Matsuoka N, Yamasaki S, Hida A, et al. Inhibitory effect
394 of a new anti-rheumatic drug T-614 on costimulatory molecule expression, cytokine production, and
395 antigen presentation by synovial cells. J Lab Clin Med. 1999;133(6):566-74.

Tanaka K, Aikawa Y, Kawasaki H, Asaoka K, Inaba T, Yoshida C. Pharmacological studies on
3-formylamino-7-methylsulfonylamino-6-phenoxy-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (T-614), a novel
antiinflammatory agent. 4th communication: inhibitory effect on the production of interleukin-1 and
interleukin-6. J Pharmacobiodyn. 1992;15(11):649-55.

400 12. Tanaka K, Urata N, Mikami M, Ogasawara M, Matsunaga T, Terashima N, et al. Effect of
401 iguratimod and other anti-rheumatic drugs on adenocarcinoma colon 26-induced cachexia in mice.
402 Inflamm Res. 2007;56(1):17-23.

403 13. Wei Y, Sun X, Hua M, Tan W, Wang F, Zhang M. Inhibitory Effect of a Novel Antirheumatic
404 Drug T-614 on the IL-6-Induced RANKL/OPG, IL-17, and MMP-3 Expression in Synovial Fibroblasts
405 from Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients. Biomed Res Int. 2015;2015:214683.

406 14. Tanaka K, Yamamoto T, Aikawa Y, Kizawa K, Muramoto K, Matsuno H, et al. Inhibitory
407 effects of an anti-rheumatic agent T-614 on immunoglobulin production by cultured B cells and
408 rheumatoid synovial tissues engrafted into SCID mice. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2003;42(11):1365-71.

409 15. Yoshikawa A, Yoshida S, Kimura Y, Tokai N, Fujiki Y, Kotani T, et al. Add-on iguratimod as a
410 therapeutic strategy to achieve remission in patients with rheumatoid arthritis inadequately responding to
411 biological DMARDs: A retrospective study. Mod Rheumatol. 2017:1-8.

412 16. Arnett FC, Edworthy SM, Bloch DA, McShane DJ, Fries JF, Cooper NS, et al. The American
413 Rheumatism Association 1987 revised criteria for the classification of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis
414 Rheum. 1988;31(3):315-24.

415 17. Koike R, Harigai M, Atsumi T, Amano K, Kawai S, Saito K, et al. Japan College of
 416 Rheumatology 2009 guidelines for the use of tocilizumab, a humanized anti-interleukin-6 receptor
 417 monoclonal antibody, in rheumatoid arthritis. Mod Rheumatol. 2009;19(4):351-7.

418 18. Kaneshiro S, Ebina K, Hirao M, Tsuboi H, Nishikawa M, Nampei A, et al. The efficacy and
 419 safety of additional administration of tacrolimus in patients with rheumatoid arthritis who showed an
 420 inadequate response to tocilizumab. Mod Rheumatol. 2017;27(1):42-9.

421 19. Aletaha D, Nell VP, Stamm T, Uffmann M, Pflugbeil S, Machold K, et al. Acute phase
422 reactants add little to composite disease activity indices for rheumatoid arthritis: validation of a clinical
423 activity score. Arthritis Res Ther. 2005;7(4):R796-806.

424 20. Aletaha D, Smolen J. The Simplified Disease Activity Index (SDAI) and the Clinical Disease
425 Activity Index (CDAI): a review of their usefulness and validity in rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Exp
426 Rheumatol. 2005;23(5 Suppl 39):S100-8.

427 21. van Gestel AM, Prevoo ML, van 't Hof MA, van Rijswijk MH, van de Putte LB, van Riel PL.
428 Development and validation of the European League Against Rheumatism response criteria for
429 rheumatoid arthritis. Comparison with the preliminary American College of Rheumatology and the World
430 Health Organization/International League Against Rheumatism Criteria. Arthritis Rheum.
431 1996;39(1):34-40.

- 432
 432
 432
 433
 433
 434
 434
 434
 435
 434
 436-53.
 - 435 23. Felson DT, Anderson JJ, Boers M, Bombardier C, Furst D, Goldsmith C, et al. American
 436 College of Rheumatology. Preliminary definition of improvement in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum.
 437 1995;38(6):727-35.
- 438 24. van Schouwenburg PA, Rispens T, Wolbink GJ. Immunogenicity of anti-TNF biologic
 439 therapies for rheumatoid arthritis. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2013;9(3):164-72.
- 440
 440
 440
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
 441
- 26. Ogata A, Tanaka Y, Ishii T, Kaneko M, Miwa H, Ohsawa S. A randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, phase III study of shortening the dosing interval of subcutaneous tocilizumab monotherapy in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and an inadequate response to subcutaneous tocilizumab every other week: Results of the 12-week double-blind period. Mod Rheumatol. 2018;28(1):76-84.
- 447 27. Koike T, Harigai M, Inokuma S, Ishiguro N, Ryu J, Takeuchi T, et al. Effectiveness and safety
 448 of tocilizumab: postmarketing surveillance of 7901 patients with rheumatoid arthritis in Japan. J
 449 Rheumatol. 2014;41(1):15-23.
- 450
 450
 28. Bloom J, Metz C, Nalawade S, Casabar J, Cheng KF, He M, et al. Identification of Iguratimod
 451
 451 as an Inhibitor of Macrophage Migration Inhibitory Factor (MIF) with Steroid-sparing Potential. J Biol
 452
 452
 454
 455
 455
 455
 456
 456
 457
 458
 459
 459
 459
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
- 453 29. Mucke HA. Iguratimod: a new disease-modifying antirheumatic drug. Drugs Today (Barc).
 454 2012;48(9):577-86.
- 455 30. Morimoto K, Miura A, Tanaka K. Anti-allodynic action of the disease-modifying
 456 anti-rheumatic drug iguratimod in a rat model of neuropathic pain. Inflamm Res. 2017;66(10):855-62.
- 42
 457
 457
 458
 458
 458
 458
 458
 458
 459
 459
 459
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 450
 <
- 47 460 32. Wu YX, Sun Y, Ye YP, Zhang P, Guo JC, Huang JM, et al. Iguratimod prevents
 48 461 ovariectomyinduced bone loss and suppresses osteoclastogenesis via inhibition of peroxisome
 50 462 proliferatoractivated receptorgamma. Mol Med Rep. 2017;16(6):8200-8.

