Title	The McKay numbers of a subgroup of GL(n;q) containing SL(n;q)
Author(s)	Sukizaki, Hideki
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1999, 36(1), p. 177-193
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/9874
rights	
Note	

Sukizaki, H.
Osaka J. Math.
36 (1999), 177–193

THE MCKAY NUMBERS OF A SUBGROUP OF *GL(N; Q)* CONTAINING *SL(N; Q)*

HIDEKI SUKIZAKI

(Received July 2, 1997)

1. Introduction

For a finite group G, a prime number p, a non-negative integer k, and a p-block B of G, we put

$$m_p(k, G, B) = |\{\zeta \in \mathrm{Irr}(G) \mid \nu(\zeta(1)) = k, \zeta \in B\}|,$$

where $\mathrm{Irr}(G)$ is the set of irreducible complex characters of G and ν is the exponential valuation of some splitting field of G with $\nu(p) = 1$. The sum $m_p(k, G) = \sum_B m_p(k, G, B)$ over all p-blocks of G is called the k-th McKay number of G.

Let $GL = GL(n, q)$ be the general linear group of degree n over the finite field $GF(q)$ with q elements, where $q = p^e$ is a power of the prime p. Let

$$L_h = L_h(n, q) = \{x \in GL(n, q) \mid \det(x) \in U_h\},$$

where U_h is the subgroup of the multiplicative group F_1 of $GF(q)$ of order h. (Thus h is a divisor of $q - 1$.) In particular, $L_{q-1}(n, q)$ is $GL(n, q)$ and $L_1(n, q)$ is the special linear group $SL(n, q)$. In general, $L_h(n, q)$ satisfies

$$GL(n, q) \trianglerighteq L_h(n, q) \trianglerighteq SL(n, q).$$

Moreover, we denote by $PL_h = PL_h(n, q)$ the factor group of $L_h(n, q)$ modulo its center $Z(L_h(n, q))$.

In Section 4 of this paper, we write $m_p(k, G, B)$ concretely in terms of several invariants of partitions, where $G = L_h(n, q)$ or $G = PL_h(n, q)$.

In Section 5, we show the Alperin-McKay conjecture [1] holds for L_h and PL_h. Note that for L_h or PL_h, every p-block is of defect 0 or maximal defect. Thus it suffices to prove the following. If a p-block B of G is not of defect zero, then for a Sylow p-subgroup P of G and the p-block b of the normalizer $N_G(P)$ of P corresponding to B by Brauer's first main theorem, we have

$$m_p(0, G, B) = m_p(0, N_G(P), b).$$

Section 2 is devoted to stating several preliminary results, and Section 3 is devoted a parametrization of irreducible characters of L_h. Notations are standard. See, for example, [7].

The author would like to thank Prof. K. Uno for his constant advice, and the referee for his careful reading of the manuscript.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we mention several definitions and results which are important when studying irreducible characters of GL and related groups.

2.1. Polynomials and Simplices. Let n be a fixed integer. For each positive integer k, denote by F_k the multiplicative group of $GF(q^k)$. We take K to be a fixed copy of $F_{n!}$ and regard F_k as a subgroup of K for each k with $k \leq n$. For each positive integer k and h, let $\hat{F}_k$ and $\hat{U}_h$ denote the complex character group of F_k and U_h, respectively.

Suppose k and l are positive integers and k divides l. Then $F_k \leq F_l$ and we have a surjective homomorphism $N_{lk} : F_l \to F_k$ given by

$$N_{lk}(\rho) = \rho^{n_{lk}} \quad \text{for all } \rho \in F_l,$$

where $n_{lk} = |F_l|/|F_k| = (q^l - 1)/(q^k - 1)$. Defining $I_{kl} : \hat{F}_k \to \hat{F}_l$ by

$$I_{kl}(\psi)(\rho) = \psi(\rho^{n_{lk}}) \qquad (\psi \in \hat{F}_k, \rho \in F_l),$$

we can embed $\hat{F}_k$ in $\hat{F}_l$. In this way, we embed $\hat{F}_k$ in $\hat{K}$ for each integer k with $1 \leq k \leq n$. This embedding is well-defined (See Lemma 3.1 in [5]).

Lemma 2.1 (Lemma 3.2 in [5]). *For integers k, l with $k \mid l$, under the above identifications, the surjection: $\psi \mapsto \psi^{n_{lk}}$ from $\hat{F}_l$ to $\hat{F}_k$ is the same map as the restriction of characters.*

In the same way, $\hat{U}_h$ is embedded in $\hat{F}_k$ and in $\hat{K}$.

DEFINITION AND NOTATIONS.

(1) Let σ denote the *Frobenius map* $\rho \mapsto \rho^q$ on K, and $\hat{\sigma}$ the corresponding action on $\hat{K}$.

(2) An *irreducible polynomial* f over $GF(q)$ with the degree less than n will be identified with its set of roots in $GF(q^{n!})$, which forms a σ-orbit. If $f(x) \neq x$, then f is a σ-orbit in K. If ρ is an element of this orbit, we write $f = \langle \rho \rangle$.

(3) A *simplex* g over $GF(q)$ is a $\hat{\sigma}$-orbit in $\hat{K}$. If $\psi \in g$, we write $g = \langle \psi \rangle$.

We denote by $\mathcal{F}$ the set of irreducible polynomials regarded as σ-orbits in K, and by $\mathcal{G}$ the set of simplices over $GF(q)$. By the degree $deg(f)$ of an irreducible polynomial f, or $deg(g)$ of simplex g, we mean the cardinality of the orbit concerned.

If we fix an isomorphism between K and $\hat{K}$, then $\hat{F}_k$ and $\hat{U}_h$ correspond to F_k and U_h, respectively. Moreover, $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ correspond bijectively, and then a polynomial and the corresponding simplex have the same degree.

2.2. Partitions. Let $\mu = (a_1^{l_1}, a_2^{l_2}, \ldots, a_\delta^{l_\delta})$ be a partition of n. Here we put $a_1 > a_2 > \ldots > a_\delta > 0$ and $l_i \neq 0$ is the multiplicity of a_i as a part of μ. (Thus $n = l_1a_1 + l_2a_2 + \ldots + l_\delta a_\delta$.) For convenience sake, we also write $\mu = (j^{m_j})$, where $m_{a_i} = l_i$, and $m_j = 0$ if $j \neq a_i$ for any i.

