

Title	Extending modules over commutative domains Kamal, A. Mahmoud; Müller, J. Bruno					
Author(s)						
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1988, 25(3), p. 531–538					
Version Type VoR						
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/9405					
rights						
Note						

# Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

Osaka University

Kamal, M.A. and Müller, B.J. Osaka J. Math. 25 (1988), 531-538

## **EXTENDING MODULES OVER COMMUTATIVE DOMAINS**

MAHMOUD A. KAMAL AND BRUNO J. MÜLLER

(Received June 15, 1987)

#### 1. Introduction

A module is extending (or has the property  $(C_1)$ ) if every complement submodule is a direct summand. We prove that a module over a commutative domain has this property, if and only if it is either torsion with  $(C_1)$ , or the direct sum of a torsion free reduced module with  $(C_1)$  and an arbitrary injective module. The torsion case is dealt with in [6], where we also give some background and references. Here we show that a torsion free reduced module is extending if and only if it is a finite direct sum of uniform submodules, each pair of which is extending. As an application we obtain a description of all extending modules over Dedekind domains. In a subsequent paper [7] we shall discuss the extending property for direct sums of pairs of uniform modules in general.

Throughout this paper R will be a commutative domain with quotient field K.  $X \subset M$  and  $Y \subset M$  denote that X is an essential submodule, and Y is a direct summand, of M.

A submodule N of a module M has no proper essential extension in M, if and only if there is another submodule N' such that N is maximal with respect to  $N \cap N'=0$ . Such submodules N are called closed, or complements.

#### 2. Reduction to Torsionfree Reduced Modules

**Theorem 1.** Let M be a right module over an arbitrary ring R, and let  $Z_2(M)$  denote its second singular submodule. Then M is extending if and only if  $M=Z_2(M)\oplus N$ , where  $Z_2(M)$  and N are extending and  $Z_2(M)$  is N-injective.

Proof. Since  $Z_2(M)$  is closed in M, by  $(C_1)$ , we have  $M = Z_2(M) \oplus N$ , where N is non-singular. Since  $(C_1)$  is inherited by direct summands,  $Z_2(M)$  and N have  $(C_1)$ .

To show that  $Z_2(M)$  is N-injective, let  $\phi: X \to Z_2(M)$  be a homomorphism from a submodule X of N. Consider  $X' := \{x - \phi(x) : x \in X\}$ . By  $(C_1)$ , there exists  $X' \subset X^* \subset M$ . Write  $M = X^* \oplus Y$ . Since  $X' \cap Z_2(M) = 0$  and since  $X' \subset X^*$ , it follows that  $X^*$  is non-singular and that  $Z_2(M) = Z_2(Y)$ . Hence, by (C<sub>1</sub>) for  $Y, Z_2(M) \subset {}^{\oplus}Y$ , say  $Y = Y' \oplus Z_2(M)$ . Let  $\pi : X^* \oplus Y' \oplus Z_2(M) \rightarrow Z_2(M)$ be the projection. It is easy to see that  $\pi | N$  extends  $\phi$ .

Conversely, let  $M = Z_2(M) \oplus N$ , where  $Z_2(M)$  and N have  $(C_1)$ , and  $Z_2(M)$  is N-injective. Let A be a closed submodule of M. By a straightforward calculation one can show that  $Z_2(A)$  has no proper essential extensions in  $Z_2(M)$ . By  $(C_1)$  for  $Z_2(M)$ , we have  $Z_2(A) \subset^{\oplus} Z_2(M)$ , and hence  $Z_2(A) \subset^{\oplus} A$ . Write A = $Z_2(A) \oplus B$ , where B is a non-singular submodule of A. Since  $B \cap Z_2(M) = 0$  and  $Z_2(M)$  is N-injective, there exists a homomorphism  $\psi \colon N \to Z_2(M) = 0$  and  $Z_2(M)$  is N-injective, there exists a homomorphism  $\psi \colon N \to Z_2(M)$  such that  $\psi \pi_{2|B} = \pi_{1|B}$ , where  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  are the projections of M onto  $Z_2(M)$  and N respectively. Consider  $N^* \colon \{n + \psi(n) \colon n \in N\}$ . If follows that B is contained in N\*, and hence B is closed in N\*. Since  $N^* \cong N$  has  $(C_1)$ , we have  $B \subset^{\oplus} N^*$ . It is clear that  $M = Z_2(M) \oplus N^*$ ; therefore  $A \subset^{\oplus} M$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let R be a commutative integral domain, and let M be an R-module which is not torsion. Then M is extending, if and only if its torsion sub-module t(M) is injective and the factor module M/t(M) is extending.

