

Title	Classification of real analytic $SL(n, R)$ actions on $n$ -sphere
Author(s)	Uchida, Fuichi
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1979, 16(3), p. 561-579
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/9328">https://doi.org/10.18910/9328</a>
rights	
Note	

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

## CLASSIFICATION OF REAL ANALYTIC $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ ACTIONS ON $n$ -SPHERE

Dedicated to Professor A. Komatu on his 70th birthday

FUICHI UCHIDA\*)

(Received March 17, 1978)

### 0. Introduction

C.R. Schneider [5] classified real analytic  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  actions on closed surfaces. Except for the work, there seems to be no work on the classification problem about non-compact Lie group actions.

In this paper, we classify real analytic  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$  actions on the standard  $n$ -sphere for each  $n \geq 3$ . Here  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$  denotes the special linear group over the field of real numbers. The result can be stated roughly as follows: there is a one-to-one correspondence between real analytic  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$  actions on the  $n$ -sphere and real valued real analytic functions on an interval satisfying certain conditions (see Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 4.2). It is important to consider the restricted actions of  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$  to a maximal compact subgroup  $SO(n)$ .

It is still open to classify  $C^\infty$  actions of  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$  on the standard  $n$ -sphere, by lack of  $C^\infty$  analogue of a local theory due to Guillemin and Sternberg (see Lemma 4.3).

### 1. Real analytic $SO(n)$ actions on certain $n$ -manifolds

First we prepare the following two lemmas of which proof is given in the last section.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a closed connected subgroup of  $O(n)$ . Suppose that  $n \geq 3$  and*

$$\dim O(n) > \dim G \geq \dim O(n) - n.$$

*Suppose that  $G$  is not conjugate to  $SO(n-1)$  which is canonically imbedded in  $O(n)$ . Then the pair  $(O(n), G)$  is pairwise isomorphic to one of the following:*

$$(\mathbf{O}(8), \mathbf{Spin}(7)), (\mathbf{O}(7), \mathbf{G}_2), (\mathbf{O}(6), \mathbf{U}(3)), (\mathbf{O}(4), \mathbf{U}(2)), \\ (\mathbf{O}(4), \mathbf{SU}(2)), (\mathbf{O}(4), \mathbf{SO}(2) \times \mathbf{SO}(2)) \text{ and } (\mathbf{O}(3), \{1\}),$$

---

\*) Supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research

up to inner automorphisms of  $\mathbf{O}(n)$ . In these cases the subgroups are standardly imbedded in  $\mathbf{O}(n)$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** *Suppose  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $h: \mathbf{SO}(n) \rightarrow \mathbf{O}(n)$  be a continuous homomorphism with a finite kernel. Then there is an element  $x$  of  $\mathbf{O}(n)$  such that  $h(y) = xyx^{-1}$  for each  $y$  of  $\mathbf{SO}(n)$ .*

Now we shall prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Suppose  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $M$  be a closed connected  $n$ -dimensional real analytic manifold. Suppose that*

$$\pi_1(M) = \pi_2(M) = \{1\}.$$

*Suppose that  $\mathbf{SO}(n)$  acts on  $M$  real analytically and almost effectively. Then the  $\mathbf{SO}(n)$ -manifold  $M$  is real analytically diffeomorphic to the standard  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$  as  $\mathbf{SO}(n)$ -manifolds. Here the  $\mathbf{SO}(n)$  action on  $S^n$  is the restriction of the standard  $\mathbf{SO}(n+1)$  action on  $S^n$ .*

Proof. (i) First we show that the  $\mathbf{SO}(n)$ -manifold  $M$  is  $C^\infty$  diffeomorphic to the standard sphere  $S^n$  as  $\mathbf{SO}(n)$ -manifolds. Let  $G$  be the identity component of a principal isotropy group. Then

$$\dim \mathbf{SO}(n) > \dim G \geq \dim \mathbf{SO}(n) - n,$$

and  $\mathbf{SO}(n)$  acts almost effectively on the homogeneous space  $\mathbf{SO}(n)/G$  by the assumption that  $\mathbf{SO}(n)$  acts almost effectively on  $M$ , and hence Lemma 1.1 is applicable. The pair  $(\mathbf{SO}(n), G)$  is not pairwise isomorphic to  $(\mathbf{SO}(4), U(2))$  nor  $(\mathbf{SO}(4), \mathbf{SU}(2))$ , because  $\mathbf{SU}(2)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\mathbf{SO}(4)$ . If

$$\dim \mathbf{SO}(n)/G = \dim M,$$

then the  $\mathbf{SO}(n)$  action on  $M$  is transitive and the pair  $(\mathbf{SO}(n), G)$  is pairwise isomorphic to one of the following by Lemma 1.1:

$$(\mathbf{SO}(7), \mathbf{G}_2), (\mathbf{SO}(6), U(3)), (\mathbf{SO}(4), \mathbf{SO}(2) \times \mathbf{SO}(2)) \text{ and } (\mathbf{SO}(3), \{1\}).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(\mathbf{SO}(7)/\mathbf{G}_2) &= \pi_1(\mathbf{SO}(3)/\{1\}) = \mathbf{Z}_2, \\ \pi_2(\mathbf{SO}(6)/U(3)) &= \mathbf{Z} \text{ and } \pi_2(\mathbf{SO}(4)/\mathbf{SO}(2) \times \mathbf{SO}(2)) = \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction to the assumption

$$\pi_1(M) = \pi_2(M) = \{1\}.$$

Consequently  $G$  is conjugate to  $\mathbf{SO}(n-1)$  or the pair  $(\mathbf{SO}(n), G)$  is pairwise isomorphic to  $(\mathbf{SO}(8), \mathbf{Spin}(7))$  by Lemma 1.1 and hence the  $\mathbf{SO}(n)$ -manifold

$M$  has codimension one principal orbits and just two singular orbits (cf. [6], Lemma 1.2.1). Since  $SO(n-1)$  in  $SO(n)$  (resp.  $Spin(7)$  in  $SO(8)$ ) is a maximal closed connected subgroup, the singular orbits are fixed points. It follows that the  $SO(n)$ -manifold  $M$  is  $C^\infty$  diffeomorphic to  $M' = D^n \cup_f D^n$  as  $SO(n)$ -manifolds. Here the  $SO(n)$  action on  $D^n$  is standard by Lemma 1.2, and  $f: \partial D^n \rightarrow \partial D^n$  is an  $SO(n)$  equivariant diffeomorphism. It follows that  $f$  is the identity map or the antipodal map, and hence  $M'$  is  $C^\infty$  diffeomorphic to the standard  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$  as  $SO(n)$ -manifolds.

