

| Title | Add-on effectiveness of methotrexate or iguratimod in patients with rheumatoid arthritis exhibiting an inadequate response to Janus kinase inhibitors: The ANSWER cohort study |
|--------------|---|
| Author(s) | Ebina, Kosuke; Hirano, Toru; Maeda, Yuichi et al. |
| Citation | Modern Rheumatology. 2023, 33(4), p. 690-699 |
| Version Type | АМ |
| URL | https://hdl.handle.net/11094/93986 |
| rights | © 2022 Japan College of Rheumatology. Published by Oxford University Press. All rights reserved. |
| Note | |

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

Osaka University

Original Article $\mathbf{2}$ Title: Add-on effectiveness of methotrexate or iguratimod in patients with rheumatoid arthritis $\mathbf{5}$ exhibiting an inadequate response to Janus kinase inhibitors: The ANSWER cohort study $\mathbf{7}$ **Authors:** Kosuke Ebina^{1*}, Toru Hirano², Yuichi Maeda^{3,4}, Yasutaka Okita³, Yuki Etani⁵, Makoto Hirao⁵, Wataru Yamamoto^{6,7}, Motomu Hashimoto^{7,8}, Koichi Murata⁷, Akira Onishi⁷, Sadao Jinno⁹, Ryota Hara¹⁰, Yonsu Son¹¹, Hideki Amuro¹¹, Takuya Kotani¹², Hideyuki Shiba¹², Masaki Katayama¹³, Keiichi Yamamoto¹⁴, Atsushi Kumanogoh^{3,4}, Seiji Okada⁵, and Ken Nakata¹⁵ **Affiliations:** 1. Department of Musculoskeletal Regenerative Medicine, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan. +81-6-6210-8439. 2. Department of Rheumatology, Nishinomiya Municipal Hospital, Hyogo, Japan. +81-798-64-1515. 3. Department of Respiratory Medicine and Clinical Immunology, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan. +81-6-6879-3831. 4. Integrated Frontier Research for Medical Science Division, Institute for Open and Transdisciplinary Research Initiatives, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan. +81-6-6879-3831. 5. Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan. +81-6-6879-3552.

| 1 | | |
|----------------------|----|--|
| 2 3 | 24 | 6. Department of Health Information Management, Kurashiki Sweet Hospital, Okayama, |
| 4 5 6 | 25 | Japan. +81-86-463-7111. |
| 7 8 | 26 | 7. Department of Advanced Medicine for Rheumatic diseases, Graduate School of Medic |
| 9 10 | 27 | Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan. +81-75-751-3111. |
| 11 12 13 | 28 | 8. Department of Clinical Immunology, Osaka Metropolitan University Graduate School |
| 14 15 | 29 | Medicine, Osaka, Japan. +81-6-6645-2121. |
| 16 17 | 30 | 9. Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Kobe University Graduate Sc |
| 18 19 | 31 | of Medicine, Hyogo, Japan. +81-78-382-5111. |
| 20 21 22 | 32 | 10. Rheumatology Clinic and Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Nara Medical Universit |
| 23 24 | 33 | Nara, Japan. +81-744-22-3051. |
| 25 26 | 34 | 11. First Department of Internal Medicine, Kansai Medical University, Osaka, Japan. +81- |
| 27 28 | 35 | 804-2754. |
| 29 30 31 | 36 | 12. Department of Internal Medicine (IV), Osaka Medical and Pharmaceutical University, |
| 32 33 | 37 | Osaka, Japan. +81-72-683-1221. |
| 34 35 | 38 | 13. Department of Rheumatology, Osaka Red Cross Hospital, Osaka, Japan. +81-6-6-6774 |
| 36 37 | 39 | 5111. |
| 38 39 40 | 40 | 14. Information Technology Center, Wakayama Medical University, Wakayama, Japan. +8 |
| 41 42 | 41 | 447-2300. |
| 43 44 | 42 | 15. Department of Health and Sport Sciences, Osaka University Graduate School of Medic |
| 45 46 | 43 | Osaka, Japan. +81-6-6210-8439. |
| 47 48 49 | 44 | |
| 50 51 52 | 45 | *Corresponding author: |
| 53 54 55 56 | 46 | Phone: +81-6-6210-8439; Fax: +81-6-6210-8438 |
| 57 58 59 | 47 | E-mail: <u>k-ebina@ort.med.osaka-u.ac.jp</u> |
| 60 61 62 | | 2 |
| 63 64 | | |
| 65 | | |

- to University, Kyoto, Japan. +81-75-751-3111.
- artment of Clinical Immunology, Osaka Metropolitan University Graduate School of licine, Osaka, Japan. +81-6-6645-2121.
- artment of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Kobe University Graduate School ledicine, Hyogo, Japan. +81-78-382-5111.
- umatology Clinic and Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Nara Medical University, , Japan. +81-744-22-3051.
- Department of Internal Medicine, Kansai Medical University, Osaka, Japan. +81-72-2754.
- artment of Internal Medicine (IV), Osaka Medical and Pharmaceutical University, ka, Japan. +81-72-683-1221.
- artment of Rheumatology, Osaka Red Cross Hospital, Osaka, Japan. +81-6-6-6774-
- rmation Technology Center, Wakayama Medical University, Wakayama, Japan. +81-73-
- 2300.
 - artment of Health and Sport Sciences, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine,
 - ka, Japan. +81-6-6210-8439.
 - ponding author:
- -81-6-6210-8439; Fax: +81-6-6210-8438
- k-ebina@ort.med.osaka-u.ac.jp

| 48 | E-mail: | |
|----|---------------------------|--|
| 49 | Toru Hirano | med55@nishi-hp.jp |
| 50 | Yuichi Maeda | ymaeda@imed3.med.osaka-u.ac.jp |
| 51 | Yasutaka Okita | y.okita@imed3.med.osaka-u.ac.jp |
| 52 | Yuki Etani | y_etani@hotmail.co.jp |
| 53 | Makoto Hirao | makohira777@gmail.com |
| 54 | Wataru Yamamoto | wyamamoto@wakokai.com |
| 55 | Motomu Hashimoto | hashimoto.motomu@med.osaka-cu.ac.jp |
| 56 | Koichi Murata | kchm@kuhp.kyoto-u.ac.jp |
| 57 | Akira Onishi | telonishi@gmail.com |
| 58 | Sadao Jinno | sadaoj@gmail.com |
| 59 | Ryota Hara | ryo-ta@naramed-u.ac.jp |
| 60 | Yonsu Son | sony@takii.kmu.ac.jp |
| 61 | Hideki Amuro | amuroh@takii.kmu.ac.jp |
| 62 | Takuya Kotani | takuya.kotani@ompu.ac.jp |
| 63 | Masaki Katayama | mkatayama0418@gmail.com |
| 64 | Keiichi Yamamoto | kyamamo@wakayama-med.ac.jp |
| 65 | Atsushi Kumanogoh | kumanogo@imed3.med.osaka-u.ac.jp |
| 66 | Seiji Okada | seokada@ort.med.osaka-u.ac.jp |
| 67 | Ken Nakata | ken.nakata7@gmail.com |
| 68 | | |
| 69 | Number of text pages and | figure legends: 29 pages |
| 70 | Number of tables and figu | ires: 2 tables, 2 supplementary tables, and 4 figures |
| 71 | | |
| | | 3 |
| | | |
| | | |

72 Abstract

73 Objectives

- This multicenter, retrospective study evaluated the effectiveness of add-on methotrexate (MTX)
- or iguratimod (IGU) in patients with rheumatoid arthritis exhibiting an inadequate response to
- 76 Janus kinase inhibitors (JAKi).