**Proposition 3.** Let M be a torsion free R-module, and let D(M) be its largest divisible (injective) submodule. Then M has  $(C_1)$  if and only if M/D(M) has  $(C_1)$ .

Proof. Let M have  $(C_1)$ , and write  $M=D(M)\oplus C$ , where C is reduced. Hence  $M/D(M)\cong C$  has  $(C_1)$ .

Conversely, let  $C \simeq M/D(M)$  have  $(C_1)$ . Let A be a closed submodule of M. Let D(A) be the largest injective submodule of A, and write  $A=D(A)\oplus B$  with B reduced. It is clear that  $B \cap D(M)=0$ .

Now let  $\pi$ ,  $\pi'$  be the projections of M onto C and D(M) respectively. There exists a homomorphism  $\phi: C \rightarrow D(M)$  such that  $\phi\pi(b) = \pi'(b)$  for all  $b \in B$ . Let  $C^* := \{\phi(c) + c : c \in C\}$ . Then  $C^* \cong C$  has  $(C_1)$ , and  $M = C^* \oplus D(M)$ . Since B is closed in  $C^*$ , we have  $B \subset {}^{\oplus}C^*$ . Since  $D(A) \subset {}^{\oplus}D(M)$ , we conclude  $A \subset {}^{\oplus}M$ .

#### 3. Decomposition into Uniform Submodules

**Lemma 4.** Let  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ , with all  $M_i$  being R-submodules of the quotient field K of R. Then A is a closed submodule of M if and only if  $A = [\bigoplus_{i \in J} a_i K] \cap M$ , for some K-linearly independent subset  $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $\bigoplus_I K$ . In particular A is a uniform and closed submodule of M if and only if  $A = \{(q_i x)_{i \in I} : x \in K, q_i x \in M_i \text{ for all } i\}$  for some  $0 \neq (q_i)_{i \in I} \in \bigoplus_i K$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let M be a torsion free reduced module over a commutative integral domain R. If M is extending, then M is a finite direct sum of uniform submodules.

Proof. By  $(C_1)$ , if  $M \neq 0$ , then  $M = M_o \oplus U_o$  with  $M_o$  uniform. Again by

 $(C_1)$  for  $U_o$ , if  $U_o \neq 0$ , we have  $U_o = M_1 \oplus U_1$  with  $M_1$  uniform, and hence  $M = M_o$  $\oplus M_1 \oplus U_1$ . Continuing in this manner we get  $M = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n M_i \oplus U_n$  as long as  $U_{n-1}$ is non-zero. If M is finite dimensional, then  $U_n = 0$  for some n and  $M = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n M_i$ , as claimed.

If *M* is infinite dimensional, we shall derive a contradiction. In this case  $U_n$  is infinite dimensional for all *n*, and hence  $M \supset \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} M_i$ . We first show that  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} M_i$  is closed in *M* (and hence is a direct summand of *M*). Let  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} M_i \subset 'M \subset M$ ; then  $M^* = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} M_i \oplus (U_n \cap M^*)$ . By a straightforward calculation one can show that  $U_n \cap M^*$  is essential over  $\bigoplus_{i=n+1}^{\infty} M_i$ . Since, in the case of torsion free modules, injective hulls are unique, and direct sums of injective modules are injective, we have  $E(M^*) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} E(M_i)$ . Now let  $\pi_i : \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} E(M_i) \to E(M_i)$  be the projections. For each  $n \ge 0$  we have  $\pi_n(M^*) = M_n + \pi_n(U_n \cap M^*)$ . Since  $\bigoplus_{i=n+1}^{\infty} M_i \subset 'U_n \cap M^*$ , it follows that  $\pi_n(U_n \cap M^*) = 0$ , and hence  $M^* = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} M_i$ .