We write $|\mu| = n$ to indicate that μ is a partition of n. Moreover $l(\mu) = \sum l_i$ is the length of μ, $\Lambda(\mu) = \gcd(l_1, l_2, \cdots, l_\delta)$, $A(\mu) = \gcd(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_\delta)$, $\delta(\mu) = \delta$ is the number of distinct parts in μ, and $n'(\mu) = \sum \binom{a_i}{2} l_i$. The partition conjugate to μ is denoted by μ'. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of partitions of all nonnegative integers n. Here we regard (0) as the only partition of 0.

2.3. Applications of the Clifford theory. Let G be a finite group and H be a normal subgroup of G. For $\zeta \in \mathrm{Irr}(H)$ we denote by $T_G(\zeta)$ the stabilizer of ζ in G and set

$$\mathrm{Irr}(G \mid \zeta) = \left\{ \chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G) \;\middle|\; (\chi|_H, \zeta)_H = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x \in H} \chi(x)\zeta(x^{-1}) \neq 0 \right\}.$$

For $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$, let

$$\mathrm{Irr}(H|\chi) = \{\zeta \in \mathrm{Irr}(H) \mid (\chi|_H, \zeta)_H \neq 0\}.$$

Theorem 2.2 (Chapter 3, Theorem 3.8 in [7]). *Let $\zeta \in \mathrm{Irr}(H)$ and $T = T_G(\zeta)$. For $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G \mid \zeta)$, we have*

$$\chi|_H = c\Big(\sum_{x \in T\backslash G} \zeta^x \Big),$$

where c is some positive integer.

Theorem 2.3 (Chapter 3, Theorem 5.12 in [7]). *Let $\zeta \in \mathrm{Irr}(H)$ and $T = T_G(\zeta)$. If ζ extends to an irreducible character η of T, then we have*

$$\mathrm{Irr}(T \mid \zeta) = \{\theta\eta \mid \theta \in \mathrm{Irr}(T/H)\} \quad \textit{and}$$
$$\mathrm{Irr}(G \mid \zeta) = \{(\theta\eta)^G \mid \theta \in \mathrm{Irr}(T/H)\}.$$

Theorem 2.4. *With the above notation, each one of the following conditions implies that ζ is extendible to an irreducible character of T:*

(1) (Chapter 3, Theorem 5.11 in [7]) *T/H is cyclic.*

(2) *ζ is of degree 1, and $T = S \ltimes H$, where S is a certain group.*

Lemma 2.5. *If G/H is cyclic then the following hold.*

(1) *In the restriction of irreducible characters of G to H, the multiplicity of each irreducible constituent is 1.*

(2) *Two irreducible characters of G either have the same restrictions to H, or have restrictions without common irreducible constituents.*

(3) *For $\zeta \in \mathrm{Irr}(H)$, $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G \mid \zeta)$, we have $|\mathrm{Irr}(H \mid \chi)| = |G|/|H||\mathrm{Irr}(G \mid \zeta)|$.*

Proof. Let $T = T_G(\zeta)$. Note that T/H is also cyclic.

(1) $\mathrm{Irr}(T/H)$ has only characters of degree 1. By Theorems 2.4(1) and 2.3, c in Theorem 2.2 is 1.

(2) It is clear from (1) and Theorem 2.2.

(3) By Theorem 2.3, we have $|\mathrm{Irr}(G \mid \zeta)| = |\mathrm{Irr}(T/H)| = |T/H|$, and by Theorem 2.2, we obtain $|\mathrm{Irr}(H \mid \chi)| = |G/T|$. Thus the equality holds. □

2.4. p-blocks. Let G be a finite group, $G_{p'}$ the set of elements of G whose orders are prime to p, and $Cl(G_{p'})$ the set of conjugate classes of G contained in $G_{p'}$. For $C \in Cl(G_{p'})$, let $\bar{C}$ be the sum of all elements of C in the group algebra of G over $\mathbb{C}$, and $d(C)$ the defect of C, i.e., $d(C) = \nu(|C_G(x)|)$ for $x \in C$. For a p-block B of G, let $d(B)$ be the defect of B. For $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ and $C \in Cl(G_{p'})$, we define $\omega_\chi(\bar{C})$ by

$$\omega_\chi(\bar{C}) = |C|\chi(x)/\chi(1)$$

with $x \in C$. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the valuation ideal of ν, i.e., $\mathfrak{p}$ is the set of elements in the field such that the values of ν on them are positive.

Theorem 2.6 (Chapter 3, Theorem 6.28 in [7]). *Assume that χ, $\chi' \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ belong to p-blocks of the same defect d. Then χ and χ' belong to the same p-block if and only if*

$$\omega_\chi(\bar{C}) \equiv \omega_{\chi'}(\bar{C}) \quad (mod \quad \mathfrak{p})$$

for any $C \in Cl(G_{p'})$ with $d(C) = d$.

Theorem 2.7 (Chapter 3, Theorem 6.29 in [7]). *Let B be a p-block of G and let $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ belong to B. Then the following three conditions are equivalent to each other.*

(1) $d(B) = 0$.
(2) $\nu(\chi(1)) = \nu(|G|)$.
(3) *The number of irreducible characters belonging to B is* 1.

Therefore the number of p-blocks of defect 0 is the number of characters satisfying the condition (2) above.

3. A parametrization of irreducible characters of $L_h(n, q)$

In this section, we treat $\mathrm{Irr}(L_h)$. We remark that the center $Z(L_h)$ of L_h is isomorphic to $U_{\gcd(q-1,nh)}$. In particular, $Z(GL) \simeq F_1$.

3.1. A parametrization of Irr(GL) and Irr(L_h). Green [3] showed in 1955 how an irreducible complex character of GL is given by a partition-valued function $\lambda : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{P}$ which satisfies

$$\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} |\lambda(g)| \deg(g) = n. \tag{3.1}$$

In this subsection, we identify the set of all such functions with $\mathrm{Irr}(GL)$. An account of how such a function determines an irreducible character may be found in Section 3 in [4] and Chapter IV in [6], too.