77 Methods

- Forty-five patients were treated with new additional MTX (n = 22) or IGU (n = 23) and
- followed for 6 months. Patients' background: age, 59.2 years; Disease activity score of 28 joints
- 80 with C-reactive protein (DAS28-CRP), 3.4; clinical disease activity index (CDAI), 15.7;
- 81 biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drug (DMARD)-switched cases, 77.8%; first JAKi
- 82 cases, 95.6%; JAKi treatment: tofacitinib (n = 25), baricitinib (n = 17), upadacitinib (n = 2), and
- 83 peficitinib (n = 1) for 9.6 months.

84 Results

Thirty-five patients continued the combination therapy for 6 months without significant change

- 86 of concomitant glucocorticoid or other conventional synthetic DMARDs. DAS28-CRP (MTX,
- 87 3.6 to 2.6, *P* < 0.05; IGU, 3.3 to 2.1, *P* < 0.001) and CDAI (MTX, 16.7 to 8.8, *P* < 0.05; IGU,
- 14.6 to 6.5, P < 0.01) improved significantly from baseline. Using the EULAR criteria, 45.4%
- 89 (MTX) and 39.1% (IGU) achieved moderate or good response, and 40.9% (MTX) and 39.1%

| 90 | (IGU) achieved ACR20 criteria. |
|-----|--|
| 91 | Conclusions |
| 92 | Adding MTX or IGU to inadequate responders of JAKi can be considered as a complementary |
| 93 | treatment. |
| 94 | |
| 95 | Keywords |
| 96 | Iguratimod, Inadequate response, Janus kinase inhibitor, Methotrexate, Rheumatoid arthritis |
| 97 | |
| 98 | Introduction |
| 99 | Janus kinase inhibitors (JAKi) suppress the JAK-signal transducer and activator of transcription |
| 100 | (STAT) pathways, leading to inhibition of interleukin (IL)-6, granulocyte macrophage colony- |
| 101 | stimulating factor (GM-CSF), interferon (IFN)- $\alpha/\beta/\gamma$, and other cytokines associated with the |
| 102 | pathology of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) [1]. According to the recommendations of the 2019 |
| 103 | European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR), JAKi are equivalent to other biological |
| 104 | disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (bDMARDs) [2]. However, in patients who cannot use |
| 105 | conventional synthetic (cs) DMARDs as a comedication, anti-IL-6 receptor antibody (aIL-6R) |
| 106 | and targeted synthetic (ts) DMARDs, such as JAKi, may have some advantages compared with |
| 107 | other bDMARDs [2]. In addition, combination therapy with csDMARDs is more effective than |
| | 5 |
| | |

monotherapy for all bDMARDs and tsDMARDs. When methotrexate (MTX) is part of combination therapy, high MTX doses may not be necessary to increase the efficacy (10 mg/week may be sufficient to increase the efficacy) [2]. If treatment with tsDMARD fails, treatment with other bDMARDs or tsDMARDs may be considered, although their efficacy and safety still remain unknown [2]. Recent cohort-based studies have demonstrated that JAKi showed better retention due to effectiveness compared to tumor necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFi) and equivalent retention compared to other non-TNFi, such as aIL-6R [3-5]. Thus, JAKi may have some advantages compared to TNFi when treatment does not include csDMARDs. However, in rare cases, patients exhibit an inadequate response to JAKi (JAKi-IR). If JAKi-IR occurs, no reliable evidence supports the use of bDMARDs or JAKi or adding on specific csDMARDs, may be due to the difficulty in recruiting patients. To avoid multiple JAKi failures, adding on specific csDMARDs to improve JAK-IR may be considered at first. MTX inhibits not only IL-6 but also IL-1 and IL-8 from various cell types [6]. On the other hand, iguratimod (IGU), a novel csDMARD introduced clinically in 2012 in Japan (also known as T-614), inhibits TNF-α, IL-6, IL-1, and IL-8 from various cell types [7]. TNF-α, IL-1, and IL-8 play important roles in the pathology of RA, although they are not directly involved in the JAK

| 125 | pathway [8-13]. We hypothesized that in patients with JAKi-IR, new administration of MTX or |
|-----|---|
| 126 | IGU may improve the efficacy of JAKi, by inhibiting key cytokines that are not directly involved |
| 127 | in JAK pathways. Japan is the only country to approve five JAKi, including tofacitinib (TOF; |
| 128 | 2013), baricitinib (BAR; 2017), peficitinib (PEF; 2019), upadacitinib (UPA; 2020), and filgotinib |
| 129 | (FIL; 2020). In addition, a multicenter cohort study may have some advantages in the recruitment |
| 130 | of rare cases such as JAKi-IR. |
| 131 | |
| | |
| 132 | Materials and Methods |
| 133 | Patients |
| 134 | The Kansai Consortium for Well-being of Rheumatic Disease Patients (ANSWER) cohort is an |
| 135 | observational, multicenter registry, which collects data from every out-patient visit of RA |
| 136 | patients in the Kansai district of Japan [5, 14-19]. Data were retrospectively collected from |
| 137 | patients who were examined at seven major university-related hospitals (Kyoto University, |
| 138 | Osaka University, Osaka Medical and Pharmaceutical University, Kansai Medical University, |
| 139 | Kobe University, Nara Medical University, and Osaka Red Cross Hospital). RA was diagnosed |
| 140 | based on the 1987 RA classification criteria of the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) |
| 141 | [20] or the 2010 ACR/EULAR RA classification criteria [21]. In Japan, public national health |
| | 7 |
| | , |

| 142 | insurance covers 70%–90% of medical expenses, and csDMARDs, bDMARDs, or JAKi can be |
|-----|--|
| 143 | administered at the discretion of attending rheumatologists, in accordance with the Japan |
| 144 | College of Rheumatology guidelines [22]. The dose of each agent was based on manufacturers' |
| 145 | recommendations. The oral glucocorticoid dose was calculated as the prednisolone equivalent. |
| 146 | The inclusion criteria for this study were as follows: 1) inadequate response to JAKi followed |
| 147 | by new additional administration of MTX (the MTX group) or IGU (the IGU group) from 2014 |
| 148 | to 2021; 2) follow-up of at least 6 months after MTX or IGU administration, and 3) the |
| 149 | combined prednisolone (PSL) or other csDMARDs were at least not increased during the 6 |
| 150 | months of the study. An inadequate response to JAKi was defined based on previous reports |
| 151 | [23, 24], and included all of the following: 1) JAKi was used at least 1 month before additional |
| 152 | MTX or IGU administration; 2) the clinical disease activity index (CDAI) score > 2.8 (more |
| 153 | than low disease activity) [21] at the time of MTX or IGU administration; and 3) either tender |
| 154 | joint count (TJC), swollen joint count (SJC), patient global assessment of disease activity (Pt- |
| 155 | GA), or physician global assessment of disease activity (Ph-GA) were the same or increased |
| 156 | compared to the disease activity 1–3 months before MTX or IGU administration. |
| 157 | Primary nonresponders were defined as patients who exhibited an inadequate response to JAKi |
| 158 | within 3 months after JAKi initiation, and secondary nonresponders were defined as patients |
| 159 | who exhibited an inadequate response to JAKi more than 3 months after JAKi initiation [23]. In |
| | 8 |

