



Title	Exterior product bundle over complex abstract Wiener space
Author(s)	Nishimura, Takashi
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1992, 29(1), p. 233-245
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/9533
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

EXTERIOR PRODUCT BUNDLE OVER COMPLEX ABSTRACT WIENER SPACE

TAKASHI NISHIMURA

(Received April 9, 1991)

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider a *complex abstract Wiener space* (CAWS) (B, H, μ) , that is a triplet of a complex separable Banach space B , a complex separable Hilbert space H which is densely and continuously imbedded in B and a Borel probability measure μ on B such that

$$(1.1) \quad \int_B \exp(\sqrt{-1} \operatorname{Re}_B \langle z, \varphi \rangle_{B^*}) \mu(dz) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \|\varphi\|_{H^*}^2\right) \quad \text{for } \varphi \in B^* \subset H^*.$$

Moreover, we assume that a strictly positive self-adjoint operator A on H^* is given and $B^* \subset C^\infty(A) = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \operatorname{Dom}(A^n)$. Then we can define $D_A p(z) = (\sqrt{A} \oplus \sqrt{\bar{A}}) Dp(z)$ for $p \in \mathcal{P}(B; E)$, E -valued polynomial functional on B .

H -derivative D is a fundamental tool in Malliavin's calculus ([6]), but here we consider D_A instead of D , because we keep quantum field theoretical models in mind. In fact, $\frac{1}{2} D_A^* D_A = d\Gamma(A \oplus \bar{A})$, a free Hamiltonian for a complex Bose field (and its anti-particle field).

Following [3] and [4], we regard B as an infinite dimensional manifold with cotangent space $(H_k^*)^c$ on each $z \in B$. Consequently its exterior product bundle becomes $B \times \Lambda(H_k^*)^c$ and the space of its L^2 -sections becomes $L^2(B, \mu; \Lambda(H_k^*)^c)$, i.e. the space of $\Lambda(H_k^*)^c$ -valued L^2 -functions on B or $L^2(B, \mu) \otimes \Lambda(H_k^*)^c$, a tensor product of the Bosonic Fock space and the Fermionic Fock space. On this space we define an exterior derivative d_A using D_A . Then $\frac{1}{2} (d_A^* d_A + d_A d_A^*) = d\Gamma(A \oplus \bar{A}) \oplus d\Lambda(A \oplus \bar{A})$, a free Hamiltonian for an $N=2$ supersymmetric quantum field.

As in the finite dimensional case, d_A is decomposed as $d_A = \partial_A + \bar{\partial}_A$, and Laplace-Beltrami operators \square_A and $\bar{\square}_A$ are defined as $\square_A = \partial_A^* \partial_A + \partial_A \partial_A^*$ and $\bar{\square}_A = \bar{\partial}_A^* \bar{\partial}_A + \bar{\partial}_A \bar{\partial}_A^*$, respectively. Since $\bar{\partial}_A^2 = 0$, $\bar{\partial}_A$ defines an elliptic complex and $\bar{\partial}_A$ -cohomology groups can be defined as $\mathfrak{H}_A^{p,q}(B) = \operatorname{Ker}(\bar{\partial}_A | \Lambda_2^{p,q}(B)) / \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A | \Lambda_2^{p,q-1}(B))$, where $\Lambda_2^{p,q}(B) = L^2(B, \mu; \Lambda^{p,q}(H_k^*)^c)$, the space of square in-

tegrable (p, q) -forms.

First we show that de Rham-Hodge-Kodaira's decomposition for $\Lambda_2^{p,q}(B)$ holds, that is

$$(1.2) \quad \Lambda_2^{p,q}(B) = \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A | \Lambda_2^{p,q-1}(B)) \oplus \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A^* | \Lambda_2^{p,q}(B)) \oplus \mathfrak{h}_A^{p,q}$$

where $\mathfrak{h}_A^{p,q} = \text{Ker}(\bar{\square}_A | \Lambda_2^{p,q}(B))$, the space of harmonic (p, q) -forms (our discussion is restricted to the L^2 -case). From this we conclude that $\mathfrak{H}_A^{p,q}(B) = \mathfrak{h}_A^{p,q}$ and it will be shown by using the expression $\frac{1}{2}\bar{\square}_A = d\Gamma(\bar{A}) \oplus d\Lambda(\bar{A})$, that $\mathfrak{h}_A^{p,q} = \{0\}$, if $q \leq 1$ and $\mathfrak{h}_A^{p,0} = \text{Hol}^2(B: \Lambda^{p,0}(H_R^*)^c)$, where $\text{Hol}^2(B: \Lambda^{p,q}(H_R^*)^c)$ is the set of square integrable holomorphic forms.

We start with a complex separable Hilbert space H , but we regard this as a real separable Hilbert space (this space is denoted by H_R) and consider $(H_R^*)^c$, a complexification of its adjoint space H_R^* . $(H_R^*)^c$ is decomposed as $(H_R^*)^c = H^* \oplus \bar{H}^*$, but the inner product of H^* induced from $(H_R^*)^c$ is slightly different from original one. We sum up these algebraic fundamentals in Appendix *A*. In Appendix *B* we state some elementary facts about the Wick product for a complex Gaussian system.

Finally, the author would like to thank Professor I. Shigekawa for help and encouragement.

2. Complex abstract Wiener space

In this section we define a modified Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on a CAWS and show that it equals to the free Hamiltonian.

Let (B, H, μ) be a CAWS as in the section 1 and A be a strictly positive self-adjoint operator on H^* . Then it can be easily shown that $\{Z_\theta | \theta \in B^*\}$ is a complex Gaussian system satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad E[|Z_\theta|^2] = \|Z_\theta\|_{H^*}^2 \quad \theta \in H^*,$$

$$(2.2) \quad E[Z_\theta \bar{Z}_\eta] = (\theta, \eta)_{H^*} \quad \theta, \eta \in H^*,$$

where Z_θ is a complex random variable on B defined as $Z_\theta(z) = {}_B\langle z, \theta \rangle_{B^*}$, \bar{Z}_η is a complex conjugate of Z_η and E stands for the integration under μ .