Since the quotient field K of R is divisible hence injective, we have  $E(M_i) \cong K$  for all *i*. Since  $M_i \supset y_i R \cong R$  for  $0 \neq y_i \in M_i$ , without loss of generality, we may assume  $R \subset M_i \subset K$  for all *i*, and therefore  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} R \subset M = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} M_i \subset \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} K$ . Now let  $0 \neq r \in R$  be an arbitrary element. Let  $a_n := e_o^{-} - e_n r^n (n \ge 1)$ , where

Now let  $0 \neq r \in R$  be an arbitrary element. Let  $a_n := e_o - e_n r^n (n \ge 1)$ , where  $e_n = (\delta_{ni})_{i=0}^{\infty} \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} K$ . It is easy to see that  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a linearly independent subset of  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} K$ . By Lemma 4,  $A := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n K \cap M$  is a closed submodule of M. By  $(C_1)$ ,  $M = A \oplus B$ . Let f be the restriction to M of the homomorphism:  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} K \supseteq (k_i)_{i=0}^{\infty} \to \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{k_i}{r^i} \in K$ . It follows that ker f = A, hence f embeds B into K. Since  $e_o \notin A$ , B is non zero and thus uniform. As B is a direct summand and hence closed in  $M, B = bK \cap M$  for some  $0 \neq b = (b_i)_{i=0}^{\infty} \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} K$ , by Lemma 4. Since  $e_m \in M$  for

all  $m \ge 0$ , we have  $e_m = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n k_{nm} + bk_m = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (e_o - e_n r^n) k_{nm} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e_i b_i k_m$ . where  $k_{nm}$ ,  $k_m \in K$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n k_{nm} \in A$ ,  $bk_m \in B$ . Comparing components, and using the abbreviation  $D = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_i}{r^i}$ , we deduce  $Dk_m r^m = 1$  for all  $m \ge 0$ . Since  $b_i k_m \in M_i$  for all i, m, we obtain, for m = i+1, that  $\frac{b_i}{Dr^{i+1}} = b_i k_{i+1} \in M_i$ . It follows that  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{rD} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_i}{r^i} \in \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} M_i$ . Since  $0 \neq r$  was arbitrary in R, we get  $K = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} M_i$ .

Now let  $g: \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} M_i \ni (m_i)_{i=0}^{\infty} \to \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} m_i \in K$ . It is easy to see that ker g is closed in M. Thus, by  $(C_1)$ ,  $M = \ker g \oplus X$ . Therefore  $K \cong X \subset M$ , which contradicts the fact that M is reduced.

## 4. Reduction to Pairs

**Proposition 6.** A torsion free reduced module, over a commutative domain R, has  $(C_1)$  if and only if it has  $(1-C_1)$  and is finite dimensional.

Proof. Let M have  $(C_1)$ . By Theorem 5, M is finite dimensional. Obviously M has  $(1-C_1)$ . We show the converse by induction over the dimension of M. Assume that it holds true for dimension < n, and let M be a module with  $(1-C_1)$  of dimension n. Then  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$  with all  $M_i$  uniform. Let A be a closed submodule of M with  $1 < \dim(A) < n$ . It follows that  $A \cap \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} M_i \neq 0$  is closed in  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} M_i$ . By induction  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} M_i \oplus A \cap \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} M_i \oplus X$ , where  $\dim(X) \le n-2$ . Then  $M = A \cap \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} M_i \oplus X \oplus M_n$ , and hence  $A = [A \cap \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} M_i] \oplus [A \cap (X \oplus M_n)]$ . Since  $A \cap (X \oplus M_n)$  is closed in  $X \oplus M_n$ , again by induction  $A \cap (X \oplus M_n) \subset \oplus X \oplus M_n$ , and therefore  $A \subset \oplus M$ .

