



Title	Self-dual groups of order $p^5$ ( $p$ an odd prime)
Author(s)	Hanaki, Akihide
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1997, 34(2), p. 357-361
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/9798">https://doi.org/10.18910/9798</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

## SELF DUAL GROUPS OF ORDER $p^5$ ( $p$ AN ODD PRIME)

AKIHIDE HANAKI

(Received December 14, 1995)

### 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $\text{Irr}(G) = \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_k\}$  be the set of all irreducible characters,  $\text{Cl}(G) = \{C_1, \dots, C_k\}$  be the conjugacy classes of  $G$ , and  $x_i$  be a representative of  $C_i$ . We call  $G$  self dual if (by renumbering indices)

$$(*) \quad |C_j| \chi_i(x_j) / \chi_i(1) = \chi_j(1) \chi_j(x_i), \text{ for all } i, j.$$

This condition is found in E. Bannai [1]. T. Okuyama [4] proved that self dual groups are nilpotent, and that a nilpotent group is self dual if and only if its all Sylow subgroups are self dual. So if we consider self dual groups we may deal with only  $p$ -groups. Obviously abelian groups are self dual. Some examples of self dual groups are discussed in [2].

If  $G$  is self dual it is easy to check that  $|C_i| = \chi_i(1)^2$  for all  $i$ . It is easy to see that non abelian  $p$ -groups of order at most  $p^4$  cannot satisfy this condition, and so they are not self dual. By the classification of groups of order  $2^5$ , there is no group of order  $2^5$  satisfying this condition. For odd  $p$ , in classification table of groups of order  $p^5$  [3], we can see that one isoclinism family  $\Phi_6$  satisfies this condition. We will show that all of groups in  $\Phi_6$  are self dual.

### 2. Definition of groups

We fix an odd prime  $p$ . Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -group of order  $p^5$  which belongs to  $\Phi_6$  defined in [3], namely

$$G = \langle a_1, a_2, b, c_1, c_2 \mid [a_1, a_2] = b, [a_i, b] = c_i, a_i^p = \zeta_i, b^p = c_i^p = 1 \ (i = 1, 2) \rangle,$$

where  $(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$  is one of the followings:

- (1)  $(c_1, c_2)$ ,
- (2)  $(c_1^k, c_2)$ , where  $k = g^r$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \dots, (p-1)/2$ ,
- (3)  $(c_2^{-r/4}, c_1^r c_2^r)$ , where  $r = 1$  or  $\nu$ ,
- (4)  $(c_2, c_1^\nu)$ ,
- (5)  $(c_2^k, c_1 c_2)$ , where  $4k = g^{2r+1} - 1$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \dots, (p-1)/2$ ,
- (6)  $(c_1, 1)$ ,  $p > 3$ ,
- (7)  $(1, c_1^r)$ , where  $r = 1$  or  $\nu$ , and  $p > 3$ ,
- (8)  $(1, 1)$ ,

where  $g$  denotes the smallest positive integer which is a primitive root (mod  $p$ ), and  $\nu$  denotes the smallest positive integer which is a non-quadratic residue (mod  $p$ ).

In this paper, we shall show that

**Theorem 2.1.**  *$G$  is self dual.*

We treat cases (1)–(8) above simultaneously. In any case,  $Z(G)$ , the center of  $G$ , is  $\langle c_1, c_2 \rangle$  and  $D(G)$ , the derived subgroup of  $G$ , is  $\langle b, c_1, c_2 \rangle$ .

### 3. Irreducible characters and conjugacy classes

First, we consider irreducible characters of  $G$ . It is easy to see that  $G/Z(G)$  is isomorphic to the extraspecial group of order  $p^3$  and exponent  $p$ . So we know all characters of  $G/Z(G)$ . We put

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Irr}^0(G) &= \{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \mid \ker \chi \geq D(G)\}, \\ \text{Irr}^1(G) &= \{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \mid \ker \chi \geq Z(G) \text{ and } \ker \chi \not\geq D(G)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\chi$  be an irreducible character of  $G$  whose kernel does not contain  $Z(G)$ . Then  $\ker \chi$  contains some subgroup  $K$  of  $Z(G)$  of order  $p$  since  $Z(G)$  is not cyclic. So we consider characters of  $G/K$  for a fixed  $K$ . We put

$$\text{Irr}^2(G|K) = \{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \mid \ker \chi \not\geq Z(G) \text{ and } \ker \chi \geq K\},$$

and

$$\text{Irr}^2(G) = \bigcup_K \text{Irr}^2(G|K),$$

where  $K$  runs over subgroups of  $Z(G)$  of order  $p$ . Observe that this is a disjoint union. Then obviously

