



Title	On nonsingular FPF-rings. II
Author(s)	Kobayashi, Shigeru
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1985, 22(4), p. 797-803
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/9785
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

Kobayashi, S.
Osaka J. Math.
22 (1985), 797-803

ON NON-SINGULAR FPF-RINGS II

SHIGERU KOBAYASHI

(Received October 24, 1984)

In [2], we have proved that a right non-singular ring R is right FPF (=every finitely generated faithful right R -module generates the category of right R -modules) if and only if (1) R is right bounded, (2) The multiplication map $Q \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_R} Q \rightarrow Q$ is an isomorphism and Q is flat as a right R -module, where Q means the maximal right quotient ring of R , (3) For any finitely generated right ideal I of R , $Tr_R(I) \oplus r_R(I) = R$ (as ideals), where $Tr_R(I)$ means the trace ideal of I and $r_R(I)$ means the right annihilator ideal of I . This characterization implies a following result of S. Page. "Let R be a right non-singular right FPF-ring and Q be the maximal right quotient ring of R . Then Q is also right FPF and is isomorphic to a finite direct product of full matrix rings over abelian regular self-injective rings." However, as we can see from an example in section 1, not all non-singular right FPF-rings arise in this fashion.

Therefore, in this paper, we shall give a necessary and sufficient condition for a non-singular right FPF-ring to split into a finite direct product of full matrix rings over FPF-rings whose maximal right quotient rings are abelian regular self-injective rings. More precisely, we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let R be a non-singular right FPF-ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) $R \cong \prod_{i=1}^t M_{n(i)}(S_i)$, where each S_i is a non-singular right FPF-ring whose maximal right quotient ring is an abelian regular self-injective ring.
- (2) R contains a faithful and reduced FPF idempotent and R satisfies general comparability.

By Y. Utumi [5], non-singular (right) continuous rings are shown to be (Von Neumann) regular, and S. Page has determined the structure of regular (right) FPF-rings. Therefore we are interested in the structure on non-singular right quasi-continuous, right FPF-rings. In section 2, as an application of Theorem 1, we shall determine the structure of non-singular right quasi-continuous right FPF-rings.

0. Preliminaries

Throughout of this paper, we assume that a ring R has identity and all modules are unitary.

Let R be a ring. Then we say that R is right FPF if every finitely generated faithful right R -module is a generator in the category of right R -modules. If R is a right non-singular right FPF-ring, then R is also left non-singular by Theorem 3 of [4]. Therefore, we simply call R a non-singular right FPF-ring.

Let e be an element of $\text{id}(R)$ (=the set of all idempotents of R), where R is a non-singular right FPF-ring. Then we say that e is a faithful and reduced FPF idempotent if the right R -module eR is faithful and the ring eRe is a non-singular right FPF-ring whose maximal right quotient ring is an abelian regular self-injective ring, where a regular ring R is said to be abelian if every idempotent of R is central.

A ring R satisfies general comparability provided that for any e, f in $\text{id}(R)$, there exists $h \in B(R)$ (=the set of all central idempotents of R) such that $h(eR) \leq h(fR)$ and $(1-h)(fR) \leq (1-h)(eR)$, where $h(eR) \leq h(fR)$ means that $h(eR)$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of $h(fR)$.

Let M be a right R -module. Then we use $r_R(M)$ to denote the right annihilator ideal of M , and we use $L_r(M)$ to denote the lattice of all submodules of M . M is said to have the extending property of modules for $L_r(M)$, provided that for any A in $L_r(M)$, there exists a direct summand A^* of M such that $A \subseteq_e A^*$, where $A \subseteq_e A^*$ means that A is an essential submodule of A^* .

We say a ring R is right quasi-continuous if (1) R has the extending property of modules for $L_r(R)$, and (2) for A and B in $L_r(R)$, which are direct summand of R with $A \cap B = 0$, $A \oplus B$ is also a direct summand of R .

1. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1. First we show the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let R be a non-singular right FPF-ring whose maximal right quotient ring is an abelian regular self-injective ring. Then for any positive integer n , $M_n(R)$ satisfies general comparability.*

Proof. First we assume that $n=1$. Since Q , the maximal right quotient ring of R , is an abelian regular ring, $B(Q)=B(R)$, hence $\text{id}(Q)=\text{id}(R)$. Let e and f are idempotents of R . Then $eR \cap fR = efR$ and ef is idempotent since $\text{id}(R)=B(R)$. So $fR \cap eR \leq fR = f(fR)$ and $(1-f)(fR) (= 0) \leq (1-f)(eR)$. Therefore R has general comparability. Next let $n \geq 1$, and assume that the Theorem holds for $n-1$. Let e and f are idempotent of $M_n(R)$. Then we note

that eR and fR are isomorphic to $e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus e_n R$ and $f_1 R \oplus \cdots \oplus f_n R$ as right R -modules, where e_i and f_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$) are idempotents of R . We set $A = e_1 R \oplus \cdots \oplus e_n R$ and $B = f_1 R \oplus \cdots \oplus f_n R$, and set $A' = e_2 R \oplus \cdots \oplus e_n R$ and $B' = f_2 R \oplus \cdots \oplus f_n R$. By induction hypothesis, there exist central idempotents h_1, h_2 such that $h_1(e_1 R) \leq h_1(f_1 R)$, $(1-h_1)(f_1 R) \leq (1-h_1)(e_1 R)$, and $h_2 A' \leq h_2 B'$, $(1-h_2)B' \leq (1-h_2)A'$. Now we set that $t_1 = h_1 h_2$ and $t_2 = (1-h_1)(1-h_2)$. Then t_1 and t_2 are in $B(R)$, and $t_1 t_2 = 0$. Moreover we see that $t_1(e_1 R) \leq t_1(f_1 R)$ and $t_1 A' \leq t_1 B'$. Hence $t_1 A \leq t_1 B$. Similarly, $t_2 B \leq t_2 A$. Further we set $g_1 = h_1(1-h_2)$, $g_2 = h_2(1-h_1)$ and $g = g_1 + g_2$. Then since $h_1(e_1 R) \leq h_1(f_1 R)$, we have $g_1(e_1 R) \leq g_1(f_1 R)$ and $g_1(f_1 R) \cong g_1(e_1 R) \oplus D_1$ for some D_1 . Similarly, we obtain that $g_2(e_1 R) \cong g_2(f_1 R) \oplus C_1$ for some C_1 . Furthermore we have that $g_2 B' = g_2 A' \oplus D_2$ and $g_1 A' = g_1 B' \oplus C_2$ for D_2, C_2 . We see that $gA \cong (g_1(e_1 R) \oplus g_2(f_1 R) \oplus g_1 B' \oplus g_2 A') \oplus (C_1 \oplus C_2)$ and $gB \cong$ some $(g_1(e_1 R) \oplus g_2(f_1 R) \oplus g_1 B' \oplus g_2 A') \oplus (D_1 \oplus D_2)$. On the other hand, since $C_1 \leq g_2(e_1 R) \leq g_2 R$ and $C_2 \leq g_1 A' \leq (n-1)(g_1 R)$, $C_1 \oplus C_2 \leq (n-1)(g_1 + g_2)R \leq (n-1)gR$. Similarly, $D_1 \oplus D_2 \leq (n-1)gR$. Hence by induction hypothesis, there exists a central idempotent k of R such that $k(C_1 \oplus C_2) \leq k(D_1 \oplus D_2)$ and $(1-k)(D_1 \oplus D_2) \leq (1-k)(C_1 \oplus C_2)$. Set $t_3 = gk$ and $t_4 = g(1-k)$. Then t_3, t_4 are in $B(R)$ and $t_3 t_4 = 0$ and $t_3 + t_4 = g$. We see that $t_3 A \leq t_3 B$ and $t_4 B \leq t_4 A$. Now we set $e = t_1 + t_3$. Then $eA \leq eB$ and $(1-e)B \leq (1-e)A$. Therefore $M_n(R)$ has general comparability.