From now on we consider each torsion free uniform module over a commutative integral domain R as an R-submodule of K (the quotient field of R) containing R.

Let  $M_i(i=1, 2, \dots, n)$  be *R*-submodules of *K*. By  $O(M_i)$  we mean the set of all  $x \in K$  such that  $xM_i \subset M_i$ . If  $M_i \neq 0$ , then  $O(M_i)$  is an over ring of *R* isomorphic to end<sub>R</sub>( $M_i$ ).

**Theorem 7.** Let M be a torsion free reduced R-module. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1) M is extending
- 2)  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}$  with all  $M_{i}$  uniform, and for all  $q_{1}, q_{2}, \dots, q_{n} \in K$  (not all zero) there exist  $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \dots, \alpha_{n} \in K$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k} = 1$  and  $\alpha_{k}q_{i}M_{k} \subset q_{k}M_{i}$  for all k, i.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let M have  $(C_1)$ . Then by Theorem 5,  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} M_i$ with all  $M_i$  uniform. Now let  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n$  be arbitrary in K, not all zero. Then, by Lemma 4,  $A := \{(q_i x)_{i=1}^{n} : x \in K \text{ and } q_i x \in M_i \text{ for all } i\}$  is a uniform and closed submodule of M. By  $(C_1)$ ,  $M = A \oplus B$  where B is an (n-1)-dimensional submodule with  $(C_1)$ . Hence  $B = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n-1} B_j$  where  $B_j$  are uniform. By Lemma 4,  $B_j = \{(t_{ij} x_j)_{i=1}^{n} : x_j \in K \text{ and } t_{ij} x_j \in M_i \ (i=1, 2, \dots, n)\}$  for some  $t_{ij} \in K$  not all zero.

Now  $A \oplus B = M$  implies that for each  $c \in M_k$  the system of equations  $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} t_{ij} x_j$ 

 $+q_i x_n = c \ \delta_{ik}(i=1, 2, \dots, n)$  has a unique solution, with  $t_{ij} x_j \in M_i$  and  $q_i x_n \in M_i$ . Therefore the determinant  $\Delta$  of the system is non-zero. Then by Cramer's Rule,  $x_n = \frac{(-1)^{n+k} \Delta_{kn}}{\Delta} c$ , where  $\Delta_{kn}$  is the (k, n) minor of  $\Delta$ . If we write  $\alpha_k = (-1)^{k+n} q_k \Delta_{kn} / \Delta$ , we have  $\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k = 1$ . Moreover since  $q_i x_n \in M_i$ , we obtain  $\alpha_k q_i c = q_k q_i x_n \in q_k M_i$ , thus  $\alpha_k q_i M_k \subset q_k M_i$ .

2) $\Rightarrow$ 1): The proof will be by induction on *n*. Assume that  $\bigoplus_{i \in L} M_i$  is extending for all proper subsets *L* of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . By Proposition 6, it is enough to show that each uniform closed submodule *A* of *M* is a direct summand. By Lemma 4,  $A = \{(q_i x)_{i=1}^n : x \in K \text{ and } q_i x \in M_i \text{ for all } i\}$ .