We explain properties of characters of GL which we need in this paper. We denote by $deg(\lambda)$ the degree of a character λ. Let $\lambda' : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{P}$ be the function such that $\lambda'(g)$ is the partition conjugate to $\lambda(g)$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$. We denote by $\hat{\xi}(\langle\psi\rangle)$ the product of all elements of $\langle\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{G}$, i.e. $\hat{\xi}(\langle\psi\rangle) = \psi^{n_{d1}}$ where $d = deg(\langle\psi\rangle)$. It is clear that $\hat{\xi}(\langle\psi\rangle) \in \hat{F}_1$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\lambda : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{P}$ be an irreducible character of GL.*
(1) (p.444 in [3], (6.7) in IV of [6]) $\nu(\deg(\lambda)) = e \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \deg(g) n'(\lambda'(g))$.
(2) (Example 2 in IV of [6], Theorem 5.4 in [5]) *The restriction of λ to $Z(GL)$ is a multiple of $\prod_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \hat{\xi}(g)^{|\lambda(g)|}$.*

Let $\alpha \in \hat{F}_1$ and $\langle\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{G}$. We define the parallel translation $\tau_\alpha : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ as $\tau_\alpha\langle\psi\rangle = \langle\alpha\psi\rangle$. Moreover, we define an action of $\alpha \in \hat{F}_1$ on $\mathrm{Irr}(GL)$ as follows. For any irreducible character $\lambda : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{P}$ of GL, the character λ^α is defined by $\lambda^\alpha(\langle\psi\rangle) = \lambda(\tau_\alpha\langle\psi\rangle) = \lambda(\langle\alpha\psi\rangle)$ for any $\langle\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{G}$.

Theorem 3.2 (Proposition 5.2 in [5]). *Let λ, $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(GL)$. Then λ and χ have the same restrictions to L_h if and only if $\lambda^\alpha = \chi$ for some $\alpha \in \hat{U}_{(q-1)/h}$.*

For any irreducible character $\lambda : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{P}$ of GL, we denote by λ_∞ the $\hat{U}_{(q-1)/h}$-

orbit of $\mathrm{Irr}(GL)$ containing λ. Let $\mathrm{Irr}(L_h \mid \lambda_\infty)$ denote $\mathrm{Irr}(L_h \mid \lambda)$. (This notation is well defined by virtue of Theorem 3.2.)

Theorem 3.3.

(1) $\mathrm{Irr}(L_h) = \bigcup_{\lambda_\infty} \mathrm{Irr}(L_h \mid \lambda_\infty)$ (disjoint), *where this union is over all* $\hat{U}_{(q-1)/h}$-*orbits in* $\mathrm{Irr}(GL)$. *Moreover, for each* $\lambda \in \mathrm{Irr}(GL)$, *we have*

$$|\mathrm{Irr}(L_h \mid \lambda_\infty)| = \frac{q-1}{h|\lambda_\infty|}.$$

(2) *For* $\lambda \in \mathrm{Irr}(GL)$, *the followings hold.*

(i) *For any* $\varphi \in \mathrm{Irr}(L_h \mid \lambda_\infty)$,

$$\nu(\deg(\varphi)) = e\sum_{g\in\mathcal{G}} \deg(g)n'(\lambda'(g)).$$

(ii) *The restriction of each character in* $\mathrm{Irr}(L_h \mid \lambda_\infty)$ *to* $Z(L_h)$ *is a multiple of*

$$\prod_{g\in\mathcal{G}} \hat{\xi}(g)^{|\lambda(g)|(q-1)/\mathrm{gcd}(q-1,hn)}.$$

Proof. (1) Since GL/L_h is a cyclic group, the first half is clear from Lemma 2.5(2).

Therefore, for $\lambda \in \mathrm{Irr}(GL)$ and $\zeta \in \mathrm{Irr}(L_h \mid \lambda)$, we have $\mathrm{Irr}(GL \mid \zeta) = \lambda_\infty$. So we have the latter half by Lemma 2.5(3).

(2)(i) By Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.5(1), for $\zeta \in \mathrm{Irr}(L_h \mid \lambda_\infty)$,

$$\deg(\lambda) = |T_{GL}(\zeta)\backslash GL| \deg(\zeta).$$

Here, $|T_{GL}(\zeta)\backslash GL|$ divides $|L_h\backslash GL| = (q-1)/h$ which is prime to p. So the p-part of $\deg(\zeta)$ equals that of $\deg(\lambda)$. From Theorem 3.1(1), we have (i).

(ii) The irreducible constituent of a restriction of each character in $\mathrm{Irr}(L_h \mid \lambda_\infty)$ to $Z(L_h)$ equals the irreducible constituent of $\lambda|_{Z(L_h)}$. By $Z(L_h) \simeq U_{\mathrm{gcd}(q-1,nh)}$, Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 3.1(2), (ii) holds. □

By using the above, we can count the number of characters of L_h.

3.2. A parametrization of $\mathrm{Irr}(L_h)$ by polynomials. In order to count irreducible characters effectively, we parametrize $\mathrm{Irr}(GL)$ and $\mathrm{Irr}(L_h)$ by polynomials over $GF(q)$.

Fix an isomorphism from K to $\hat{K}$. Then, as is seen in 2.1, we have the bijection from $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{G}$. Therefore elements in $\mathrm{Irr}(GL)$ are parametrized by partition-valued functions $\lambda : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}$ which satisfy

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |\lambda(f)| \deg(f) = n. \tag{3.2}$$

We denote polynomials in $\mathcal{F}$ by $f_1, f_2, \cdots$. Let $\lambda'(f_i) = (j^{m(i,j)})$ where $m(i,j)$ is a non negative integer. For $\lambda : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}$ with (3.2), we define the sequence of polynomials

$$\left(\prod_i f_i^{m(i,1)}, \prod_i f_i^{m(i,2)}, \cdots \right). \tag{3.3}$$

Then it is easy to see that this gives a bijection from the set of partition-valued functions with (3.2) to the set of sequences $(h_1, h_2, \cdots)$ of monic polynomials over $GF(q)$ which satisfy the following.

(1) The constant term of each h_i does not equal to 0, and

(2) $\sum_j j \deg(h_j) = n$, i.e., $\mu = (j^{\deg(h_j)})$ is a partition of n.

From now on, we identify the set of such sequences with $\mathrm{Irr}(GL)$.