$$\text{Irr}(G) = \text{Irr}^0(G) \cup \text{Irr}^1(G) \cup \text{Irr}^2(G).$$

Let  $V$  be a two-dimensional  $\text{GF}(p)$ -vector space with a nondegenerate skew symmetric form  $f : V \times V \rightarrow \text{GF}(p)$ . That is  $f$  is bilinear,  $f(u, v) = -f(v, u)$  for all  $u, v \in V$ , and if  $f(u, v) = 0$  for all  $u \in V$ , then  $v = 0$ . Note that  $f(v, v) = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ . Let  $\alpha : Z(G) \rightarrow V$  be an isomorphism of abelian groups. We define  $\gamma : G/D(G) \rightarrow Z(G)$  by  $\gamma(\bar{g}) = [g, b]$ . Since  $[D(G), b] = 1$ , this map is well-defined and  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism as abelian groups by the definition of  $G$ . Put  $\beta = \alpha\gamma$ . Then  $\beta$  is an isomorphism from  $G/D(G)$  to  $V$ . For  $K$ , choose  $x \in G$  such that  $\gamma(\langle \bar{x} \rangle) = K$ , and define  $H = \langle x, D(G) \rangle$ . Then  $H/K$  is abelian by the definition. Every character in  $\text{Irr}^2(G|K)$  is induced from a linear character of  $H$  whose kernel contains  $K$  but does not contain  $Z(G)$ , and so the character has degree  $p$ .

Let  $\omega$  be a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity. For  $x$ , we define  $\eta_x \in \text{Irr}(Z(G))$  by  $\eta_x(z) = \omega^{f(\alpha(z), \beta(\bar{x}))}$ . We fix  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  such that  $(\chi, \eta_x^G) \neq 0$ . Then  $\chi \in \text{Irr}^2(G|K)$  since  $f$  is nondegenerate skew symmetric. We define  $\chi^{(i)}$  by

$$\chi^{(i)}(g) = \chi(g^i).$$

Then  $\chi^{(i)}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ , is also in  $\text{Irr}^2(G|K)$ , since it is an algebraic conjugate of  $\chi$ .

**Lemma 3.1.**  $\chi^{(i)}(y) = 0$  for  $y \notin H$  or  $y \in D(G) \setminus Z(G)$ , and  $\chi^{(i)}(y) \neq 0$  for  $y \in H \setminus D(G)$ .

*Proof.* The first statement holds since  $\chi^{(i)}$  is induced from  $H$  by the action of  $G$  on  $b$ . The second assertion holds by the first assertion and the consideration of the inner product with itself.  $\square$

Choose  $\xi \in \text{Irr}^0(G)$  such that  $\ker \xi \not\supseteq H$ . Then

**Lemma 3.2.** For  $1 \leq i, k \leq p-1$  and  $0 \leq j, l \leq p-1$ ,  $\chi^{(i)}\xi^j = \chi^{(k)}\xi^l$  if and only if  $i = k$  and  $j = l$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $\chi^{(i)}\xi^j = \chi^{(k)}\xi^l$ . Clearly  $i = k$  by considering the restriction to  $Z(G)$ . Then  $j = l$  holds by  $\chi^{(i)}(x) \neq 0$  and  $x \notin \ker \xi$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** With the above notation,

$$\text{Irr}^2(G|K) = \{\chi^{(i)}\xi^j \mid 1 \leq i \leq p-1, 0 \leq j \leq p-1\}.$$

*Proof.* The result follows by Lemma 3.2, and since  $\sum_{\phi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \phi(1)^2 = |G|$ .  $\square$

Now we are going to consider conjugacy classes of  $G$ . Put

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cl}^0(G) &= \{C \in \text{Cl}(G) \mid C \subset Z(G)\} \\ \text{Cl}^1(G) &= \{C \in \text{Cl}(G) \mid C \subset D(G) \setminus Z(G)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\{c_1^i c_2^j \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq p-1\}$  is a representative set of  $\text{Cl}^0(G)$ , and  $\{b^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq p-1\}$  is a representative set of  $\text{Cl}^1(G)$ .

As before, we define  $H$ ,  $K$ , and  $x$ . Put

$$\text{Cl}^2(G|H) = \{C \in \text{Cl}(G) \mid C \subset H \setminus D(G)\},$$

$$\text{Cl}^2(G) = \bigcup_H \text{Cl}^2(G|H).$$

Then the union is disjoint and

$$\text{Cl}(G) = \text{Cl}^0(G) \cup \text{Cl}^1(G) \cup \text{Cl}^2(G).$$

Choose  $z \in Z(G) \setminus K$ . Then

**Proposition 3.4.**  $\{x^i z^j \mid 1 \leq i \leq p-1, 0 \leq j \leq p-1\}$  is a representative set of  $\text{Cl}^2(G|H)$ .

**Proof.** Assume  $x^i z^j$  is conjugate to  $x^k z^l$ . Clearly  $i = k$  by considering  $G/D(G)$ . For  $\chi \in \text{Irr}^2(G|K)$ ,  $\chi(x^i) \neq 0$  and  $\chi(z) \neq \chi(1)$ . So  $\chi(x^i z^j) = \chi(x^i z^l)$  implies  $j = l$ . Now the result follows.  $\square$

#### 4. Self duality for $G$

In this section, we will define  $\Psi$  a correspondence between conjugacy classes and irreducible characters of  $G$  and give a proof for Theorem 2.1.