Let  $F := \{i: q_i \neq 0\}$ . If |F| < n, then  $A \subset \bigoplus_{i \in F} M_i$  and hence, by induction,  $A \subset \bigoplus_{i \in F} M_i \subset \bigoplus M$ . If |F| = n, then by condition 2), there exist  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in K$ such  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$  and  $\alpha_i q_i^{-1} M_i \subset q_j^{-1} M_j$ . Let  $\Delta_{i_1} := \alpha_i q_i^{-1}$ ; then  $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \Delta_{i_1} = 1$ . It is clear that not all  $\Delta_{i_1}$  are zero. Without loss of generality assume that  $\Delta_{11} \neq 0$ . Let  $B := \{\Delta_{21} y_2 + \sum_{i=3}^n \frac{\Delta_{j_1}}{\Delta_{11}} y_j, \Delta_{11} y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ :  $y_j \in K$  and  $\Delta_{21} y_2 + \sum_{i=3}^n \frac{\Delta_{j_1}}{\Delta_{11}} y_j \in M_1$ ,  $\Delta_{11} y_2 \in M_2$  and  $y_i \in M_i (i \geq 3)\}$ . We have:

$q_1$	$-\Delta_{21}$	$-\Delta_{31}/\Delta_{11}$		$-\Delta_{n1}/\Delta_{11}$	
$q_2$	$\Delta_{11}$	0		0	
$q_{3}$	0	1		0	$-\sum_{n=1}^{n} \alpha \wedge \dots 1$
••••	•••	•••		•••	$=\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \Delta_{i1}=1$
		•••		•••	
$q_n$	0	0	0	1	

Then, for each k, the following system of equations has a unique solution, for all  $m_i \in M_i$ :

 $\begin{array}{rcl} q_{1}x - \Delta_{21}y_{2} - \cdots & -(\Delta_{n1}/\Delta_{11}) y_{n} = \delta_{k1}m_{1} \\ q_{2}x + \Delta_{11}y_{2} + 0 + \cdots + & 0 & = \delta_{k2}m_{2} \\ q_{3}x + 0 + y_{3} + 0 + \cdots + & 0 & = \delta_{k3}m_{3} \\ \cdots \\ q_{n}x + 0 + & \cdots & + 0 + y_{n} & = \delta_{kn}m_{n} \end{array}$ 

Let  $\{x_k, y_{2k}, \dots, y_{nk}\}$  be the solution set of the  $k^{\text{th}}$  system. Since, by Cramer's Rule,  $x_k = \Delta_{k1} m_k = q_k^{-1} \alpha_k m_k \in q_i^{-1} M_i$ , we have  $q_i x_k \in M_i$  for all k, i. It follows that  $\Delta_{21} y_{2k} + \sum_{j=4}^{n} (\Delta_{j1}/\Delta_{11}) y_{jk} \in M_1, \Delta_{11} y_{2k} \in M_2$  and  $y_{ik} \in M_i (i \ge 3)$  for all k. Then

M=A+B. Since the above determinant is non zero, we have that each  $m \in M$  has a unique representation m=a+b with  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ . Therefore  $M=A \oplus B$ .

**Corollary 8.** If  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} M_i$  is extending and reduced, then each  $M_i$  can be embedded into every  $M_i$ .

Proof. Each pair  $M_i \oplus M_j(i \neq j)$  is extending and reduced. Therefore, by Theorem 7, for each  $0 \neq q \in K$ , there exists  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K$  such that  $\alpha_1 q M_1 \subset M_2$  and  $\alpha_2 M_2 \subset q M_1$ . If  $M_1$  is not embedded into  $M_2$ , then we obtain  $\alpha_1 = 0$ , hence  $\alpha_2 =$ 1, for every  $0 \neq q \in K$ . Then  $M_1 = K$ , in contradiction to reduceness.

**Lemma 9.** Let  $M_i$  (i=1, 2, 3) be R-submodules of K. If  $M_i \oplus M_j$  has  $(C_1)$  for all  $i \neq j$ , then  $M_3 \oplus q_1 M_1 \cap q_2 M_2$  has  $(C_1)$  for all  $q_1, q_2 \in K$ .

