OUKA
The University of Osaka
Institutional Knowledge Archive

Title	On the Δ-module structures of τ-homotopy groups of X∧Sˆ<1,0>_+
Author(s)	Hosobuchi, Masami
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1985, 22(1), p. 157-161
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/9867
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

Hosobuchi, M.
Osaka J. Math.
22 (1985), 157-161

ON THE Λ-MODULE STRUCTURES OF τ-HOMOTOPY GROUPS OF $X\wedge S^{1,0}_+$

MASAMI HOSOBUCHI

(Received February 1, 1984)

Introduction. Let X be a pointed τ-complex. The stable τ-homotopy group $\pi^S_{p,q}(X\wedge S^{1,0}_+)$ is the E^1-term of the forgetful spectral sequence associated with $\pi^S_{*,*}(X)$ [1], and is isomorphic to $\pi^S_{p+q}(X)$ additively since $S^{1,0}_+$ is an equivariant S-dual of itself [3]. Moreover, ρ acts as -1 on $\pi^S_{p,q}(X\wedge S^{1,0}_+)$ [2]. (See [2], p. 365 for the definition of ρ). We define Λ to be the ring $\boldsymbol{Z}[\rho]/(1-\rho^2)$. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem on the (unstable) τ-homotopy groups $\pi_{p,q}(X\wedge S^{1,0}_+)$.

Theorem. *Let $p\geqq 1$ and $q\geqq 2$. If $\pi_k(X\times X, X\vee X)=0$ for each k, $q+2\leqq k\leqq p+q+1$, then there exists an isomorphism of abelian groups*

$$\phi_{p,q}\colon \pi_{p,q}(X\wedge S^{1,0}_+)\cong\pi_{p+q}(X)\oplus\pi_{q+1}(X)\,.$$

Furthermore, the ρ-action on $\pi_{p,q}(X\wedge S^{1,0}_+)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\rho\cdot(\alpha,\beta)&=(-\alpha,\beta)\,, &&p\geqq 2\\ \rho\cdot(\alpha,\beta)&=(-\alpha,\alpha+\beta)\,, &&p=1\end{aligned}$$

where (α,β) is an element of $\pi_{p,q}(X\wedge S^{1,0}_+)$ via $\phi_{p,q}$.

See §1 for the definition of $\phi_{p,q}$.

EXAMPLE. If $p+q+2\leqq 2n$, then we have

$$\pi_{p,q}(S^nX\wedge S^{1,0}_+)\cong\pi_{p+q}(S^nX)\oplus\pi_{q+1}(S^nX)\,,$$

since $\pi_k(S^nX\times S^nX, S^nX\vee S^nX)=0$ for $k\leqq 2n-1$.

Corollary (cf. [2], Proposition 3.6). *Let $(p,q)\in\boldsymbol{Z}\times\boldsymbol{Z}$. Then $\pi^S_{p,q}(X\wedge S^{1,0}_+)\cong\pi^S_{p+q}(X)$ and ρ acts as -1 on $\pi^S_{p,q}(X\wedge S^{1,0}_+)$.*

This follows from the above theorem, since $\pi_{q+n+1}(S^{2n}X)=0$ for sufficiently large n.

Notations and elementary results in [2] are used freely.

The author would like to express his hearty thanks to Professors S. Araki

and H. Minami for their kind advice.

1. Let Y be a pointed τ-complex with involution τ. We recall first the forgetful exact sequence ([2], (10.5)),

$$(1)\qquad \cdots \to \pi_{r-1,s+1}(Y) \xrightarrow{\psi_{r-1,s+1}} \pi_{r+s}(Y) \xrightarrow{\delta^*_{r,s}} \pi_{r,s}(Y) \xrightarrow{\chi_{r,s}} \pi_{r-1,s}(Y) \xrightarrow{\psi_{r-1,s}} \pi_{r+s-1}(Y) \to \cdots$$

where $r \geqq 1$. Moreover, by [2] Lemma 12.6, we have

$$(2)\qquad \delta^*_{r,s}\psi_{r,s} = 1-\rho \qquad \text{(times)}.$$

We denote by $\tau_*\colon \pi_{r+s}(Y) \to \pi_{r+s}(Y)$ the homomorphism induced by τ. Then we have

Proposition 1. $\psi_{r,s}\delta^*_{r,s} = 1+(-1)^r\tau_*$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \pi_{r+s}(Y)$. Since $\psi_{r,s}\delta^*_{r,s}(\alpha)$ is an element of

$$\pi_{r+s}(Y) = [\Sigma^{r,s}\wedge S^{1,0}_+, Y]^\tau,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi_{r,s}\delta^*_{r,s}(\alpha)(s_1, \cdots, s_r, t_1, \cdots, t_s, -1)\\
&= \begin{cases} \alpha(s_1, \cdots, s_{r-1}, 2s_r+1, t_1, \cdots, t_s, -1), & -1 \leqq s_r \leqq 0,\\ \alpha(s_1, \cdots, s_{r-1}, 1-2s_r, t_1, \cdots, t_s, +1), & 0 \leqq s_r \leqq 1, \end{cases}\\
&= \begin{cases} \alpha(s_1, \cdots, s_{r-1}, 2s_r+1, t_1, \cdots, t_s), & -1 \leqq s_r \leqq 0,\\ \tau_*(\alpha)(-s_1, \cdots, -s_{r-1}, 1-2s_r, t_1, \cdots, t_s), & 0 \leqq s_r \leqq 1. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

This yields the result.