We assume that $B^* \subset C^\infty(A)$ without loss of generality. In fact, let α be a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on H^* and set $K = e^{-A}\alpha$. We define $(u, v)_B = (Ku, Kv)_H$, $\|u\|_B^2 = (u, u)_B$ for $u, v \in H$ and denote the completion of H with respect to $\|\cdot\|_B$ as B . Then $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ becomes a complex Banach space and there exists a Borel probability measure μ on B such that

$$(2.3) \quad \int_B \exp(\sqrt{-1} \text{Re}_B \langle z, \varphi \rangle_{B^*}) \mu(dz) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \|\varphi\|_{H^*}^2\right) \quad \text{for } \varphi \in B^* \subset H^*.$$

and moreover $B^* \subset e^{-A}\alpha(H) \subset C^\infty(A)$.

A (complex-valued) *polynomial functional* on B is a mapping $p: B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ written as

$$(2.4) \quad p(z) = P(Z_{\theta_1}(z), \dots, Z_{\theta_n}(z), \bar{Z}_{\theta_1}(z), \dots, \bar{Z}_{\theta_n}(z))$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \in B^*$, P is a polynomial of $2n$ -arguments with complex coefficients. If p is written in the form

$$(2.5) \quad p(z) = P(Z_{\theta_1}(z), \dots, Z_{\theta_n}(z))$$

p is called a *holomorphic polynomial functional* on B .

We denote by $\mathcal{P}(B: \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{P}_h(B: \mathbb{C})$ the set of polynomials and holomorphic polynomials on B , respectively. Moreover, for a complex separable Hilbert space E , we set $\mathcal{P}(B: E) = \mathcal{P}(B: \mathbb{C}) \otimes E$, $\mathcal{P}_h(B: E) = \mathcal{P}_h(B: \mathbb{C}) \otimes E$ (algebraic tensor product) and call them the space of *E-valued polynomial functionals* and *E-valued holomorphic polynomial functionals*, respectively. For $p \in \mathcal{P}(B: E)$, its *H-derivative at $z \in B$* is defined as follows

$$(2.6) \quad \langle Dp(z), h \rangle = \frac{d}{dt} p(z + th) |_{t=0} \quad \text{for } h \in H.$$

$Dp(z)$ is an element of $(H_k^*)^c \otimes E$. Since $(H_k^*)^c \otimes E = (H^* \otimes E) \oplus (\bar{H}^* \otimes E)$, we set $\nabla p(z)$ to be an $H^* \otimes E$ component and $\bar{\nabla} p(z)$ to be an $\bar{H}^* \otimes E$ component.

As mentioned in the section 1, we use slightly modified derivative instead of H -derivative as follows,

$$(2.7) \quad D_A p(z) = (\sqrt{A} \oplus \sqrt{\bar{A}}) Dp(z) \quad p \in \mathcal{P}(B: \mathbb{C}).$$

For the definition of \sqrt{A} see (A.6). We have chosen B so that $B^* \subset C^\infty(A)$, so $Dp(z) \in C^\infty(A)$ and $(\sqrt{A} \oplus \sqrt{\bar{A}}) Dp(z)$ is well defined. $D_A p(z)$ is decomposed as $D_A p(z) = \nabla_A p(z) \oplus \bar{\nabla}_A p(z)$ where $\nabla_A p(z) = \sqrt{A} \nabla p(z)$, $\bar{\nabla}_A p(z) = \sqrt{\bar{A}} \bar{\nabla} p(z)$. We denote adjoint operators of ∇_A and $\bar{\nabla}_A$ in $L^2(B, \mu; E)$ by ∇_A^* and $\bar{\nabla}_A^*$, respectively. Their explicit formulas for Wick polynomials are given as follows.

Proposition 2.1. For $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_m, \zeta \in B^*$, it holds that

$$(2.8) \quad \nabla_A: Z_{\theta_1} \cdots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} : = \sum_{j=1}^n : Z_{\theta_1} \cdots \hat{Z}_{\theta_j} \cdots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} : \sqrt{A} \theta_j$$

$$(2.9) \quad \bar{\nabla}_A: Z_{\theta_1} \cdots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} : = \sum_{j=1}^m : Z_{\theta_1} \cdots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \cdots \hat{\bar{Z}}_{\eta_j} \cdots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} : \sqrt{\bar{A}} \bar{\theta}_j$$

$$(2.10) \quad \nabla_A^*: Z_{\theta_1} \cdots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} : \zeta = 2: Z_{\sqrt{A}\zeta} Z_{\theta_1} \cdots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} :$$

$$(2.11) \quad \bar{\nabla}_A^*: Z_{\theta_1} \cdots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} : \bar{\zeta} = 2: \bar{Z}_{\sqrt{\bar{A}}\bar{\zeta}} Z_{\theta_1} \cdots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} :$$

