

Title	Usefulness of the serum creatinine/cystatin C ratio as a blood biomarker for sarcopenia components among age groups in community-dwelling older people: The SONIC study
Author(s)	Fang, Wen; Godai, Kayo; Kabayama, Mai et al.
Citation	Geriatrics and Gerontology International. 2024, 24(6), p. 529-536
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://hdl.handle.net/11094/95794
rights	This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.
Note	

# The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

DOI: 10.1111/ggi.14876

### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

## EPIDEMIOLOGY CLINICAL PRACTICE AND HEALTH

## Usefulness of the serum creatinine/cystatin C ratio as a blood biomarker for sarcopenia components among age groups in community-dwelling older people: The SONIC study

Wen Fang, <sup>1</sup> • Kayo Godai, <sup>1</sup> Mai Kabayama, <sup>1</sup> • Yuya Akagi, <sup>1</sup> Michiko Kido, <sup>1</sup> Hiroshi Akasaka, <sup>2</sup> Yoichi Takami, <sup>2</sup> Kazunori Ikebe, <sup>3</sup> • Yasumichi Arai, <sup>4</sup> Yukie Masui, <sup>5</sup> Tatsuro Ishizaki, <sup>5</sup> • Saori Yasumoto, <sup>6</sup> Yasuyuki Gondo, <sup>6</sup> Koichi Yamamoto, <sup>2</sup> Yasuharu Tabara<sup>7,8</sup> • and Kei Kamide<sup>1,2</sup> •

## Correspondence

Kamide Kei MD PhD, Division of Health Science, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, 1-7 Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan.

Email: kamide@sahs.med.osaka-u. ac.jp

Received: 14 February 2024 Revised: 24 March 2024 Accepted: 1 April 2024 **Aim:** The serum creatinine/cystatin C ratio (CCR) or sarcopenia index is considered a useful marker of muscle mass. However, its usefulness in late-stage older adults remains unclear. We aimed to determine the usefulness of CCR as an indicator of sarcopenia in community-dwelling Japanese adults aged >75 years.

**Methods:** Our study recruited participants aged 70, 80, and  $90 \pm 1$  years during the baseline years, and included a 3-year follow-up in the Septuagenarians, Octogenarians, Nonagenarians, Investigation with Centenarians study. From 2015 to 2018, 955 participants were eligible: 367 in their 70s, 304 in their 80s, and 284 in their 90s. The diagnostic components of sarcopenia, including "low muscle mass, plus low muscle strength, and/or low physical performance," were evaluated using the bioelectrical impedance analysis-measured skeletal muscle mass index (SMI), handgrip strength, and short physical performance battery (SPPB) score, respectively, in accordance with the Asia Working Group for Sarcopenia 2019 criteria. Separate analyses were performed between each component and CCR, adjusting for sex, body mass index, and other blood biomarkers in each group.

**Results:** The relationship between CCR and sarcopenia components was significant for handgrip strength ( $\beta = 0.21$ , 0.13, 0.19, and P < 0.0001, =0.0088, <0.0001, for the 70s, 80s, and 90s age groups, respectively); however, it was limited for SMI ( $\beta = 0.14$ ; P = 0.0022, only for the 90s) and not significant for the SPPB score.

**Conclusion:** CCR is a limited indicator of sarcopenia in late-stage older adults. Although its association with muscle strength was significant, its relationship with muscle mass and physical performance was less pronounced. **Geriatr Gerontol Int 2024**; ••: ••-••.

**Keywords:** creatinine/cystatin C ratio, general population, late-stage older adults, sarcopenia, skeletal muscle mass index.

#### Introduction

Sarcopenia, a common syndrome associated with aging,<sup>1</sup> is prevalent not only in older people but also in critically ill patients.<sup>2–5</sup> This condition has been associated with poor long-term health

outcomes in these populations,<sup>6–8</sup> highlighting the importance of its early identification.

The current international consensus states that a low muscle mass, which is typically assessed using computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, dual-energy X-ray analysis, or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Division of Health Sciences, Osaka University, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Geriatric and General Medicine, Osaka University, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Prosthodontics, Gerontology, and Oral Rehabilitation, Osaka University Graduate School of Dentistry, Osaka, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Center for Supercentenarian Medical Research, Keio University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Research Team for Human Care, Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Gerontology, Tokyo, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Department of Clinical Thanatology and Geriatric Behavioral Sciences, Osaka University, Graduate School of Human Sciences, Osaka, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Center for Genomic Medicine, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Graduate School of Public Health, Shizuoka Graduate University of Public Health, Shizuoka, Japan

W Fang et al.

bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA), is required for the diagnosis of sarcopenia. <sup>1,9</sup> In other words, the diagnosis of sarcopenia requires specialized medical equipment, the use of which is either unfeasible, expensive, or carries the risk of radiation. Consequently, several attempts have been made in the recent years to identify sarcopenia by focusing on the effectiveness of specific blood biomarkers or indices derived from them. <sup>10–20</sup>