Proof of Theorem 1.

(1) \Rightarrow (2); By Lemma 1, R has general comparability, and it is easily seen that R contains a faithful and reduced FPF idempotent.

(2) \Rightarrow (1); Let g be a a faithful and reduced FPF idempotent of R . We claim that g is a faithful abelian idempotent of Q . Set $H = r_Q(gQ)$, then since Q is right self-injective regular, $H = eQ$ for some central idempotent e of R . Further by Theorem 1 of [3], $B(R) = B(Q)$, so e must be zero since gR is faithful. Hence gQ is a faithful right Q -module. We note that gQg is a maximal right quotient ring of gRg . Thus g is a faithful abelian idempotent of Q . Since Q is also right FPF by [4, Theorem 2], $Q \leq n(gQ)$ for some positive integer n . For each $t=1, 2, \dots, n$ let e_t be the supremum of all $e \in B(R)$ for which $(eQ)_Q \leq t(egQ)$. By [2, Theorem 10, 15], $e_t Q \leq t(e_t gQ)$. Then $e_1 \leq e_2 \cdots \leq e_n = 1$, and we define that $f_1 = e_1$, and $f_t = e_t - e_{t-1}$ for all $t=2, \dots, n$. Note that the f_t 's are pairwise orthogonal, and $\bigvee f_t = \bigvee e_t = 1$. Therefore $Q = \prod_{t=1}^n f_t Q$, and since $B(R) = B(Q)$, we see that $R = \prod_{t=1}^n f_t R$. Thus it only remains to show that each of the rings $f_t R$ is isomorphic to a $t \times t$ -matrix ring over a FPF-ring whose maximal right quotient ring is an abelian regular self-injective ring. Since $f_1 Q \leq f_1 g Q \leq gQ$ and gQg is abelian, we see that the ring $f_1 Q$ is abelian. Furthermore, $f_1 Q$ is a maximal right quotient ring of $f_1 R$. Now consider any integer $t \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. Note that $f_t Q$ is a regular ring of index t . We have that $f_t \leq e_t$

and $e_t Q \leq t(e_t g Q)$, whence $f_t Q \leq t(f_t g Q)$. Since R has general comparability, there exists a central idempotent e of R such that $(t-1)(egR) \leq eR$ and $(1-e)R \leq (t-1)(1-e)gR$. Then $(1-e)Q \leq (t-1)(1-e)gQ$, so $1-e \leq e_{t-1}$. Thus $f_t \leq 1-e_{t-1} \leq e$. Therefore $(t-1)(f_t g R) \leq f_t R$. We have that $f_t R = (t-1)(f_t g R) \oplus A$ for some A . Next by general comparability of R , there exists a central idempotent h of R such that $h(f_t g R) \leq hA$, and $(1-h)A \leq (1-h)(f_t g R)$. Then $hA = h(f_t g R) \oplus B$ and $(1-h)(f_t g R) \cong (1-h)A \oplus C$ for some B and C . Now $f_t R \cong t(hf_t g R) \oplus B \oplus t((1-h)A) \oplus (t-1)C$. Hence there exist idempotents k_i ($i=1, 2, 3, 4$) of $f_t R$ such that $k_1 R \cong t(hf_t g R)$, $k_2 R \cong B$, $k_3 \cong t((1-h)A)$, and $k_4 R \cong (t-1)C$. We claim that each k_i is central. If k_1 is not central, then $\text{Hom}_Q(k_1 Q, (f_t - k_1)Q) \cong (f_t - k_1)Qk_1 \neq 0$, whence $\text{Hom}_Q(hf_t g Q, (f_t - k_1)Q) \neq 0$. Let φ be a nonzero element of $\text{Hom}_Q(hf_t g Q, (f_t - k_1)Q)$. Then $\varphi(hf_t g)$ is nonzero and $\varphi(hf_t g)Q$ is a direct summand of $(f_t - k_1)Q$. In particular, $\varphi(hf_t g)Q$ is a projective and hence we have that $hf_t g Q = E \oplus \text{Ker } \varphi$ for some E . Since $E \cong \varphi(hf_t g)Q$, we have that $E \leq hf_t Q$. But then $hf_t Q$ contains a direct sum of $t+1$ nonzero pairwise isomorphic right ideals, which contradicts the index of $f_t Q$. Therefore k_1 is central. Likewise for k_2, k_3, k_4 . Next we show that k_2 and k_4 are zero. It is easily seen that k_2 is zero since $f_t g R$ is a faithful right $f_t R$ -module. Since $C \leq (1-h)(f_t g R)$, $R \cong (t-1)C \leq (t-1)(1-h)f_t g R$. Hence $(1-h)f_t k_4 R \leq (t-1) \cdot (1-h)f_t k_4 g R$, so $(1-h)f_t k_4 \leq e_{t-1}$. But since $e_{t-1} = e_t - f_t$, e_{t-1} is orthogonal to f_{t-1} . Thus $k_4 = (1-h)f_t k_4 = 0$. Consequently, $f_t R = k_1 R \oplus k_2 R \cong t(hf_t g R) \oplus t((1-h)A) \cong t(hf_t g R) \oplus t((1-h)f_t g R) \cong t(f_t g R)$. Thus $f_t R = M_t(f_t g R f_t g)$ as rings, since $f_t g Q f_t g$ is a maximal right quotient ring of $f_t g R f_t g$ and is an abelian regular right self-injective ring. Thus the proof is complete.