Proof. As in the real case, it can be easily shown that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_A P(Z_\theta \bar{Z}_\theta) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_j} (Z_\theta \bar{Z}_\theta) \sqrt{A} \theta_j, \\ \bar{\nabla}_A P(Z_\theta \bar{Z}_\theta) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial P}{\partial \bar{z}_j} (Z_\theta \bar{Z}_\theta) \overline{\sqrt{A} \theta_j}, \\ \nabla_A^* P(Z_\theta \bar{Z}_\theta) \zeta &= -2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_j} (Z_\theta \bar{Z}_\theta) (\zeta, \sqrt{A} \theta_j)_{H^*} + 2 Z \sqrt{A} \zeta P(Z_\theta \bar{Z}_\theta) \\ \bar{\nabla}_A^* P(Z_\theta \bar{Z}_\theta) \bar{\zeta} &= -2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial P}{\partial \bar{z}_j} (Z_\theta \bar{Z}_\theta) (\sqrt{A} \theta_j, \zeta)_{H^*} + 2 \bar{Z} \sqrt{A} \zeta P(Z_\theta \bar{Z}_\theta) \end{aligned}$$

where $P(Z_\theta, \bar{Z}_\theta) = P(Z_{\theta_1}, \dots, Z_{\theta_n}, \bar{Z}_{\theta_1}, \dots, \bar{Z}_{\theta_n}) \in \mathcal{P}(B: C)$, $\zeta \in B^*$ (see e.g. [6]). Combining this with (2.2) (B.3)~(B.6), we can prove (2.8)~(2.11). \square

Therefore ∇_A^* and $\bar{\nabla}_A^*$ are densely defined operators, so ∇_A and $\bar{\nabla}_A$ are closable and we denote their closures by the same symbols.

Next we obtain the kernel of $\bar{\nabla}_A$.

Proposition 2.2. *It holds that*

$$(2.12) \quad \text{Ker}(\bar{\nabla}_A) = \text{Hol}^2(B: E)$$

where $\text{Hol}^2(B: E)$ is the closure of $\mathcal{P}_h(B: E)$ in $L^2(B, \mu: E)$.

Proof. We give a proof for $E=C$. General cases can be proved similarly. First we introduce some notations. Let $\{\theta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be an ONB of H^* .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{A} &= \{n=(n_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N \mid \sum_{j=1}^\infty n_j < \infty\}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}, \\ W_{n,m} &= \prod_{j=1}^\infty (n_j! m_j!)^{-1/2} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^\infty Z_{\theta_j}^{n_j} \bar{Z}_{\theta_j}^{m_j}, \quad n, m \in \mathfrak{A}, \\ \mathfrak{A}_N &= \{n=(n_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \mathfrak{A} \mid n_j = 0 \text{ if } j > N\}, \\ L_N &= [W_{n,m} \mid n, m \in \mathfrak{A}_N]^{-\|\cdot\|_2}, \\ P_N &: L^2(B, \mu) \rightarrow L_N \text{ orthogonal projection,} \\ p_N &: H^* \rightarrow [\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N] \text{ orthogonal projection,} \end{aligned}$$

where $[\cdot]$ stands for the linear span and $-\|\cdot\|_2$ means the closure in $L^2(B, \mu)$. $\{W_{n,m}\}_{n,m \in \mathfrak{A}}$ forms an ONB of $L^2(B, \mu)$, so P_N converges strongly to the identity and it holds that

$$(2.13) \quad P_N \circ \bar{\nabla}_A^* W_{n,m} \bar{\theta}_j = \sum_{k=1}^N 2(\theta_k, A \theta_j)_{H^*} (m_k + 1)^{1/2} W_{n, m + \varepsilon_k} \quad n, m \in \mathfrak{A}_N$$

where $\varepsilon_k = (0, \dots, 0 \overset{k}{1}, 0, \dots) \in \mathfrak{A}$, and moreover $P_N \otimes p_N \circ \bar{\nabla}_A = \bar{\nabla}_A \circ P_N$.

If $F \in \text{Ker}(\bar{\nabla}_A) = \text{Im}(\bar{\nabla}_A^*)^\perp$, then for $n, m \in \mathfrak{A}_N, j \in \{1 \dots N\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\bar{\nabla}_A F, W_{n,m} \bar{\theta}_j) = (P_N \otimes p_N \circ \bar{\nabla}_A F, W_{n,m} \bar{\theta}_j) \\ &= (\bar{\nabla}_A \circ P_N F, W_{n,m} \bar{\theta}_j) = (F, P_N \circ \bar{\nabla}_A^* W_{n,m} \bar{\theta}_j) \\ &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^N (A \theta_j, \theta_k)_{H^*} (m_k + 1)^{1/2} (F, W_{n,m+\epsilon_k}) \end{aligned}$$

Since A is strictly positive, we have

$$(F, W_{n,m+\epsilon_k}) = 0, \quad n, m \in \mathfrak{A}_N, \quad k \in \{1 \dots N\}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus we have $F \in [W_{n,0} | n \in \mathfrak{R}]^{-1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1_2} = \overline{\mathcal{P}_h(B; C)}^{1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1_2} = \text{Hol}^2(B; C)$ and hence $\text{Ker}(\bar{\nabla}_k) \subset \text{Hol}^2(B; C)$.

Conversely it is easy to see that $\text{Hol}^2(B; C) \subset \text{Ker}(\bar{\nabla}_A)$. This completes the proof. \square

We set

$$(2.14) \quad L_A = -\nabla_A^* \nabla_A \quad L_{\bar{A}} = -\bar{\nabla}_A^* \bar{\nabla}_A.$$

Then L_A and $L_{\bar{A}}$ are negative self-adjoint operators on $L^2(B, \mu)$. Let us show that L_A and $L_{\bar{A}}$ -correspond to the Hamiltonian for complex Bosons and their anti-particles, respectively.

DEFINITION 2.3. *Bosonic second quantized operator* of A and \bar{A} on $L^2(B, \mu)$ is defined on the Wick polynomials as follows

$$(2.15) \quad d\Gamma(A): Z_{\theta_1} \dots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \dots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} := \sum_{j=1}^n : Z_{\theta_1} \dots Z_{A\theta_j} \dots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \dots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} :$$

$$(2.16) \quad d\Gamma(\bar{A}): Z_{\theta_1} \dots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \dots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} := \sum_{j=1}^m : Z_{\theta_1} \dots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \dots \bar{Z}_{A\eta_j} \dots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} :$$

where $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_m \in B^*$. $d\Gamma(A)$ and $d\Gamma(\bar{A})$ are essentially self-adjoint on the space of the Wick polynomials and we denote its closure by the same symbol (see e.g. [2]).