Let $g \in \mathcal{G}$ correspond to an irreducible monic polynomial $f(x) = x^d + b_1 x^{d-1} + \cdots + b_d$ over $GF(q)$ such that $b_d \neq 0$, and let $\alpha \in \hat{F}_1$ correspond to $\rho \in F_1$. As in 2.1, we regard an irreducible polynomial as the σ-orbit consisting of its roots in K. Because $\tau_\alpha(g)$ is the σ-orbit obtained by multiplying all elements of the σ-orbit g by α, it corresponds to the σ-orbit obtained by multiplying all roots of f by ρ, i.e., we have

$$\tau_\rho(f(x)) = x^d + \rho b_1 x^{d-1} + \rho^2 b_2 x^{d-2} + \cdots + \rho^d b_d. \tag{3.4}$$

We apply this notation when $f(x)$ is reducible, too. If ρ is a primitive m-th root of unity, then

$$f(x) = \tau_\rho(f(x)) \Leftrightarrow b_k = 0 \quad \text{if } m \nmid k. \tag{3.5}$$

In particular, if this condition holds, then we have $m \mid d$ because $b_d \neq 0$.

Let $\lambda = (h_1, h_2, \cdots) \in \mathrm{Irr}(GL)$ and put $h_i(x) = x^{d_i} + b_{i,1} x^{d_i - 1} + \cdots + b_{i,d_i}$. Note that $b_{i,d_i} \neq 0$ for any i. The action of α on λ corresponds to the action of ρ in such a way that

$$\lambda^\rho = (\tau_{\rho^{-1}}(h_1), \tau_{\rho^{-1}}(h_2), \cdots). \tag{3.6}$$

If ρ is a primitive m-th root of 1, then

$$\lambda = \lambda^\rho \Leftrightarrow b_{i,j} = 0 \quad \text{if } m \nmid j. \tag{3.7}$$

In particular, if ρ stabilizes λ, then $m \mid \gcd(\deg(h_1), \deg(h_2), \cdots)$.

By our identification, λ_∞ equals the $U_{(q-1)/h}$-orbit of $\mathrm{Irr}(GL)$ containing λ.
We restate Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 by using the above notation.

Corollary 3.4.
(1) λ, $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(GL)$ *have the same restrictions to* L_h *if and only if* $\lambda^\rho = \chi$ *for some* $\rho \in U_{(q-1)/h}$.
(2) $\mathrm{Irr}(L_h) = \bigcup_{\lambda_\infty} \mathrm{Irr}(L_h \mid \lambda_\infty)$ *(disjoint), where this union is over all* $U_{(q-1)/h}$*-orbits in* $\mathrm{Irr}(GL)$*. Moreover, we have*

$$|\mathrm{Irr}(L_h \mid \lambda_\infty)| = \frac{q-1}{h|\lambda_\infty|}$$

(3) *For* $\lambda = (h_1, h_2, \cdots) \in \mathrm{Irr}(GL)$ *with* $h_i(x) = x^{d_i} + b_{i,1}x^{d_i-1} + \cdots + b_{i,d_i}$ *and* $b_{i,d_i} \neq 0$*, the following hold.*
(i) *For any* $\varphi \in \mathrm{Irr}(L_h \mid \lambda_\infty)$,

$$\nu(\deg(\varphi)) = e\sum_j \binom{j}{2} \deg(h_j) = en'(\mu),$$

where μ *is the partition* $(j^{\deg(h_j)})$ *of* n.
(ii) *The restriction of each character in* $\mathrm{Irr}(L_h \mid \lambda_\infty)$ *to* $Z(L_h)$ *is a multiple of the irreducible character of* $U_{\gcd(q-1,nh)}$ *corresponding to*

$$\left\{(-1)^n \prod_j (b_{j,d_j})^j\right\}^{(q-1)/\gcd(q-1,nh)}.$$

Proof. (1) and (2) are clear from Theorems 3.2 and 3.3.

(3) Let us regard λ as a function from $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{P}$. We denote polynomials in $\mathcal{F}$ by $f_1, f_2, \cdots$. We write $\lambda'(f_i) = (j^{m(i,j)})$ where $m(i,j)$ is a non negative integer. Then $h_j = \prod_i f_i^{m(i,j)}$ and $\deg(h_j) = \sum_i \deg(f_i)m(i,j)$.

(i) By Theorem 3.3(2)(i), the p-part of the degree of each character in $\mathrm{Irr}(L_h|\lambda_\infty)$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} e\sum_{f\in\mathcal{F}} \deg(f)n'(\lambda'(f)) &= e\sum_i \deg(f_i)\sum_j \binom{j}{2} m(i,j) \\ &= e\sum_j \binom{j}{2} \sum_i \deg(f_i)m(i,j) = e\sum_j \binom{j}{2} \deg(h_j). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If $g \in \mathcal{G}$ corresponds to $f \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\hat{\xi}(g)$ corresponds to the product of all roots of f, i.e., $(-1)^{\deg(f)}f(0)$. We remark that $(j^{\deg(h_j)})$ is a partition of n. Then the irreducible constituent of the restriction of λ to $Z(L_h)$ corresponds to

$$\prod_{f\in\mathcal{F}} \{(-1)^{\deg(f)} f(0)\}^{|\lambda(f)|(q-1)/\gcd(q-1,nh)}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \prod_i \{(-1)^{\deg(f_i)} f_i(0)\}^{\left(\sum_j jm(i,j)\right)(q-1)/\gcd(q-1,nh)} \\
&= \prod_j \prod_i \{(-1)^{\deg(f_i)m(i,j)j} f_i(0)^{m(i,j)j}\}^{(q-1)/\gcd(q-1,nh)} \\
&= \left\{\prod_j (-1)^{\deg(h_j)j} h_j(0)^j\right\}^{(q-1)/\gcd(q-1,nh)} \\
&= \left\{(-1)^n \prod_j (b_{j,d_j})^j\right\}^{(q-1)/\gcd(q-1,nh)}. \qquad \square
\end{aligned}
$$

3.3. p-blocks of $L_h(n,q)$. By Theorem 4 of [2], a defect group of any p-block of $L_1 = SL(n,q)$ is a Sylow p-subgroup or trivial subgroup. The same argument as for GL in the last paragraph of Section 4 in [2] yields that the same is true for L_h. Therefore the defect $d(B)$ of the block B of L_h equals to 0 or $\nu(|L_h|) = en(n-1)/2$. In the later case, we say that B is of maximal defect. By Theorem 2.7, any p-block of defect 0 has a character the p-part of whose degree equals that of $|L_h|$, i.e. $p^{en(n-1)/2}$. On the other hand, characters in any p-block of the maximal defect have p-parts of degree less than $p^{en(n-1)/2}$.

Lemma 3.5. *The number of blocks of L_h of defect 0 is h. Moreover, for a non-negative integer k and any block B of L_h of defect 0, we have*

$$
m_p(k, L_h, B) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \ k = en(n-1)/2; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Proof. The latter half is clear by Theorem 2.7.