| 160 | addition to the JAKi, patients were treated with MTX 2-8 mg/week or IGU 25 mg/day at |
|-----|--|
| 161 | baseline, and the MTX or IGU were increased to 16 mg/week or 50 mg/day, respectively, at the |
| 162 | discretion of the physician in accordance with the Japan College of Rheumatology guidelines |
| 163 | for the use of methotrexate and the manufacturers' recommendations [25]. Effectiveness and |
| 164 | safety were evaluated at 1, 3, and 6 months after MTX or IGU administration. |
| 165 | |
| 166 | Outcome variables |
| 167 | Disease activity was assessed by serum C-reactive protein (CRP), erythrocyte sedimentation |
| 168 | rate (ESR), serum matrix metalloproteinase-3 (MMP-3), and rheumatoid factor (RF). For |
| 169 | composite measures, the TJC of 28 joints, SJC of 28 joints, Pt-GA (100 mm), Ph-GA (100 mm), |
| 170 | disease activity score of 28 joints (DAS28) with CRP (DAS28-CRP) [26], and the CDAI score |
| 171 | were evaluated. The DAS28-CRP was divided into four categories: remission (\leq 2.3), low |
| 172 | disease activity $(2.3-2.7)$, moderate disease activity $(2.7-4.1)$, and high disease activity (> 4.1) . |
| 173 | The CDAI was divided into four categories: remission (≤ 2.8), low disease activity (2.8–10), |
| 174 | moderate disease activity (10–22), and high disease activity (> 22) [27]. Observations points |
| 175 | made at the following times: 1-3 months before the start of MTX or IGU (before IR), at the |
| 176 | start of MTX or IGU (baseline), and 1, 3, and 6 months after the administration of MTX or |
| | 9 |
| | |

| 177 | IGU. Clinical responses were defined by the ACR as 20% improvement criteria [28] and |
|-----|---|
| 178 | EULAR response criteria [26]. |
| 179 | |
| 180 | Statistical analysis |
| 181 | Longitudinal changes of each parameter before and after MTX or IGU administration were |
| 182 | examined using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test or chi-squared test. The data of patients who |
| 183 | dropped out of the combination therapy were calculated as a missing value. Statistical analyses |
| 184 | were performed using EZR (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Saitama, Japan), |
| 185 | which is a graphical user interface for R software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, |
| 186 | Vienna, Austria) [29]. A two-sided <i>P</i> -value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. |
| 187 | |
| 188 | Results |
| 189 | Demographic data and concomitant medications |
| 190 | The clinical characteristics at baseline and 6 months for patients in the MTX group ($n = 22$) are |
| 191 | shown in Table 1. Eighteen patients (81.8%) continued the combination therapy for 6 months. |
| 192 | Two patients discontinued treatment due to ineffectiveness, and two patients discontinued |
| | 10 |

| | 11 |
|-----|---|
| 210 | observed in the mean doses and prescription rates of MTX or PSL, and the prescription rate of |
| 209 | treated with MTX at a mean dose of 8.2 mg/week at baseline. No significant changes were |
| 208 | increased to 50. 0 mg/day in 11 patients). Twelve patients (52.2%) in the IGU group were |
| 207 | add-on IGU dose was 25.0 mg/day at baseline and 37.0 mg/day at 6 months (IGU were |
| 206 | Four patients were primary nonresponders, and 19 patients were secondary nonresponders. The |
| 205 | treatment was TOF ($n = 11$), BAR ($n = 10$), and UPA ($n = 2$), for an average of 10.4 months. |
| 204 | treatment discontinuation. All patients in the IGU group were treated with the first JAKi. JAKi |
| 203 | six patients discontinued treatment due to ineffectiveness. No serious adverse events led to |
| 202 | shown in Table 2. Seventeen patients (73.9%) continued the combination therapy for 6 months; |
| 201 | The clinical characteristics at baseline and 6 months of patients in the IGU group $(n = 23)$ are |
| 200 | (SASP), and tacrolimus (TAC), did not significantly change throughout the study. |
| 199 | including leflunomide (LEF), iguratimod (IGU), bucillamine (BUC), salazosulfapyridine |
| 198 | were observed in the mean doses and PSL. The prescription rates for other csDMARDs, |
| 109 | were observed in the mean desses and DSL. The prescription rates for other asDMAPDs |
| 197 | mean dose was 6.0 mg/week at baseline and 7.5 mg/week at 6 months. No significant changes |
| 196 | were primary nonresponders, and 15 patients were secondary nonresponders. The add-on MTX |
| 195 | was TOF (n = 14), BAR (n = 7), and PEF (n = 1), for an average of 8.7 months. Seven patients |
| 194 | Twenty patients in the MTX group (90.9%) were treated with the first JAKi. JAKi treatment |
| 193 | treatment due to changing hospitals. No serious adverse events led to treatment discontinuation. |

211 other csDMARDs did not significantly change throughout the study.

Patients were older, disease duration was longer, eGFR was lower, and disease activity was lower in the IGU group compared with these parameters in the MTX group. The attending physicians may have treated elderly patients with IGU rather than MTX due to lower renal function and lower disease activity. Effectiveness Fig. 1 shows the longitudinal changes in laboratory parameters, including serum CRP, ESR, MMP-3, and RF. CRP levels decreased significantly in the IGU group at 6 months (P = 0.039) compared to the levels at baseline. MMP-3 levels decreased from 1 month (P = 0.011) to 6 months (P = 0.016) compared with levels at baseline in the IGU group. RF decreased significantly from 3 months (P = 0.0086) to 6 months (P = 0.013) compared with levels at baseline in the MTX group. Fig. 2 shows longitudinal changes in clinical variables associated with disease activity, including TJC, SJC, Pt-GA, and Ph-GA. In the MTX group, SJC significantly decreased from 3 months (P = 0.005) to 6 months (P = 0.012), Pt-GA significantly decreased from 3 months (P =

| 227 | 0.0021) to 6 months ($P = 0.018$), and Ph-GA significantly decreased from 3 months ($P =$ |
|-----|--|
| 228 | 0.0020) to 6 months ($P = 0.0041$) compared with these parameters at baseline. In the IGU |
| 229 | group, TJC significantly decreased at 6 months ($P = 0.0079$), Pt-GA significantly decreased |
| 230 | from 3 months ($P = 0.041$) to 6 months ($P = 0.041$), and Ph-GA significantly decreased at 6 |
| 231 | months ($P = 0.0053$) compared with these parameters at baseline. |
| 232 | Fig. 3 a-b shows longitudinal changes in composite measures of disease activity, including |
| 233 | DAS28-CRP and CDAI. In the MTX group, DAS28-CRP significantly decreased from 3 |
| 234 | months ($P = 0.025$) to 6 months ($P = 0.036$) compared with levels at baseline. In the IGU group, |
| 235 | DAS28-CRP significantly decreased from 3 months ($P < 0.001$) to 6 months ($P < 0.001$) |
| 236 | compared with levels at baseline. In the MTX group, CDAI significantly decreased from 3 |
| 237 | months ($P = 0.0016$) to 6 months ($P = 0.014$) compared with levels at baseline. In the IGU |
| 238 | group, CDAI significantly decreased at 6 months ($P = 0.0024$) compared with levels at baseline. |
| 239 | Fig. 3 c-e shows treatment responses. The percentages of patients who achieved ACR 20 in the |
| 240 | MTX group were 27.3%, 45.5%, and 40.9% at 1, 3, and 6 months, respectively. The |
| 241 | percentages of patients who achieved ACR 20 in the IGU group were 21.7%, 26.1%, and 39.1% |
| 242 | at 1, 3, and 6 months, respectively (Fig. 3c). Based on the EULAR treatment response, 22.7% of |
| 243 | patients showed a moderate response and 22.7% showed a good response at 3 to 6 months in the |
| | |
| | 13 |