Theorem 2.4. *It holds that*

$$(2.17) \quad L_A = -2d\Gamma(A) \quad L_{\bar{A}} = -2d\Gamma(\bar{A}).$$

Proof. To prove (2.17), it is enough to show that

$$L_A p = -2 d\Gamma(A) p \quad L_{\bar{A}} p = -2 d\Gamma(\bar{A}) p$$

for a Wick polynomial $p = : Z_{\theta_1} \dots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \dots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} : .$ By Proposition 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} L_A : Z_{\theta_1} \dots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \dots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} &:= -\nabla_A^* \nabla_A : Z_{\theta_1} \dots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \dots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} : \\ &= -\sum_{j=1}^n \nabla_A^* : Z_{\theta_1} \dots \hat{Z}_{\theta_j} \dots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \dots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} : \sqrt{A} \theta_j = -2 \sum_{j=1}^n : Z_{A\theta_j} Z_{\theta_1} \dots \hat{Z}_{\theta_j} \dots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \dots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} : \\ &= -2 d\Gamma(A) : Z_{\theta_1} \dots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \dots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} : . \end{aligned}$$

The latter can be proved similarly. \square

3. An exterior product bundle

Let us define an exterior product bundle over a CAWS. To do this, let $\Lambda(H_k^*)^c = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^n(H_k^*)^c$ where $\Lambda^n(H_k^*)^c$ is an anti-symmetric part of n -tensor product of $(H_k^*)^c$ and its inner product is given by

$$(3.1) \quad (\omega, \eta) = \frac{1}{n!} (\omega, \eta)_{\otimes^n(H_k^*)^c} \quad \text{for } \omega, \eta \in \Lambda^n(H_k^*)^c,$$

where $(\cdot)_{\otimes^n(H_k^*)^c}$ is the natural inner product on $\otimes^n(H_k^*)^c$. We define an exterior product of $\omega \in \Lambda^n(H_k^*)^c$ and $\eta \in \Lambda^m(H_k^*)^c$ by

$$(3.2) \quad \omega \wedge \eta = \frac{(n+m)!}{n!m!} \mathcal{A}_{n+m} \omega \otimes \eta$$

where \mathcal{A}_{n+m} is an $(n+m)$ -th normalized anti-symmetrization defined by

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{A}_n(\omega_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \omega_{\sigma_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{\sigma_n}.$$

We set $\Lambda^{p,q}(H_k^*)^c = \Lambda^p H^* \wedge \Lambda^q \bar{H}^*$. Then

$$(3.4) \quad \Lambda^n(H_k^*)^c = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \Lambda^{p,q}(H_k^*)^c.$$

Exterior derivative $d_A = \partial_A + \bar{\partial}_A$ on polynomial functionals is defined as follows

$$(3.5) \quad d_A \omega = (n+1) \mathcal{A}_{n+1} D_A \omega$$

$$(3.6) \quad \partial_A \omega = (n+1) \mathcal{A}_{n+1} \nabla_A \omega$$

$$(3.7) \quad \bar{\partial}_A \omega = (n+1) \mathcal{A}_{n+1} \bar{\nabla}_A \omega$$

for $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(B; \Lambda^n(H_k^*)^c)$. We denote adjoint operators of ∂_A and $\bar{\partial}_A$ in $L^2(B, \mu; \Lambda(H_k^*)^c)$ by ∂_A^* and $\bar{\partial}_A^*$, respectively. Then it holds as in the real case ([3])

$$(3.8) \quad \partial_A f(z) \theta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_p \wedge \bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\eta}_q = \nabla_A f(z) \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_p \wedge \bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\eta}_q$$

$$(3.9) \quad \bar{\partial}_A f(z) \theta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_p \wedge \bar{\eta}_q \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\eta}_q = \bar{\nabla}_A f(z) \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_p \wedge \bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\eta}_q$$

$$(3.10) \quad \partial_A^* f(z) \theta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_p \wedge \bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\eta}_q = \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^{j-1} \nabla_A^*(f(z) \theta_j) \theta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_p \wedge \bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\eta}_q$$

$$(3.11) \quad \bar{\partial}_A^* f(z) \theta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_p \wedge \bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\eta}_q = \sum_{j=1}^q (-1)^{p+j-1} \bar{\nabla}_A^*(f(z) \bar{\eta}_j) \theta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_p \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\eta}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\eta}_q$$

where $f \in \mathcal{P}(B; \mathbf{C})$, $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_q \in B^*$. Thus ∂_A^* and $\bar{\partial}_A^*$ are densely defined operators and so ∂_A and $\bar{\partial}_A$ are closable. We denote their closures by the same symbols. Then we easily have the following.

Proposition 3.1. *It holds that*

$$(3.12) \quad d_A^2 = 0$$

and

$$(3.13) \quad \partial_A^2 = 0 \quad \bar{\partial}_A^2 = 0 \quad \partial_A \bar{\partial}_A + \bar{\partial}_A \partial_A = 0$$

$$(3.14) \quad \partial_A^{*2} = 0 \quad \bar{\partial}_A^{*2} = 0 \quad \partial_A^* \bar{\partial}_A^* + \bar{\partial}_A^* \partial_A^* = 0.$$

Laplace-Beltrami operators \square_A and $\bar{\square}_A$ are defined as follows

$$(3.15) \quad \square_A = \partial_A \partial_A^* + \partial_A^* \partial_A, \quad \bar{\square}_A = \bar{\partial}_A \bar{\partial}_A^* + \bar{\partial}_A^* \bar{\partial}_A.$$

Then \square_A and $\bar{\square}_A$ are positive self-adjoint operators on $\text{Dom}(\partial_A \partial_A^*) \cap \text{Dom}(\partial_A^* \partial_A)$ and $\text{Dom}(\bar{\partial}_A \bar{\partial}_A^*) \cap \text{Dom}(\bar{\partial}_A^* \bar{\partial}_A)$, respectively ([1]). We will show that \square_A and $\bar{\square}_A$ correspond to the free Hamiltonian of supersymmetric particle field and its antiparticle field, respectively.