In 2012, Tetsuka et al. 10 suggested that the serum creatinine (Cr)/cystatin C (CysC) ratio (CCR) could be used as a readily available indicator of the residual muscle mass in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Subsequently, Kashani et al. validated the correlation between CCR and muscle mass in intensive care with normal renal function and defined it as the sarcopenia index in 2017.11 Subsequently, the value of this ratio has been verified in various situations and diseases. 12-16 However, the use of CCR as an indicator of low muscle mass in community-dwelling older adults remains controversial. Several studies in Japan have suggested that CCR is a useful marker for identifying low muscle mass in older adults.<sup>17-20</sup> In contrast, a study from China reported that CCR could not accurately detect low muscle mass in this demographic.<sup>21</sup> However, the study populations in existing studies are relatively younger, averaging ~70 years of age, and there have been no studies investigating this diagnostic/relationship across different age categories within this demographic, including in extremely old individuals aged >90 years.

Given this background, we investigated the associations between CCR and sarcopenia components in community-dwelling older Japanese people aged >75 years in the Septuagenarians, Octogenarians, Nonagenarians, Investigation with Centenarians


(SONIC) study.<sup>22</sup> We aimed to determine the usefulness of CCR as a marker of sarcopenia in this population.

#### Methods

## Study population

The data for this study were sourced from the SONIC study, a longitudinal cohort study focused on community-dwelling older adults in their 70s, 80s, 90s, and 100s in the eastern and western areas of Japan. The study was approved by the institutional review boards of Osaka University Graduate Schools of Medicine, Dentistry, and Human Sciences and the Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Gerontology (approval numbers: 266, H22-E9, 22 018, and 38, respectively).

The SONIC study started in 2010, recruited participants aged 70, 80, and 90  $\pm$  1 years in the baseline years, and included a 3-year follow-up. The baseline years for the 70s, 80s, and 90s were 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively. To ensure an adequate number of participants in each age group, additional recruitments for the 70s and 80s age groups were conducted in 2013 and 2014. The newly recruited participants were of the same age as those in their respective first follow-up (73  $\pm$  1 and 83  $\pm$  1 years old). In particular, owing to the scarcity of individuals in their 90s and the low follow-up rate, new participants aged 90  $\pm$  1 years were recruited at each follow-up survey after the baseline year for the 90s age group (Fig. 1).



**Figure 1** Overview of the septuagenarians, octogenarians, nonagenarians, investigation with centenarians (SONIC) study and details of participants in this study.

#### Diagnosis of sarcopenia

Based on the definition by the Asia Working Group for Sarcopenia (AWGS) in 2019, the diagnostic components of sarcopenia include "low muscle mass, plus low muscle strength, and/or low physical performance." In this study, these components were diagnosed based on a low skeletal muscle mass index (SMI), reduced handgrip strength, and a low short physical performance battery (SPPB) score. Body composition was assessed using a multifrequency BIA device (Model MC-780A; TANITA Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). SMI was calculated by dividing the sum of the appendicular skeletal muscle mass in the four limbs (kg) by the square of the height (m²). Low SMI was defined as an SMI <7.0 kg/m² in men and <5.7 kg/m² in women. Low muscle strength was defined as a handgrip strength <28 kg for men and <18 kg for women. The criterion for low physical performance was an SPPB score ≤9.¹

## Laboratory tests

Routine laboratory tests were performed on all participants who consented to their blood being drawn. Renal function, nutritional status, anemia, and blood glucose levels were selected as covariates. These included the serum levels of uric acid (UA), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), total cholesterol (T-Cho), total protein (TP), albumin (Alb), hemoglobin (Hb), glucose (Glu), and hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c). In addition, to calculate the CCR according to previous reports, <sup>10,11</sup> we measured serum CysC levels using the gold colloid agglutination method (SRL, Inc., Tokyo, Japan).

## Assessment of renal function

The serum Cr and CysC levels were used to calculate the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR). The eGFR by Cr level was calculated using the Japanese coefficient modified modification of diet in renal disease study equation:  $^{23}$  eGFRcr (mL/min/1.73 m²) =  $194 \times \text{Cr}$  (mg/dL) $^{-1.094} \times \text{age}$   $^{-0.287} \times 0.739$  (if female). The eGFR by CysC level was calculated using the Japanese coefficient modified chronic kidney disease epidemiology collaboration equation for CysC: $^{24}$  estimated glomerular filtration rate cystatin C (eGFRcys) (mL/min/1.73 m²) =  $[104 \times \text{CysC} \times \text{Cmg/L})^{-1.019} \times 0.996^{\text{age}} \times 0.929$  (if female)] -8.