EXAMPLE. There exists a non-singular two-sided FPF-ring R , which is not isomorphic to a full matrix ring of non-singular FPF-ring whose maximal right quotient ring is an abelian regular self-injective ring.

Proof. Let D be a *Prüfer* domain which is not a principal ideal domain and let I be a non-principal finitely generated ideal of D . We set $R = \begin{pmatrix} D & I \\ I^{-1} & D \end{pmatrix}$.

It is easy to see that R is non-singular FPF-ring, and R does not satisfy general comparability. Hence by Theorem 1, R is not isomorphic to a full matrix ring over a non-singular FPF-ring whose maximal right quotient ring is an abelian regular self-injective ring.

2. Non-singular quasi-continuous FPF-rings

In this section, as an application of Theorem 1, we shall determine the structure of non-singular quasi-continuous FPF-rings. First we recall that a ring R is right quasi-continuous if (1) R has the extending property of modules for $L_r(R)$, and (2) For any A, B in $L_r(R)$, which are direct summand of R with

$A \cap B = 0$, $A \oplus B$ is also a direct summand of R .

In [3], K. Oshiro has studied quasai-continuous modules, and proved the following.

Proposition 1 ([3, Propositions 1.5 and 3.1]). *Let M be a right R -module. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) *M is right quasi-continuous.*

(2) (i) *M has the extending property of modules for $L_r(M)$.*

(ii) *For any A and B in $L_r(M)$ such that $B < \oplus M$ i.e. B is a direct summand of M , and $A \cap B = 0$, every homomorphism of A to B is extended to a homomorphism of M to B .*

(3) *Every decomposition $E(M) = E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus \dots \oplus E_n$ implies that $M = (E_1 \cap M) \oplus (E_2 \cap M) \oplus \dots \oplus (E_n \cap M)$, where $E(M)$ denotes the injective hull of M .*

In order to determine the structure of non-singular quasi-continuous FPF-rings, we shall need the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *Let R be a non-singular right quasi-continuous right FPF-ring. Then R satisfies general comparability.*