DEFINITION 3.2. *Fermionic second quantized operators of A and \bar{A} respectively, on $\Lambda(H_{\mathbb{K}}^*)^c$ are defined as follows*

$$(3.16) \quad d\Lambda(A)\theta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_p \wedge \bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\eta}_q = \sum_{j=1}^p \theta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_j \wedge \dots \wedge A\theta_j \wedge \bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\eta}_q$$

$$(3.17) \quad d\Lambda(\bar{A})\theta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_p \wedge \bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\eta}_q = \sum_{j=1}^q \theta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_p \wedge \bar{\eta}_j \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{A}\bar{\eta}_j \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\eta}_q$$

where $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_q \in B^*$. Then $d\Lambda(A)$ and $d\Lambda(\bar{A})$ are essentially self-adjoint on $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^n(B^* \oplus \bar{B}^*)$ (algebraic sense) ([2]). We denote their closures by the same symbols.

Theorem 3.3. *It holds that*

$$(3.18) \quad \square_A = -L_A + 2d\Lambda(A) = 2(d\Gamma(A) + d\Lambda(A))$$

$$(3.19) \quad \bar{\square}_A = -L_{\bar{A}} + 2d\Lambda(\bar{A}) = 2(d\Gamma(\bar{A}) + d\Lambda(\bar{A}))$$

Proof. To prove (3.18), it is enough to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \square_A: Z_{\theta_1} \dots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \dots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m}: \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_p \wedge \bar{\xi}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\xi}_q \\ &= 2(d\Gamma(A) + d\Lambda(A)): Z_{\theta_1} \dots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \dots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m}: \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_p \wedge \bar{\xi}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\xi}_q \end{aligned}$$

for $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_m, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_p, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_q \in B^*$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_A \partial_A^* : Z_{\theta_1} \cdots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} : \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_p \wedge \bar{\xi}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\xi}_q \\
&= \partial_A \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^{j-1} \nabla_A^* (: Z_{\theta_1} \cdots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} : \omega_j) \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{\omega}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_p \wedge \bar{\xi}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\xi}_q \\
&= \partial_A \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^{j-1} 2 : Z_{\sqrt{A}\omega_j} Z_{\theta_1} \cdots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} : \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{\omega}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_p \wedge \bar{\xi}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\xi}_q \\
&= 2 \sum_{j=1}^p : Z_{\theta_1} \cdots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} : \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge A \omega_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_p \wedge \bar{\xi}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\xi}_q \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} : Z_{\sqrt{A}\omega_j} \hat{Z}_{\theta_k} \cdots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} : \sqrt{A} \theta_k \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_p \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_p \\
&\quad \wedge \bar{\xi}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\xi}_q . \\
& \partial_A^* \partial_A : Z_{\theta_1} \cdots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} : \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_p \wedge \bar{\xi}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\xi}_q \\
&= \partial_A^* \sum_{k=1}^n : Z_{\theta_1} \cdots \hat{Z}_{\theta_k} \cdots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} : \sqrt{A} \theta_k \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_p \wedge \bar{\xi}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\xi}_q \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \nabla_A^* (: Z_{\theta_1} \cdots \hat{Z}_{\theta_k} \cdots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} : \sqrt{A} \theta_k) \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_p \wedge \bar{\xi}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\xi}_q \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j \nabla_A^* (: Z_{\theta_1} \cdots \hat{Z}_{\theta_k} \cdots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} : \omega_j) \sqrt{A} \theta_k \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{\omega}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_p \\
&\quad \wedge \bar{\xi}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\xi}_q \\
&= 2 \sum_{k=1}^n : Z_{\theta_1} \cdots Z_{A\theta_k} \cdots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} : \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_p \wedge \bar{\xi}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\xi}_q \\
&+ 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j : Z_{\sqrt{A}\omega_j} \hat{Z}_{\theta_k} \cdots Z_{\theta_n} \bar{Z}_{\eta_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_{\eta_m} : \sqrt{A} \theta_k \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{\omega}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_p \\
&\quad \wedge \bar{\xi}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\xi}_q .
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.20) holds. (3.19) can be proved similarly. \square

4. $\bar{\partial}_A$ -cohomology group of a complex abstract Wiener space

In this section we shall define $\bar{\partial}_A$ -cohomology group and determine their structure. First we shall define (p, q) -harmonic forms and prove de Rham-Hodge-Kodaira's decomposition. From this decomposition it is clear that $\bar{\partial}_A$ -cohomology groups are isomorphic to the spaces of harmonic forms and so their structure can be determined completely.

DEFINITION 4.1. We set

$$(4.1) \quad \mathfrak{H}_A^{p,q} = \text{Ker}(\bar{\square}_A^{p,q})$$

and call its element a *harmonic* (p, q) -form, where $\bar{\square}_A^{p,q}$ is the restriction of $\bar{\square}_A$ to $\Lambda_A^{p,q}(B) = L^2(B, \mu : \Lambda^{p,q}(H_K^*))^c$.

