

| Title        | The characterization of differential operators with respect to the characteristic Cauchy problem |
|--------------|--|
| Author(s)    | Kumano-go, Hitoshi; Shinkai, Kenzo   |
| Citation     | Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1966, 3(1), p. 155-162   |
| Version Type | VoR  |
| URL          | https://doi.org/10.18910/9717  |
| rights       |  |
| Note         |  |

# The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

# THE CHARACTERIZATION OF DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS WITH RESPECT TO THE CHARACTERISTIC CAUCHY PROBLEM

## HITOSHI KUMANO-GO and KENZO SHINKAI

(Received February 14, 1966)

1. Introduction. Let  $L(\lambda, \eta) = \sum_{j=0}^{M} \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_{j,k} \lambda^{j} \eta^{k}$  be a polynomial of  $\lambda$  and  $\eta$  with degrees M and N respectively. Then we can define a constant  $\alpha(L)$  as follows. When  $L(\lambda, 0) \equiv 0$ , we set

$$\alpha(L) = \max_{a_{j,k} + 0, k > 0} \frac{m - j}{k},$$

where m is the degree of  $L(\lambda, 0)$ . In this case we have  $j+k\alpha(L) \leq m$  if  $a_{j,k} \neq 0$  and  $j_0 = k_0 \alpha(L) = m$  for some  $(j_0, k_0)$  such that  $k_0 > 0$  and  $a_{j_0, k_0} \neq 0$ . When  $L(\lambda, 0) \equiv 0$ , we define  $\alpha(L) = -\infty$ . It is easily shown by the definition of  $\alpha(L)$  that the line t = 0 is characteristic with respect to the differential operator  $L\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)$  if and only if  $\alpha(L) < 1$ . L.

Hörmander [3] proved that there exist null solutions 10 of the differential equation Lu=0 with respect to the half plane  $\Pi = \{(t, x); t \le 0\}$  if and only if the line t=0 is characteristic.

In this note we shall characterize the differential operator L by the smallest (largest) function class  $G_x(\cdot)^{2)}$  of Gevrey's to which null solutions are (not) able to belong. In theorem 1, using the same method as L. Hörmander's in [2], we construct a null solution which belongs to  $G_x(\alpha+\varepsilon)$  for any  $\varepsilon>0$  if  $0<\alpha^{3}<1$ , and to  $G_x(\alpha)$  if  $-\infty \le \alpha \le 0$ . In theorem 2, we prove the uniqueness of the solution of the Cauchy

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k} f(t, x) \right| \le K^{k+1} (k!)^{\alpha} \qquad (k=0, 1, 2, \cdots)$$

in any finite interval [a, b] in  $(x_1, x_2)$  for some constant K.

<sup>1)</sup> A solution u(t, x) of the equation Lu=0 is called a null solution with respect to the half plane II, if  $u \in C^{\infty}(R^2)$  and  $u \equiv 0$  in  $R^2$  but u=0 in II.

<sup>2)</sup> A  $C^{\infty}$ -function f(t,x) is called to be in  $G_x(\alpha)$  in  $(T_1,T_2)\times (x_1,x_2), -\infty \leq x_1 < x_2 \leq +\infty$ , if it satisfies

<sup>3)</sup> In what follows we write  $\alpha = \alpha(L)$ .

problem in the function class  $G_x(\alpha)$  if  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and in  $G_x(\alpha - \varepsilon)$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  if  $\alpha \le 0$ .

When  $\alpha \leq 0$ , it is impossible to reduce the differential equation Lu = f to a system of the form  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}U = P\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)U + F$  with a matrix  $P(\eta)$  of differential polynomials. Accordingly it becomes impossible to use the method of A. Friedman [1] which reduces the problem to the property of the fundamental solution of a system of first order ordinary differential equations.

We remark for example that 
$$\alpha \Big( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \Big) = 1/2$$
,  $\alpha \Big( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big) = 0$ ,  $\alpha \Big( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} + 1 \Big) = -1$ , and  $\alpha \Big( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} \Big) = -\infty$ .