MTX group (Fig. 3d). In the IGU group, 17.4% of patients showed a moderate response and 21.7% of patients showed a good response at 6 months (Fig. 3e). Fig. 4 shows longitudinal changes in disease activity distribution and treatment response. Based on the DAS28-CRP, in the MTX group, 77.3% of patients had moderate or high disease activity at baseline, which decreased to 27.3% at 6 months (Fig. 4a). In the IGU group, 65.2% of patients had moderate or high disease activity at baseline, which decreased to 21.7% at 6 months (Fig. 4b). Based on CDAI, in the MTX group, 59.1% of patients had moderate or high disease activity at baseline, which decreased to 27.3% at 6 months (Fig. 4c). In the IGU group, 56.5% of patients had moderate or high disease activity at baseline, which decreased to 21.7% at 6 months (Fig. 4d). Factors associated with treatment responses At 6 months in the MTX group, no significant differences were observed between EULAR moderate or good responders (n = 10) and nonresponders (n = 10) (excluding the 2 patients who changed hospitals) in baseline age, disease duration, RF and anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibody (ACPA) positivity, DAS28-CRP, CDAI, the ratio of primary or secondary nonresponders, and combined JAKi, PSL, or other csDMARDs. However, the number of

| 261 | previously treated JAKi or bDMARDs (1.1 \pm 1.2) in the responder group was lower compared |
|-----|--|
| 262 | to that of the nonresponder group (4.0 ± 2.7) (<i>P</i> = 0.0089). In the responder group, 50% of the |
| 263 | cases were JAKi or bDMARDs naïve; in the nonresponder group, 80% of the patients had been |
| 264 | treated with more than three JAKi or bDMARDs. In addition, the responder group tended to |
| 265 | have a lower rate of previous aIL-6R treatment (30%) compared to the rate in the nonresponder |
| 266 | group (80%) ($P = 0.070$) (Supplementary Table 1). Moreover, 50% ($n = 11/22$) of patients were |
| 267 | previously treated by MTX, and the ratio of the EULAR moderate or good responders was |
| 268 | 63.6% (n = 7/11) in the MTX-naïve group and 33.3% (n = 3/9) in the MTX-experienced group |
| 269 | ($P = 0.37$). Considering CRP as an alternative marker of IL-6, 50% ($n = 10/20$) of patients |
| 270 | showed CRP > 0.30 mg/dl at baseline. Finally, the ratio of the EULAR moderate or good |
| 271 | responders was 60.0% (n = $6/10$) in the low-CRP group and 40.0% (n = $4/10$) in the high-CRP |
| 272 | group ($P = 0.66$). |
| 273 | At 6 months in the IGU group, no significant differences were observed between EULAR |
| 274 | moderate or good responders ($n = 9$) and nonresponders ($n = 14$) in baseline age, RF and ACPA |
| 275 | positivity, DAS28-CRP, CDAI, the ratio of primary or secondary nonresponders, and combined |
| 276 | JAKi, PSL, or MTX and other csDMARDs. However, the disease duration was longer in the |
| 277 | responder group (21.3 \pm 9.4 years) compared to the disease duration in the nonresponder group |
| 278 | $(10.5 \pm 7.9 \text{ years}) (P = 0.0098)$ (Supplementary Table 2). In the IGU group, 47.8% (n = 11/23) |

| 279 | of patients showed $CRP > 0.30 \text{ mg/dl}$ at baseline. Finally, the ratio of the EULAR moderate or |
|-----|--|
| 280 | good responders was 33.3% (n = $4/12$) in the low-CRP group and 45.5% (n = $5/11$) in the high- |
| 281 | CRP group ($P = 0.68$). |
| 282 | |
| 283 | Discussion |
| 284 | To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the effectiveness of adding |
| 285 | MTX or IGU to the treatment regime in patients with JAKi-IR. To date, little is known about |
| 286 | the detailed mechanisms of JAKi-IR. Regarding predictors of JAKi treatment response, |
| 287 | seropositive (ACPA positive) RA patients are more likely to achieve ACR20/50/70 than |
| 288 | seronegative patients when treated with TOF [30]. In addition to seropositivity, patients with |
| 289 | RA-associated interstitial lung disease (RA-ILD) tend to show higher treatment responses to |
| 290 | JAKi [31]. The ACPA titer is associated with the presence of RA-ILD [32], which are both |
| 291 | related to the JAK-STAT pathway [33, 34]. However, in this study, ACPA positivity in JAKi- |
| 292 | IR patients was similar to our previous reports, including most of the JAKi treated patients [3, 5, |
| 293 | 14]. In addition, we failed to obtain enough data to determine the association with RA-ILD. |
| 294 | IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, IL-23, GM-CSF, and IFN are directly involved in the JAK-STAT pathway, |
| 295 | while TNF- α , IL-1, and IL-17 are not [35]. A recent in vitro report demonstrated that JAKi, |
| | 16 |
| | |

| 296 | such as TOF, BAR, FIL, and UPA, may inhibit 43%–55% of IL-6-induced phosphorylation of |
|-----|---|
| 297 | STAT1 in monocytes when used at the standard dose [36]. On the other hand, aIL-6R may |
| 298 | occupy more than 95% of the IL-6R when used at a clinically high dose, according to an in vitro |
| 299 | simulation [37]. Taken together, JAKi-IR may occur in 1) patients that is dominated by |
| 300 | cytokines, such as TNF- α , IL-1, and IL-17, which are not directly involved in the JAK-STAT |
| 301 | pathway or 2) patients dominated by very high levels of IL-6, which cannot be sufficiently |
| 302 | suppressed by JAKi. To rescue these respective patients, 1) adding csDMARDs that can inhibit |
| 303 | TNF-α, IL-1, and IL-17 may be hopeful, and 2) adding csDMARDs that can further inhibit IL-6 |
| 304 | by pathways other than the JAK-STAT pathway may be hopeful. |
| | |
| 305 | MTX is a folic acid antagonist, which inhibits aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide |
| 306 | transformylase, leading to increased adenosine release and activation of adenosine receptor A2a |
| 307 | and inhibition of nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-kB) activation [38]. Consequently, MTX inhibits |
| 308 | the activity or production of not only IL-6 but also IL-1 and IL-8, which are important in RA |
| 309 | pathology but not directly involved in the JAK-STAT pathway [6]. In addition, MTX increases |
| 310 | gene expression of anti-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-4 and IL-10, which inhibit arthritis |
| 311 | progression but are inhibited by JAKi [39, 40]. MTX also inhibits angiogenesis, neutrophil |
| 312 | chemotaxis, and expression of metalloproteinase and adhesion molecules in synovial fibroblast, |
| 313 | which may lead to further inhibition of synovitis [6]. Indeed, the BAR + MTX combination was |
| | 17 |

IGU inhibits NF-κB activation by interfering with NF-κB translocation from the cytoplasm to the nucleus without affecting the degradation of $I\kappa B-\alpha$ [7]. Consequently, IGU inhibits not only IL-6 and GM-CSF but also TNF- α , IL-1 β , and IL-8 from synovial cells and monocytic cells [8-13]. Moreover, a recent report showed that IGU markedly decreased IL-6-induced IL-17 and MMP-3 levels in synovial fibroblasts from RA patients [42]. These pro-inflammatory cytokines play important roles in the pathology of RA, although they are not directly involved in the JAK pathway. Taken together, these unique modes of action of MTX and IGU that are not directly involved in the JAK pathway may play complementary roles in patients with JAKi-IR. Determining which patients will respond to each add-on therapy is important. MTX-responders, based on the EULAR criteria, were comprised of a lower number of patients with previous JAKi or bDMARDs treatments and tended to have lower rates of previous aIL-6R treatment compared with the nonresponder group. Of note, only 4.5% of patients in the MTX group also received IGU. On the other hand, IGU-responders had a longer disease duration compared to nonresponders but showed no apparent tendency for other clinical backgrounds. In the IGU group, 52.2% of patients were also treated with MTX. Adding on MTX may be more effective in patients without previous aIL-6R treatment because aIL-6R-IR patients may have RA

more effective compared to BAR monotherapy, especially in radiographic progression [41].