Table

Variable	Baseline	24 weeks
Gender	22 females, 9 males	
Age (years)	62.4 ± 2.0 (40-82)	
Body weight (kg)	55.4 ±1.9 (41.0-85.0)	
Duration of disease (years)	13.8± 1.9 (1-46)	
Steinbrocker's stage (n)	Stage I (3) II (7) III (6) IV(15)	
Steinbrocker's functional class (n)	Class I (19) II (9) III (3) IV(0)	
RF positivity, n/N (%)	26/31 (83.8%)	
ACPA positivity, n/N (%)	29/31 (93.5%)	
Duration of TCZ treatment (months)	35.7 ± 5.6 (2-101)	
Formulation of TCZ	i.v. (25), s.c. (6)	
Type of TCZ failure (n)	3 primary, 28 secondary	
	14 bio-naïve, 17 bio-switched	
Prior use of biologics (n)	IFX(6) ETN (6) ABT(3) ADA	
	(1) GLM (1)	
IGU dose (mg/day)	25.0 ± 0.0	$41.7 \pm 2.2^{***}$
MTX dose (mg/week), usage (% patients)	8.5 ± 0.8 (0-12), 35.5%	8.0 ± 0.7 (0-12), 35.5%
PSL dose (mg/day), usage (% patients)	4.3 ± 0.4 (0-5), 25.8%	$2.3 \pm 0.2 (0-5)^*, 25.8\%$
	1000 ± 0.0 (0-1000), 9.7%	1000 ± 0.0 (0-1000),
SASP dose (mg/day), usage (% patients)		6.5%
TAC dose (mg/day), usage (% patients)	2.0 ± 0.1 (0-3), 12.9%	2.0 ± 0.1 (0-3), 12.9%
CRP (mg/dL)	$0.21 \pm 0.09 \; (0.02 2.05)$	0.03± 0.00 (0.02-0.06) **
	217.7 ± 39.8 (30.5-1128)	106.5 ± 12.9
MMP-3 (ng/mL)		(26.6-281)***
	382.1 ± 103.0 (3.6-1805.1)	240.3 ± 92.6
RF (IU/mL)		(0-1126.4)***
WBC count (cells/µl)	6278 ± 421 (2280-11300)	5237 ± 247 (2970-7600)
Lymphocyte count (cells/µl)	1577 ± 144 (446-3794)	$1525 \pm 102 \ (451-2660)$
eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m ²)	69.8± 4.5(23.4-136.0)	63.7±4.1(21.1-118.8)
AST (IU/L)	23.7±0.9(14-32)	24.7±1.4 (11-49)
ALT (IU/L)	20.5± 1.4(10-30)	23.0±2.0 (9-55)
SJC (swollen joint count), 0-28	4.4±0.8 (0-18)	$1.9\pm0.6\ {\rm (0-16)}^{***}$
TJC (tender joint count), 0-28	$1.8 \pm 0.4 \ (0-12)$	$0.4 \pm 0.1 \; (0-4)^{***}$
Pt-GA (0-100 mm)	48.8 ± 4.2 (5-85)	23.7 ± 2.8 (3-50)***

1 Table 1. Patients' clinical characteristics at baseline and at 24 weeks

Ph-GA (0-100 mm)	38.9 ± 3.4 (5-75)	13.8 ± 1.7 (3-40)***
DAS28-CRP	$2.9 \pm 0.2 \ (1.6-4.7)$	$1.7\pm0.1\;{(0.6\text{-}2.8)}^{***}$
CDAI	$15.0 \pm 1.4 \ (2.0-34.5)$	$6.0\pm0.8\ {(2.0\text{-}22.9)}^{***}$

2 Data are expressed as mean \pm standard error (range).

3 n/N (%) = number of patients with measurements/total number of patients (%)

4 RF, rheumatoid factor; ACPA, anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide (anti-CCP) antibody;

5 TCZ, tocilizumab; i.v., intravenous; s.c., subcutaneous; IFX, infliximab; ETN, etanercept; ABT,

6 abatacept; ADA, adalimumab; GLM, golimumab; MTX, methotrexate; PSL, prednisolone; SASP,

7 salazosulfapyridine; TAC, tacrolimus; CRP, C-reactive protein; MMP-3, matrix metalloproteinase-3;

8 WBC, white blood cell; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; SJC, swollen joint count; TJC, tender

9 joint count; Pt-GA, patient's global assessment of disease activity; Ph-GA, physician's global assessment

10 of disease activity; DAS28-CRP, disease activity score assessing 28 joints with CRP; CDAI, clinical

11 disease activity index.

 $12 \qquad {}^{*} P < 0.05, \, {}^{**} P < 0.01, \, {}^{***} P < 0.001$

a



RF (IU/mL)