Let $\lambda_a = (\underbrace{1,1,\cdots,1}_{(n-1)\text{ times}}, x-a) \in \mathrm{Irr}(GL)$ for $a \in F_1$. By Theorem 2.7 and Corollary 3.4(3)(i), the set of p-blocks of defect 0 corresponds bijectively to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\{\zeta \in \mathrm{Irr}(L_h) \mid \nu(\zeta(1)) = \nu(|L_h|) = en(n-1)/2\} \\
&= \bigcup_{a \in F_1} \mathrm{Irr}(L_h \mid \lambda_a) \\
&= \bigcup_{(\lambda_a)_\infty} \mathrm{Irr}(L_h \mid (\lambda_a)_\infty) \quad \text{(disjoint)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last union is over all $U_{(q-1)/h}$-orbit consisting of characters λ_a. Because λ_a is stabilized only by 1, $|(\lambda_a)_\infty| = (q-1)/h$. Therefore, the number of $U_{(q-1)/h}$-orbit consisting of characters λ_a is h, and $|\mathrm{Irr}(L_h \mid (\lambda_a)_\infty)| = 1$ by Corollary 3.4(2). Therefore the number of blocks of defect 0 is h. $\square$

For characters belonging to p-blocks of maximal defect, we can determine their distribution to p-blocks by looking at the values at $\bar{C}$'s for all $C \in Cl((L_h)_{p'})$

with $C_{L_h}(x)(x \in C)$ containing a Sylow p-subgroup of L_h. This is possible because of Theorem 2.6. Since an element of L_h satisfying this condition is in the center $Z(L_h)$ of L_h, it is enough to see the character values on $Z(L_h)$. Moreover $Z(L_h) \simeq U_{\gcd(q-1,nh)}$ is a cyclic group whose order is prime to p. So, it is enough to look at their actual values, not those modulo $\mathfrak{p}$. Therefore we have the following.

Lemma 3.6. *Let ζ, $\zeta' \in \mathrm{Irr}(L_h)$ belong to p-blocks of non-zero defect. Then ζ and ζ' belong to the same block if and only if $\omega_\zeta(x) = \omega_{\zeta'}(x)$ for all $x \in Z(L_h)$.*

By this lemma, we can determine distribution of characters to p-blocks of L_h of maximal defect by looking at the irreducible constituent of their restriction to $Z(L_h)$. Therefore p-blocks of maximal defect are parametrized by the element of $\widehat{Z(L_h)}$. Because $\widehat{Z(L_h)} \simeq \hat{U}_{\gcd(q-1,nh)} \simeq U_{\gcd(q-1,nh)}$, p-blocks of L_h of maximal defect are parametrized by the element of $U_{\gcd(q-1,nh)}$. The number of blocks of L_h of maximal defect is $\gcd((q-1), nh)$.

We fix an isomorphism $\widehat{Z(L_h)} \simeq U_{\gcd(q-1,nh)}$, and identify them via the isomorphism. We denote by B_a the p-block of L_h of maximal defect corresponding to $a \in U_{\gcd(q-1,nh)}$.

In particular, the principal block is B_1. Moreover, B_1 is the set of characters in blocks of non-zero defect of L_h such that restrictions of those to $Z(L_h)$ equal to multiples of the trivial character. So these characters are regarded as characters of $L_h/Z(L_h) = PL_h$. Therefore, we can identify B_1 with the only p-block $\tilde{B}_0$ of maximal defect of PL_h. On the other hand, by Corollary 3.4 and the proof of Lemma 3.5, the number of p-blocks of defect zero of PL_h is $\gcd(q-1,n)(q-1)/\gcd(q-1,nh)$.

Let $\lambda = (h_1, h_2, \cdots) \in \mathrm{Irr}(GL)$ and let a_i be the constant term of h_i. All characters in $\mathrm{Irr}(L_h|\lambda_\infty)$ have the same restrictions to $Z(L_h)$. So, all constituents belong to the same p-block. By Corollary 3.4(3), characters in $\mathrm{Irr}(L_h|\lambda_\infty)$ belong to B_a if and only if

$$a = \left((-1)^n \prod_j (a_j)^j\right)^{(q-1)/\gcd(q-1,nh)}. \tag{3.8}$$

Lemma 3.7 (Lemma 2.5 in [8]). *Let a_i $(1 \le i \le \delta)$ be positive integers, $A = \gcd(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_\delta)$, and $a \in F_1$. Then*

$$|\{(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_\delta) \in F_1^\delta \mid x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_\delta^{a_\delta} = a\}| = (q-1)^{\delta-1}\beta(A, a)$$

where $\beta(A,a)$ is the number of solutions in F_1 to the equation $x^A = a$, i.e.,

$$\beta(A,a) = \begin{cases} \gcd(q-1, A), & \text{if } a \in U_{(q-1)/\gcd(q-1,A)}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let a be in $U_{\gcd(q-1,nh)}$ and $\mu = (a_1^{l_1}, a_2^{l_2}, \cdots, a_\delta^{l_\delta})$ be a partition. By the above lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(3.9)\,&|\{(x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_\delta) \in F_1^\delta \mid ((-1)^n x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_\delta^{a_\delta})^{(q-1)/\gcd(q-1,nh)} = a\}|\\ &= |\{(x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_\delta) \in F_1^\delta \mid \{((-1)^{l_1}x_1)^{a_1} \cdots ((-1)^{l_\delta}x_\delta)^{a_\delta}\}^{(q-1)/\gcd(q-1,nh)} = a\}|\\ &= (q-1)^{\delta-1}\beta\left(\frac{(q-1)A(\mu)}{\gcd(q-1,nh)}, a\right)\end{aligned}$$

4. The McKay numbers of L_h

For a partition $\mu = (a_1^{l_1}, a_2^{l_2}, \cdots, a_\delta^{l_\delta})$ of n, a be in $U_{\gcd(q-1,nh)}$, and a positive integer s, we denote by $\mathrm{Irr}(GL, \mu, a, s)$ the set of irreducible characters $\lambda = (h_1, h_2, \cdots)$ of GL satisfying the following.

(1) The partition $(j^{\deg(h_j)})$ equals μ,

(2) $\mathrm{Irr}(L_h \mid \lambda) \subseteq B_a$, and

(3) λ is stabilized by s-th roots of 1 in $U_{(q-1)/h}$, but is not stabilized by s'-th roots of 1 for any $s' > s$ with $s \mid s'$, i.e., the restriction of λ to L_h has s irreducible constituents.