## 2. Preliminary lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $-\infty < \alpha < 1$ . Then there exists a function  $\eta(\lambda)$  which satisfies the following conditions:

- i)  $L(\lambda, \eta(\lambda)) = 0$ .
- ii) There exist constants  $C_0$  and  $K_0$  such that if  $\mathfrak{Fm} \lambda^{4} \geq K_0$ ,  $\eta(\lambda)$  is analytic and satisfies the inequality

$$|\eta(\lambda)| \leq C_0 |\lambda|^{\alpha}.$$

Proof. Set

$$L(\lambda,\eta) = Q_{N}(\lambda)\eta^{N}\!+\!\cdots\!+\!Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\!(\lambda)$$
 ,

then we have  $Q_N(\lambda) \equiv 0$  and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \deg^{5_0} Q_0(\lambda) = \deg L(\lambda,\, 0) = \, m \! \geq \! 0 \, , \\ \deg Q_k(\lambda) \! + \! \alpha k \! \leq \! m \, , \quad (k = 1, 2 \, , \! \cdots , N) \, , \\ \deg Q_{k_0}(\lambda) \! + \! \alpha k_0 = m \, . \end{array} \right.$$

Let  $\eta_j(\lambda)$   $(j=1,2,\dots,N)$  be the roots of the equation  $L(\lambda,\eta)=0$ . Then every  $\eta_j(\lambda)$  has the Puiseux series expantion at infinity:

(3) 
$$\eta_{j}(\lambda) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{l_{j}} \alpha_{j,n} \lambda^{n/p_{j}}, \quad (\alpha_{j,l_{j}} \neq 0).$$

Hence, for a sufficiently large constant  $K_0$ ,  $\eta_j(\lambda)$  is analytic in  $\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{m} \lambda \geq K_0$ . By (1) and (2) we have

$$|Q_N(\lambda)\eta_1(\lambda)\cdots\eta_N(\lambda)| = |Q_0(\lambda)| \leq K_1|\lambda|^m$$

and

<sup>4)</sup>  $\Im m \lambda$  means the imaginary part of a complex number  $\lambda$ .

<sup>5)</sup> deg  $Q_0(\lambda)$  means the degree of  $Q_0(\lambda)$ .

$$|Q_N(\lambda) \sum_{i_1 \dots i_{N-k_0}} \eta_{i_1}(\lambda) \dots \eta_{i_{N-k_0}}(\lambda)| = |Q_{k_0}(\lambda)| \ge K_2 |\lambda|^{m+k_0}$$
.

Without loss of generality we may assume

$$|Q_N(\lambda)\eta_{k_0+1}(\lambda)\cdots\eta_N(\lambda)| \geq K_3|\lambda|^{m-\alpha k_0}$$

hence we have

$$|\eta_1(\lambda)\cdots\eta_{k_0}(\lambda)| \leq K_1 |\lambda|^m |Q_N(\lambda)\eta_{k_0+1}(\lambda)\cdots\eta_N(\lambda)|^{-1}$$
  
$$\leq (K_1/K_2)|\lambda|^{\alpha k_0}.$$

Using (3) and this we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k_0} (l_j/p_j) \leq \alpha k_0.$$

This shows that  $l_j/p_j \leq \alpha$  for some j, and by this, if we choose  $K_0$  large enough, we have

$$|\eta_j(\lambda)| \leq C_0 |\lambda|^{\omega} \quad ext{if} \quad \Im \mathfrak{m} \ \lambda \geq K_0 \, .$$
 Q. E. D.

DEFINITION. We call a function f(t, x) to be in a class  $G(\nu, \mu)$  in a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , where  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  are real numbers, if  $f \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$  and satisfies

(4) 
$$\left| \frac{\partial^{j+k}}{\partial t^j \partial x^k} f(t, x) \right| \leq K C^{j+k} (j!)^{\nu} (k!)^{\mu}, \quad (j, k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$$

for some constants K and C.