We shall determine the structure of $\mathfrak{h}_A^{p,q}$

Proposition 4.2.

$$(4.2) \quad \mathfrak{h}_A^{p,q} = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{for } q \geq 1, \\ \text{Hol}^2(B: \Lambda^{p,0}(H_K^*)^c) & \text{for } q = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $q \geq 1$, from (3.19),

$$\square_A^{p,q} = -L_A + 2 d\Gamma(A)_q.$$

Thus $\square_A^{p,q}$ is a strictly positive definite self-adjoint operator and $\text{Ker}(\square_A^{p,q}) = \{0\}$. For $q=0$, from (3.19) and (2.12)

$$\text{Ker}(\square_A^{p,q}) = \text{Ker}(L_A) = \text{Ker}(\nabla_A) = \text{Hol}^2(B, \mu: \Lambda^{p,0}(H_K^*)^c). \quad \square$$

Now we can show de Rham-Hodge-Kodaira's decomposition. It is easy to show the following lemma, so we omit the proof.

Lemma. *Let \mathcal{H} be a complex separable Hilbert space, A be a self-adjoint operator on \mathcal{H} and $\sigma(|A|)$ be the spectrum of $|A|$. If $\sigma(|A|) \setminus \{0\} \subset [m, \infty)$ for a positive constant m , then A has a closed range.*

Theorem 4.3. $\Lambda_2^{p,q}(B)$ is orthogonally decomposed as follows

$$(4.3) \quad \Lambda_2^{p,q}(B) = \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A^{p,q-1}) \oplus \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A^{*p,q}) \oplus \mathfrak{h}_A^{p,q}$$

where $\bar{\partial}_A^{p,q}$ is the restriction of $\bar{\partial}_A$ to $\Lambda_2^{p,q}(B)$ and $\bar{\partial}_A^{*p,q}$ is the restriction of $\bar{\partial}_A^*$ to $\Lambda_2^{p,q+1}(B)$. We set $\text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A^{p,q-1}) = \{0\}$ if $q=0$.

Proof. From Theorem 3.3, $\sigma(\bar{\square}_A^{p,q}) \setminus \{0\} \subset [m, \infty)$ where $m = \inf \sigma(A) > 0$. Thus from the above lemma,

$$\Lambda_2^{p,q}(B) = \text{Ker}(\bar{\square}_A^{p,q}) \oplus \text{Im}(\bar{\square}_A^{p,q}) = \mathfrak{h}_A^{p,q} \oplus \text{Im}(\bar{\square}_A^{p,q}).$$

For $q=0$, $\bar{\square}_A^{p,0} = \bar{\partial}_A^{*p,0} \bar{\partial}_A^{p,0}$, thus $\text{Im}(\bar{\square}_A^{p,0}) \subset \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A^{*p,0})$. On the other hand, since $\Lambda_2^{p,0}(B) = \text{Ker}(\bar{\partial}_A^{p,0}) \oplus \overline{\text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A^{*p,0})}$ and $\text{Ker}(\bar{\square}_A^{p,0}) = \text{Ker}(\bar{\partial}_A^{p,0})$, we have $\text{Im}(\bar{\square}_A^{p,0}) = \overline{\text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A^{*p,0})}$. Therefore, $\text{Im}(\bar{\square}_A^{p,0}) = \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A^{*p,0})$ and $\Lambda_2^{p,0}(B) = \mathfrak{h}_A^{p,0} \oplus \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A^{*p,0})$.

Next we show (4.3) for $q \geq 1$. We note $\bar{\square}_A^{p,q} = \bar{\partial}_A^{*p,q} \bar{\partial}_A^{p,q} + \bar{\partial}_A^{p,q-1} \bar{\partial}_A^{*p,q-1}$ and hence $\text{Im}(\bar{\square}_A^{p,q}) \subset \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A^{*p,q}) \oplus \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A^{p,q-1})$. On the other hand, since $\Lambda_2^{p,q}(B) = \text{Ker}(\bar{\partial}_A^{p,q}) \cap \text{Ker}(\bar{\partial}_A^{*p,q-1}) \oplus \overline{\text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A^{*p,q})} \oplus \overline{\text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A^{p,q-1})}$ and $\text{Ker}(\bar{\square}_A^{p,q}) = \text{Ker}(\bar{\partial}_A^{p,q}) \cap \text{Ker}(\bar{\partial}_A^{*p,q-1})$, we have $\text{Im}(\bar{\square}_A^{p,q}) = \overline{\text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A^{*p,q})} \oplus \overline{\text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A^{p,q-1})}$. Therefore, $\text{Im}(\bar{\square}_A^{p,q}) = \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A^{*p,q}) \oplus \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A^{p,q-1})$ and $\Lambda_2^{p,q}(B) = \mathfrak{h}_A^{p,q} \oplus \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A^{*p,q}) \oplus \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A^{p,q-1})$. \square

We define $\bar{\partial}_A$ -cohomology group as follows

$$(4.4) \quad \mathfrak{H}_A^{p,q}(B) = \text{Ker}(\bar{\partial}_A^{p,q}) / \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A^{p,q-1}).$$

From Theorem 4.3, $\text{Ker}(\bar{\partial}_A^{p,q}) = \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A^{*p,q})^\perp = \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_A^{p,q-1}) \oplus \mathfrak{h}_A^{p,q}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{S}_A^{p,q}(B) = \mathfrak{h}_A^{p,q}$ and thus the following theorem can be obtained.

Theorem 4.4. *It holds that*

$$(4.5) \quad \mathfrak{S}_A^{p,q}(B) = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{for } q \geq 1, \\ \text{Hol}^2(B: \Lambda^{p,0}(H_{\mathbb{K}}^*)^c) & \text{for } q = 0. \end{cases}$$

Appendix A The fundamentals concerning the complexification of a complex separable Hilbert space

Let H be a complex separable Hilbert space with inner product $(\)_H$ and $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be its ONB. The adjoint space of H , denoted by H^* , is a space of \mathbf{C} -linear continuous functionals on H and becomes a complex separable Hilbert space with the following inner product:

$$(A.1) \quad (\theta, \eta)_{H^*} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \langle \theta, e_n \rangle \overline{\langle \eta, e_n \rangle} \quad \text{for } \theta, \eta \in H^*.$$

H becomes a real separable Hilbert space with respect to the following inner product,

$$(A.2) \quad (x, y)_R = \text{Re}(x, y)_H.$$

We denote this real Hilbert space by H_R . H_R has a natural complex structure J defined by $Jx = \sqrt{-1}x$ for $x \in H_R$. Then it holds that $J^2 = -1$, J is skew-adjoint and $\{e_n, Je_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is an ONB of H_R .