#### Analytical sample

We selected participants who underwent laboratory tests and had residual blood samples for additional CysC measurements. Owing to the introduction of BIA measurement in 2015, our study was limited to data collected from that time forward, and we excluded participants who did not undergo BIA measurements for any reason. In addition, because the serum Cr is produced by skeletal muscle and CysC by all nucleated cells, and both biomarkers are eliminated through the kidney, participants with poor renal function (both eGFRcr and eGFRcys <30 mL/min/1.73 m²,  $^{23,24}$ ) were considered ineligible. Finally, 955 participants were enrolled in this study, comprising 367 participants selected from the follow-up of participants in their 70s in 2016 (aged 76  $\pm$  1 years), 304 participants in their 80s in 2017 (aged 86  $\pm$  1 years), and 284 participants in their 90s in 2015 and 2018 (aged 91  $\pm$  1 years). A comprehensive overview of the participants is shown in Fig. 1.

#### Statistical analysis

Means and standard deviations are shown for continuous data, and numbers and percentages are shown for categorical data. For comparisons among the three age groups, the Kruskal–Wallis test was used for continuous variables, and the chi-square test was used for categorical variables. The relationships between each sarcopenia component and sex, body mass index (BMI), CCR, and other blood biomarkers were analyzed using univariate analysis. Subsequently, multivariate regression analyses were performed to calculate the independently associated factors for each component, using variables found to be significant in the univariate analyses. All statistical analyses were performed using the JMP® 17 software package (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA), and *P*-values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

#### Results

## Characteristics of study participants

The characteristics of the study participants, grouped by age, are summarized in Table 1. The sex distribution did not differ significantly between the groups. The prevalence of sarcopenia significantly increased with advancing age, culminating in the highest incidence in the 90s age group, in which more than half of the participants had sarcopenia. Additionally, both Cr and CysC levels significantly increased with age, whereas CCR decreased with age.

# Univariate and multivariate regression analyses of sarcopenia components

The simple correlations between BIA-measured SMI and sex, BMI, CCR, and other blood biomarkers were examined, as detailed in Model 1 (Table 2). Significant factors were used to perform further multivariate regression analyses (Models 2 and 3 in Table 2). In the 70s age group, significant correlations with SMI were observed for male sex, BMI, TP, and Hb levels. However, CCR did not show a significant correlation (P = 0.6650). Similarly, in the 80s age group, male sex, BMI, and Hb levels were significantly associated with SMI, whereas CCR remained nonsignificant (P = 0.1454). In contrast, in the 90s age group, although male sex and BMI continued to show significant correlations with SMI, Hb levels were no longer significant. CCR was a significant factor for SMI (P = 0.0022).

We conducted identical analyses of handgrip strength (Table 3) and SPPB scores. CCR emerged as a stronger associated factor than BMI across all age groups (P < 0.0001, =0.0088, and <0.0001 for the 70s, 80s, and 90s age groups, respectively). Notably, in the 80s age group, BMI was not a significant factor for handgrip strength. Males were the predominant factor in all age groups. Regarding the SPPB score, no factors were identified as significantly associated with scores of 9 or less.

## Discussion

In this study, we demonstrated that the usefulness of CCR as a marker of sarcopenia in late-stage older adults is limited. Although CCR was significantly associated with handgrip strength across all age categories, its correlation with SMI was observed only in the 90s age group. Furthermore, there was no significant relationship between the CCR and SPPB scores in any age group.

The prevalence of sarcopenia varies among studies, depending on the definitions used, and few studies have reported its