Let H be a integro-differential operator of the form

(5)
$$(Hf)(t, x) = \sum_{j+\alpha k \leq m, j \leq m-1} a_{j,k} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-\tau)^{m-j-1}}{(m-j-1)!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{k}} f(\tau, x) d\tau + \sum_{j+\alpha k \leq m, j \geq m, k>0} a_{j,k} \frac{\partial^{j-m+k}}{\partial t^{j-m} \partial x^{k}} f(t, x), \qquad (-\infty < \alpha \leq 0),$$

where m is a non-negative integer and  $0 \le j \le M$ ,  $0 \le k \le N$ . Then we have the following

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\Omega$  be a rectangular domain  $(0, T) \times (x_1, x_2)$ ;  $0 < T < +\infty$ ,  $-\infty \le x_1 < x_2 \le +\infty$ , and let a function f(t, x) belong to  $G(\rho, \rho\alpha - \varepsilon_0)$  in  $\Omega$  for some constants  $\rho > 1$  and  $0 < \varepsilon_0 \le 1$ . Then the equation v - Hv = f has a unique solution in the same class.

Proof. It suffices to prove that the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} H^n f$  converges to a function in  $G(\rho, \rho\alpha - \varepsilon_0)$ . If we write

$$(H_{j,k}f)(t, x) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-\tau)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k} f(\tau, x) d\tau,$$

and

$$(H'_{j,k}f)(t, x) = \frac{\partial^{j+k}}{\partial t^j \partial x^k} f(t, x),$$

then we have

$$\begin{split} H_{j,k}H_{j',k'} &= H_{j+j',k+k'}, \\ H'_{j,k}H'_{j',k'} &= H_{j+j',k+k'}, \\ H'_{j',k'}H_{j,k} &= \begin{cases} H_{j-j',k+k'}, & \text{when } j > j' \\ H'_{j'-j,k+k'}, & \text{when } j' \leq j. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

If we write

(6) 
$$\frac{\partial^{j+k}}{\partial t^{j} \partial x^{k}} H^{n} f = \sum a_{j_{1},k_{1}} a_{j_{2},k_{2}} \cdots a_{j_{n},k_{n}} H_{j_{1},k_{1},\cdots,j_{n},k_{n}} f,$$

then, each term of the summation in the right hand side takes one of the following two forms:

a) 
$$H_{j_1k_1\cdots j_nk_n}f = H_{J-j,K+k}H'_{J',K'}f$$

where  $J = \sum_{i=1}^{q} (m-j_i)$ ,  $K = \sum_{i=1}^{q} k_i$ ,  $J' = \sum_{i=q+1}^{n} (j_i - m)$ ,  $K' = \sum_{i=q+1}^{n} k_i$ ,

b) 
$$H_{j_1k_1\cdots j_nk_n}f = H'_{J'+j,K'+k}f$$
,

where 
$$J' = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (j_i - m), K' = \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i$$
.

For the case a), let  $\{j_{i_1}, \dots, j_{i_r}\}$  be the set of all elements which are contained in  $\{j_1, \dots, j_q\}$  and smaller than m, and let

$$egin{aligned} J_1 &= \sum_{l=1}^r (m - j_{i_l}) \,, \ K_1 &= \sum_{l=1}^r k_{i_l} \,, \ J_2 &= J_1 - J \,, \ K_2 &= K - K_1 \,. \end{aligned}$$

Then in view of (5) we have

(7) 
$$\begin{cases} J = J_1 + J_2, \ K = K_1 + K_2; \ J_1 \ge r, \ K_2 \ge q - r, \\ J_i + \alpha K_i \le 0, \quad (i = 1, 2). \end{cases}$$

We also have

(8) 
$$\begin{cases} J' = J'_1 - J'_2, \ K' = K'_1 + K'_2; \ J'_2 \ge s, \ K'_1 \ge (n-q) - s, \\ J'_1 + \alpha K'_i \le 0, \quad (i = 1, 2). \end{cases}$$

For the case b), we have as above

(9) 
$$\begin{cases} J' = J'_1 - J'_2, \ K' = K'_1 + K'_2; \ J'_2 \ge s, \ K'_1 \ge n - s, \\ J'_i + \alpha K'_i \le 0 \quad (i = 1, 2). \end{cases}$$

Since f satisfies (4), we have for the case a)