(ii) Here we assume that  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are  $n$ -dimensional real analytic manifolds on which  $SO(n)$  acts real analytically. Assume that the  $SO(n)$ -manifolds  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are  $C^\infty$  diffeomorphic to the standard  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$  as  $SO(n)$ -manifolds. According to a theorem of Grauert ([3], Theorem 3),  $M_i$  is real analytically imbedded in a euclidean space of sufficiently high dimension; hence  $M_i$  possesses a real analytic Riemannian metric. By averaging the real analytic Riemannian metric on  $M_i$  with respect to the  $SO(n)$  action, we have an  $SO(n)$  invariant real analytic Riemannian metric  $g_i$  on  $M_i$ . Denote by  $\{N_i, S_i\}$  the fixed point set of the  $SO(n)$ -manifold  $M_i$ . We can assume that

$$d_1(N_1, S_1) = d_2(N_2, S_2),$$

where  $d_i$  is a distance function on  $M_i$  defined by the Riemannian metric  $g_i$ . Denote by  $F_i$  the fixed point set of the restricted  $SO(n-1)$  action on  $M_i$ . It follows that  $F_i$  is a real analytic submanifold of  $M_i$  which is  $NSO(n-1)$  invariant and  $C^\infty$  diffeomorphic to  $S^1$  by the assumption. Here  $NSO(n-1)$  denotes the normalizer of  $SO(n-1)$  in  $SO(n)$ . Then there exists an isometry  $\varphi: F_1 \rightarrow F_2$  such that  $\varphi(N_1) = N_2$  and  $\varphi(S_1) = S_2$ . The isometry  $\varphi$  is a real analytic diffeomorphism and  $\varphi$  is compatible with the action of  $NSO(n-1)$  on  $F_i$ . It is easy to see that the  $SO(n)$ -manifold  $M_i - \{N_i, S_i\}$  is real analytically diffeomorphic to

$$SO(n) \times_{NSO(n-1)} (F_i - \{N_i, S_i\})$$

as  $SO(n)$ -manifolds; hence  $\varphi$  extends uniquely to an  $SO(n)$  equivariant homeomorphism  $\Phi: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ . By the construction, the restriction of  $\Phi$  to  $M_1 - \{N_1, S_1\}$  is a real analytic diffeomorphism of  $M_1 - \{N_1, S_1\}$  onto  $M_2 - \{N_2, S_2\}$ .

(iii) Finally we show that  $\Phi$  is real analytic on neighborhoods of  $N_i$  and  $S_i$ . Notice that the tangent space of  $M_i$  at  $N_i$  with the induced  $SO(n)$  action is naturally isomorphic to  $R^n$  with the standard  $SO(n)$  action by the assumption. Denote by  $D_\varepsilon$  an  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of the origin 0 in  $R^n$ . Denote by  $e_i: D_\varepsilon \rightarrow M_i$  the exponential map with respect to the Riemannian metric  $g_i$  such that  $e_i(0) = N_i$ . Then  $e_i$  is an  $SO(n)$  equivariant real analytic diffeomorphism onto an open neighborhood of  $N_i$  for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ . Denote by  $D'_\varepsilon$  the fixed point set of the restricted  $SO(n-1)$  action on  $D_\varepsilon$ . Define

$$\Phi' = e_2^{-1}\Phi e_1: D_\varepsilon \rightarrow D_\varepsilon.$$

Then  $\Phi'$  is an  $SO(n)$  equivariant homeomorphism. Since  $\Phi$  is an extension of the isometry  $\varphi$ , the restriction of  $\Phi'$  to  $D'_\varepsilon$  onto itself is the identity map or the antipodal map. It follows that  $\Phi'$  is the identity map or the antipodal map of  $D_\varepsilon$  onto itself, because  $\Phi'$  is  $SO(n)$  equivariant. Therefore  $\Phi$  is real analytic on a neighborhood of  $N_1$ . Similarly  $\Phi$  is real analytic on a neighborhood of  $S_1$ . Consequently  $\Phi$  is a real analytic diffeomorphism of  $M_1$  onto  $M_2$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

REMARK. The real analytic diffeomorphism  $\Phi: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  in the proof of Theorem 1.3 is not necessary an isometry with respect to the Riemannian metrics  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ .

## 2. Construction of real analytic $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ actions

Consider the following conditions for a real valued real analytic function  $f(t)$ :

(A)  $f(t)$  is defined on an open interval  $(-1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon)$  and  $f(-1) = f(1) = 0$ ,

(B)  $t \cdot f(t) < 0$  for  $1-\varepsilon < |t| < 1$ ,

where  $\varepsilon$  is a sufficiently small positive real number. If  $f(t)$  is a real analytic function satisfying the condition (A), then the corresponding vector field  $f(t) \frac{d}{dt}$  on  $(-1, 1)$  is complete; hence the vector field induces a real analytic  $\mathbf{R}$  action

$$\psi = \psi_f: \mathbf{R} \times (-1, 1) \rightarrow (-1, 1)$$

such that

$$f(t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi(s, t) - t}{s} \quad \text{for } -1 < t < 1.$$

Denote by  $\mathbf{F}$  the set of all real analytic functions satisfying the conditions (A) and (B). Define an equivalence relation in  $\mathbf{F}$  as follows: we say that  $f(t)$  is equivalent to  $g(t)$  if there is a real analytic diffeomorphism  $h$  of the open interval  $(-1, 1)$  onto itself such that

$$h_* \left( f(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right) = g(t) \frac{d}{dt}.$$

The relation means that the corresponding  $\mathbf{R}$  actions  $\psi_f$  and  $\psi_g$  are compatible under the real analytic diffeomorphism  $h$ . Denote by  $\mathbf{F}_*$  the set of all equivalence classes of  $\mathbf{F}$ .

EXAMPLE. The polynomial

$$f_{m,a}(t) = at \cdot \prod_{k=1}^m (kt+1)(kt-1)$$

satisfies the conditions (A), (B) for each positive integer  $m$  and each positive real number  $a$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *If  $(m, a) \neq (m', a')$ , then the functions  $f_{m,a}(t)$  and  $f_{m',a'}(t)$  are not equivalent.*

Proof. Suppose that there is a real analytic diffeomorphism  $h$  of the interval  $(-1, 1)$  onto itself such that

$$h_* \left( f_{m,a}(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right) = f_{m',a'}(t) \frac{d}{dt}.$$

Then it follows that

$$m = m', \quad h(0) = 0$$

and

$$f_{m',a'}(t) = f_{m,a}(h^{-1}(t)) \frac{dh}{dt}(h^{-1}(t)).$$

Therefore we have

$$(-1)^{m'} a' = \frac{df_{m',a'}}{dt}(0) = \frac{df_{m,a}}{dt}(0) = (-1)^m a.$$

It follows that  $a = a'$ .

q.e.d.