Note that by (3.6) and (3.7) $\mathrm{Irr}(GL, \mu, a, s)$ is closed under the action of $U_{(q-1)/h}$.

We denote by $\mathrm{Irr}(GL, \mu, a)$ the set of irreducible characters λ of GL satisfying (1) and (2) of the above, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Irr}(GL, \mu, a) = \bigcup_{s \mid (q-1)/h} \mathrm{Irr}(GL, \mu, a, s) \quad \text{(disjoint)}.$$

And we denote by $\widetilde{\mathrm{Irr}}(GL, \mu, a, s)$ the set of irreducible characters λ of GL satisfying (1),(2) above and the following.

(4) λ is stabilized by s-th roots of 1 in $U_{(q-1)/h}$. (Thus λ is stabilized by s'-th roots of 1 for any $s' > s$ with $s \mid s'$.)

This means that

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Irr}}(GL, \mu, a, s) = \bigcup_{s \mid s'} \mathrm{Irr}(L_h, \mu, a, s').$$

Moreover, we put

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Irr}(L_h, \mu, a, s) &= \{\zeta \in \mathrm{Irr}(L_h) \mid \zeta \in \mathrm{Irr}(L_h|\chi),\ \chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(GL, \mu, a, s)\},\\ \mathrm{Irr}(L_h, \mu, a) &= \{\zeta \in \mathrm{Irr}(L_h) \mid \zeta \in \mathrm{Irr}(L_h|\chi),\ \chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(GL, \mu, a)\},\\ m(\mu, a, s) &= |\mathrm{Irr}(L_h, \mu, a, s)|, \quad \text{and}\\ m(\mu, a) &= |\mathrm{Irr}(L_h, \mu, a)|.\end{aligned}$$

For an integer $t > 1$, we define $\Pi(t)$ by

$$\Pi(t) = \prod \left(1 - \frac{1}{r^2}\right),$$

where r runs over all prime numbers that divide t. For example, for any positive integers i, j, $\Pi(2^i) = 3/4$, $\Pi(3^i) = 8/9$, $\Pi(2^i 3^j) = 24/36$, etc. For convenience, we put $\Pi(1) = 1$.

At first, we show the following lemma. For a divisor s of $\Lambda(\mu)$, we put

$$\gamma(\mu, s) = q^{l(\mu)/s - \delta(\mu)}.$$

Note that if $\lambda = (h_1, h_2, \cdots) \in \mathrm{Irr}(GL)$ is stabilized by s-th roots of 1 in $U_{(q-1)/h}$, then s divides $\gcd((q-1)/h, \deg(h_1), \deg(h_2), \cdots)$.

Lemma 4.1.

(1) $|\widetilde{\mathrm{Irr}}(GL, \mu, a, s)| = \gamma(\mu, s)(q-1)^{\delta(\mu)-1}\beta((q-1)A(\mu)/\gcd(q-1, nh), a)$.

(2) *Let* $\gcd(\Lambda(\mu), (q-1)/h) = p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \cdots p_k^{r_k}$ *be the prime decomposition of* $\gcd(\Lambda(\mu), (q-1)/h)$, s *be a divisor of* $\gcd(\Lambda(\mu), (q-1)/h)$ *with the prime decomposition* $s = p_1^{s_1} p_2^{s_2} \cdots p_k^{s_k}$, *and set* $c_i (1 \leq i \leq k)$ *as follow. We put* $c_i = 0$ *if* $s_i = r_i$ *and* $c_i = 1$ *if* $s_i < r_i$. *Then*

$$m(\mu, a, s) = hs^2 \sum_{\substack{0 \leq d_i \leq c_i \\ 1 \leq i \leq k}} (-1)^{d_1 + \cdots + d_k} \gamma(\mu, p_1^{s_1 + d_1} \cdots p_k^{s_k + d_k})$$
$$\times (q-1)^{\delta(\mu)-2} \beta\left(\frac{(q-1)A(\mu)}{\gcd(q-1, nh)}, a\right)$$

Proof. (1) If $(h_1, h_2, \cdots) \in \mathrm{Irr}(GL, \mu, a)$ is stabilized by s-th roots of 1 in $U_{(q-1)/h}$, then we may write

$$h_{a_j}(x) = x^{l_j} + \sum_{i=0}^{l_j/s - 1} b_{j,i} x^{is} \tag{4.1}$$

for all j by (3.7). Moreover, because this character belongs to B_a, by Corollary 3.4(3)(ii) we have

$$((-1)^n b_{1,0}^{a_1} b_{2,0}^{a_2} \cdots b_{\delta,0}^{a_\delta})^{(q-1)/\gcd(q-1, nh)} = a. \tag{4.2}$$

If $i \neq 0$, then the possible of $b_{j,i}$ is any element in $GF(q)$, and the number of all possible of the set of $b_{j,0}$ is determined by (3.9). Thus

$$|\widetilde{\mathrm{Irr}}(GL,\mu,a,s)| = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\delta} q^{l_j/s-1}\right)(q-1)^{\delta-1}\beta\left(\frac{(q-1)A(\mu)}{\gcd(q-1,nh)},a\right)$$
$$= \gamma(\mu,s)(q-1)^{\delta(\mu)-1}\beta\left(\frac{(q-1)A(\mu)}{\gcd(q-1,nh)},a\right).$$

(2) The above number includes characters stabilized by s'-th roots of 1 for some $s < s'$ with $s \mid s'$. Thus

$$|\mathrm{Irr}(GL,\mu,a,s)|$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{0\le d_i\le c_i\\ 1\le i\le k}} (-1)^{d_1+\cdots+d_k}\gamma(\mu,p_1^{s_1+d_1}\cdots p_k^{s_k+d_k})(q-1)^{\delta(\mu)-1}\beta\left(\frac{(q-1)A(\mu)}{\gcd(q-1,nh)},a\right).$$