(10) 
$$|H_{J-j,K+k}H'_{J',K'}f| \leq K_0C^{J-j+J'+K+k+K'} \times \left[ (J-j)! \right]^{-1} \left[ (K+k)! \right]^{\alpha\rho-\varepsilon_0} (J'!)^{\rho} (K'!)^{\alpha\rho-\varepsilon_0},$$

and for the case b)

$$(11) |H'_{J'+j,K'+k}f| \leq K_0 C^{J'+j+K'+k} \lceil (J'+j)! \rceil^{\rho} \lceil (K'+k)! \rceil^{\alpha \rho - \varepsilon_0}.$$

When  $J+\alpha K \leq 0$ , using Stirling's formula we have

$$(12) (J!)(K!)^{\omega} \leq C^K$$

for some constant C. Using (7)–(12) with the inequality

$$(n-q)!q! \leq n! \leq 2^{n}(n-q)!q!$$
,

we have for both cases

$$|H_{j_1k_1\cdots j_nk_n}f|$$
  $\leq K_0C^{n+j+k}(j!)^{\rho}(k!)^{\alpha\rho-\epsilon_0}(n!)^{-\epsilon_0}$ ,

and this completes the proof.

#### 3. Main theorems.

**Theorem 1.** For every positive constant  $\mathcal{E}$  there exists a null solution  $U_{\epsilon}$  of the equation Lu=0 with respect to the half plane  $\Pi$ , which satisfies one of the following inequalities for some constants K and C depending on  $\mathcal{E}$ ,

$$(13) \qquad \left| \frac{\partial^{j+k}}{\partial t^{j} \partial x^{k}} U_{\varepsilon}(t, x) \right| \leq \exp \left\{ K(t + |x|^{-1/(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)}) \right\} C^{j+k} (j!)^{1+\varepsilon} (k!)^{\alpha+\varepsilon},$$

$$if \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

$$(14) \qquad \left|\frac{\partial^{j+k}}{\partial t^{j}\partial x^{k}}U_{\epsilon}(t, x)\right| \leq \exp\left\{K(1+t)(1+x^{1/(1-\alpha)})\right\}C^{j+k}e^{kt}(j!)^{1+\epsilon}(k!)^{\alpha}$$

$$if \qquad -\infty < \alpha \leq 0.$$

REMARK. When  $\alpha = -\infty$ , we can write  $L = L_0 \eta$  with a polynomial  $L_0$ . Then, if we set

$$\psi(t) = \left\{egin{array}{ll} 0, & ext{when} & t \leq 0 \ \exp\left\{-t^{-1/arepsilon}
ight\}, & ext{when} & t > 0 \ , \end{array}
ight.$$

 $\psi(t)$  is a null solution and satisfies

$$\left| \frac{\partial^j}{\partial t^j} \psi(t) \right| \leq K_{\epsilon}^{j+1} (j!)^{1+\epsilon} \quad \text{(See [3] p. 257)}.$$

This means that the statement of the theorem is also valid for the limiting case of (14).

Proof of the theorem. Take a positive constant  $\rho$ ,  $\alpha < 1/\rho < 1$ , and set

(15) 
$$u(t, x) = \int_{-\infty + iK_0}^{+\infty + iK_0} \exp\left\{-it\lambda - ix\eta(\lambda) - (\lambda/i)^{1/\rho}\right\} d\lambda ,$$

where  $\eta(\lambda)$  is the function defined in lemma 1 and  $(\lambda/i)^{1/\rho}$  is defined real and positive on the positive imaginary axis. Then by L. Hörmander [4], p. 121, u(t, x) is a null solution of the equation Lu=0 with respect to the half plane  $\Pi$ . If we set  $C_1=\cos(\pi/(2\rho))$ , we have

(16) 
$$\Re(\lambda/i) \geq C_1 |\lambda|^{1/\rho} \text{ when } \Im \lambda > 0.$$

When  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , we have using (1) and Young's inequality,

$$|x\eta(\lambda)| \leq C_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} |x| \cdot |\lambda|^{\scriptscriptstyle \alpha} \leq \frac{1}{2} C_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} |\lambda|^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/\rho} + K|x|^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/(1-\rho\alpha)}$$

Hence we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{k}}u(t, x)\right| \leq \exp\left\{tK_{0} + K|x|^{1/(1-\rho\omega)}\right\}C_{0}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\left\{-C_{1}|x|^{1/\rho}/2\right\}dy,$$
where  $\lambda = y + iK_{0}$ .