**Table 1** Characteristics of the study participants according to the age groups of 70s, 80s, and 90s

	70s age group	80s age group	90s age group	<i>P-</i> value
Variables	$(n = 367, \text{ aged } 76 \pm 1)$	$(n = 304, \text{ aged } 86 \pm 1)$	$(n = 284, \text{ aged } 91 \pm 1)$	
Male, n (%)	191 (52.0%)	163 (53.6%)	146 (51.4%)	0.8515
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	$22.8 \pm 3.1$	$22.2 \pm 3.1$	$22.0 \pm 3.0$	0.0095
SMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	$7.03 \pm 1.11$	$6.62 \pm 1.03$	$6.29 \pm 1.13$	< 0.0001
Handgrip strength, kg	$25.4 \pm 7.9$	$20.9 \pm 6.4$	$18.8 \pm 7.0$	< 0.0001
SPPB score ≤ 9	49 (13.4%)	96 (32.3%)	170 (64.4%)	< 0.0001
AWGS 2019 Sarcopenia, n (%)	39 (10.6%)	105 (34.5%)	154 (54.2%)	< 0.0001
Cys C, mg/dL	$0.10\pm0.02$	$0.13 \pm 0.04$	$0.13 \pm 0.03$	< 0.0001
Cr, mg/dL	$0.80 \pm 0.20$	$0.88 \pm 0.25$	$0.87 \pm 0.23$	< 0.0001
CCR	$7.76 \pm 1.60$	$7.17 \pm 1.45$	$6.98 \pm 1.39$	< 0.0001
eGFRcys	$66.1 \pm 16.7$	$52.7 \pm 15.9$	$50.2 \pm 13.3$	< 0.0001
UA, mg/dL	$5.33 \pm 1.37$	$5.51 \pm 1.34$	$5.36 \pm 1.32$	0.1952
BUN, mg/dL	$17.7 \pm 4.3$	$19.9 \pm 5.6$	$20.1 \pm 5.3$	< 0.0001
T-Cho, mg/dL	$200.0 \pm 32.2$	$198.1 \pm 35.5$	$195.5 \pm 34.4$	0.3122
TP, g/dL	$7.26 \pm 0.42$	$7.35 \pm 0.45$	$7.29 \pm 0.46$	0.0419
Alb, g/dL	$4.38 \pm 0.31$	$4.18 \pm 0.30$	$4.18 \pm 0.30$	< 0.0001
Hb, g/dL	$13.7 \pm 1.3$	$13.3 \pm 1.3$	$13.0 \pm 1.4$	< 0.0001
Glu, mg/dL	$116.8 \pm 33.4$	$122.0 \pm 38.1$	$126.4 \pm 48.5$	0.0069
HbA1c, %	$5.97 \pm 0.58$	$5.87 \pm 0.60$	$6.06 \pm 0.86$	0.0015

Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation for continuous data and as n (%) for categorical data. Bold P-value means significant (P-value <0.05).

Alb, albumin; BMI, body mass index; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; CCR, creatinine/cystatin C ratio; Cr, creatinine; CysC, cystatin C; eGFRcys, estimated glomerular filtration rate; Glu, glucose; Hb, hemoglobin; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; SMI, skeletal muscle mass index; SPPB, short physical performance battery; T-Cho, total cholesterol; TP, total protein; UA, uric acid.

prevalence in late-stage older people. A recent study from Japan revealed that  $\sim$ 22% of both men and women aged >5–79 years old and 32.4% of men and 47.7% of women aged >80 years are affected by sarcopenia. In this study, the participants were community-dwelling older adults who were able to complete their study visits, indicating relatively good health and physical performance. The prevalences of sarcopenia in the 70s and 80s age groups were lower than those reported in previous studies (10.6% and 34.5%, respectively). However, sarcopenia was prevalent in 54.2% of participants in their 90s. We speculate that adjusting the diagnostic criteria for sarcopenia according to age category in late-stage older adults could provide a more accurate representation of their physical function.

Our results indicate that male sex is one of the predominant factors correlated with SMI, a finding consistent with those of previous studies. <sup>17–20</sup> Another observation regarding sex was that the correlation coefficient between male sex and SMI decreased with age. In general, skeletal muscle mass tends to be greater in males than in females. Changes in skeletal muscle mass have a lower impact on serum CysC levels than on Cr levels. Therefore, changes in CCR due to reduced skeletal muscle mass may be greater in males than in females. In contrast, skeletal muscle mass declines with age, <sup>27</sup> with a greater decrease observed in older men than in older women. <sup>28</sup> Consequently, as age increases, the difference in skeletal muscle mass between sexes may diminish, potentially leading to a weakened correlation with SMI.

The correlation coefficients between BMI and SMI are quite high, given that SMI is dependent on body weight.<sup>17</sup> Similar to the correlation with sex, the correlation coefficient between BMI and SMI decreased with age. This trend could be attributed to the fact that muscle mass typically peaks in the fourth decade and subsequently declines, leading to more weight being gained as fat rather than as lean mass.<sup>27</sup> However, this characteristic of weight gain in older adults could lead to fat infiltration in the muscle, a

condition known as myosteatosis, which has been found to be associated with muscle strength and all-cause mortality.<sup>29</sup> The mean BMI of each age group was ~22 kg/m2, which is consistent with the ideal BMI for the Japanese population.<sup>30</sup> Furthermore, according to the Dietary Reference Intakes for Japanese (2020) by the Japan Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare, the ideal BMI range for Japanese individuals aged >65 years is 21.5-24.9 kg/ m<sup>2</sup>.<sup>31</sup> Based on our results, compared with the impact of BMI on muscle mass, its influence on handgrip strength was markedly diminished, especially in the 80s age group, where no significant correlation was observed. Therefore, for older individuals, maintaining a healthy weight is likely not synonymous with sufficient muscle strength. Recently, the AWGS introduced the term "possible sarcopenia," characterized by low muscle strength, or reduced physical performance, but not accompanied by low muscle mass. For affected individuals, the AWGS recommends lifestyle changes, including diet modification, exercise, and health education, because muscle strength is more important than muscle mass in estimating the mortality risk.<sup>29</sup> Our results emphasize the importance of evaluating "possible sarcopenia" for late-stage older adults.