| 331 | strongly dominated by other cytokines rather than IL-6, and MTX mainly inhibits IL-6 [6]. IGU |
|-----|---|
| 332 | inhibits both JAK-related (IL-6 and GM-CSF) and non-JAK-related (TNF- α , IL-1 β , and IL-8) |
| 333 | pro-inflammatory cytokines [7]. Adding IGU to JAKi-IR patients who are intolerant to MTX, |
| 334 | patients who are already added MTX but showed poor response, or with multi-bDMARDs-IR |
| 335 | (including aIL-6R) may be a viable strategy. |
| 336 | The effectiveness of low-dose MTX in Japanese populations should be mentioned. Intra- |
| 337 | erythrocyte MTX-polyglutamate concentration, which is a useful biomarker of MTX efficacy, |
| 338 | was 65 nmol/L with 13.4 mg/week MTX treatment in patients from the United States but |
| 339 | reached 94 nmol/L with 10.3 mg/week MTX treatment in Japanese patients [43]. |
| 340 | There are several limitations to this study. This was a retrospective, cohort-based study; |
| 341 | therefore, patients were not randomized and the effectiveness of MTX and IGU was not |
| 342 | compared. Because JAKi-IR is a rare condition, the number of patients who met the inclusion |
| 343 | criteria was relatively small. Most patients were treated by either TOF or BAR, and the |
| 344 | effectiveness in other JAKi should be investigated in future studies. Comorbidities like RA- |
| 345 | ILD, which could potentially affect drug selection and retention, were not evaluated. Most of |
| 346 | the patients were treated with the first JAKi, and the effectiveness in multi-JAKi-IR patients |
| 347 | remains unclear. In the MTX group, 50% ($n = 11/22$) of patients were previously treated by |
| | |

| 348 | MTX, but the reasons of MTX discontinuation remained unclear. In the IGU group, 52.2% (n = |
|-----|---|
| 349 | 12/23) of patients were combined with MTX. The adverse effects might have been |
| 350 | underestimated due to the small number of patients and the short duration of follow-up. |
| 351 | Whether this combination therapy protects the joints from radiographic damage should be |
| 352 | evaluated in prospective, randomized, and longer-duration studies. |
| 353 | In conclusion, the results of this retrospective study demonstrated that new add-on use of MTX |
| 000 | in conclusion, the results of this real spectric study demonstrated that new add on use of mining |
| 354 | or IGU is an effective complementary therapy for JAKi-refractory RA patients, especially those |
| 355 | who are treated by the first JAKi. |
| 356 | |
| 357 | Acknowledgments |
| 358 | We thank all the medical staff at all the institutions who participated in the ANSWER cohort for |
| 359 | providing the data. |
| 360 | |
| 361 | Funding |
| 362 | The study reported in this publication uses the ANSWER Cohort, was supported by grants from |
| 363 | 11 pharmaceutical companies (AbbVie GK, Asahi-Kasei, Ayumi, Chugai, Eisai, Eli Lilly, |
| 364 | Janssen K.K, Ono, Sanofi K.K, Teijin Healthcare, and UCB Japan) and an information technology |
| 365 | service company (CAC). This study was conducted as an investigator-initiated study. These |
| | 20 |

366 companies had no roles in the study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or367 writing of the report.

369 Conflict of interests

KE is affiliated with the Department of Musculoskeletal Regenerative Medicine, Osaka University, Graduate School of Medicine, which is supported by Taisho. KE has received research grants from AbbVie, Amgen, Asahi-Kasei, Astellas, Chugai, Eisai, Mitsubishi-Tanabe, Ono Pharmaceutical, Teijin Pharma, and UCB Japan. KE has received payments for lectures from AbbVie, Amgen, Asahi-Kasei, Astellas, Ayumi, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Chugai, Eisai, Eli Lilly, Janssen, Mitsubishi-Tanabe, Ono Pharmaceutical, Pfizer, Sanofi, and UCB Japan. TH received a research grant and/or speaker fee from Astellas, Chugai, GlaxoSmithKline, Nippon Shinyaku, and Eisai. YM received a research grant and/or speaker fee from Eli Lilly, Chugai, Pfizer, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and Mitsubishi-Tanabe. MHirao received a speaker fee from Astellas, Ono Pharmaceutical, Eli Lilly, Mitsubishi-Tanabe, Pfizer, Ayumi, and Takeda. MHashimoto received a research grant and/or speaker fee from Mitsubishi-Tanabe, Eisai, Eli Lilly, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and Novartis Pharma. KM is affiliated with a department that is financially supported by fiver pharmaceutical companies (Asahi-Kasei, Mitsubishi-Tanabe, Chugai, Ayumi, and UCB Japan) and the city governments (Nagahama City and Toyooka City).

| 384 | KM received a speaker fee from Eisai, AbbVie, Amgen, Asahi-Kasei, Astellas, Chugai, Eisai, |
|-----|---|
| 385 | Mitsubishi-Tanabe, Daiichi Sankyo and Janssen Pharmaceutical. AO received a speaker fee |
| 386 | from Chugai, Ono Pharmaceutical, Eli Lilly, Mitsubishi-Tanabe, Asahi-Kasei, and Takeda. SJ |
| 387 | has received speaking fees from AbbVie, Asahi-Kasei, Bristol-Myers Squib, Chugai, Eisai, Eli |
| 388 | Lilly, Janssen Pharmaceutical, Mitsubishi-Tanabe, and Ono Pharmaceutical. RH received a |
| 389 | speaker fee from AbbVie and Eisai. HA received speaker fee from Chugai. TK and HS are |
| 390 | affiliated with a department that is financially supported by six pharmaceutical companies |
| 391 | (Mitsubishi-Tanabe, Asahi-Kasei, AbbVie, Chugai, Eisai, and Takeda). TK received a speaker |
| 392 | fee from AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Chugai, Eisai, Eli Lilly, Pfizer. AK received a research |
| 393 | grant and/or speaker fee from Mitsubishi-Tanabe, Chugai, Eisai, Asahi-Kasei, Astellas, AbbVie, |
| 394 | Bristol-Myers Squibb, Ono Pharmaceutical, and Pfizer. KN has received a research grant from |
| 395 | Astellas, and supervises the Department of Musculoskeletal Regenerative Medicine, Osaka |
| 396 | University, Graduate School of Medicine, which is supported by Taisho. YO, YE, WY, YS, |
| 397 | MK, KY, and SO have no financial conflicts of interest to disclose concerning this manuscript. |
| 398 | These companies had no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, data |
| 399 | interpretation, and preparation of the manuscript. |
| 400 | |
| 401 | Ethical approval |
| | |

| 402 | The representative facility of this registry was Kyoto University, and this observational study |
|-----|---|
| 403 | was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, with the approval of the ethics |
| 404 | committees of the following seven institutes: Kyoto University (2016-03-24/approval No. |
| 405 | R053), Osaka University (2015-11-04/approval No. 15300), Osaka Medical and Pharmaceutical |
| 406 | University (2014-07-14/approval No. 1529), Kansai Medical University (2017-11-21/approval |
| 407 | No. 2014625), Kobe University (2015-03-20/approval No. 1738), Nara Medial University |
| 408 | (2018-01-23/approval No. 1692), and Osaka Red Cross Hospital (2015-09-01/approval No. |
| 409 | 644). The board of the Osaka University Hospital Ethics Committee waived the requirement for |
| 410 | patient informed consent because of the anonymous nature of the data. Written informed |
| 411 | consent was obtained from the participants in other institutes. |
| 412 | |
| 413 | Authors' contributions |
| 414 | KE was responsible for conception and design. KE, TH, YM, YO, MHashimoto, KM, AO, SJ, |
| 415 | RH, TK, HS, YS, HA, MK, and EY contributed to data extraction and interpretation. KE, WY, |
| 416 | and KY contributed to the design and conduction of statistical analysis. KE prepared the |
| 417 | manuscript. AK, MHirao, SO, and KN supervised the manuscript. All the authors read and |
| 418 | approved the final manuscript. |
| 419 | |
| | |

References

422 1. Winthrop KL. The emerging safety profile of JAK inhibitors in rheumatic disease. Nat Rev
423 Rheumatol. 2017,13(4): 234-43.