Each $U_{(q-1)/h}$-orbit in $\mathrm{Irr}(GL,\mu,a,s)$ has $(q-1)/hs$ elements. So each orbit gives s characters of L_h by Corollary 3.4(2). Consequently, all characters in $\mathrm{Irr}(GL,\mu,a,s)$ give

$$hs^2 \sum_{\substack{0\le d_i\le c_i\\ 1\le i\le k}} (-1)^{d_1+\cdots+d_k}\gamma(\mu,p_1^{s_1+d_1}\cdots p_k^{s_k+d_k})(q-1)^{\delta(\mu)-2}\beta\left(\frac{(q-1)A(\mu)}{\gcd(q-1,nh)},a\right)$$

irreducible characters of L_h. □

Theorem 4.2. *For a partition $\mu = (a_1^{l_1}, a_2^{l_2}, \cdots, a_\delta^{l_\delta})$ of n and $a \in U_{\gcd(q-1,nh)}$,*

$$m(\mu,a) = h\left\{\sum_{t\mid\gcd(\Lambda(\mu),(q-1)/h)} t^2\Pi(t)q^{l(\mu)/t-\delta(\mu)}\right\}$$
$$\times (q-1)^{\delta(\mu)-2}\beta\left(\frac{(q-1)A(\mu)}{\gcd(q-1,nh)},a\right)$$

Proof. We obtain $m(\mu,a)$ by summing $m(\mu,a,s)$ for all s dividing $\gcd(\Lambda(\mu), (q-1)/h)$, i.e., for all $(s_1,\cdots,s_k)$ $(0\le s_i\le r_i)$. Hence we may write by the previous lemma,

$$m(\mu,a) = h\left\{\sum_{t\mid\gcd(\Lambda(\mu),(q-1)/h)} e_t\gamma(\mu,t)\right\}(q-1)^{\delta(\mu)-2}\beta\left(\frac{(q-1)A(\mu)}{\gcd(q-1,nh)},a\right),$$

for some e_t. If $t = p_1^{t_1}\cdots p_k^{t_k}$, then e_t is in fact, obtained as follows.

$$e_t = \sum_{\substack{0\le d_i\le c_i'\\ 1\le i\le k}} (-1)^{d_1+\cdots+d_k} p_1^{2(t_1-d_1)}\cdots p_k^{2(t_k-d_k)},$$

where $c_i' = 0$ if $t_i = 0$, and $c_i' = 1$ if $t_i > 0$. Therefore,

$$e_t = \prod_{t_i \neq 0} (p_i^{2t_i} - p_i^{2t_i - 2}) = t^2 \Pi(t)$$

Consequently, we have the statement of the theorem. $\square$

Note that each character ζ in $\mathrm{Irr}(L_h, \mu, a)$ satisfies $\nu(\zeta(1)) = en'(\mu)$. Therefore, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. *For $0 \leq k < n(n-1)/2$,*

$$m_p(ek, L_h(n,q), B_a) = \sum{}' m(\mu, a),$$

where the sum is taken over all partitions μ of n such that $n'(\mu) = k$. And if $i \neq ek$ for any k with $0 \leq k < n(n-1)/2$, then $m_p(ek, L_h(n,q), B_a) = 0$.

Recall that $\tilde{B}_0$ is the unique p-block of maximal defect of PL_h. Because we can identify B_1 with $\tilde{B}_0$, by Lemmas 3.7, 4.1, and Theorem 4.2, we have the following.

Corollary 4.4. *For $0 \leq k < n(n-1)/2$,*

$$\begin{aligned} m_p(ek, PL_h(n,q), \tilde{B}_0) &= \sum{}' m(\mu, 1) \\ &= \sum{}' h \Bigg\{ \sum_{t \mid \gcd(\Lambda(\mu), (q-1)/h)} t^2 \Pi(t) q^{l(\mu)/t - \delta(\mu)} \Bigg\} (q-1)^{\delta(\mu)-1} \frac{\gcd(q-1, A(\mu))}{\gcd(q-1, nh)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first sum is the same as in Theorem 4.3. *And if $i \neq ek$ for any k with $0 \leq k < n(n-1)/2$, then $m_p(ek, PL_h(n,q), \tilde{B}_0) = 0$.*

5. The Alperin-McKay conjecture for L_h

In this section, we show the following theorem, i.e., we prove the Alperin-McKay conjecture for L_h. The notations are the same as in the previous sections.

Theorem 5.1. *For a Sylow p-subgroup P of L_h, let b_a be the p-block of $N = N_{L_h}(P)$ corresponding to the p-block B_a of maximal defect of L_h. Then we have*

$$m_p(0, L_h, B_a) = m_p(0, N, b_a).$$

Proof. We classify irreducible characters of L_h and N respectively by sequences $\iota = (s_0, s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_k)$ of integers s_i such that $0 = s_0 < s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_k = n$ for some $k \leq n$.

By Corollary 3.4, the degree of $\zeta \in \mathrm{Irr}(L_h)$ is not divisible by p if and only if ζ is in $\mathrm{Irr}(L_h|\lambda_\infty)$ for some $\lambda = (h(x), 1, 1, \cdots) \in \mathrm{Irr}(GL)$ where $h(x)$ is a polynomial of degree n. For given $\iota = (s_0, s_1, \cdots, s_k)$, we consider characters $(h(x), 1, 1, \cdots) \in \mathrm{Irr}(GL)$ with

$$h(x) = x^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i, \quad \text{where} \begin{cases} a_i \neq 0, & \text{if } i = s_j \text{ for some } 0 \le j \le k-1; \\ a_i = 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus the number of characters of this type is $(q-1)^k$. By (3.7), an element of $U_{(q-1)/h}$ stabilizes characters of this type if and only if it is a $\gcd(s_1 - s_0, \cdots, s_k - s_{k-1}, (q-1)/h)$-th roots of 1. By Corollary 3.4(2) the number of characters in $\mathrm{Irr}(L_h)$ given by ι is

$$\gcd\left(s_1 - s_0, \cdots, s_k - s_{k-1}, \frac{q-1}{h}\right)^2 h(q-1)^{k-1}.$$

By (3.8) the above characters belong to a p-block $B_a (a \in U_{\gcd(q-1,nh)})$ if and only if $a_0^{(q-1)/\gcd(q-1,nh)} = a$. Note that for any $a \in U_{\gcd(q-1,nh)}$ the number of solutions a_0 in U_{q-1} to this equation is $(q-1)/\gcd(q-1,nh)$. Since this number does not depend on a, all B_a's have the same number of characters of this type given by ι.

On the other hand, a Sylow p-subgroup P of L_h is conjugate to the subgroup of upper triangle matrices all of whose diagonal entries are 1. Thus we may assume that N is the subgroup of upper triangle matrices in L_h.