Recently, the correlation between CCR, also known as the sarcopenia index, and skeletal muscle mass has been verified in numerous patients and community-dwelling older adults. <sup>10–20</sup> However, based on our results, the correlation between CCR and SMI was significant only in the 90s age group, in which the prevalence of sarcopenia was highest and the SMI was lowest. Evaluating skeletal muscle mass by calculating the SMI using BIA measurements requires a multifrequency device, which is not commonly available in clinical settings. Moreover, older adults often face difficulties in visiting medical institutes owing to reduced physical function. Although most participants in our study could complete their study visits independently, a considerable number of participants, especially those above 90 years,

**Table 2** Univariate and multivariate regression analysis of SMI and characteristics of study participants in the age groups of 70s, 80s, and 90s

Variables in each age group	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	$\overline{r}$	<i>P</i> -value	β	<i>P</i> -value	β	<i>P-</i> value
70s age group	,	,			,	,
Male	0.66	< 0.0001	0.66	< 0.0001	0.65	< 0.0001
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	0.65	< 0.0001	0.62	< 0.0001	0.62	< 0.0001
CCR	0.33	< 0.0001	0.01	0.7078	0.01	0.6650
UA, mg/dL	0.35	< 0.0001	-0.04	0.1359		
BUN, mg/dL	0.18	0.0005	0.05	0.0498	0.04	0.0853
T-Cho, mg/dL	-0.31	< 0.0001	-0.02	0.5047		
TP, g/dL	-0.16	0.0017	-0.12	< 0.0001	-0.12	< 0.0001
Alb, g/dL	-0.10	0.0497	0.01	0.7824		
Hb, g/dL	0.32	< 0.0001	-0.12	< 0.0001	-0.12	< 0.0001
Glu, mg/dL	0.07	0.1940				
HbA1c, %	0.11	0.0301	-0.03	0.2320		
80s age group						
Male	0.63	< 0.0001	0.55	< 0.0001	0.57	< 0.0001
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	0.67	< 0.0001	0.59	< 0.0001	0.60	< 0.0001
CCR	0.33	< 0.0001	0.05	0.1408	0.05	0.1454
UA, mg/dL	0.30	< 0.0001	0.01	0.7385		
BUN, mg/dL	0.11	0.0700				
T-Cho, mg/dL	-0.28	< 0.0001	-0.05	0.1094		
TP, g/dL	-0.10	0.0823				
Alb, g/dL	-0.06	0.3455				
Hb, g/dL	0.15	0.0120	-0.10	0.0022	-0.11	0.0004
Glu, mg/dL	0.07	0.2514				
HbA1c, %	0.02	0.7375				
90s age group						
Male	0.62	< 0.0001	0.50	< 0.0001	0.51	< 0.0001
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	0.49	< 0.0001	0.44	< 0.0001	0.44	< 0.0001
CCR	0.43	< 0.0001	0.15	0.0019	0.14	0.0022
UA, mg/dL	0.18	0.0023	-0.02	0.5661		
BUN, mg/dL	0.07	0.2310				
T-Cho, mg/dL	-0.18	0.0025	-0.08	0.0427	-0.08	0.0541
TP, g/dL	-0.06	0.3211				
Alb, g/dL	0.03	0.5852				
Hb, g/dL	0.29	< 0.0001	0.04	0.3979		
Glu, mg/dL	0.07	0.2477				
HbA1c, %	0.06	0.3326				

Model 1: Simple correlations between BIA-measured SMI and sex, BMI, CCR, and other blood biomarkers. Model 2: Multivariate regression analysis of BIA-measured SMI and CCR and significant factors in Model 1. Model 3: Multivariate regression analysis of BIA-measured SMI and CCR and significant factors in Model 2. Bold *P*-value means significant (*P*-value <0.05).

Alb, albumin; BMI, body mass index; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; CCR, creatinine/cystatin C ratio; Glu, glucose; Hb, hemoglobin; HbA1c: hemoglobin A1c; T-Cho, total cholesterol; TP, total protein; UA, uric acid.

required accompaniment by others. Therefore, the older an individual is, the more beneficial it becomes to detect the presence of sarcopenia using only a blood test. Our results suggest the potential usefulness of CCR in evaluating muscle mass among extremely old individuals aged over 90 years. However, BIA measurements require a calibration equation, because BIA does not directly measure specific body components. The software equation in current BIA devices in Japan was derived from a cohort of 756 Japanese individuals aged 18–86 years. Therefore, there could be potential inaccuracies when it is applied to individuals aged over 90 years. Thus, our findings regarding those above 90 years should be approached with caution and will require validation in future studies. Additionally, there is a pressing need to develop a BIA calibration equation specifically for populations older than 90 years.