The adjoint space of H_R , denoted by $H_{\mathbb{K}}^*$, is a space of \mathbf{R} -linear continuous functionals on H_R and becomes a real separable Hilbert space with respect to the following inner product:

$$(A.3) \quad (\varphi, \psi)_{H_{\mathbb{K}}^*} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \{ \langle \varphi, e_n \rangle \langle \psi, e_n \rangle + \langle \varphi, Je_n \rangle \langle \psi, Je_n \rangle \}$$

for $\varphi, \psi \in H_{\mathbb{K}}^*$.

A complex structure J' on $H_{\mathbb{K}}^*$ is defined by $\langle J'\varphi, x \rangle = \langle \varphi, Jx \rangle$ for $\varphi \in H_{\mathbb{K}}^*$, $x \in H_R$.

Let $(H_{\mathbb{K}}^*)^c = H_R \otimes \mathbf{C}$, the complexification of $H_{\mathbb{K}}^*$. An inner product on $(H_{\mathbb{K}}^*)^c$ is given by $(\varphi \otimes z, \psi \otimes w)_{(H_{\mathbb{K}}^*)^c} = (\varphi, \psi)_{H_{\mathbb{K}}^*} z \bar{w}$ for $\varphi, \psi \in H_{\mathbb{K}}^*$, $z, w \in \mathbf{C}$, which is extended by the \mathbf{R} -linearity in each argument. Then $(H_{\mathbb{K}}^*)^c$ becomes a complex separable Hilbert space with respect to this inner product. $(H_{\mathbb{K}}^*)^c$ is naturally regarded as a space of \mathbf{C} -valued \mathbf{R} -linear functionals on H_R by $\langle \varphi \otimes z, x \rangle = \langle \varphi, x \rangle z$. Then its inner product is also given by

$$(A.4) \quad (\xi, \eta)_{(H_{\mathbb{K}}^*)^c} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \{ \langle \xi, e_n \rangle \overline{\langle \eta, e_n \rangle} + \langle \xi, Je_n \rangle \overline{\langle \eta, Je_n \rangle} \} \quad \text{for } \xi, \eta \in (H_{\mathbb{K}}^*)^c.$$

\mathbf{R} -linear operator J' can be extended to a \mathbf{C} -linear operator on $(H_{\mathbf{R}}^*)^c$ by $J'(\varphi \otimes z) = (J'\varphi) \otimes z$. We note that $J'^2 = -1$ and J' is skew-adjoint on $(H_{\mathbf{R}}^*)^c$. Thus $(H_{\mathbf{R}}^*)^c$ is orthogonally decomposed as a sum of $\text{Ker}(J' - \sqrt{-1})$ and $\text{Ker}(J' + \sqrt{-1})$, where $\text{Ker}(J' - \sqrt{-1}) = H^*$, the space of \mathbf{C} -linear continuous functionals on $H_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $\text{Ker}(J' + \sqrt{-1}) = \bar{H}^*$, the space of anti \mathbf{C} -linear continuous functionals on $H_{\mathbf{R}}$.

Complex conjugate on $(H_{\mathbf{R}}^*)^c$ is given by $\overline{\varphi \otimes z} = \varphi \otimes \bar{z}$. Then $\langle \overline{\varphi \otimes z}, x \rangle = \langle \varphi \otimes z, x \rangle$ for $x \in H_{\mathbf{R}}$, so if $\theta \in H^*$, then $\bar{\theta} \in \bar{H}^*$ and vice versa.

We note difference between the inner product on H^* induced from $(H_{\mathbf{R}}^*)^c$ and the original one. For $\kappa, \eta \in H^*$,

$$(A.5) \quad \begin{aligned} (\theta, \eta)_{(H_{\mathbf{R}}^*)^c} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{ \langle \theta, e_n \rangle \overline{\langle \eta, e_n \rangle} + \langle \theta, J e_n \rangle \overline{\langle \eta, J e_n \rangle} \} \\ &= 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{ \langle \theta, e_n \rangle \overline{\langle \eta, e_n \rangle} \} = 2(\theta, \eta)_{H^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $\{\theta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an ONB of H^* , then $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\theta_n, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{\theta}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ becomes an ONB of $(H_{\mathbf{R}}^*)^c$.

For an operator C on H^* , we define an operator \bar{C} on \bar{H}^* as follows:

$$(A.6) \quad \langle \bar{C}\zeta, x \rangle = \overline{\langle C\zeta, x \rangle} \quad \text{for } \zeta \in \bar{H}^*, x \in H_{\mathbf{R}}.$$

Complex conjugate defines anti-unitary isomorphism from H^* to \bar{H}^* . Thus C and \bar{C} are anti-unitarily isomorphic and if C is self-adjoint, then \bar{C} is also self-adjoint and they are isomorphic.

Appendix B Complex Gaussian random variables and Wick product

Let $Z = X + \sqrt{-1}Y$ be a complex random variable with mean 0. We call Z a *complex Gaussian random variable* if X and Y are independent and identical-distributed Gaussian random variables. This is equivalent to stating that $E[\exp(\sqrt{-1}\text{Re}(aZ))] = \exp(-\frac{1}{4}|a|^2 E[Z\bar{Z}])$ for any $a \in \mathbf{C}$.