Put

$$L(n) = \{(a_{ij}) \in SL(n, \mathbf{R}) : a_{11} = 1, a_{21} = a_{31} = \dots = a_{n1} = 0\},$$

$$N(n) = \{(a_{ij}) \in SL(n, \mathbf{R}) : a_{11} > 0, a_{21} = a_{31} = \dots = a_{n1} = 0\}.$$

Then  $L(n)$  and  $N(n)$  are closed connected subgroups of  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ , and  $L(n)$  is a normal subgroup of  $N(n)$ . Consider the standard action of  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Then the action is transitive on  $\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}$ , and  $L(n)$  is the isotropy group at  $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ .

Let  $f(t)$  be a real analytic function satisfying the conditions (A) and (B). Here we shall construct a real analytic  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$  action on a closed connected  $n$ -dimensional real analytic manifold  $M_f$  associated with the function  $f(t)$ . Let  $\psi_f$  be the real analytic  $\mathbf{R}$  action on  $(-1, 1)$  corresponding to  $f(t)$ . Since the factor group  $N(n)/L(n)$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}$  as Lie groups by a correspondence

$$(a_{ij}) \cdot L(n) \rightarrow \log a_{11}, \quad \text{for } (a_{ij}) \in N(n),$$

we consider  $\psi_f$  as a real analytic  $N(n)/L(n)$  action on  $(-1, 1)$ . Define  $X_f$  the quotient manifold of the product

$$SL(n, \mathbf{R})/L(n) \times (-1, 1)$$

by the relation

$$(xL(n), t) = (xy^{-1}L(n), \psi_f(yL(n), t));$$

$$x \in SL(n, \mathbf{R}), y \in N(n), |t| < 1.$$

Then  $X_f$  is an  $n$ -dimensional real analytic manifold with a natural  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$  action. Denote by  $[xL(n), t]$  the element of  $X_f$  represented by  $(xL(n), t)$ .

Let  $a'$  (resp.  $a''$ ) be the largest (resp. the smallest) zero of  $f(t)$  on  $(-1, 1)$ . Let  $a_+, a_-: \mathbf{R}^n - \{0\} \rightarrow X_f$  be the equivariant  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$  maps determined by

$$a_+(e_1) = \left[ L(n), \frac{1+a'}{2} \right], \quad a_-(e_1) = \left[ L(n), \frac{a''-1}{2} \right]$$

respectively, where  $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Let  $\mathbf{R}_+^n$  and  $\mathbf{R}_-^n$  be copies of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and consider  $a_+, a_-$  as the maps

$$a_+: \mathbf{R}_+^n - \{0\} \rightarrow X_f, \quad a_-: \mathbf{R}_-^n - \{0\} \rightarrow X_f$$

respectively. Define  $M_f$  the quotient space of a disjoint union

$$\mathbf{R}_+^n \cup X_f \cup \mathbf{R}_-^n$$

given by the attaching maps  $a_+, a_-$ . Since  $f(t)$  satisfies the conditions (A) and (B), the space  $M_f$  possesses naturally a real analytic structure as a compact connected  $n$ -dimensional manifold with a natural  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$  action. Notice that  $M_f$  is a two points compactification of  $X_f$ .

For each  $k \leq n-2$ ,  $\pi_k(M_f) = \pi_k(X_f)$  by a general position theorem. The natural projection of  $X_f$  onto  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})/N(n) = S^{n-1}$  is a fibre bundle with a contractible fibre. It follows that  $M_f$  is  $(n-2)$ -connected. In particular,  $\pi_1(M_f) = \pi_2(M_f) = \{1\}$  for each  $n \geq 3$ . Since the restricted  $SO(n)$  action on  $M_f$  is effective,  $M_f$  is real analytically diffeomorphic to the standard  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$  by Theorem 1.3.

Denote by  $A(n)$  the set of all real analytic non-trivial  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$  actions on the standard  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$ . Two such actions  $\psi$  and  $\psi'$  are said to be equivalent if there is a real analytic diffeomorphism  $h$  of  $S^n$  onto itself such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} SL(n, \mathbf{R}) \times S^n & \xrightarrow{\psi} & S^n \\ \downarrow 1 \times h & & \downarrow h \\ SL(n, \mathbf{R}) \times S^n & \xrightarrow{\psi'} & S^n \end{array}$$

Denote by  $A_*(n)$  the set of all equivalence classes of  $A(n)$ . By the above construction of  $M_f$ , the real analytic function  $f(t)$  defines an equivalence class

$A_f = \{a_f\}$  of real analytic  $SL(n, R)$  actions on  $S^n$  such that the  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$  with a real analytic  $SL(n, R)$  action  $a_f$  is real analytically diffeomorphic to  $M_f$  as  $SL(n, R)$ -manifolds. If  $f(t)$  and  $g(t)$  are equivalent, then it is easy to see that  $M_f$  and  $M_g$  are real analytically diffeomorphic as  $SL(n, R)$ -manifolds. It follows that the correspondence  $f(t) \rightarrow A_f$  induces a map  $c_n: F_* \rightarrow A_*(n)$  for each  $n \geq 3$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *The map  $c_n: F_* \rightarrow A_*(n)$  is injective for each  $n \geq 3$ .*

Proof. Let  $f(t), g(t)$  be real analytic functions satisfying the conditions (A), (B). Suppose that the induced real analytic  $SL(n, R)$ -manifolds  $M_f$  and  $M_g$  are real analytically diffeomorphic as  $SL(n, R)$ -manifolds. Then the open manifolds  $X_f$  and  $X_g$  are real analytically diffeomorphic as  $SL(n, R)$ -manifolds. Compare the fixed point sets of the restricted  $L(n)$  action. Then the fixed point sets  $F(L(n), X_f)$  and  $F(L(n), X_g)$  are one dimensional real analytic submanifolds of  $X_f$  and  $X_g$  respectively and real analytically diffeomorphic as  $NL(n)$ -manifolds. Here  $NL(n)$  denotes the normalizer of  $L(n)$  in  $SL(n, R)$ . Since  $NL(n)/L(n)$  is naturally isomorphic to  $Z_2 \times N(n)/L(n)$  as Lie groups, it is easy to see that  $f(t)$  and  $g(t)$  are equivalent. q.e.d.

