



Title	ルキアノスのエクプラシス：デュボス『詩画論』からの考察
Author(s)	戸高, 和弘
Citation	文芸学研究. 2020, 23, p. 97-115
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/98186">https://doi.org/10.18910/98186</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

Lucian's ἔκφρασις in view of *Critical Reflections* by Du Bos

Kazuhiro TODAKA

In his *Zeuxis or Antiochus*, Lucian composes ἔκφρασις which describes the picture *Centaur* by Zeuxis. ἔκφρασις, one of the literary techniques, typically describes plastic arts through language. While many poets or authors employ this technique in different ways, Lucian's *Centaur* is salient in that his ἔκφρασις itself constitutes the main part of the work without being subordinate to the plot or story. My essay attempts to consider

ἐκφραστις which can be called ‘painting through verbalizing,’ so that the correlation between the literature and the picture will be more obvious and as a result, the sphere of literature will be enlarged. In this consideration, I refer to the reflection of Du Bos in order to theorize on the literature and the picture.

According to *Critical reflections on poetry, painting and music* by Du Bos, the art is mimesis which represents the real world. This does not mean that the art simply replicates the real. Far from it, the art creates the fictional but plausible world, achieving the emotional effect on the audience. The art adopts signs for this purpose, language in literature and figures in pictures. Du Bos insists that language is the artificial sign, while figures are the natural sign, and therefore pictures have instant and direct impression on the viewer, though literature has deep and intense significance by means of affluent descriptions.

Ἐκφραστις is indeed the picture painted in the mind by language, but of course cannot be identical with the true picture, and cannot produce the same effect as the picture itself, because seeing is more believable than listening or reading. Despite this limitation, ἐκφραστις is capable of fixing and retaining the critical moment and further of explaining the situation by words to lead the viewers in the right direction. It is said that verbal explanations are unessential to pictures, but you cannot deny that ἐκφραστις is less liable to cause misunderstanding than real painting. ᘾκφραστις creates the virtual appreciation of pictures and should be regarded as a unique genre of literature rather than a spurious painting.