Using the inequality

(17) 
$$r^n e^{-\gamma r^{1/\rho}} \leq C_{\gamma,\rho}^n (n!)^\rho \qquad (r > 0),$$

we have

(18) 
$$\left| \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{k}} u(t, x) \right| \leq \exp \left\{ C'(t + |x|^{1/(1-\rho\omega)}) \right\} C'^{k+1}(k!)^{\rho\omega}.$$

When 
$$-\infty < \alpha \le 0$$
, if we take  $|\lambda| = |x|^{1/(1-\alpha)}$ , we have 
$$|x\eta(\lambda)| \le C_0|x| |\lambda|^{\alpha} = C_0|x| \cdot |\lambda|^{\alpha/(1-\alpha)} = C_0|x|^{1/(1-\alpha)}.$$

If we replace the path of the integration in (15) by the path from  $-\infty + i\tau$  to  $\infty + i\tau$  where  $\tau = K_0 + |x|^{1/(1-\alpha)} + k$ , we get

$$\begin{split} \left| \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{k}} u(t, x) \right| & \leq \exp \left\{ t(K_{0} + |x|^{1/(1-\alpha)} + k) + C_{0}|x|^{1/(1-\alpha)} \right\} \times \\ & \times C_{0}^{k} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\lambda|^{\alpha k} \exp \left\{ -C_{1}|\lambda|^{1/\rho} \right\} dy, \qquad \lambda = y + i\tau. \end{split}$$

Since  $|\lambda|^{\omega k} \leq k^{k\omega}$ , using Stirling's formula we have

$$(19) \qquad \left|\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{k}}u(t, x)\right| \leq C^{\prime\prime} \exp\left\{C^{\prime\prime}(1+t)(1+|x|^{1/(1-\alpha)})\right\} \cdot (C^{\prime\prime}e^{t})^{k}(k!)^{\alpha}.$$

Let  $\varphi(t, x) \equiv 0$  be a function of the class  $G(1+\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon)$  in  $R^2$  such that  $\operatorname{supp}^{6} \varphi(t, x) \subseteq \{(t, x); t^2 + x^2 < 1, t > 0\}$  and  $\varphi(t, x) \geq 0$ . Such a function is easily constructed using the function given in the above remark. We write  $\varphi_{\delta}(t, x) = \varphi(t/\delta, x/\delta)$ , then  $\varphi_{\delta}$  is also in  $G(1+\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon)$ . Set

$$U_{\delta}(t, x) = \varphi_{\delta} * u = \int \varphi_{\delta}(t-\tau, x-y) u(\tau, y) d\tau dy.$$

Then,  $LU_{\delta}=0$ ,  $U_{\delta}=0$  for  $t\leq 0$  and  $U_{\delta}\equiv 0$  in  $R^2$  for sufficiently small  $\delta>0$ . Hence  $U_{\delta}$  be a null solution of the equation Lu=0. When  $0<\alpha<1$ , we take  $1<\rho<1+\varepsilon/\alpha$ , and write

$$\frac{\partial^{j+k}}{\partial t^j \partial x^k} U_{\delta}(t, x) = \int \left\{ \frac{\partial^j}{\partial t^j} \varphi_{\delta}(t-\tau, y) \right\} \left\{ \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k} U(\tau, x-y) \right\} d\tau dy.$$

Then by (18) and (19) we get the desired estimates (13) and (14). Q. E. D.

Next, we shall prove in the sharper form that we can not construct any null solution in the class  $G_x(\alpha)$  if  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , and  $G_x(\alpha - \varepsilon)$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  if  $-\infty < \alpha \le 0$ .