### 3. Certain closed subgroups of $SL(n, R)$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} L(n) &= \{(a_{ij}) \in SL(n, R) : a_{11} = 1, a_{21} = a_{31} = \dots = a_{n1} = 0\}, \\ N(n) &= \{(a_{ij}) \in SL(n, R) : a_{11} > 0, a_{21} = a_{31} = \dots = a_{n1} = 0\}, \\ L^*(n) &= \{(a_{ij}) \in SL(n, R) : a_{11} = 1, a_{12} = a_{13} = \dots = a_{1n} = 0\}, \\ N^*(n) &= \{(a_{ij}) \in SL(n, R) : a_{11} > 0, a_{12} = a_{13} = \dots = a_{1n} = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider  $SL(n-1, R)$  and  $SO(n-1)$  as subgroups of  $SL(n, R)$  as follows:

$$SL(n-1, R) = L(n) \cap L^*(n), \quad SO(n-1) = SO(n) \cap SL(n-1, R).$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $G$  be a connected Lie subgroup of  $SL(n, R)$ . Suppose that  $G$  contains  $SO(n-1)$  and*

$$\dim SL(n, R) - n \leq \dim G < \dim SL(n, R).$$

*Then  $G$  is one of the following :  $L(n), N(n), L^*(n)$  and  $N^*(n)$ .*

Proof. Denote by  $M_n(R)$  the set of all  $n \times n$  matrices in the field of real numbers  $R$ . As usual we consider  $M_n(R)$  as the Lie algebra of the general linear group  $GL(n, R)$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, R)$  and  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$  the Lie subalgebras of  $M_n(R)$  corresponding to the Lie subgroups  $SL(n, R)$  and  $SO(n)$  of  $GL(n, R)$  respectively. Then



$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R}) &= \{X \in M_n(\mathbf{R}) : \text{trace } X = 0\}, \\ \mathfrak{so}(n) &= \{X \in M_n(\mathbf{R}) : X \text{ is skew-symmetric}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Denote by  $\mathfrak{sl}(n-1, \mathbf{R})$  the Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R})$  corresponding to the Lie subgroup  $SL(n-1, \mathbf{R})$  of  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ . Put

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{so}(n-1) &= \mathfrak{so}(n) \cap \mathfrak{sl}(n-1, \mathbf{R}), \\ \mathfrak{sym}(n-1) &= \{X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n-1, \mathbf{R}) : X \text{ is symmetric}\}, \\ \mathfrak{a} &= \{(a_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R}) : a_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq 1\}, \\ \mathfrak{a}^* &= \{(a_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R}) : a_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } j \neq 1\}, \\ \mathfrak{b} &= \{(a_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R}) : a_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j, a_{22} = a_{33} = \dots = a_{nn}\}.\end{aligned}$$

These are linear subspaces of  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R})$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R}) &= \mathfrak{sl}(n-1, \mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^* \oplus \mathfrak{b}, \\ \mathfrak{sl}(n-1, \mathbf{R}) &= \mathfrak{so}(n-1) \oplus \mathfrak{sym}(n-1)\end{aligned}$$

as direct sums of vector spaces. Moreover we have

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned}[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}] &= \{0\}, [\mathfrak{a}^*, \mathfrak{a}^*] = \{0\}, [\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}] = \{0\}, \\ [\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}] &= \mathfrak{a}, [\mathfrak{a}^*, \mathfrak{b}] = \mathfrak{a}^*, [\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}^*] = \mathfrak{sl}(n-1, \mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{b}, \\ [\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{sl}(n-1, \mathbf{R})] &= \mathfrak{a}, [\mathfrak{a}^*, \mathfrak{sl}(n-1, \mathbf{R})] = \mathfrak{a}^*.\end{aligned}$$

Denote by  $Ad: SL(n, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow GL(\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R}))$  the adjoint representation. Then the linear subspaces  $\mathfrak{sl}(n-1, \mathbf{R})$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  are  $Ad(SL(n-1, \mathbf{R}))$  invariant, and the linear subspaces  $\mathfrak{so}(n-1)$  and  $\mathfrak{sym}(n-1)$  are  $Ad(SO(n-1))$  invariant. Moreover the linear subspaces  $\mathfrak{sym}(n-1)$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  are irreducible  $Ad(SO(n-1))$  spaces respectively for each  $n \geq 3$ . The Lie subalgebras

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned}\mathfrak{sl}(n-1, \mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{sl}(n-1, \mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b}, \\ \mathfrak{sl}(n-1, \mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}^*, \mathfrak{sl}(n-1, \mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}^* \oplus \mathfrak{b}\end{aligned}$$

of  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R})$  corresponds to the connected Lie subgroups  $L(n)$ ,  $N(n)$ ,  $L^*(n)$  and  $N^*(n)$  of  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$  respectively.

Let  $G$  be a connected Lie subgroup of  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{g}$  the corresponding Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R})$ . Suppose that

$$(3) \quad G \text{ contains } SO(n-1), \text{ and} \\ (4) \quad \dim SL(n, \mathbf{R}) - n \leq \dim G < \dim SL(n, \mathbf{R}).$$

By (3),  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an  $Ad(SO(n-1))$  invariant linear subspace of  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R})$  which contains  $\mathfrak{so}(n-1)$ . Hence we derive that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(n-1) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{sym}(n-1)) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \cap (\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^*)) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{b})$$

as a direct sum of  $Ad(SO(n-1))$  invariant linear subspaces. The inequality (4) implies that  $\mathfrak{g}$  contains  $\mathfrak{sym}(n-1)$  or  $\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^*$ , because  $\mathfrak{sym}(n-1)$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  are irreducible  $Ad(SO(n-1))$  spaces respectively and

$$\dim \mathfrak{a} = \dim \mathfrak{a}^* = n-1, \dim \mathfrak{sym}(n-1) \geq n-1$$

for any  $n \geq 3$ . If  $\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^*$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n, R)$  by (1). This is a contradiction to (4). It follows that

$$(5) \quad \mathfrak{sym}(n-1) \subset \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^* \not\subset \mathfrak{g}.$$

In particular,  $\mathfrak{g}$  contains  $\mathfrak{sl}(n-1, R)$ , and hence  $G$  contains  $SL(n-1, R)$ . Then we derive that

$$(6) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n-1, R) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \cap (\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^*)) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{b})$$

as a direct sum of  $Ad(SL(n-1, R))$  invariant linear subspaces.

Suppose first  $n \geq 4$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  are mutually non-equivalent irreducible  $Ad(SL(n-1, R))$  spaces; hence  $Ad(SL(n-1, R))$  invariant subspaces of  $\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^*$  are one of the following :  $\{0\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  and  $\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^*$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is one of the Lie algebras in (2), by (1), (4), (5) and (6).

Suppose next  $n = 3$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  are equivalent irreducible  $Ad(SL(2, R))$  spaces. Put

$$h(p, q) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & qy & -qx \\ px & 0 & 0 \\ py & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : x, y \in R \right\}$$

for each real numbers  $p, q$ . Then  $h(p, q)$  is an  $Ad(SL(2, R))$  invariant linear subspace of  $\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^*$  for each  $p, q$ . It is easy to see that any  $Ad(SL(2, R))$  invariant proper linear subspace of  $\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^*$  is one of  $h(p, q)$  for certain  $p, q$ . It follows that

$$\mathfrak{g} \cap (\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^*) = h(p, q)$$

for certain real numbers  $p, q$ . Suppose  $pq \neq 0$ . Then we derive

$$\begin{aligned} [h(p, q), h(p, q)] &= \mathfrak{b}, \\ [h(p, q), \mathfrak{b}] &= h(-p, q), \\ h(p, q) + h(-p, q) &= \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^*. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\mathfrak{g}$  contains  $\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^*$ ; this is a contradiction to (5). Hence we obtain  $pq = 0$ , namely

$$\mathfrak{g} \cap (\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^*) = \{0\}, \mathfrak{a} \text{ or } \mathfrak{a}^*.$$

It follows that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is one of the Lie algebras in (2), by (1), (4) and (6).