Proof. Without loss of generality assume  $q_1 \neq 0$ ,  $q_2 \neq 0$ . Let  $0 \neq k \in K$  be given arbitrarily. Since  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  has  $(C_1)$ , by Theorem 7, there exist  $\alpha_{12}$ ,  $\alpha_{21} \in O(M_1) \cap O(M_2)$  with  $\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{21} = 1$  such that  $\alpha_{12}q_1M_1 \subset q_2M_2$  and  $\alpha_{21}q_2M_2 \subset q_1M_1$ .

Similarly, since  $M_3 \oplus M_i$  has  $(C_1)$ , there exist  $\alpha_{i3}, \alpha_{3i} \in O(M_i) \cap O(M_3)$  with  $\alpha_{i3} + \alpha_{3i} = 1$  such that  $\alpha_{3i} k M_3 \subset q_i M_i$  and  $\alpha_{i3} q_i M_i \subset k M_3$  (i=1, 2).

Now let  $\gamma_1 = \alpha_{12} \alpha_{31} + \alpha_{21} \alpha_{32}$  and  $\gamma_2 = \alpha_{12} \alpha_{13} + \alpha_{21} \alpha_{23}$ . It follows that  $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = 1$ .

We show that  $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \in O(M_3) \cap O(q_1 M_1 \cap q_2 M_2)$ :  $\gamma_2 = \alpha_{12} \alpha_{13} (\alpha_{23} + \alpha_{32}) + \alpha_{21} \alpha_{23} \alpha_{31} = \alpha_{13} \alpha_{23} + \alpha_{12} \alpha_{13} \alpha_{32} + \alpha_{21} \alpha_{23} \alpha_{31} = \alpha_{13} \alpha_{23} + \alpha_{12} \alpha_{13} \alpha_{32} + \alpha_{21} \alpha_{23} \alpha_{31} = \alpha_{13} \alpha_{23} + \alpha_{12} \alpha_{13} \alpha_{32} + \alpha_{21} \alpha_{23} \alpha_{31} = \alpha_{13} \alpha_{23} + \alpha_{12} \alpha_{13} \alpha_{32} + \alpha_{21} \alpha_{23} \alpha_{31} = \alpha_{13} \alpha_{23} + \alpha_{12} \alpha_{13} \alpha_{32} + \alpha_{21} \alpha_{23} \alpha_{31} M_3 \subset M_3 + (1 - \alpha_{21}) \alpha_{13} \alpha_{32} M_3 + (1 - \alpha_{21}) \alpha_{23} \alpha_{31} M_3 \subset M_3 + \alpha_{21} \alpha_{13} \alpha_{32} M_3 + \alpha_{12} \alpha_{23} \alpha_{31} M_3 \subset M_3 + \alpha_{13} \alpha_{21} (k^{-1} q_2 M_2) + \alpha_{12} \alpha_{23} (k^{-1} q_1 M_1) \subset M_3 + \alpha_{13} k^{-1} q_1 M_1 + \alpha_{23} k^{-1} q_2 M_2 \subset M_3.$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \gamma_{1}(q_{1}M_{1} \cap q_{2}M_{2}) \subset \alpha_{12}\alpha_{31}(q_{1}M_{1}) + \alpha_{21}\alpha_{32}(q_{2}M_{2}) \subset q_{1}M_{1} \cap q_{2}M_{2}. & \text{Since } \gamma_{1} + \gamma_{2} = \\ 1, \text{ we have } \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in O(M_{3}) \cap O(q_{1}M_{1} \cap q_{2}M_{2}). & \text{We show that } \gamma_{1}kM_{3} \subset q_{1}M_{1} \cap q_{2}M_{2} \\ \text{and } \gamma_{2}(q_{1}M_{1} \cap q_{2}M_{2}) \subset kM_{3}: \gamma_{1}kM_{3} \subset \alpha_{12}\alpha_{31}(kM_{3}) + \alpha_{21}\alpha_{32}(kM_{3}) \subset \alpha_{12}(q_{1}M_{1}) + \alpha_{21} \\ (q_{2}M_{2}) \subset q_{1}M_{1} \cap q_{2}M_{2}. \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \gamma_{2}(q_{1}M_{1}\cap q_{2}M_{2})\subset\alpha_{12}\alpha_{13}(q_{1}M_{1}\cap q_{2}M_{2})+\alpha_{21}\alpha_{23}(q_{1}M_{1}\cap q_{2}M_{2})\subset\alpha_{13}(q_{1}M_{1}\cap q_{2}M_{2})=\alpha_{13}(q_{1}M_{1}\cap q_{2}M_{2})+\alpha_{23}(q_{1}M_{1}\cap q_{2}M_{2})\subset kM_{3}.\\ \text{Therefore, by Theorem 7, } M_{3}\oplus q_{1}M_{1}\cap q_{2}M_{2} \text{ has } (C_{1}). \end{array}$ 