Smolen JS, Landewe RBM, Bijlsma JWJ, Burmester GR, Dougados M, Kerschbaumer A, et al.
EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis with synthetic and biological
disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: 2019 update. Ann Rheum Dis. 2020,79(6): 685-99.

427 3. Ebina K, Hirano T, Maeda Y, Yamamoto W, Hashimoto M, Murata K, et al. Drug retention of
428 sarilumab, baricitinib, and tofacitinib in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: the ANSWER cohort study. Clin
429 Rheumatol. 2021,40(7): 2673-80.

430 4. Ebina K, Hirano T, Maeda Y, Yamamoto W, Hashimoto M, Murata K, et al. Drug retention of
431 secondary biologics or JAK inhibitors after tocilizumab or abatacept failure as first biologics in patients
432 with rheumatoid arthritis -the ANSWER cohort study. Clin Rheumatol. 2020,39(9): 2563-72.

433 5. Ebina K, Hirano T, Maeda Y, Yamamoto W, Hashimoto M, Murata K, et al. Drug retention of 7
434 biologics and tofacitinib in biologics-naive and biologics-switched patients with rheumatoid arthritis: the
435 ANSWER cohort study. Arthritis Res Ther. 2020,22(1): 142.

436 6. Swierkot J, Szechinski J. Methotrexate in rheumatoid arthritis. Pharmacol Rep. 2006,58(4): 473-437 92.

438 7. Xie S, Li S, Tian J, Li F. Iguratimod as a New Drug for Rheumatoid Arthritis: Current Landscape.
439 Front Pharmacol. 2020,11: 73.

440 8. Aikawa Y, Tanuma N, Shin T, Makino S, Tanaka K, Matsumoto Y. A new anti-rheumatic drug,
441 T-614, effectively suppresses the development of autoimmune encephalomyelitis. J Neuroimmunol.
442 1998,89(1-2): 35-42.

443 9. Aikawa Y, Yamamoto M, Yamamoto T, Morimoto K, Tanaka K. An anti-rheumatic agent T-614
444 inhibits NF-kappaB activation in LPS- and TNF-alpha-stimulated THP-1 cells without interfering with
445 IkappaBalpha degradation. Inflamm Res. 2002,51(4): 188-94.

446 10. Du F, Lu LJ, Fu Q, Dai M, Teng JL, Fan W, et al. T-614, a novel immunomodulator, attenuates
447 joint inflammation and articular damage in collagen-induced arthritis. Arthritis Res Ther. 2008,10(6): R136.
448 11. Kawakami A, Tsuboi M, Urayama S, Matsuoka N, Yamasaki S, Hida A, et al. Inhibitory effect

of a new anti-rheumatic drug T-614 on costimulatory molecule expression, cytokine production, and antigen
presentation by synovial cells. J Lab Clin Med. 1999,133(6): 566-74.

451 12. Tanaka K, Aikawa Y, Kawasaki H, Asaoka K, Inaba T, Yoshida C. Pharmacological studies on
452 3-formylamino-7-methylsulfonylamino-6-phenoxy-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (T-614), a novel
453 antiinflammatory agent. 4th communication: inhibitory effect on the production of interleukin-1 and

454 interleukin-6. J Pharmacobiodyn. 1992,15(11): 649-55.

Tanaka K, Urata N, Mikami M, Ogasawara M, Matsunaga T, Terashima N, et al. Effect of
iguratimod and other anti-rheumatic drugs on adenocarcinoma colon 26-induced cachexia in mice. Inflamm
Res. 2007,56(1): 17-23.

458 14. Ebina K, Hirano T, Maeda Y, Yamamoto W, Hashimoto M, Murata K, et al. Factors affecting
459 drug retention of Janus kinase inhibitors in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: the ANSWER cohort study.
460 Sci Rep. 2022,12(1): 134.

461 15. Jinno S, Onishi A, Dubreuil M, Hashimoto M, Yamamoto W, Murata K, et al. Comparison of the
462 drug retention and reasons for discontinuation of tumor necrosis factor inhibitors and interleukin-6
463 inhibitors in Japanese patients with elderly-onset rheumatoid arthritis-the ANSWER cohort study. Arthritis
464 Res Ther. 2021,23(1): 116.

465 16. Maeda Y, Hirano T, Ebina K, Hara R, Hashimoto M, Yamamoto W, et al. Comparison of efficacy
 466 between anti-IL-6 receptor antibody and other biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs in the
 467 patients with rheumatoid arthritis who have knee joint involvement: the ANSWER cohort, retrospective
 468 study. Rheumatol Int. 2021.

469 17. Murata K, Uozumi R, Hashimoto M, Ebina K, Akashi K, Onishi A, et al. The real-world
470 effectiveness of anti-RANKL antibody denosumab on the clinical fracture prevention in patients with
471 rheumatoid arthritis: The ANSWER cohort study. Mod Rheumatol. 2021.

472 18. Nakayama Y, Hashimoto M, Watanabe R, Murakami K, Murata K, Tanaka M, et al. Favorable
 473 clinical response and drug retention of anti-IL-6 receptor inhibitor in rheumatoid arthritis with high CRP
 474 levels: the ANSWER cohort study. Scand J Rheumatol. 2021: 1-10.

475 19. Onishi A, Akashi K, Yamamoto W, Ebina K, Murata K, Hara R, et al. The Association of Disease
476 Activity and Estimated GFR in Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis: Findings From the ANSWER Study.
477 Am J Kidney Dis. 2021,78(5): 761-4.

40
478
478
479
479
479
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
480
<

45
481
481
481
482
482
483
483
483
484
483
484
483
484
483
484
483
484
483
484
484
484
485
485
486
486
486
487
487
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
488
<

484 22. Kawahito Y. [Guidelines for the management of rheumatoid arthritis]. Nihon Rinsho. 2016,74(6):
 485 939-43.

- 486
 486
 487
 487
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
- 58 489 24. Kaneshiro S, Ebina K, Hirao M, Tsuboi H, Nishikawa M, Nampei A, et al. The efficacy and 59

490 safety of additional administration of tacrolimus in patients with rheumatoid arthritis who showed an491 inadequate response to tocilizumab. Mod Rheumatol. 2017,27(1): 42-9.

492 25. Kameda H, Fujii T, Nakajima A, Koike R, Sagawa A, Kanbe K, et al. Japan College of
493 Rheumatology guideline for the use of methotrexate in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Mod Rheumatol.
494 2019,29(1): 31-40.

495 26. van Gestel AM, Prevoo ML, van 't Hof MA, van Rijswijk MH, van de Putte LB, van Riel PL.
496 Development and validation of the European League Against Rheumatism response criteria for rheumatoid
497 arthritis. Comparison with the preliminary American College of Rheumatology and the World Health
498 Organization/International League Against Rheumatism Criteria. Arthritis Rheum. 1996,39(1): 34-40.

499 27. Aletaha D, Smolen J. The Simplified Disease Activity Index (SDAI) and the Clinical Disease
500 Activity Index (CDAI): a review of their usefulness and validity in rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Exp
501 Rheumatol. 2005,23(5 Suppl 39): S100-8.

502 28. Felson DT, Anderson JJ, Boers M, Bombardier C, Furst D, Goldsmith C, et al. American College
503 of Rheumatology. Preliminary definition of improvement in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum.
504 1995,38(6): 727-35.

505 29. Kanda Y. Investigation of the freely available easy-to-use software 'EZR' for medical statistics. 506 Bone Marrow Transplant. 2013,48(3): 452-8.