Consequently the assumptions (3) and (4) implies that the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is one of the Lie algebras in (2) for each  $n \geq 3$ , and hence the connected Lie subgroup  $G$  is one of the following:  $L(n)$ ,  $N(n)$ ,  $L^*(n)$  and  $N^*(n)$ .

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

#### 4. Real analytic $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ actions on the $n$ -sphere

Let  $\psi: SL(n, \mathbf{R}) \times S^n \rightarrow S^n$  be a real analytic non-trivial action of  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$  on the standard  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$ . For each subgroup  $H$  of  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ , we put

$$F(H) = \{x \in S^n : \psi(h, x) = x \text{ for all } h \in H\},$$

namely,  $F(H)$  is the fixed point set of the restricted action of  $\psi$  to  $H$ . Then  $F(H)$  is a closed subset of  $S^n$ , but it is not necessary a submanifold of  $S^n$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Suppose  $n \geq 3$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbf{SO}(n)) &= F(SL(n, \mathbf{R})) = F(L(n)) \cap F(L^*(n)), \\ F(\mathbf{SO}(n-1)) &= F(L(n)) \text{ or } F(L^*(n)) \end{aligned}$$

for any real analytic non-trivial  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$  action on the  $n$ -sphere.

*Proof.* From Lemma 3.1, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbf{SO}(n)) &= F(SL(n, \mathbf{R})) = F(L(n)) \cap F(L^*(n)), \\ F(\mathbf{SO}(n-1)) &= F(L(n)) \cup F(L^*(n)). \end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem 1.3, we see that the set  $F(\mathbf{SO}(n-1)) - F(\mathbf{SO}(n))$  has just two connected components. Each connected component is contained in  $F(L(n))$  or  $F(L^*(n))$ . Put

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it follows easily from Theorem 1.3 that  $x$  and  $gx$  belong distinct connected components respectively for each element  $x$  of  $F(\mathbf{SO}(n-1)) - F(\mathbf{SO}(n))$ . Then we conclude that

$$F(\mathbf{SO}(n-1)) = F(L(n)) \text{ or } F(L^*(n)). \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

Denote by  $\sigma(g)$  the transpose of  $g^{-1}$  for each  $g \in SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ . Then the correspondence  $g \rightarrow \sigma(g)$  defines an automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ . The automorphism  $\sigma$  is an involution and

$$\sigma(L(n)) = L^*(n).$$

Let  $\psi$  be a real analytic non-trivial  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$  action on  $S^n$ . Define a new action  $\sigma_{\sharp}\psi$  of  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$  on  $S^n$  as follows:

$$(\sigma_{\sharp}\psi)(g, x) = \psi(\sigma(g), x) \quad \text{for } g \in SL(n, \mathbf{R}), x \in S^n.$$

Then it is seen that if  $F(SO(n-1))=F(L(n))$  (resp.  $F(L^*(n))$ ) for the action  $\psi$ , then  $F(SO(n-1))=F(L^*(n))$  (resp.  $F(L(n))$ ) for the action  $\sigma_{\sharp}\psi$ .

As in the section 2, let  $A(n)$  denote the set of all real analytic non-trivial  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$  actions on  $S^n$ , and let  $A_*(n)$  denote the set of all equivalence classes of  $A(n)$ . Then the mapping  $\sigma_{\sharp}: A(n) \rightarrow A(n)$  is an involution, and  $\sigma_{\sharp}$  induces naturally an involution  $\sigma_*: A_*(n) \rightarrow A_*(n)$ .

Denote by  $A^+(n)$  (resp.  $A^-(n)$ ) the set of all real analytic non-trivial  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$  actions on  $S^n$  such that

$$F(SO(n-1)) = F(L(n)) \text{ (resp. } F(L^*(n))\text{)}.$$

Denote by  $A_*^+(n)$  (resp.  $A_*^-(n)$ ) the set of all equivalence classes represented by an element of  $A^+(n)$  (resp.  $A^-(n)$ ). Then we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\sharp}A^+(n) &= A^-(n), & \sigma_{\sharp}A^-(n) &= A^+(n), \\ \sigma_*A_*^+(n) &= A_*^-(n), & \sigma_*A_*^-(n) &= A_*^+(n). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover  $A_*(n)$  is a disjoint union of  $A_*^+(n)$  and  $A_*^-(n)$  by Lemma 4.1. Let  $c_n: F_* \rightarrow A_*(n)$  be the mapping defined in the section 2. Then it is seen that the image  $c_n(F_*)$  is contained in  $A_*^+(n)$ .

We shall show the following result.

**Theorem 4.2.**  $c_n(F_*) = A_*^+(n)$  for each  $n \geq 3$ .

In order to prove this theorem, we require the following result due to Guillemin and Sternberg [4]:

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a real semi-simple Lie algebra and let  $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow L(M)$  be a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$  into the Lie algebra of real analytic vector fields on a real analytic  $n$ -manifold  $M$ . Let  $p$  be a point at which the vector fields in the image  $\rho(\mathfrak{g})$  have a common zero. Then there exists an analytic system of coordinates  $(U; x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , with origin at  $p$ , in which all of the vector fields in  $\rho(\mathfrak{g})$  are linear. Namely, there exists*

$$a_{ij} \in \mathfrak{g}^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{R})$$

such that

$$\rho(X)_q = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(X)x_i(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \quad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{g}, q \in U.$$

REMARK. The correspondence  $X \rightarrow (a_{ij}(X))$  defines a Lie algebra homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$  into  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R})$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *Suppose  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $\psi$  be a real analytic non-trivial  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$  action on  $S^n$  such that  $F(SO(n-1)) = F(L(n))$ . Let  $p \in S^n$  be a fixed point of the  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$  action  $\psi$ . Then there is an equivariant real analytic diffeomorphism  $h$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  onto an invariant open set of  $S^n$  such that  $h(0) = p$ . Here  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$  acts standardly on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .*

Proof. Notice that, for each  $n \geq 3$ , any non-trivial endomorphism of  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R})$  is of the form  $Ad(g)$  or  $Ad(g) \cdot d\sigma$ , where  $g \in GL(n, \mathbf{R})$  and  $d\sigma$  is the differential of the automorphism  $\sigma$ . Define a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$\rho: \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow L(S^n)$$

as follows:

$$(1) \quad \rho(X)_q(f) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\psi(\exp(-tX), q)) - f(q)}{t}$$

for  $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R})$ ,  $q \in S^n$ . Here  $f$  is a real valued real analytic function on  $S^n$ . Then  $\rho(X)_p = 0$  for each  $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R})$ . According to Lemma 4.3, there exists an analytic system of coordinates  $(U; x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , with origin at  $p$ , and there exists  $a_{ij} \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R})^*$  such that

$$(2) \quad \rho(X)_q = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(X) x_i(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \quad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R}), q \in U.$$

By the above notice, it can be assumed that

$$(3) \quad X = (a_{ij}(X)) \quad \text{for each } X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R}),$$

$$(3') \quad d\sigma(X) = (a_{ij}(X)) \quad \text{for each } X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R}).$$

From the assumption  $F(SO(n-1)) = F(L(n))$ , it follows that the case (3) does not happen.