Proof. Let e and f are idempotents of R , and consider an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow eR \cap fR \rightarrow fR \rightarrow (1-e)fR \rightarrow 0$. Since R is right quasi-continuous, R has the extending property of modules for $L_r(R)$, $(1-e)fR$ is projective. Thus $eR \cap fR$ is a direct summand of fR . Similarly, $eR \cap fR < \oplus eR$. Hence $eR = (eR \cap fR) \oplus e_1R$ and $fR = (eR \cap fR) \oplus f_1R$. It follows that if there exists a central idempotent h of R such that $h(e_1R) \leq h(f_1R)$ and $(1-h)(f_1R) \leq (1-h)(e_1R)$, then $h(eR) \leq h(fR)$ and $(1-h)(fR) \leq (1-h)(eR)$. Hence R satisfies general comparability. Therefore, we may assume that $eR \cap fR = 0$. Let X denote the collection of all triples (A, B, φ) such that $A \subseteq eR$, $B \subseteq fR$, and $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is an isomorphism. Define a partial order on X by setting $(A'', B'', \varphi'') \leq (A', B', \varphi')$ whenever $A'' \leq A'$, $B'' \leq B'$, and φ' is an extension of φ'' . By Zorn's lemma, there exists a maximal element (A', B', φ') in X . Since R has the extending property of modules for $L_r(R)$, there exist direct summands A^* , B^* of R such that $A' \subseteq_e A^*$, $B' \subseteq_e A^*$. Then since R is right quasi-continuous, by the condition (2) of Proposition 1, we have homomorphisms $\varphi: A^* \rightarrow B^*$, and $\psi: B^* \rightarrow A^*$, which are extensions of the isomorphisms φ' and $(\varphi')^{-1}$ respectively. We show that φ is an isomorphism. Let m be an element of A^* such that $\varphi(m) = 0$. Then since $A' \subseteq_e A^*$, $J = \{r \in R \mid mr \in A'\}$ is an essential right ideal of R and $\varphi'(mr) = 0$ for any $r \in J$. Thus $mJ = 0$ since φ' is an isomorphism, and $m = 0$. Hence φ is a monomorphism. Next let m be any element of B^* . Then for any element r of $H = \{r \in R \mid mr \in B'\}$, $\varphi \cdot \psi(mr) = \varphi'(\varphi')^{-1}(mr) = mr$, where φ is an epimorphism. Therefore φ is an isomorphism. On the other hand, by the maximality of (A', B', φ') , $(A', B', \varphi') = (A^*, B^*, \varphi)$, hence A' and B' are direct summand of

R . Thus $eR = A' \oplus e_1R$ and $fR = B' \oplus f_1R$. Set $I = r_R(e_1R)$. Since R is right FPF, there exists a central idempotent h of R such that $I = hR$ by [3, Theorem 1]. In this case, we have that $h(eR) = h(A') \cong h(B') \leq h(fR)$. Next we show that $f_1R(1-h) = 0$. If $f_1R(1-h) \neq 0$, then there is a nonzero element $x \in f_1R(1-h)$. Since xR is non-singular, xR is projective, so that there exists an idempotent t of R such that $xR \cong tR$ by the extending property to R . We note that $tRh \cong xRh = 0$. Since $t \neq 0$, it follows that $t \notin hR$. Then $yt \neq 0$ for some $y \in e_1R$. We have an exact sequence $xR \rightarrow tR \rightarrow ytR \rightarrow 0$, and that ytR is projective. Thus there exists a monomorphism $g: ytR \rightarrow xR$. Since $yt \in e_1R$ and $x \in f_1R$, we obtain that $(A' \oplus yiR, B' \oplus g(ytR), \varphi' \oplus g) \in X$. But this contradicts the maximality of (A', B', φ') . Therefore $f_1R(1-h) = 0$. Now $(1-h)(fR) = (1-h)(B') \cong (1-h)(A') \leq (1-h)(eR)$. Therefore R satisfies general comparability.