Let X be a pointed τ-complex. Hereafter, we shall consider the case $Y = X\wedge S^{1,0}_+$. Let $X\vee X$ be a τ-complex with involution defined by $\tau(x, *) = (*, x)$. Since $X\wedge S^{1,0}_+$ is τ-homeomorphic to $X\vee X$ ([2], p. 370), $X\wedge S^{1,0}_+$ may be replaced by $X\vee X$ in the τ-homotopy groups. Thus we may assume that the involution on X is trivial.

As is well known, there exists an isomorphism

$$\pi_k(X\vee X) \cong \pi_k(X)\oplus\pi_k(X)\oplus\pi_{k+1}(X\times X, X\vee X).$$

Here we forget the involution of $X\vee X$, as usual.

If $\pi_{k+1}(X\times X, X\vee X) = 0$, then we have

$$\pi_k(X\vee X) \cong \pi_k(X)\oplus\pi_k(X).$$

By this isomorphism, we identify $\pi_k(X\vee X)$ with $\pi_k(X)\oplus\pi_k(X)$.

Let $p\geqq 1$ and $q\geqq 2$. In the following Lemmas 2 and 3, we assume $\pi_{p+q+1}(X\times X, X\vee X)=0$.

Lemma 2. $\psi_{p,q}\delta^{*}_{p,q}(\alpha,\beta)=(\alpha+(-1)^{p}\beta,\ \beta+(-1)^{p}\alpha)$.

Proof. Let $\tau_{*}\colon \pi_{p+q}(X\vee X)\to\pi_{p+q}(X\vee X)$ be the map induced by τ. Then $\tau_{*}(\alpha,\beta)=(\beta,\alpha)$ where $(\alpha,\beta)\in\pi_{p+q}(X\vee X)\cong\pi_{p+q}(X)\oplus\pi_{p+q}(X)$. Thus, Lemma 2 follows from Proposition 1.

Lemma 3. *Let* $u\in\pi_{p,q}(X\vee X)$. *Then* $\psi_{p,q}(u)$ *is of the form* $(\alpha,(-1)^{p}\alpha)$ *with* $\alpha\in\pi_{p+q}(X)$.

Proof. Put $\psi_{p,q}(u)=(\alpha,\beta)$. It is sufficient to prove $\beta=(-1)^{p}\alpha$. Apply $\psi_{p,q}$ to $\delta^{*}_{p,q}\psi_{p,q}(u)=u-\rho\cdot u$ (2). Since $\psi_{p,q}\circ\rho=-\psi_{p,q}$ ([2], (9.9)), Lemma 2 shows that

$$(\alpha+(-1)^{p}\beta,\ \beta+(-1)^{p}\alpha)=(\alpha,\beta)+(\alpha,\beta)\,,$$

and so $\beta=(-1)^{p}\alpha$ as required.

Since $\pi_{0,k}(X\vee X)=0$, it follows from (1) with $Y=X\vee X$, $r=1$ and $s=q$, that there exists an isomorphism

$$\delta^{*}_{1,q}\colon\ \pi_{q+1}(X\vee X)\xrightarrow{\approx}\pi_{1,q}(X\vee X)\,.$$

Suppose that $\pi_{q+2}(X\times X, X\vee X)=0$. We then define the homomorphism $\bar{\chi}_{p,q}\colon \pi_{p,q}(X\vee X)\to\pi_{q+1}(X)$ by the composition $p_{2}(\delta^{*}_{1,q})^{-1}\chi_{2,q}\cdots\chi_{p,q}$ if $p\geqq 2$, and define $\bar{\chi}_{1,q}$ by $p_{2}(\delta^{*}_{1,q})^{-1}$, where p_{2} denotes the projection to the second factor.

Moreover, suppose $\pi_{p+q+1}(X\times X, X\vee X)=0$. Then we define the homomorphism

$$\phi_{p,q}\colon\ \pi_{p,q}(X\vee X)\to\pi_{p+q}(X)\oplus\pi_{q+1}(X)$$

by

$$\phi_{p,q}(u)=(p_{1}\psi_{p,q}(u),\ \bar{\chi}_{p,q}(u))\,,$$

where p_{1} denotes the projection to the first factor.