Let  $k: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be a real analytic diffeomorphism of  $U$  onto an open set of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  defined by  $k(q) = (x_1(q), \dots, x_n(q))$  for  $q \in U$ . Then  $k(p) = 0$ . There is a positive real number  $\varepsilon$  such that the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood  $D_\varepsilon$  of the origin is contained in  $k(U)$ . Put

$$x = \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, 0, \dots, 0 \right).$$

Then the group  $L(n)$  is the isotropy group at  $x$ . Moreover  $L(n)$  agrees with the identity component of the isotropy group at  $k^{-1}(x)$  by (1), (2) and (3'). Define a map  $h: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow S^n$  as follows:

$$h(0) = p; h(gx) = \psi(g, k^{-1}(x)) \quad \text{for } g \in SL(n, \mathbf{R}).$$

The map  $h$  is a well-defined equivariant  $SL(n, R)$  map. It follows that

$$k \cdot h = \text{identity on } D_\varepsilon$$

by the uniqueness of the solution of an ordinary differential equation defined by (1), (2) and (3'). Hence the map  $h: R^n \rightarrow S^n$  is a real analytic submersion of  $R^n$  onto an invariant open set of  $S^n$ . Since  $h$  is injective on  $D_\varepsilon$ , it can be seen that the isotropy group at  $h(x) = k^{-1}(x)$  agrees with  $L(n)$ . Then the map  $h: R^n \rightarrow S^n$  is injective.

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.4.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Let  $\psi$  be an element of  $A^+(n)$ . According to Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 4.1,  $F(L(n))$  is a real analytic submanifold of  $S^n$  on which  $N(n)$  acts naturally, and  $F(L(n))$  is real analytically diffeomorphic to  $S^1$ . Moreover  $F = F(SL(n, R))$  consists of two points  $N, S$ . Let  $h: (-1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon) \rightarrow F(L(n))$  be a real analytic imbedding such that  $h(1) = N$  and  $h(-1) = S$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is a sufficiently small positive real number. Since  $N(n)/L(n) \cong R$  acts real analytically on  $F(L(n))$ , the action defines a real analytic vector field  $v$  on  $F(L(n))$  naturally. Then there exists a real analytic function  $f(t)$  on the interval  $(-1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon)$  such that  $v = h_* \left( f(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)$  on the image of  $h$ . We shall first show that the function  $f(t)$  satisfies the conditions (A), (B) stated in the section 2. The condition (A) follows from  $F = \{N, S\}$ . Considering the standard action of  $SL(n, R)$  on  $R^n$ , we can see that the condition (B) follows from Lemma 4.4.

We shall next show that the  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$  with the  $SL(n, R)$  action  $\psi$  is equivariantly real analytically diffeomorphic to  $M_f$ , where  $M_f$  is a real analytic  $SL(n, R)$ -manifold constructed from  $f(t)$  as before. For this purpose, we consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} SO(n) \times_{NSO(n-1)} (F(SO(n-1)) - F) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & S^n - F \\ \downarrow \beta & & \parallel \\ SL(n, R) \times_{NL(n)} (F(L(n)) - F) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & S^n - F \end{array}$$

Here  $NSO(n-1)$  and  $NL(n)$  are the normalizers of  $SO(n-1)$  and  $L(n)$  respectively. According to Theorem 1.3, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 4.1, we can show that  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  are real analytic one-to-one onto mappings. Moreover  $\alpha$  is a diffeomorphism by the differentiable slice theorem; hence  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are also real analytic diffeomorphisms. It follows that  $S^n - F$  is equivariantly real analytically diffeomorphic to a real analytic  $SL(n, R)$ -manifold  $X_f$  constructed from  $f(t)$  as before. Consequently the  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$  with the action  $\psi$  is equivariantly real analytically diffeomorphic to  $M_f$ , by making use of Lemma 4.4. Hence

we conclude that  $c_n(F_*) = A_*^+(n)$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.2.

**5. Certain closed subgroups of  $O(n)$**

In this section, we shall prove Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2. Put

$$D(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Denote by  $D(a_1, \dots, a_r)$  the one-dimensional closed subgroup of  $O(n)$  consists of the following matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} D(a_1\theta) & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & D(a_r\theta) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta \in \mathbf{R}$$

for  $n=2r$ , and

$$\begin{pmatrix} D(a_1\theta) & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & D(a_r\theta) & \\ 0 & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta \in \mathbf{R}$$

for  $n=2r+1$ , respectively. Here  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  are integers. Consider  $U(k)$  as the centralizer of

$$\begin{pmatrix} D(\pi/2) & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & D(\pi/2) \end{pmatrix}$$

in  $O(2k)$ . Then we can derive easily the following result.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Suppose that  $b_1 > b_2 > \dots > b_s > 0$  and*

$$(a_1, \dots, a_r) = (\underbrace{b_1, \dots, b_1}_{n_1}, \dots, \underbrace{b_s, \dots, b_s}_{n_s}, 0, \dots, 0).$$

*Then the centralizer of  $D(a_1, \dots, a_r)$  in  $O(n)$  agrees with*

$$U(n_1) \times \dots \times U(n_s) \times O(m),$$

*where  $m = n - 2(n_1 + \dots + n_s)$ .*

Here we shall prove Lemma 1.2. Let  $h: SO(n) \rightarrow O(n)$  be a continuous homomorphism with a finite kernel. Suppose  $n \geq 3$ . Then it is easy to see that  $h$  is an isomorphism onto  $SO(n)$ . Denote by  $T$  a maximal torus of  $SO(n)$  defined by the direct product of the subgroups

$$T_k = D(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_k, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for  $0 < k \leq n/2$ . Then there is an element  $x_1$  of  $SO(n)$  such that  $h(T) = x_1 T x_1^{-1}$ . Then the subgroup  $x_1^{-1} h(T_k) x_1$  is of the form  $D(a_{k1}, \dots, a_{kr})$  for each  $k$ . Compare the centralizer of  $T_k$  and that of  $x_1^{-1} h(T_k) x_1$  in  $O(n)$ . We can derive

$$x_1^{-1} h(T_k) x_1 = T_j$$

for some  $j$ , by Lemma 5.1. Hence there is an element  $x_2$  of  $O(n)$  such that

$$h(t) = x_1 x_2 t x_2^{-1} x_1^{-1}, \quad \text{for } t \in T.$$

It follows that the representations  $y \rightarrow y$  and  $y \rightarrow x_2^{-1} x_1^{-1} h(y) x_1 x_2$  of  $SO(n)$  are equivalent. Since the representation  $y \rightarrow y$  is absolutely irreducible, there is an element  $x_3$  of  $O(n)$  such that

$$x_3 y x_3^{-1} = x_2^{-1} x_1^{-1} h(y) x_1 x_2$$

for each  $y \in SO(n)$  (cf. [6], Lemma 5.5.1). Put  $x = x_1 x_2 x_3$ . Then we derive that  $x \in O(n)$  and  $h(y) = x y x^{-1}$  for each  $y \in SO(n)$ .