The association between CCR and handgrip strength was significant across all age categories, which is consistent with the results of previous studies.  $^{19,20}$  Moreover, the correlation coefficients in our study were even higher than those for BMI, which is inconsistent with earlier findings. This discrepancy may stem from the age differences between our study and other studies. Our findings demonstrate that CCR serves as a reliable indicator for assessing muscle strength in late-stage older adults, and its association with muscle strength is stronger than that of BMI in this demographic. Some inflammatory markers, such as C-reactive protein, interleukin-6, and tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$ , have been reported to be associated with lower skeletal muscle strength.  $^{33,34}$  Thus, a novel muscle strength evaluation index that combines CCR with other inflammatory markers should be developed through further studies.

**Table 3** Univariate and multivariate regression analysis of handgrip strength and characteristics of study participants in the age groups of 70s, 80s, and 90s

Variables in each age group	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	$\overline{r}$	<i>P</i> -value	β	<i>P</i> -value	β	P-value
70s age group						,
Male	0.73	< 0.0001	0.58	< 0.0001	0.61	< 0.0001
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	0.15	0.0032	0.08	0.0235	0.09	0.0082
CCR	0.55	< 0.0001	0.20	< 0.0001	0.21	< 0.0001
UA, mg/dL	0.34	< 0.0001	0.00	0.9081		
BUN, mg/dL	0.10	0.0652				
T-Cho, mg/dL	-0.16	0.0026	0.02	0.6379		
TP, g/dL	-0.05	0.3396				
Alb, g/dL	0.09	0.0753				
Hb, g/dL	0.45	< 0.0001	0.06	0.1399		
Glu, mg/dL	0.02	0.6890				
HbA1c, %	0.02	0.7477				
80s age group						
Male	0.68	< 0.0001	0.62	< 0.0001	0.62	< 0.0001
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	0.10	0.0789				
CCR	0.42	< 0.0001	0.12	0.0240	0.13	0.0088
UA, mg/dL	0.17	0.0032	-0.07	0.1481		
BUN, mg/dL	0.05	0.3724				
T-Cho, mg/dL	-0.10	0.0914				
TP, g/dL	-0.01	0.8836				
Alb, g/dL	0.06	0.2823				
Hb, g/dL	0.29	< 0.0001	0.08	0.1111		
Glu, mg/dL	0.07	0.2034				
HbA1c, %	0.00	0.9717				
90s age group						
Male	0.74	< 0.0001	0.60	< 0.0001	0.63	< 0.0001
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	0.18	0.0029	0.12	0.0019	0.13	0.0008
CCR	0.56	< 0.0001	0.20	< 0.0001	0.19	< 0.0001
UA, mg/dL	0.20	0.0006	-0.03	0.4737		
BUN, mg/dL	0.05	0.3844				
T-Cho, mg/dL	-0.09	0.1559				
TP, g/dL	0.01	0.8797				
Alb, g/dL	0.17	0.0044	0.12	0.0029	0.15	0.0002
Hb, g/dL	0.39	< 0.0001	0.08	0.0667		2.2002
Glu, mg/dL	0.09	0.1269	0.00	0.0007		
HbA1c, %	0.02	0.7136				

Model 1: Simple correlations between handgrip strength and sex, BMI, CCR, and other blood biomarkers. Model 2: Multivariate regression analysis of handgrip strength, CCR, and the significant factors in Model 1. Model 3: Multivariate regression analysis of handgrip strength, CCR, and the significant factors in Model 2. Bold *P*-value means significant (*P*-value <0.05).

Alb, albumin; BMI, body mass index; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; CCR, creatinine/cystatin C ratio; Glu, glucose; Hb, hemoglobin; HbA1c: hemoglobin A1c; T-Cho, total cholesterol; TP, total protein; UA, uric acid.

Other blood biomarkers worth noting, which are significantly associated with SMI or handgrip strength, include serum Alb and Hb levels. Their associations were inconsistent across different age categories. The relationship between handgrip strength and serum Alb was significant only in the 90s age group, suggesting that good nutritional status becomes increasingly important for maintaining muscle strength. A similar finding was reported in a longitudinal study of 1320 community-dwelling older adults aged 65–88 years. Our results support that perspective and provide evidence even for individuals aged >90 years. The serum Hb level showed significant relationships with SMI in both the 70s and 80s age groups, which may suggest its potential usefulness for muscle mass evaluation in late-stage older adults. Similar findings were also reported by previous studies; <sup>36–38</sup> however, we believe that further studies are needed, especially for extremely old individuals aged >90 years.