2. We shall prove the theorem by the induction on p. Let $p=1$. Put $(\delta^{*}_{1,q})^{-1}(u)=(\alpha,\beta)$, and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{1,q}(u)&=(p_{1}\psi_{1,q}(u),\ \bar{\chi}_{1,q}(u)) && \text{by definition}\\ &=(p_{1}\psi_{1,q}\delta^{*}_{1,q}(\alpha,\beta),\ p_{2}(\alpha,\beta))\\ &=(\alpha-\beta,\beta) && \text{by Lemma 2.}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\phi_{1,q}$ is an isomorphism. When $p\geqq 2$, by the induction hypothesis, we get an isomorphism

$$\phi_{p-1,q}\colon \pi_{p-1,q}(X\vee X)\cong\pi_{p+q-1}(X)\oplus\pi_{q+1}(X)\,.$$

Consider the forgetful exact sequence (1) with $Y=X\vee X$, $r=p$ and $s=q$. Let $u\in\pi_{p,q}(X\vee X)$. Then $\phi_{p-1,q}(\chi_{p,q}(u))=(0,\ \bar{\chi}_{p,q}(u))$ since $\psi_{p-1,q}\chi_{p,q}=0$.

We assume $\phi_{p,q}(u)=(0,\ 0)$. Then $p_1\psi_{p,q}(u)=0$ and $\bar{\chi}_{p,q}(u)=0$ by definition. Since $\phi_{p-1,q}$ is an isomorphism, we get $\chi_{p,q}(u)=0$. Therefore, there exists an element $(\alpha,\ \beta)\in\pi_{p+q}(X\vee X)$ such that $\delta^*_{p,q}(\alpha,\ \beta)=u$. It follows from Lemma 2 that (α,β) is congruent to $(\alpha+(-1)^p\beta,0)$ mod Im $\psi_{p-1,q+1}$. Thus $\delta^*_{p,q}(\alpha+(-1)^p\beta,\ 0)=u$. Applying $p_1\psi_{p,q}$ to this, we get an equality

$$\alpha+(-1)^p\beta=p_1\psi_{p,q}(u)=0$$

which implies $u=0$. Hence $\phi_{p,q}$ is a monomorphism.

We show that $\phi_{p,q}$ is an epimorphism. Let $(\alpha,\ \beta)\in\pi_{p+q}(X)\oplus\pi_{q+1}(X)$. By Lemma 3, $\psi_{p-1,q}(\phi_{p-1,q})^{-1}(0,\ \beta)=(0,\ 0)$. Therefore, there exists an element $v\in\pi_{p,q}(X\vee X)$ such that $\chi_{p,q}(v)=(\phi_{p-1,q})^{-1}(0,\ \beta)$. This implies $\bar{\chi}_{p,q}(v)=\beta$. Then we have

$$\phi_{p,q}(\delta^*_{p,q}(\alpha,\ 0)+v-\delta^*_{p,q}(p_1\psi_{p,q}(v),\ 0))=(\alpha,\ \beta)$$

as can be easily checked.

We now turn to the ρ-action on $\pi_{p,q}(X\vee X)$. Let $u\in\pi_{p,q}(X\vee X)$ and $\phi_{p,q}(u)=(\alpha,\ \beta)$. Then $\psi_{p,q}(u)=(\alpha,\ (-1)^p\alpha)$ by Lemma 3. From (2), we obtain $\delta^*_{p,q}(\alpha,\ (-1)^p\alpha)=u-\rho\cdot u$. Recall that $(\alpha,0)$ is congruent to $(0,\ (-1)^p\alpha)$ mod Im $\psi_{p-1,q+1}$ if $p\geqq 2$. Thus $2\cdot\delta^*_{p,q}(\alpha,\ 0)=u-\rho\cdot u$. Applying $\phi_{p,q}$, we obtain

$$2\cdot(\alpha,\ 0)=\phi_{p,q}(u)-\phi_{p,q}(\rho\cdot u)\,.$$

This shows that $\phi_{p,q}(\rho\cdot u)=(-\alpha,\ \beta)$ for $p\geqq 2$. Let $u\in\pi_{1,q}(X\vee X)$ and $\phi_{1,q}(u)=(\alpha,\ \beta)$. Then $\psi_{1,q}(u)=(\alpha,\ -\alpha)$ by Lemma 3. The same method gives rise to

$$\phi_{1,q}\delta^*_{1,q}(\alpha,\ -\alpha)=(\alpha,\ \beta)-\phi_{1,q}(\rho\cdot u)\,.$$

By the definition of $\phi_{1,q}$, the left hand side coincides with $(2\alpha,\ -\alpha)$. Hence $\phi_{1,q}(\rho\cdot u)=(-\alpha,\ \alpha+\beta)$ as required. This completes the proof of the theorem stated in the introduction.

REMARK. Let $X\times X$ be a τ-space with involution defined by $\tau(x_1,\ x_2)=(x_2,\ x_1)$. Then we have an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$\xi_{p,q}\colon \pi_{p,q}(X\times X)\cong\pi_{p+q}(X)\qquad \text{for } p\geqq 1,\ q\geqq 2.$$

The correspondence is given by $\xi_{p,q}(u)=p_1\psi_{p,q}(u)$. Moreover, ρ acts as -1 on $\pi_{p,q}(X\times X)$.

References

[1] S. Araki: *Forgetful spectral sequences,* Osaka J. Math. **16** (1979), 173–199.

[2] S. Araki and M. Murayama: *τ-cohomology theories,* Japan. J. Math. **4** (1978), 363–416.

[3] M. Murayama: *S-duality in τ-cohomology theories,* Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **16** (1980), 669–692.

Department of Mathematics
Kyoto Institute of Technology
Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606
Japan