Complex random variables $Z_1 \cdots Z_n$ are called *jointly complex Gaussian random variables* if for any $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n \in \mathbf{C}$, $\alpha_1 Z_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n Z_n$ becomes a complex Gaussian random variable.

Proposition B.1. *Let $Z_1, \dots, Z_n, W_1, \dots, W_m$ be jointly complex Gaussian random variables. Then it holds that*

$$(B.1) \quad E[Z_1 \cdots Z_n \bar{W}_1 \cdots \bar{W}_m] = 0 \quad \text{if } n \neq m,$$

$$(B.2) \quad E[Z_1 \cdots Z_n \bar{W}_1 \cdots \bar{W}_n] = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} E[Z_1 \bar{W}_{\sigma_1}] \cdots E[Z_n \bar{W}_{\sigma_n}],$$

where \mathfrak{S}_n denotes the permutation group on n letters.

For jointly complex Gaussian random variables $Z_1, \dots, Z_n, W_1, \dots, W_m$, we define their Wick product $:Z_1 \cdots Z_n \bar{W}_1 \cdots \bar{W}_m:$ by induction with respect to (n, m) as follows,

$$(B.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & :Z_1 \cdots Z_n \bar{W}_1 \cdots \bar{W}_m: \\ &= Z_n :Z_1 \cdots Z_{n-1} \bar{W}_1 \cdots \bar{W}_m: - \sum_{j=1}^m E[Z_n \bar{W}_j] :Z_1 \cdots Z_{n-1} \bar{W}_1 \cdots \hat{\bar{W}}_j \cdots \bar{W}_m: \end{aligned}$$

$$(B.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & :Z_1 \cdots Z_n W_1 \cdots W_m: \\ &= W_m :Z_1 \cdots Z_n \bar{W}_1 \cdots \bar{W}_{m-1}: - \sum_{k=1}^n E[Z_k W_m] :Z_1 \cdots \hat{Z}_k \cdots Z_n \bar{W}_1 \cdots \bar{W}_{m-1}: \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\alpha}$ denotes α is deleted. From this definition we can show that for jointly complex Gaussian random variables $Z_1 \cdots Z_\nu$,

$$(B.5) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_j} :Z_1^{n_1} \cdots Z_\nu^{n_\nu} \bar{Z}_1^{m_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_\nu^{m_\nu}: = n_j :Z_1^{n_1} \cdots Z_j^{n_j-1} \cdots Z_\nu^{n_\nu} \bar{Z}_1^{m_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_\nu^{m_\nu}:,$$

$$(B.6) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}_j} :Z_1^{n_1} \cdots Z_\nu^{n_\nu} \bar{Z}_1^{m_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_\nu^{m_\nu}: = m_j :Z_1^{n_1} \cdots Z_\nu^{n_\nu} \bar{Z}_1^{m_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_j^{m_j-1} \cdots \bar{Z}_\nu^{m_\nu}:,$$

$$(B.7) \quad E[:Z_1^{n_1} \cdots Z_\nu^{n_\nu} \bar{Z}_1^{m_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_\nu^{m_\nu}:] = 0$$

and moreover the following can be proven.

Proposition B.2. (a) For jointly complex Gaussian random variables $Z_1^{(1)}, \dots, Z_{n_1}^{(1)}, W_1^{(1)}, \dots, W_{m_1}^{(1)}, Z_1^{(2)}, \dots, Z_{n_2}^{(2)}, W_1^{(2)}, \dots, W_{m_2}^{(2)}$

$$(B.8) \quad E[:Z_1^{(1)} \cdots Z_{n_1}^{(1)} \bar{W}_1^{(1)} \cdots \bar{W}_{m_1}^{(1)}: :Z_1^{(2)} \cdots Z_{n_2}^{(2)} \bar{W}_1^{(2)} \cdots \bar{W}_{m_2}^{(2)}:] = 0$$

if $n_1 \neq n_2$ or $m_1 \neq m_2$,

(b) For jointly complex Gaussian random variables Z_1, \dots, Z_ν such that $(Z_i, Z_j)_{L^2} = \delta_{i,j}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq \nu$

$$(B.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & (:Z_1^{n_1} \cdots Z_\nu^{n_\nu} \bar{Z}_1^{m_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_\nu^{m_\nu}:, :Z_1^{l_1} \cdots Z_\nu^{l_\nu} \bar{Z}_1^{k_1} \cdots \bar{Z}_\nu^{k_\nu}:)_{L^2} \\ &= \delta_{n_1, l_1} \cdots \delta_{n_\nu, l_\nu} \delta_{m_1, k_1} \cdots \delta_{m_\nu, k_\nu} n_1! \cdots n_\nu! m_1! \cdots m_\nu! \end{aligned}$$

where $(X, Y)_{L^2} = E[X \bar{Y}]$ for complex random variables X and Y .

The proof is similar to the real case. See [5].

References

[1] A. Arai: Path integral representation of the index of Kahler-Dirac operators on an infinite dimensional manifold, J. Funct. Anal., **82** (1989), 330–369.

- [2] M. Reed and B. Simon: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics I*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [3] I. Shigekawa: *De Rham-Hodge-Kodaira's decomposition on an abstract Wiener space*, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **26** (1986), 191–202.
- [4] I. Shigekawa: *Ito-Wiener expansions of holomorphic functions on the complex Wiener space*, in: *Stochastic Analysis*, ed. E. Mayer-Wolf et al, Academic Press, San Diego, 1991.
- [5] B. Simon: *The $P(\varphi)_2$ Euclidean (Quantum) Field Theory*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1974.
- [6] S. Watanabe: *Lectures on Stochastic Differential Equations and Malliavin's Calculus*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1984.
- [7] R.O. Wells: *Differential Analysis on Complex Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1980.

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Kyoto University
Kyoto 606, Japan