**Corollary 10.** Let  $M_i$   $(i=1, 2, \dots, n)$  be *R*-submodules of *K*. If  $M_i \oplus M_j$  has  $(C_1)$  for all  $i \neq j$ , then  $M_n \oplus \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} q_i M_i$  has  $(C_1)$  for all  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{n-1} \in K$ .

Proof. We proceed by induction over *n*. Since  $M_n \oplus \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-2} q_i M_i, M_n \oplus q_{n-1} M_{n+1}$  $\cong M_n \oplus M_{n-1}, \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-2} q_i M_i \oplus q_{n-1} M_{n-1} \cong \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-2} q_i M_i \oplus M_{n-1}$  all have  $(C_1)$ , by assumption of induction, Lemma 9 implies that  $M_n \oplus \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-2} q_i M_i \cap q_{n-1} M_{n-1}$  has  $(C_1)$ .

**Theorem 11.** Let M be a torsion free reduced R-module. Then M is ex-

536

tending if and only if  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} M_i$ , where the  $M_i$  are uniform and  $M_i \oplus M_j$  is extending for all  $i \neq j$ .

Proof. Let  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} M_i$  with  $M_i$  uniform and with  $M_i \oplus M_j$  extending. By induction on n, let  $\bigoplus_{i \in L} M_i$  be extending for all proper subsets L of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Let A be a closed and uniform submodule of  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} M_i$ . By Lemma 4,  $A = \{(q_i x)_{i=1}^{n}; x \in K, q_i x \in M_i \text{ for all } i\}$ . Let  $F := \{i: q_i \pm 0\}$ . By induction  $A \subset \bigoplus_{i \in F} M_i \subset \bigoplus \emptyset M_i \subset \bigoplus M_i \subset \bigoplus M_i \subset \bigoplus M_i \subset \bigoplus \bigoplus M_i \subset \bigoplus \bigoplus M_i \subset \bigoplus M_i \subset \bigoplus M_i \subset \bigoplus$ 

### 5. Dedekind Domains

**Lemma 12.** Let  $M=M_1\oplus M_2$  be a torsionfree reduced module over a Dedekind domain R, where the  $M_i$  are uniform. Then the following are equivalent: 1) M is extending,

- 2)  $M_i$  can be imbedded  $M_j(i \neq j)$ ,
- 3) there is a fractional ideal I of R such that  $M_2I=M_1$ .

Proof. 1) $\Rightarrow$ 2) clear by Corollary 8.

2) $\Rightarrow$ 3): Without loss of generality assume that  $R \subset M_1 \subset M_2 \subset K$ . Let B:= $\{x \in K: M_2 x \subset M_1\}$  and  $S = O(M_1) \cap O(M_2)$ . By assumption B is a non-zero ideal of S. Now if  $M_2 B \subseteq M_1$ , then  $(M_2 B)_P \subseteq M_{1P}$  for some prime ideal P of S. Since  $S_P$  is discrete rank one valuation 1ing, it follows that  $(M_2 B)_P \subset M_{1P} P_P = (M_1 P)_P$ . For each prime ideal Q of S,  $Q \neq P$ , we have  $(M_2 B)_Q \subset M_{1Q} = (M_1 P)_Q$ . Hence  $M_2 B = \cap (M_2 B)_Q \subset \bigcap_Q (M_1 P)_Q = M_1 P$ , where Q runs over all prime ideals of S. It follows that  $M_2 B P^{-1} \subset M_1$ , i.e.,  $BP^{-1} = B$  which is a contradiction.