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.2.

We shall next prove Lemma 1.1. Let  $G$  be a connected closed subgroup of  $O(n)$ . Suppose that  $n \geq 3$  and

$$(1) \quad \dim O(n) > \dim G \geq \dim O(n) - n.$$

The inclusion map  $i: G \rightarrow O(n)$  gives an orthogonal faithful representation of  $G$ . Suppose first that the representation  $i$  is reducible. Then, by an inner automorphism of  $O(n)$ ,  $G$  is isomorphic to a closed subgroup  $G'$  of  $O(k) \times O(n-k)$  for some  $k$  such that  $0 < k \leq n/2$ . By (1), we derive that  $k=1$ , or  $k=2$  and  $G' = SO(2) \times SO(2)$ . The codimension of  $O(1) \times O(n-1)$  in  $O(n)$  is  $n-1$ . If  $n \geq 4$ , then  $SO(n-1)$  is semi-simple; hence there is no closed subgroup of codimension one in  $SO(n-1)$ . We can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} G' &= SO(1) \times SO(n-1) \cong SO(n-1), \\ G' &= SO(2) \times SO(2) \quad \text{for } n = 4, \text{ or} \\ G' &= \{1\} \quad \text{for } n = 3. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose next that the representation  $i$  is irreducible and  $G$  has a one-dimensional central subgroup. By Lemma 5.1, it can be seen that  $n$  is even and  $G$  is isomorphic to a closed subgroup  $G'$  of  $U(n/2)$  by an inner automorphism of  $O(n)$ . It follows from (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} G' &= U(3) \quad \text{for } n = 6, \text{ or} \\ G' &= U(2) \quad \text{for } n = 4. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to consider the case that  $G$  is semi-simple and the representa-



tion  $i$  is irreducible. In the following, we assume that  $G$  is semi-simple and the representation  $i$  is irreducible. Suppose that the complexification  $i^c$  of  $i$  is reducible. Then the representation  $i$  possesses a complex structure and  $n$  is even. Hence  $G$  is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $U(n/2)$ . We can derive that  $n=4$  by (1). Moreover, by an inner automorphism of  $O(4)$ ,  $G$  is isomorphic to  $SU(2)$  which is standardly imbedded in  $O(4)$ .

Suppose that the complexification  $i^c$  of  $i$  is irreducible. Then  $i^c$  is a complex irreducible representation of  $G$  of degree  $n$ .

(i) Moreover suppose first that  $G$  is not simple. Let  $G^*$  be the universal covering group of  $G$ , and let  $p: G^* \rightarrow G$  be the covering projection. Since  $G$  is not simple, there are closed semi-simple normal subgroups  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  of  $G^*$  such that

$$G^* = H_1 \times H_2.$$

Consider the representation  $i^c p: G^* \rightarrow U(n)$ . Then there are irreducible complex representations  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  respectively, such that the tensor product  $r_1 \otimes r_2$  is equivalent to  $i^c p$ . Since  $i^c p$  has a real form  $ip$ , the representations  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are self-conjugate; hence  $r_1$  (resp.  $r_2$ ) has a real form or a quaternionic structure, but not both (cf.[1], Proposition 3.56). Moreover, if  $r_1$  has a real form (resp. quaternionic structure), then  $r_2$  has also a real form (resp. quaternionic structure). Put  $n_s = \deg r_s$  for  $s=1, 2$ . Then

$$(2) \quad \dim O(n) - n = \frac{n(n-3)}{2} = \frac{n_1 n_2 (n_1 n_2 - 3)}{2}.$$

Suppose first that  $r_1$  has a quaternionic structure. Then it follows that  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are even, and

$$\dim H_s \leq \dim Sp\left(\frac{n_s}{2}\right) \quad \text{for } s = 1, 2.$$

Hence

$$\dim G = \dim H_1 + \dim H_2 \leq \frac{n_1(n_1+1)}{2} + \frac{n_2(n_2+1)}{2}.$$

Compare the above inequality with (2). We can derive easily that

$$\dim G < \dim O(n) - n$$

except the case  $n_1 = n_2 = 2$ . If  $n_1 = n_2 = 2$ , then  $n=4$  and  $\dim G = \dim O(n)$ . We can conclude from (1) that  $r_1$  has no quaternionic structure. Suppose next that  $r_1$  has a real form. Then, since  $H_s$  is semi-simple, it follows that

$$n_s \geq 3 \quad \text{for } s = 1, 2.$$

Moreover it follows that

$$\dim H_s \leq \dim \mathcal{O}(n_s) \quad \text{for } s = 1, 2.$$

Hence

$$\dim G = \dim H_1 + \dim H_2 \leq \frac{n_1(n_1-1)}{2} + \frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{2}.$$

Compare the above inequality with (2). We can derive that

$$\dim G < \dim \mathcal{O}(n) - n.$$

This is a contradiction to (1), and hence we can conclude that  $\iota_1$  has no real form. Consequently we can conclude that  $G$  must be simple.