507 30. Bird P, Hall S, Nash P, Connell CA, Kwok K, Witcombe D, et al. Treatment outcomes in patients 508 with seropositive versus seronegative rheumatoid arthritis in Phase III randomised clinical trials of 509 tofacitinib. RMD Open. 2019,5(1): e000742.

510 31. Sugawara M, Fujieda Y, Noguchi A, Tanimura S, Shimizu Y, Nakagawa I, et al. Prediction of the
 511 intolerance or non-responder to Janus kinase inhibitors in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a preliminary
 512 retrospective study with integrative cluster analysis. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2021.

513 32. Rocha-Munoz AD, Ponce-Guarneros M, Gamez-Nava JI, Olivas-Flores EM, Mejia M, Juarez 514 Contreras P, et al. Anti-Cyclic Citrullinated Peptide Antibodies and Severity of Interstitial Lung Disease in
 515 Women with Rheumatoid Arthritis. J Immunol Res. 2015,2015: 151626.

516 33. Montero P, Milara J, Roger I, Cortijo J. Role of JAK/STAT in Interstitial Lung Diseases;
517 Molecular and Cellular Mechanisms. Int J Mol Sci. 2021,22(12).

51834.Orsolini G, Fassio A, Rossini M, Adami G, Giollo A, Caimmi C, et al. Effects of biological and519targeted synthetic DMARDs on bone loss in rheumatoid arthritis. Pharmacol Res. 2019,147: 104354.

520 35. Winthrop KL, Wouters AG, Choy EH, Soma K, Hodge JA, Nduaka CI, et al. The Safety and
 521 Immunogenicity of Live Zoster Vaccination in Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis Before Starting
 522 Tofacitinib: A Randomized Phase II Trial. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2017,69(10): 1969-77.

523 36. Traves PG, Murray B, Campigotto F, Galien R, Meng A, Di Paolo JA. JAK selectivity and the
524 implications for clinical inhibition of pharmacodynamic cytokine signalling by filgotinib, upadacitinib,
525 tofacitinib and baricitinib. Ann Rheum Dis. 2021,80(7): 865-75.

37. Xu C, Rafique A, Potocky T, Paccaly A, Nolain P, Lu Q, et al. Differential Binding of Sarilumab and Tocilizumab to IL-6Ralpha and Effects of Receptor Occupancy on Clinical Parameters. J Clin Pharmacol. 2021,61(5): 714-24. 38. Cronstein BN, Aune TM. Methotrexate and its mechanisms of action in inflammatory arthritis. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2020,16(3): 145-54. 39. Apparailly F, Verwaerde C, Jacquet C, Auriault C, Sany J, Jorgensen C. Adenovirus-mediated transfer of viral IL-10 gene inhibits murine collagen-induced arthritis. J Immunol. 1998,160(11): 5213-20. 40. Lubberts E, Joosten LA, van Den Bersselaar L, Helsen MM, Bakker AC, van Meurs JB, et al. Adenoviral vector-mediated overexpression of IL-4 in the knee joint of mice with collagen-induced arthritis prevents cartilage destruction. J Immunol. 1999,163(8): 4546-56. 41. Fleischmann R, Schiff M, van der Heijde D, Ramos-Remus C, Spindler A, Stanislav M, et al. Baricitinib, Methotrexate, or Combination in Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis and No or Limited Prior Disease-Modifying Antirheumatic Drug Treatment. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2017,69(3): 506-17. Wei Y, Sun X, Hua M, Tan W, Wang F, Zhang M. Inhibitory Effect of a Novel Antirheumatic 42. Drug T-614 on the IL-6-Induced RANKL/OPG, IL-17, and MMP-3 Expression in Synovial Fibroblasts from Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients. Biomed Res Int. 2015,2015: 214683. Takahashi C, Kaneko Y, Okano Y, Taguchi H, Oshima H, Izumi K, et al. Association of 43. erythrocyte methotrexate-polyglutamate levels with the efficacy and hepatotoxicity of methotrexate in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a 76-week prospective study. RMD Open. 2017,3(1): e000363. **Figure legends** Figure 1. Changes in clinical laboratory variables before and after new methotrexate or iguratimod administration. Mean values of (a) CRP, (b) ESR, (c) MMP-3, and (d) RF are shown. Bars indicate standard error. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01 from baseline. MTX, methotrexate; IGU, iguratimod; IR, inadequate response; CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; MMP-3, matrix metalloproteinase-3; RF, rheumatoid factor. Figure 2. Changes in clinical variables before and after new methotrexate or iguratimod

| 55 4 | administration. Mean values of (a) tender joint count, (b) swollen joint count, (c) Pt-GA, and (d) | |
|-------------|---|--|
| 555 | Ph-GA are shown. Bars indicate standard error. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$ from baseline. MTX, | |
| 556 | methotrexate; IGU, iguratimod; IR, inadequate response; Pt-GA, patient's global assessment of | |
| 557 | disease activity; Ph-GA, physician's global assessment of disease activity. | |
| 558 | 3 | |
| 559 | Figure 3. Changes in composite measures of disease activity and clinical response before and | |
| 560 | after new methotrexate or iguratimod administration. Mean values of (a) DAS28-CRP and (b) | |
| 561 | CDAI, and response to each treatment according to (c) the ACR 20% criteria and (d) the | |
| 562 | EULAR criteria. Bars indicate standard error. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, ** $P < 0.001$ from | |
| 563 | baseline. MTX, methotrexate; IGU, iguratimod; IR, inadequate response; DAS28-CRP, disease | |
| 56 4 | activity score assessing 28 joints with C-reactive protein; CDAI, clinical disease activity index; | |
| 565 | ACR20, American College of Rheumatology 20% improvement criteria; EULAR, European | |
| 566 | B League against Rheumatic Diseases. | |
| 567 | 7 | |
| 568 | Figure 4. Changes in the distribution of disease activity before and after new methotrexate or | |
| 569 | iguratimod administration. Distribution of DAS28-CRP in (a) the MTX group and (b) the IGU | |
| 570 | group. Disease activity was defined by DAS28-CRP as follows: remission (\leq 2.3), low disease | |
| 571 | activity (2.3–2.7), moderate disease activity (2.7–4.1), and high disease activity (>4.1). The | |
| | 28 | |
| | | |

572 distribution of CDAI in (c) the MTX group and (d) the IGU group. Disease activity was defined

573 by CDAI as follows: remission (≤ 2.8), low disease activity (2.8–10), moderate disease activity

574 (10–22), and high disease activity (>22). MTX, methotrexate; IGU, iguratimod; IR, inadequate

575 response; DAS28-CRP, disease activity score assessing 28 joints with C-reactive protein;

576 CDAI, clinical disease activity index.

1 Table 1. Clinical characteristics at baseline and 6 months for rheumatoid arthritis

2 patients who were treated with additional methotrexate (n = 22)