But the degree of a character χ of N is not divisible by p if and only if the kernel of χ contains the commutator subgroup P' of P. Therefore we may consider such characters as those of $M = N/P'$.

Let $Q = P/P'$ and let D be the set of elements in N/P' corresponding to diagonal matrices in N. Then we have $M = D \ltimes Q$. We denote an element a in D by $(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)$ where $a_i \in F_1$ and $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in U_h$, in such a way that the product of elements in D is the component-wise product. We denote an element b in Q by $(b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_{n-1})$ where $b_i \in GF(q)$, and the product of elements in Q is the component-wise sum. Thus the action a on b is given by

$$b^a = a^{-1}ba = (a_1^{-1} b_1 a_2, a_2^{-1} b_2 a_3, \cdots, a_{n-1}^{-1} b_{n-1} a_n).$$

Since D and Q are Abelian groups, every irreducible character of these groups is of degree 1, and we fix an isomorphism from D (resp. Q) to the group of characters of D (resp. Q).

We construct characters of M by using Theorems 2.3 and 2.4.

For the above sequence $\iota = (s_0, \cdots, s_k)$, we consider $b = (b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_{n-1}) \in$

$\mathrm{Irr}(Q)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} b_i = 0, & \text{if } i = s_j \text{ for some } 1 \leq j \leq k-1; \\ b_i \neq 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The number of such characters is $(q-1)^{n-k}$. Then, for $a = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n) \in \mathrm{Irr}(D)$, $b^a = b$ if and only if $a_{s_j+1} = a_{s_j+2} = \cdots = a_{s_{j+1}}$ $(0 \leq j \leq k-1)$. And since $a \in \mathrm{Irr}(D)$, it is necessary that $a_{s_1}^{s_1-s_0} a_{s_2}^{s_2-s_1} \cdots a_{s_k}^{s_k-s_{k-1}} \in U_h$. By Lemma 3.7, the order of the stabilizer of b in D is

$$\begin{aligned} &(q-1)^{k-1} \sum_{c \in U_h} \beta(m, c) \\ &= (q-1)^{k-1} \sum_{c \in U_{\gcd(mh, q-1)/\gcd(m, q-1)}} \gcd(m, q-1) \\ &= (q-1)^{k-1} \gcd(mh, q-1) \end{aligned}$$

where $m = \gcd(s_1 - s_0, s_2 - s_1, \cdots, s_k - s_{k-1})$. Since the order of D is $h(q-1)^{n-1}$, the number of elements contained in each orbit is $(q-1)^{n-k}/\gcd(m, (q-1)/h)$. Hence the number of orbits in the set of irreducible characters given by ι is $\gcd(m, (q-1)/h)$. From Theorems 2.3 and 2.4, the number of characters χ of M such that the restriction of χ to Q is a sum of certain irreducible characters all of which have the type given by ι is

$$\begin{aligned} &\gcd\left(m, \frac{q-1}{h}\right)^2 h(q-1)^{k-1} \\ &= \gcd\left(s_1 - s_0, s_2 - s_1, \cdots, s_k - s_{k-1}, \frac{q-1}{h}\right)^2 h(q-1)^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The distribution of irreducible characters of N to p-blocks of maximal defect can be seen by comparing the irreducible constituent of the restriction to the center $Z(M)$ of M. Note that $Z(N) = Z(M)$. Recall that the same is true for L_h. See Lemma 3.6. We fix an irreducible character b of Q given by ι, and consider the distribution of the characters in $\mathrm{Irr}(M \mid b)$ to p-blocks. The center $Z(M)$ of M is contained in the stabilizer T of b in D and on the other hand we have $Q \cap Z(M) = \{1\}$. Thus, from Theorem 2.3, for an irreducible character χ in $\mathrm{Irr}(M \mid b)$, there exists an extension $\tilde{b}$ of b to T and an irreducible character η of T such that $\chi = (\tilde{b}\eta)^M$. So, in order to look at the restriction of χ to $Z(M)$, we may consider that of η to $Z(M)$. Since T is Abelian, by Theorems 2.3, 2.4, the characters in $\mathrm{Irr}(M \mid b)$ are distributed into p-blocks in such a way that all blocks of M of maximal defect have the same numbers of characters in $\mathrm{Irr}(M \mid b)$. Since the above argument can be applied for any character b of Q given by ι, all p-blocks of M of maximal defect have the same numbers of characters given by ι.

Let B_a and b_a be the same as in the statement of Theorem 5.1. For a fixed ι, the above argument shows that the numbers of characters of L_h given by ι belonging to B_a and that of N given by ι belonging to b_a are equal. Since $\iota = (s_0, s_1, \cdots, s_k)$ is arbitrary, we have

$$m_p(0, L_h, B_a) = m_p(0, N, b_a). \qquad \square$$

We identify B_1 with $\tilde{B_0}$, and in the same way as we identify b_1 with the p-block $\tilde{b_0}$ of $N_{PL_h}(P)$. Therefore, we have the following.

Corollary 5.2. *For a Sylow p-subgroup P of $L_h/Z(L_h)$, let $\tilde{b_0}$ be the p-block of $\tilde{N} = N_{L_h/Z(L_h)}(P)$ corresponding to the p-block $\tilde{B_0}$ of maximal defect of $L_h/Z(L_h)$. Then we have*

$$m_p(0, L_h/Z(L_h), \tilde{B_0}) = m_p(0, \tilde{N}, \tilde{b_0}).$$

References

[1] J.L. Alperin: *The main problem of block theory*, Proc. of Conf. on finite groups (Univ. Utah Park City, UT, 1975), Academic Press, New York, 1976.

[2] S.W. Dagger: *On the blocks of chevalley groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **(2)3** (1971), 21–29.

[3] J.A. Green: *The characters of the finite general linear groups*, Trans. A. M. S. **80** (1955), 402–447.

[4] G.I. Lehrer: *The characters of the finite special linear groups*, J. Algebra, **26** (1973), 564–583.

[5] G.I. Lehrer: *Characters,Classes,and Duality in Isogenous Groups*, J. Algebra, **36** (1975), 278–286.

[6] I.G. Macdonald: Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials,Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1979.

[7] H. Nagao and Y. Tsushima: Representations of finite groups, Academic Press, New york, 1989.

[8] J.B. Olsson and K. Uno: *Dade's conjecture for general linear groups in the defining characteristic*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **(3)72** (1996), 359–384.

Department of Mathematics
Osaka University
Toyonaka, Osaka 560–0043, Japan