(ii) Suppose next that  $G$  is simple. Moreover suppose first that  $G$  is an exceptional Lie group. Then we can derive the following result from a table of the degrees of the basic representations (cf. [2], p. 378, Table 30): the possibility remains only in the case that  $n=7$  and  $G$  is locally isomorphic to the exceptional Lie group  $G_2$ . Consider  $G_2$  as a closed subgroup of  $\mathcal{O}(7)$  as usual. Then we can conclude that  $G$  is isomorphic to  $G_2$  by an inner automorphism of  $\mathcal{O}(7)$ . It remains to consider the case that  $G$  is locally isomorphic to  $SU(k)$ ,  $Sp(k)$  or  $SO(k)$ . Put  $r = \text{rank } G$ . Denote by  $G^*$  the universal covering group of  $G$ . Denote by  $L_1, \dots, L_r$  the fundamental weights of  $G^*$ . Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between complex irreducible representations of  $G^*$  and sequences  $(a_1, \dots, a_r)$  of non-negative integers such that  $a_1L_1 + \dots + a_rL_r$  is the highest weight of a corresponding complex irreducible representation (cf. [2], Theorem 0.8, Theorem 0.9). Denote by

$$d(a_1L_1 + \dots + a_rL_r)$$

the degree of the complex irreducible representation of  $G^*$  with the highest weight  $a_1L_1 + \dots + a_rL_r$ . The degree can be computed by the Weyl's formula (cf. [2], Theorem 0.24; (0.148), (0.149), (0.150)). Notice that if

$$a_1 \geq a'_1, \dots, a_r \geq a'_r,$$

then

$$d(a_1L_1 + \dots + a_rL_r) \geq d(a'_1L_1 + \dots + a'_rL_r)$$

and the equality holds only if  $a_1 = a'_1, \dots, a_r = a'_r$ .

(a) Suppose first that  $G^*$  is isomorphic to  $SU(r+1)$  for  $r \geq 1$ . Since  $\text{rank } G \leq \text{rank } SO(n)$ , it follows that

$$(3) \quad 2r \leq n.$$

If  $r \geq 6$ , then we derive from (3) that

$$\dim G = \dim SU(r+1) = r(r+2) < \frac{n(n-3)}{2} = \dim \mathcal{O}(n) - n.$$

This is a contradiction to (1). If the pair  $(n, r)$  satisfies the conditions (1) and (3), then  $(n, r)$  is one of the following:

$$(10,5), (8,4), (7,3), (5,2) \text{ and } (4,1).$$

Notice that

$$d(L_i) = {}_{r+1}C_i, \quad d(2L_1) = d(2L_r) = \frac{(r+1) \cdot (r+2)}{2}.$$

Thus there is no complex irreducible representation of  $SU(r+1)$  of degree  $2r$  for  $r=4,5$ . Hence  $(n, r)$  is not  $(10,5)$  nor  $(8,4)$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} d(2L_1) = d(2L_2) = 6, \quad d(L_1+L_2) = 8 \quad \text{for } r = 2; \\ d(2L_1) = d(2L_3) = 10, \quad d(2L_2) = d(L_1+L_2) = d(L_2+L_3) = 20, \\ \text{and } d(L_1+L_3) = 15 \quad \text{for } r = 3, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that there is no complex irreducible representation of  $SU(r+1)$  of degree  $2r+1$  for  $r=2,3$ . Hence  $(n, r)$  is not  $(7,3)$  nor  $(5,2)$ . It remains only the case  $(n, r)=(4,1)$ . But it is seen that the complex irreducible representation of  $SU(2)$  of degree 4 has no real form. Therefore we can derive that  $G$  is not locally isomorphic to  $SU(r+1)$ .

(b) Suppose next that  $G^*$  is isomorphic to  $Sp(r)$  for  $r \geq 2$ . Since  $\text{rank } G \leq \text{rank } SO(n)$ , it follows that

$$(4) \quad 2r \leq n.$$

On the other hand, since  $\dim Sp(r) = r(2r+1)$ , the inequality (1) implies that

$$(5) \quad n(n-3) \leq 2r(2r+1) < n(n-1).$$

It follows from (4), (5) that

$$1 \leq \frac{n}{2r} \leq \frac{2r+1}{n-3}.$$

Therefore, if the pair  $(n, r)$  satisfies the conditions (4), (5), then we derive  $n=2r+2$ . Notice that

$$d(L_i) = {}_{2r+1}C_i - {}_{2r+1}C_{i-1}, \quad d(2L_1) = r(2r+1).$$

If  $r \geq 3$ , then we can derive that

$$\begin{aligned} d(L_i) \geq 2r+3 \quad \text{for } i = 2, 3, \dots, r; \\ d(2L_1) \geq 2r+3. \end{aligned}$$

If  $r=2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} d(L_1) = 4, \quad d(L_2) = 5, \quad d(2L_1) = 10, \\ d(2L_2) = 14 \quad \text{and} \quad d(L_1+L_2) = 16. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that there is no complex irreducible representation of  $Sp(r)$  of degree  $2r+2$ , for  $r \geq 2$ . Therefore we can derive that  $G$  is not locally isomorphic to  $Sp(r)$ .

(c) Suppose finally that  $G^*$  is isomorphic to  $Spin(k)$  for  $k \geq 5$ . It follows from (1) that

$$n(n-3) \leq k(k-1) < n(n-1).$$

Hence we have  $n = k + 1$ . Suppose  $k = 2r$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(L_i) &= {}_{2r}C_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r-2, \quad d(L_{r-1}) = d(L_r) = 2^{r-1}, \\ d(2L_1) &= (r+1) \cdot (2r-1), \quad d(2L_{r-1}) = d(2L_r) = {}_{2r-1}C_r, \\ d(L_1+L_{r-1}) &= d(L_1+L_r) = (2r-1)2^{r-1}, \text{ and} \\ d(L_{r-1}+L_r) &= {}_{2r}C_{r-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that there is no complex irreducible representation of  $Spin(2r)$  of degree  $2r+1$ . Suppose  $k = 2r+1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(L_i) &= {}_{2r+1}C_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r-1, \quad d(L_r) = 2^r, \\ d(2L_1) &= r(2r+3), \quad d(L_1+L_r) = r \cdot 2^{r+1}, \text{ and} \\ d(2L_r) &= 2^{2r}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that there is no complex irreducible representation of  $Spin(2r+1)$  of degree  $2r+2$  for  $r \neq 3$ , and there is a unique complex irreducible representation of  $Spin(7)$  of degree 8. It is seen that the representation of  $Spin(7)$  has a real form. Therefore we can derive that  $n = 8$  and  $G$  is isomorphic to  $Spin(7)$ . Here  $Spin(7)$  is considered as a closed subgroup of  $O(8)$  by the real spin representation. Then the isomorphism of  $G$  onto  $Spin(7)$  is realized by an inner automorphism of  $O(8)$ .

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.1.

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

---

**References**

- [1] J.F. Adams: Lectures on Lie groups, Benjamin Inc., New York, 1969.
- [2] E.B. Dynkin: *The maximal subgroups of the classical groups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 6 (1957), 245-378.
- [3] H. Grauert: *On Levi's problem and the imbedding of real analytic manifolds*, Ann. of Math. 68 (1958), 460-472.
- [4] V.W. Guillemin and S. Sternberg: *Remarks on a paper of Hermann*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (1968), 110-116.
- [5] C.R. Schneider:  *$SL(2, R)$  actions on surfaces*, Amer. J. Math. 96 (1974), 511-528.
- [6] F. Uchida: *Classification of compact transformation groups on cohomology complex projective spaces with codimension one orbits*, Japan. J. Math. 3 (1977), 141-189.