Theorem 2. *Let R be a non-singular right FPF-ring and Q be the maximal right quotient ring of R . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) R is right quasi-continuous.
- (2) $\text{id}(R) = \text{id}(Q)$.
- (3) $R \cong R_1 \times \prod_{i=1}^t M_{n(i)}(S_i)$, where R_1 is a non-singular right FPF-ring whose maximal right quotient ring is an abelian regular self-injective ring, and each S_i is an abelian regular self-injective ring and $n(i) \geq 2$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (3); Since Q is a regular self-injective ring of bounded index, Q has an faithful and abelian idempotent e , i.e. the right Q -module eQ is faithful and the ring eQe is abelian regular. Then $Q = eQ \oplus (1-e)Q$. Thus by the condition (3) of Proposition 1, $R = e'R \oplus e''R$ for some idempotents e', e'' of R . We show that e' is a faithful and reduced FPF-idempotent. Let $I = r_R(e'R)$. Then since R is right FPF, by Theorem 1 of [3], there exists a central idempotent f of R such that $I = fR$. Set $J = \{r \in R \mid er \in R\}$. Then we obtain that $eJ \subseteq eQ \cap R = e'R$ and $eJf = 0$, so $ef = 0$ since J is an essential right ideal of R . While since eQ is faithful, f must be zero. Hence $e'R$ is faithful. Furthermore, eQe is clearly, a maximal right quotient ring of $e'Re'$. Therefore e' is a faithful and reduced FPF idempotent. Moreover, by Lemma 2, R satisfies general comparability. Therefore it follows from Theorem 1 that $R \cong \prod_{i=1}^t M_{n(i)}(S_i)$, where each S_i is a non-singular right FPF-ring whose the maximal right quotient ring is an abelian regular self-injective ring. We claim that if $n(i) \geq 2$, then each S_i is a self-injective regular ring. To prove this, we set $R = M_n(S)$ ($n \geq 2$), where S is a non-singular right FPF-ring whose the maximal right quotient ring $Q(S)$ is abelian regular. Assume that $Q(S) \neq S$ and let w be any element of $Q(S) - S$. Set $\bar{e} = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 0, \dots, 0, w \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then clearly, $\bar{e}Q = \begin{pmatrix} Q(S), Q(S), \dots, Q(S) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and

$(1-e)Q = \{ \begin{pmatrix} wx_1, wx_2, \dots, wx_n \\ Q(S), Q(S), \dots, Q(S) \\ \dots \\ x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \end{pmatrix} \mid x, x, \dots, x_n \in Q(S) \}$. In this case
 $eQ \cap R = \begin{pmatrix} S, S, \dots, S \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $(1-e)Q \cap R = \{ \begin{pmatrix} wy_1, wy_2, \dots, wy_n \\ S, S, \dots, S \\ \dots \\ y_2, y_1, \dots, y_n \end{pmatrix} \mid y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in J \}$
 $= \{r \in S \mid wr \in S\}$. Hence $(eQ \cap R) \oplus ((1-e)Q \cap R) = \begin{pmatrix} S, \dots, S \\ S, \dots, S \\ J, \dots, J \end{pmatrix} \neq R$. But this
contradicts that R is right quasi-continuous. Therefore $Q(S) = S$, so S is regular self-injective.
(3) \Rightarrow (2); Since idempotent of R_1 are central, $\text{id}(R_1) = \text{id}(Q_{\max}(R_1))$. Therefore $\text{id}(R) = \text{id}(Q)$.
(2) \Rightarrow (1); By the condition (3) of Proposition 1, it suffices to show that if $Q = e_1Q \oplus e_2Q \oplus \dots \oplus e_nQ$ for some idempotents e_i of Q , then $R = (e_1Q \cap R) \oplus (e_2Q \cap R) \oplus \dots \oplus (e_nQ \cap R)$. But since $\text{id}(R) = \text{id}(Q)$, this is clear.

References

- [1] K.R. Goodearl: Von Neumann regular rings, Pitmann Press, London, 1979.
- [2] S. Kobayashi: *On non-singular FPF-rings I*, Osaka J. Math. **22** (1985), 787–795.
- [3] K. Oshiro: *Continuous modules and quasi-continuous modules*. Osaka J. Math. **20** (1983), 681–694.
- [4] S. Page: *Semprime and non-singular FPF-rings*, Comm. Algebra **10** (1982), 2253–2259.
- [5] Y. Utumi: *On continuous rings and self-injective rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1965), 158–173.

Department of Mathematics
Osaka City University
Osaka 558, Japan