This study has several limitations. First, blood samples were collected irrespective of fasting status; consequently, the levels of certain biomarkers might have been affected. Second, reliable data regarding participant comorbidities that may be associated with sarcopenia were lacking. Therefore, specific blood indicators were introduced to mitigate this limitation. Third, the calibration equation of existing BIA devices has not been validated for individuals aged over 90 years.<sup>32</sup>

In conclusion, CCR has limited utility as an indicator of sar-copenia in late-stage older adults. Although our study demonstrated its value in assessing muscle strength, its usefulness in evaluating muscle mass and physical performance was limited in this population. The usefulness of CCR identified in previous studies cannot be generalized to this demographic group. Therefore, the impact of aging should be carefully considered when

evaluating sarcopenia components using specific blood biomarkers or derived indices.

## Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Research and Development Grants for Longevity Science (dk0110040) from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED); a research grant from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI 19K11138 and 19K07888; and the FY2023 CiDER cross-departmental "infectious diseases" research promotion program in Osaka University. The authors are deeply indebted to the staff members of the SONIC study. We also thank Editage for English language editing.

#### Disclosure statement

KK: Research funding (grant numbers: dk0110040, 19K11138, 19K07888, and FY2023 CiDER). YT: Research funding (grant number: dk0110040). Other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### **Author contributions**

KK, YG, KI, and TI were responsible for designing the SONIC study. All authors were involved in data collection. WF performed the statistical analysis and drafted the initial manuscript. KK, KG, YT, and HA contributed significantly to manuscript revision, offering valuable comments and insights, and played a key role in data collection, interpretation, and analysis. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

## Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

#### References

- 1 Chen LK, Woo J, Assantachai P *et al.* Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia: 2019 consensus update on sarcopenia diagnosis and treatment. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2020; **21**: 300–307.
- 2 Wang PY, Xu LD, Chen XK et al. Sarcopenia and short-term outcomes after esophagectomy: a meta-analysis. Ann Surg Oncol 2020; 27: 3041– 3051.
- 3 Yang M, Shen Y, Tan L, Li W. Prognostic value of sarcopenia in lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Chest* 2019; **156**: 101–111
- 4 Maltais F, Decramer M, Casaburi R et al. An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: update on limb muscle dysfunction in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2014; 189: e15–e62.
- 5 González-Montalvo JI, Alarcón T, Gotor P *et al.* Prevalence of sarcopenia in acute hip fracture patients and its influence on short-term clinical outcome. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2016; **16**: 1021–1027.
- 6 Zhang X, Huang P, Dou Q *et al.* Falls among older adults with sarcopenia dwelling in nursing home or community: a meta-analysis. *Clin Nutr* 2020; **39**: 33–39.
- 7 Dos Santos L, Cyrino ES, Antunes M, Santos DA, Sardinha LB. Sarcopenia and physical independence in older adults: the independent and synergic role of muscle mass and muscle function. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2017; 8: 245–250.
- 8 Kelley GA, Kelley KS. Is sarcopenia associated with an increased risk of all-cause mortality and functional disability? *Exp Gerontol* 2017; **96**: 100–103.