| Variable | Baseline | 6 months |
|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| Sex | 15 females, 7 males | |
| Age (years) | 55.9 ± 14.8 | |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | 22.1 ± 3.0 | |
| Duration of disease (years) | 10.4 ± 7.8 | |
| RF positivity (%) | 72.7% (16/22) | |
| ACPA positivity (%) | 77.3% (17/22) | |
| Number of previously treated Bio or | Naïve (7), 2 nd (0), 3 rd or | |
| JAKi | more (15) | |
| Proviously trasted Dia (n) | TNFi (15), aIL-6Ra (11), | |
| Fleviously treated Bio (II) | CTLA4-Ig (9) | |
| Order of treated LAV: (n) | first JAKi (20), switched | |
| Order of treated JAKI (fi) | JAKi (2) | |
| Combined JAKi (n) | TOF (14), BAR (7), PEF (1) | |
| Treatment duration of JAKi (months) | 8.7 ± 9.2 | |
| Type of JAKi failure (n) | primary (7), secondary (15) | |
| MTX dose (mg/week), usage (%) | $6.0 \pm 2.0, 100\% \ (22/22)$ | $7.5 \pm 2.8^{**}, 100\% (22/22)$ |
| PSL dose (mg/day), usage (%) | $5.9 \pm 3.2, 59.1\%$ (13/22) | 5.6 ± 3.1, 59.1% (13/22) |
| LEF usage (%) | 0% | 0% |
| IGU usage (%) | 4.5% (1/22) | 4.5% (1/22) |
| BUC usage (%) | 9.1% (2/22) | 9.1% (2/22) |
| SASP usage (%) | 18.2% (4/22) | 13.6% (3/22) |
| TAC usage (%) | 13.6% (3/22) | 9.1% (2/22) |
| CRP (mg/dL) | 0.8 ± 1.7 | 0.6 ± 1.1 |
| MMP-3 (ng/mL) | 191.5 ± 182.9 | 131.5 ± 109.4 |
| RF (IU/mL) | 145.4 ± 176.9 | $85.0 \pm 99.9^{*}$ |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m ²) | 83.7 ± 17.6 | 78.3 ± 18.7 |
| Swollen joint count, 0–28 | 4.8 ± 4.4 | $1.7\pm2.6^*$ |
| Tender joint count, 0–28 | 3.6 ± 4.1 | 1.9 ± 2.8 |
| Pt-GA (0-100 mm) | 51.4 ± 25.4 | $33.6 \pm 20.2^{*}$ |
| Ph-GA (0-100 mm) | 32.4 ± 22.0 | $15.2 \pm 13.8^{**}$ |

| HAQ-DI | 0.8 ± 0.8 | 0.8 ± 0.7 |
|-----------|---------------|--------------------|
| DAS28-CRP | 3.6 ± 1.3 | $2.6\pm1.1^{\ast}$ |
| CDAI | 16.7 ± 10.7 | $8.8\pm6.6^{*}$ |

| 3 | Data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. n/N (%) = number of patients with measurements/total |
|----|---|
| 4 | number of patients (%). |
| 5 | * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$ compared to baseline. |
| 6 | RF, rheumatoid factor; ACPA, anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibody; Bio, biologics; JAKi, janus kinase |
| 7 | inhibitor; TNFi, tumor necrosis factor inhibitors; aIL-6R, anti-interleukin-6 receptor; CTLA4-Ig, cytotoxic |
| 8 | T lymphocyte-associated antigen-4-Ig; TOF, tofacitinib; BAR, baricitinib; PEF, peficitinib; MTX, |
| 9 | methotrexate; PSL, prednisolone; LEF, leflunomide; IGU, iguratimod; BUC, bucillamine; SASP, |
| 10 | salazosulfapyridine; TAC, tacrolimus; CRP, C-reactive protein; MMP-3, matrix metalloproteinase-3; |
| 11 | eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; Pt-GA, patient's global assessment of disease activity; Ph-GA, |
| 12 | physician's global assessment of disease activity; HAQ-DI, Health Assessment Questionnaire disability |
| 13 | index; DAS28-CRP, disease activity score assessing 28 joints with CRP; CDAI, clinical disease activity |
| 14 | index. |
| | |

$\mathbf{2}$ Variable Baseline 6 months 20 females, 3 males Sex 62.4 ± 11.9 Age (years) 21.2 + 3.9Body mass index (kg/m^2)

Table 2. Clinical characteristics at baseline and 6 months of patients who were

| Body mass muck (kg/m) | 21.2 ± 3.9 | |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| Duration of disease (years) | 15.1 ± 10.0 | |
| RF positivity (%) | 82.6% (19/23) | |
| ACPA positivity (%) | 87.0% (20/23) | |
| Number of movingly tracted Dis | Naïve (2), 2 nd (6), 3 rd or | |
| Ouration of disease (years) 24F positivity (%) 24CPA positivity (%) 24CPA positivity (%) 24Umber of previously treated Bio 27reviously treated Bio (n) 27reviously treated Bio (n) 27reviously treated JAKi (n) 27reatment duration of JAKi (months) 27reatment duration of JAKi (months) 27reat | more (15) | |
| Providually tracted Pio (n) | TNFi (14), aIL-6Ra (14), | |
| Fleviously treated Bio (ii) | CTLA4-Ig (11) | |
| Order of treated JAKi (n) | first JAKi (23) | |
| Combined IAKi (n) | TOF (11), BAR (10), UPA | |
| | (2) | |
| Treatment duration of JAKi (months) | 10.4 ± 8.6 | |
| Type of IAKi failure (n) | primary (4), secondary | |
| Type of strict failure (ii) | (19) | |
| MTX dose (mg/week), usage (%) | 8.2 ± 4.4, 52.2% (12/23) | 8.2 ± 4.5, 52.2% (12/23) |
| PSL dose (mg/day), usage (%) | $5.1 \pm 3.9, 47.8\% \ (11/23)$ | $4.3 \pm 3.0, 47.8\% \ (11/23)$ |
| LEF usage (%) | 0% | 0% |
| IGU dose (mg/day), usage (%) | $25.0 \pm 0.0, 100.0\%$ (23/23) | $37.0 \pm 0.0^{**}, 82.6\% \ (19/23)$ |
| BUC usage (%) | 8.7% (2/23) | 4.3% (1/23) |
| SASP usage (%) | 30.4% (7/23) | 26.1% (6/23) |
| TAC usage (%) | 13.0% (3/23) | 13.0% (3/23) |
| CRP (mg/dL) | 0.9 ± 1.7 | $0.1\pm0.1^{\ast}$ |
| MMP-3 (ng/mL) | 131.1 ± 64.0 | $88.5 \pm 54.0^{*}$ |
| RF (IU/mL) | 590.3 ± 935.2 | 429.0 ± 631.2 |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m ²) | 70.5 ± 17.2 | 71.3 ± 21.4 |
| Swollen joint count, 0–28 | 4.1 ± 6.7 | 1.0 ± 1.8 |
| Tender joint count, 0-28 | 3.1 ± 5.0 | $0.5 \pm 0.8^{**}$ |
| Pt-GA (0-100 mm) | 49.5 ± 23.9 | $36.9 \pm 22.2^{*}$ |
| | | |

treated with additional iguratimod (n = 23)

| Ph-GA (0-100 mm) | 28.1 ± 18.0 | $13.0 \pm 13.2^{**}$ |
|------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| HAQ-DI | 1.4 ± 1.0 | 0.9 ± 1.0 |
| DAS28-CRP | 3.3 ± 1.4 | $2.1 \pm 0.7^{***}$ |
| CDAI | 14.6 ± 12.3 | $6.5 \pm 4.1^{**}$ |

3 Data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. n/N (%) = number of patients with measurements/total

4 number of patients (%).

5 * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001 compared to baseline.

6 RF, rheumatoid factor; ACPA, anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibody; Bio, biologics; JAKi, janus kinase

7 inhibitor; TNFi, tumor necrosis factor inhibitors; aIL-6R, anti-interleukin-6 receptor; CTLA4-Ig, cytotoxic

8 T lymphocyte-associated antigen-4-Ig; TOF, tofacitinib; BAR, baricitinib; UPA, upadacitinib; MTX,

9 methotrexate; PSL, prednisolone; LEF, leflunomide; IGU, iguratimod; BUC, bucillamine; SASP,

10 salazosulfapyridine; TAC, tacrolimus; CRP, C-reactive protein; MMP-3, matrix metalloproteinase-3;

11 eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; Pt-GA, patient's global assessment of disease activity; Ph-GA,

12 physician's global assessment of disease activity; HAQ-DI, Health Assessment Questionnaire disability

13 index; DAS28-CRP, disease activity score assessing 28 joints with CRP; CDAI, clinical disease activity

14 index.











Distribution of DAS28-CRP (IGU; %)

b