**Theorem 2.** For any T>0 we have the following results:

i) When  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , let u be a distribution solution of the equation Lu = 0 in  $(-\infty, T) \times (-\infty, \infty)$  such that  $\sup u \subset \{(t, x); t \ge 0\}$ . Furthermore assume that u is a function satisfying

$$|u| \leq K \exp\{Kx^{1/(1-\omega)}\}$$

for some constant K. Then  $u \equiv 0$  in  $(-\infty, T) \times (-\infty, \infty)$ .

ii) When  $-\infty < \alpha \le 0$ , let u be a distribution solution of the equation Lu=0 in  $(-\infty, T) \times (x_1, x_2)$ ,  $-\infty \le x_1 < x_2 \le +\infty$ , such that supp  $u \subset \{(t, x); t \ge 0\}$ . Furthermore assume that  $\frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k}u$   $(k=0,1,2,\cdots)$  are functions satisfies

fying

$$\left|\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{k}}u\right| \leq K^{k+1}(k!)^{\omega-\varepsilon}$$

for some constants  $\varepsilon > 0$ , K. Then  $u \equiv 0$  in  $(-\infty, T) \times (x_1, x_2)$ .

<sup>6)</sup> supp  $\varphi(t, x)$  is the closure of  $\{(t, x); \varphi(t, x) \neq 0\}$ .

Proof. The proof of i) is given in [1] and [5]. So we shall prove ii) using lemma 2. Set  $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon/2$  and determine  $\rho > 1$  by  $\alpha - \varepsilon = \rho\alpha - \varepsilon_0$ , i.e.  $\rho = 1 + (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0)/(-\alpha)$ . Let  $\varphi(t, x) \equiv 0$  be a function of the class  $G(\rho, \rho)$  in  $R^2$  such that supp  $\varphi \subseteq \{(t, x) ; t \geq 0\}$ , and set  $\varphi_{\delta}(t, x) = \varphi(t/\delta, x/\delta)$  for  $\delta > 0$ . Then  $u_{\delta} = \varphi_{\delta} * u$ , where u is a function of ii), is defined in  $(-\infty, T - \delta) \times (x_1 + \delta, x_2 - \delta)$  and satisfies the following condition:

(20) 
$$\begin{cases} Lu_{\delta} = 0, & \text{supp } u_{\delta} \subset \{t, x\}; \ t > 0\} \\ \left| \frac{\partial^{j+k}}{\partial t^{j} \partial x^{k}} u_{\delta}(t, x) \right| \leq K'^{j+k+1} (j!)^{\rho} (k!)^{\alpha \rho - \varepsilon_{0}}. \end{cases}$$

Now setting  $v_{\delta} = \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} u_{\delta}$ , we have  $v_{\delta} \in G(\rho, \rho \alpha - \varepsilon_0)$  in  $(0, T - \delta) \times (x_1 + \delta, x_2 - \delta)$  and

(21) 
$$\frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial t^{j}} u_{\delta} = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial^{j-m}}{\partial t^{j-m}} v_{\delta} & \text{for } j \geq m \\ \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-\tau)^{m-j-1}}{(m-j-1)!} v_{\delta}(\tau, x) d\tau & \text{for } j < m. \end{cases}$$

Hence we have

$$0 = a_{m,0}^{-1} L u_{\delta} = v_{\delta} - H v_{\delta}$$

where H is the operator given by (5). As  $v_{\delta} \in G(\rho, \rho\alpha - \varepsilon_0)$ , we can apply lemma 2 and get  $v_{\delta} \equiv 0$  in  $(0, T-\delta) \times (x_1 + \delta, x_2 - \delta)$ . Hence  $u_{\delta} \equiv 0$  in the same domain.

Letting  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $u \equiv 0$  in  $(-\infty, T) \times (x_1, x_2)$ . Q. E. D.

OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OSAKA PREFECTURE

#### References

- [1] A. Friedman: Generalized functions and partial differential equations, Englewood Cliffs, N. J. 1963.
- [2] L. Hörmander: On the theory of general partial differential operators, Acta Math. 94 (1955), 161-218.
- [3] L. Hörmander: Null solutions of partial differential equations, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 4 (1960), 255-261.
- [4] L. Hörmander: Linear partial differential operators, Berlin, 1963.
- [5] H. Kumano-go and K. Isé: On the characteristic Cauchy problem for partial differential equations, Osaka J. Math. 2 (1965), 205-216.
- [6] G. Talenti: Intorno alle classi funzionali di Gevrey, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 63 (1963), 151-173.
- [7] G. Talenti: *Un problema di Cauchy*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3) 18 (1964), 165–186.
- [8] B. L. van der Waerden: Einführung in die algebraishe Geometrie, Berlin, 1939.