Therefore  $M_2B=M_1$ . Since any overring of R is a localization  $R_*$  of R a set of prime ideals of R, we have  $S=R_*$ . It follows that  $B=I_*$  for some ideal I of R. Now  $M_2B=M_2I_*=M_2IR_*=M_2IS=M_2I$ , and hence  $M_2I=M_1$ .

3) $\Rightarrow$ 1): First we show that  $J \cap R + J^{-1} \cap R = R$  for any fractional ideal J or R. If  $J_P, J_P^{-1} \subseteq R_P$  for some prime ideal P of R, then  $R_P = J_P J_P^{-1} \subset J_P \subseteq R_P$  which is a contradiction. It follows that  $J_P \cap R_P = R_P$  or  $J_P^{-1} \cap R_P = R_P$ , and hence  $(J \cap R)_P + (J^{-1} \cap R)_P = R_P$ , for all prime ideals P of R. Therefore  $J \cap R + J^{-1} \cap R = R$ .

Now let  $M_1 = M_2 I$  where I is a fractional ideal of R. Let  $0 \neq q \in K$  be arbitrary, and  $J := q^{-1}I^{-1}$ . Since  $J \cap R + J^{-1} \cap R = R$ , there exist  $\alpha_1 \in J \cap R$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in I \cap R$ .

 $J^{-1} \cap R$  such that  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$ , and that  $\alpha_1 q M_1 \subset M_2$ ,  $\alpha_2 M_2 \subset q M_1$ . Therefore, by Theorem 7, M is extending.

**Corollary 13.** If R is a principal ideal domain and  $M_1, M_2$  are uniform torsion free reduced R-modules, then  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  is extending if and only if  $M_1$  is isomorphic to  $M_2$ .

**Proof.** R is a Dedekind domain, and every fractional ideal of R is principal.

The following is an immediate consequence of Corollary 2, Proposition 3, Theorem 5, and Lemma 12.

**Theorem 14.** Let M be a module over a Dedekind domain R. Then M is extending if and only if either:

- i) M is torsion and has the structure described in ([6], Corollary 23); or
- ii) M is non-torsion and  $M = F \oplus E$ , where E is injective and  $F \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} NI_i$ , where

N is a proper R-submodule of the quotient field K and the  $I_i$  are fractional ideals of R.

#### References

- F.W. Anderson and K.R. Fuller: Rings and Categories of Modules, Springer Verlag, New York (1973).
- W. Brandal: Commutative Rings whose Finitely Generated Modules Decompose, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 723 (1979).
- [3] A.W. Chatter and C.R. Hajarnavis: Rings in which every complement right ideal is a direct summand, Quart. J. Math. Oxford 28 (1977), 61-80.
- [4] L. Fuchs, A. Kertesz and T. Szele: Abelian groups in which every serving subgroup is a direct summand, Publ. Math. Debrecen 3 (1953), 95-105.
- [5] M. Harada: On modules with extending property, Osaka J. Math. 19 (1982), 203-215.
- [6] M. Kamal and B.J. Müller: The structure of extending modules over noetherian rings, Osaka J. Math. 25 (1988), 539-551.
- [7] M. Kamal and B.J. Müller: Torsion free extending modules, Osaka J. Math. [to appear].
- [8] I. Kaplansky: Commutative Rings, Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago (1974).
- [9] B. Stenstrom: Rings of Quotients, Springer Verlag, New York (1975).

Department of Mathematics McMaster University 1280 Main Street West, Hamilton Ontario, Canada L8S 4K1