We denote by  $C(y)$  the conjugacy class of  $G$  containing  $y$ . Fix  $x \in G \setminus D(G)$ , and put  $H = \langle x, D(G) \rangle$ ,  $K = \gamma(\overline{H})$ . Let  $\chi$  be in  $\text{Irr}^2(G|K)$ , let  $z$  be in  $Z(G) \setminus K$  such that  $\chi(z) = \omega\chi(1)$ , and let  $\xi$  be in  $\text{Irr}^0(G)$  such that  $\xi(x) = \omega$  (obviously such  $z$  and  $\xi$  exist). We define  $\Psi(C(x^i z^j)) = \chi^{(i)} \xi^j$ . By Proposition 3.3, 3.4, this is well-defined. Now we shall show that  $\chi^{(i)} \xi^j (x^k z^l) = \chi^{(k)} \xi^l (x^i z^j)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{(i)} \xi^j (x^k z^l) &= \chi^{(i)}(x^k) \chi^{(i)}(z^l) \xi^j(x^k) / \chi^{(i)}(1) \\ &= \chi(x^{ik}) \chi(z^{il}) \xi(x^{jk}) / \chi(1) \\ &= \chi(x^{ik}) \omega^{il+jk}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly  $\chi^{(k)} \xi^l (x^i z^j) = \chi(x^{ik}) \omega^{il+jk}$ . Thus  $\chi^{(i)} \xi^j (x^k z^l) = \chi^{(k)} \xi^l (x^i z^j)$ .

We extend  $\Psi$  to the correspondence between  $\text{Cl}^2(G)$  to  $\text{Irr}^2(G)$  naturally. If  $\chi_1 \in \text{Irr}^2(G|K_1)$  for  $K_1 \neq K$ , then  $\chi_1(x) = 0$ . Thus

$$\Psi(C(x_1))(x_2) = \Psi(C(x_2))(x_1)$$

for all  $C(x_1), C(x_2) \in \text{Cl}^2(G)$  and  $(*)$ , denoted in section 1, holds for them.

Now we consider  $\text{Cl}^1(G)$  and  $\text{Irr}^1(G)$ . We know  $\{b^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq p-1\}$  is a representative set of  $\text{Cl}^1(G)$ . Fix  $\phi \in \text{Irr}^1(G)$  and define  $\phi^{(i)}$  similarly as  $\chi^{(i)}$ . We define  $\Psi(C(b^i)) = \phi^{(i)}$ . Then obviously  $\Psi(C(b^i))(b^j) = \Psi(C(b^j))(b^i)$ . It is also clear that  $\chi(b^i) = 0$  for  $\chi \in \text{Irr}^2(G)$ ,  $\xi(b^i) = 1$  for  $\xi \in \text{Irr}^0(G)$ ,  $\phi^{(i)}(x) = 0$  for  $x \in G \setminus D(G)$ , and  $\phi^{(i)}(z) = p$  for  $z \in Z(G)$ . Thus  $(*)$  holds for  $C(x_1) \in \text{Cl}^1(G)$  and  $C(x_2) \in \text{Cl}(G)$ .

Finally, we consider  $\text{Cl}^0(G)$  and  $\text{Irr}^0(G)$ . If  $z \in Z(G)$  and  $\xi \in \text{Irr}^0(G)$  then  $\xi(z) = 1$  and  $(*)$  holds. It remains to consider the cases  $C(x) \in \text{Cl}^2(G)$  and  $C(z) \in \text{Cl}^0(G)$ . We define  $\Psi(C(z)) \in \text{Irr}^0(G)$  by

$$\Psi(C(z))(x) = \omega^{f(\alpha(z), \beta(\bar{x}))}.$$

Then  $\Psi$  defines a one-to one correspondence between  $\text{Cl}^0(G)$  and  $\text{Irr}^0(G)$  since  $f$  is nondegenerate. Now

$$\Psi(C(x))(z) = p\omega^{f(\alpha(z), \beta(\bar{x}))}$$

and so  $(*)$  holds.

Now  $\Psi$  defines a one-to-one correspondence between  $\text{Cl}(G)$  and  $\text{Irr}(G)$  and  $(*)$  holds for all cases. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete.

---

#### References

- [1] E. Bannai: *Association schemes and fusion algebras (an introduction)*, J. Alg. Comb. **2** (1993), 327–344.
- [2] A. Hanaki and T. Okuyama: *Groups with some combinatorial properties*, Osaka J. Math. **34**, to appear.
- [3] R. James: *The groups of order  $p^6$  (  $p$  an odd prime)*, Math. Computation **43** (1980), 613–637.
- [4] T. Okuyama: *Self dual groups are nilpotent*, preprint.

Faculty of Engineering  
Yamanashi University  
Takeda 4, Kofu 400, Japan