- 9 Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Bahat G, Bauer J et al. Sarcopenia: revised European consensus on definition and diagnosis. Age Ageing 2019; 48: 601.
- 10 Tetsuka S, Morita M, Ikeguchi K, Nakano I. Creatinine/cystatin C ratio as a surrogate marker of residual muscle mass in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Neurol Clin Neurosci* 2013; 1: 32–37.
- 11 Kashani KB, Frazee EN, Kukrálová L *et al.* Evaluating muscle mass by using markers of kidney function: development of the sarcopenia index. *Crit Care Med* 2017; **45**: e23–e29.
- 12 Okubo N, Yoshida T, Tanaka K et al. Serum creatinine to cystatin C ratio reflects preoperative and early postoperative walking ability in older patients with hip fracture. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2022; 13: 945–954.
- 13 Zheng C, Wang E, Li JS *et al.* Serum creatinine/cystatin C ratio as a screening tool for sarcopenia and prognostic indicator for patients with esophageal cancer. *BMC Geriatr* 2022; **22**: 207.
- 14 Osaka T, Hamaguchi M, Hashimoto Y et al. Decreased the creatinine to cystatin C ratio is a surrogate marker of sarcopenia in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2018; 139: 52–58.
- 15 Hirai K, Tanaka A, Homma T et al. Serum creatinine/cystatin C ratio as a surrogate marker for sarcopenia in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Clin Nutr 2021; 40: 1274–1280.
- 16 Jung CY, Kim HW, Han SH, Yoo TH, Kang SW, Park JT. Creatinine-cystatin C ratio and mortality in cancer patients: a retrospective cohort study. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2022; 13: 2064–2072.
- 17 Kusunoki H, Tabara Y, Tsuji S et al. Estimation of muscle mass using creatinine/cystatin C ratio in Japanese community-dwelling older people. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2022; 23: 902.e21–902.e31.
- 18 Tabara Y, Kohara K, Okada Y, Ohyagi Y, Igase M. Creatinine-to-cystatin C ratio as a marker of skeletal muscle mass in older adults: J-SHIPP study. Clin Nutr 2020; 39: 1857–1862.
- 19 Tabara Y, Okada Y, Ochi M, Ohyagi Y, Igase M. Different associations of skeletal muscle mass index and creatinine-to-cystatin c ratio with muscle mass and myosteatosis: the J-SHIPP study. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2021; 22: 2600–2602.
- 20 Tabara Y, Okada Y, Ochi M, Ohyagi Y, Igase M. Association of creatinine-to-cystatin c ratio with myosteatosis and physical performance in older adults: the Japan Shimanami health promoting program. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2021; 22: 2366–2372.e3.
- 21 He Q, Jiang J, Xie L, Zhang L, Yang M. A sarcopenia index based on serum creatinine and cystatin C cannot accurately detect either low muscle mass or sarcopenia in urban community-dwelling older people. *Sci Rep* 2018; **8**: 11534.
- 22 Gondo Y, Masui Y, Kamide K, Ikebe K, Arai Y, Ishizaki T. SONIC study, a longitudinal cohort study of the older people as part of a centenarian study. In: Pachana NA, ed. *Encyclopedia of Geropsychology*. Singapore: Springer, 2017.
- 23 Matsuo S, Imai E, Horio M et al. Revised equations for estimated GFR from serum creatinine in Japan. Am J Kidney Dis 2009; 53: 982–992.
- 24 Horio M, Imai E, Yasuda Y, Watanabe T, Matsuo S, Collaborators Developing the Japanese Equation for Estimated GFR. GFR estimation using standardized serum cystatin C in Japan. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2013; **61**: 197–203.
- 25 Yuan S, Larsson SC. Epidemiology of sarcopenia: prevalence, risk factors, and consequences. *Metabolism* 2023; 144: 155533.
- 26 Kitamura A, Seino S, Abe T et al. Sarcopenia: prevalence, associated factors, and the risk of mortality and disability in Japanese older adults. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2021; 12: 30–38.
- 27 Sayer AA, Syddall H, Martin H, Patel H, Baylis D, Cooper C. The developmental origins of sarcopenia. J Nutr Health Aging 2008; 12: 427–432.
- 28 Shimokata H, Ando F, Yuki A, Otsuka R. Age-related changes in skeletal muscle mass among community-dwelling Japanese: a 12-year longitudinal study. Geriatr Gerontol Int 2014; 14: 85–92.
- 29 Reinders I, Murphy RA, Brouwer IA *et al.* Muscle quality and myosteatosis: novel associations with mortality risk: the Age, Gene/Environment Susceptibility (AGES)-Reykjavik Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2016; **183**: 53–60.
- 30 Examination Committee of Criteria for Obesity in Japan, Japan Society for the Study of Obesity. New criteria for obesity in Japan. *Circ J* 2002; **66**: 987–992.
- 31 Japan Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare. *Dietary Reference Intakes for Japanese*. Tokyo: Japan Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare, 2020.
- 32 Yamada Y, Nishizawa M, Uchiyama T *et al.* Developing and validating an age-independent equation using multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance analysis for estimation of appendicular skeletal muscle mass and establishing a cutoff for sarcopenia. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2017; **14**: 809.

- 33 Kamper RS, Alcazar J, Andersen LL et al. Associations between inflammatory markers, body composition, and physical function: the Copenhagen sarcopenia study. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2021; 12: 1641–1652.
- 34 Tuttle CSL, Thang LAN, Maier AB. Markers of inflammation and their association with muscle strength and mass: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ageing Res Rev* 2020; **64**: 101185.
- 35 Schalk BW, Deeg DJ, Penninx BW, Bouter LM, Visser M. Serum albumin and muscle strength: a longitudinal study in older men and women. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2005; **53**: 1331–1338.
- 36 Wouters HJCM, Stam SP, van der Klauw MM, Bakker SJL, Eisenga MF. The association between haemoglobin concentrations and muscle mass determined from urinary creatinine excretion rate: a population-based cohort study. *Br J Haematol* 2020; **190**: e349–e352.
- 37 Cesari M, Penninx BW, Lauretani F *et al.* Hemoglobin levels and skeletal muscle: results from the InCHIANTI study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2004; **59**: 249–254.

38 Penninx BW, Pahor M, Cesari M et al. Anemia is associated with disability and decreased physical performance and muscle strength in the elderly. J Am Geriatr Soc 2004; 52: 719–724.

How to cite this article: Fang W, Godai K, Kabayama M, et al. Usefulness of the serum creatinine/ cystatin C ratio as a blood biomarker for sarcopenia components among age groups in community-dwelling older people: The SONIC study. Geriatr. Gerontol. Int. 2024;1–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/ggi.14876